

Identification of indoor air quality events using a K-means clustering analysis of gas sensors data

Alexandre Caron, Nathalie Redon, Patrice Coddeville, Benjamin Hanoune

▶ To cite this version:

Alexandre Caron, Nathalie Redon, Patrice Coddeville, Benjamin Hanoune. Identification of indoor air quality events using a K-means clustering analysis of gas sensors data. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2019, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 297, pp.126709. 10.1016/j.snb.2019.126709. hal-02276311

HAL Id: hal-02276311 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-02276311

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925400519309104 Manuscript_131cf68401413540294f65b8c6532ced

1	Identification of indoor air quality events using a K-means clustering analysis of gas sensors
2	data
3	Alexandre Caron ^{1,2} , Nathalie Redon ² , Patrice Coddeville ² , Benjamin Hanoune ¹
4	1. Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8522 - PC2A - Physicochimie des Processus de Combustion et de
5	l'Atmosphère, F-59000 Lille, France.
6	2. IMT Lille Douai, Univ. Lille, SAGE - Département Sciences de l'Atmosphère et Génie de
7	l'Environnement, F-59000 Lille, France.
8	* Corresponding author: nathalie.redon@imt-lille-douai.fr
9	Tel: (+33)3 27 71 24 77
10	Fax: (+33)3 27 71 29 14
11	IMT Lille Douai, SAGE, 941 rue Charles Bourseul, CS10838, F-59508 Douai, France
12	
13	
14	Abstract:
15	Commercial miniature as sensors because they are smaller and cheeper then conventional
13	Commercial miniature gas sensors, because they are smaller and cheaper than conventional
16	instruments, can be deployed in large numbers to investigate indoor air quality, for research and
17	operational purposes. To compensate for their limited metrological performances, it is necessary to
18	develop relevant data treatment procedures. We applied an unsupervised classification approach
19	based on the bisecting K-means algorithm to data acquired by online gas analyzers and by miniature
20	sensors during a measurement campaign in a low energy school building. This procedure, applied to
21	the analyzers measurements, was able to distinguish the ventilation status and the specific air
22	quality events taking place in the classroom. The same procedure applied to the data from the
23	sensors, even though they were not calibrated beforehand, was also able to identify the same events.
24	The good agreement between the two sets of results validates the methodology and opens up new
25	perspectives for a massive deployment of sensors inside buildings.

26

Key words: (indoor) air pollution, electronic gas sensors, unsupervised classification, k-means
clustering

29 **1. Introduction**

30 Indoor environments, where people in developed countries spend up to 90% of their time, present high specific pollutant concentrations [1,2], inducing a risk for human health [3,4]. Indoor 31 32 pollutants, especially volatile organic compounds (VOCs), are emitted from building materials, 33 furniture, consumer products, from the occupants themselves and their activities. The air transferred 34 from outdoors also has a significant impact on the pollutants breathed indoors [5]. There is a need 35 for large scale and continuous measurements of the indoor air quality (IAQ) in various domains: (i) 36 for research purposes, in order to increase the understanding of the determinants of indoor air 37 pollution, such as the identification of pollution sources and of the pollutants trends and temporal 38 and spatial evolution, (ii) to allow mandatory or voluntary IAQ assessments of buildings, (iii) to 39 communicate helpful information to the occupants on the relationships between their daily activities 40 and the induced pollution levels, and also to alert them and implement corrective actions when 41 critical thresholds are exceeded, (iv) to control the operation of ventilation or air treatment systems 42 in order to reach the best compromise between health and energy consumption considerations. The conventional gas analyzers used for laboratory research and regulatory outdoor air monitoring can 43 44 be deployed during research oriented measurement campaigns but not for real-time monitoring of occupied indoor environments. These bulky analyzers generate many nuisances, such as noise or 45 vibrations, induce a considerable electrical consumption, and are too expensive to be 46 47 simultaneously deployed in many places.

In recent years, gas micro-sensors emerged as alternative relevant tools for air quality monitoring [6–9]. New sensitive materials are constantly being developed in order to achieve better sensitivity, selectivity and stability [10,11]. More and more micro-sensors are commercially available [12,13], prompting many recent studies where their performances are investigated [14–17]. Among these sensors, a distinction must be made between intrinsically non-selective sensors and selective or nearly-selective sensors. Non-selective sensors are commonly based on metal oxide semiconductive materials which respond to multiple compounds in the air, and are generally used in combinations 55 or arrays of sensors, also known as e-noses. Selective sensors include the electrochemical sensors targeting compounds such as carbon monoxide, ozone, nitrogen monoxide or dioxide, sulfur 56 57 dioxide, hydrogen sulfide, the NDIR sensors used for carbon dioxide, as well as the PID sensors for 58 total volatile organic compounds measurements. In spite of the technological progresses, the 59 currently available sensors still require a complete metrological characterization [18], with in 60 particular the assessment of their reliability over time. Even if they are very sensitive, the response 61 of most sensors shows interferences with other compounds than the targeted one, depends upon the 62 temperature and humidity, and drifts with time [19–21]. Such a preliminary step of characterization 63 and calibration is not necessary for arrays of MOS sensors when used in association with pattern 64 recognition algorithms [22–27], which are methods used in data mining for the extraction of useful information and the exploration of data correlation. Supervised classification approaches [28] are 65 based on a classifier built from a training set with a collection of labeled data, and then used to 66 67 assign new unlabeled data instances. Unsupervised classification [29] refers to algorithms that require no training set (blind partitioning), no a priori knowledge of the structure of the dataset, and 68 69 automatically define the different classes. However, the physical meaning of these classes needs to 70 be a posteriori interpreted or verified by the expert.

In the present study, we investigate the potential of unsupervised classification, or clustering, to analyze the output of selective gas sensors. The dataset used for the analysis has been acquired during a field campaign aiming to investigate the drivers and dynamics of IAQ in a low energy building [5].

Many clustering algorithms have been developed for data mining, such as reviewed in [29]. Different clustering algorithms, or even different ways to use them on the same dataset, can lead to different partition results. None of them have proved to be the best technique in a large amount of configurations. Some of these algorithms have been applied to electronic nose data clustering [30], each with its respective possibilities and limitations [25,31,32], depending on the application. For 80 electronic nose data, hierarchical clustering is commonly used [33–37]. It results in a hierarchical structure of the dataset that is more informative about the link between each group of data than the 81 82 unstructured clusters provided by other techniques. In addition, its representation (dendrograms) 83 allows the selection of the number of clusters. Centroid-based algorithms such as K-means [38] are 84 less considered in the micro gas sensor field [39,40] and especially for air quality investigation, 85 though K-means is a simple partitional algorithm and one of the most widely used techniques in 86 data mining thanks to its performances [41]. K-means algorithms combine simplicity, ease of 87 implementation and of use, speed of convergence, even with a large number of variables and 88 clusters, and ability to process datasets with missing values. For these reasons, we have chosen this 89 method to analyze the data from gas sensors in the investigation of indoor air quality.

90

91 **2. Materials and methods**

92 **2.1. Instruments and measurements settings**

Measurements used for this work were performed during the Mermaid study [5]. This field 93 campaign has been carried out in February and March 2015 in a 51 m² (139 m³) classroom of an 94 95 energy efficient junior high school building in Northern France. Details on the analytical 96 instruments used for this study can be found in [5]. Only the data from the pollutants from outdoors 97 (NO_x and O₃), measured with online analyzers (Thermo 42i and Thermo 49i), and of CO₂, measured with Testo 480 probe located at the center of the room are considered here. In addition to these 98 99 instruments, miniature sensors were installed in the center of the classroom, 90 cm above the floor. 100 They monitor nitrogen oxide (electrochemical, Alphasense NO-B4), nitrogen dioxide 101 (electrochemical, Alphasense NO₂-B4), ozone (electrochemical, Alphasense O₃-B4) and carbon 102 dioxide (NDIR, Alphasense CO₂-IRC A1). A Raspberry Pi B+ and an Arduino board are used to 103 collect, store and transmit the data. No correction or calibration of the sensors has been performed prior to their installation in the room, and the raw output (voltage) is analyzed with the bisecting K-means procedure.

106

107 **2.2. Experimental datasets**

The data used for this work corresponds to a 6-day continuous measurement (28 February 2015 to 6 March 2015). Fig. 1 presents the ventilation status and specific events taking place in the room during this period. These events include three CO_2 injections with ventilation ON, one CO_2 injection with ventilation OFF, for the determination of the air exchange rate of the room, two periods with people in the room, one NO_2 injection, and an accidental release of both NO and NO_2 .



113



115

116 Dataset 1 (reference instruments) is a 4 x 8160 matrix consisting of 4 variables which are the 117 concentrations of ozone, nitrogen oxide and nitrogen dioxide (in ppb) measured by the online 118 analyzers and of carbon dioxide concentration (in ppm) measured by the Testo 480 probe, with a 119 one minute resolution. The analyzers and CO_2 probe were calibrated at the beginning of the 120 campaign.

Dataset 2 (electrochemical and NDIR sensors) is also a 4 x 8160 matrix, consisting of the voltage
(mV) outputs of the 3 electrochemical sensors and of the carbon dioxide concentration (in ppm)

provided by the NDIR sensor, with a 1 min resolution. As previously mentioned, no correction orcalibration of the sensors signals was performed.

125

126 2.3 K-means implementation

127 Numerous extensions of the basic K-means algorithm have been developed in order to improve its 128 partitioning abilities for dedicated applications. Classical K-means performs a direct classification 129 of the full dataset into a number of K clusters, whereas other methods, such as the bisecting K-130 means method [38], use a hierarchical method and split the data by iteration. In 2000, Steinbach et 131 al. have shown that bisecting K-means, computed as an hybrid approach between the run-time 132 efficiency of conventionnal K-means and the quality efficiency of an agglomerative hierarchical clustering, has higher performances than the conventional algorithm [44]. It as also been 133 134 demonstrated that bisecting K-means is relatively insensitive to the initialisation of the clusters 135 centers and has a higher computational efficiency than the conventional K-means algorithm [45]. In spite of its simplicity, and of its wide use, the reasons behind the efficiency of the K-means 136 137 algorithm still need to be fully understood. The only required input from the user is the number of clusters into which the dataset must be split. In the present work, we used the K-means procedure 138 139 with a program written in Julia 0.4.0.

140 The raw time series data of each dataset is used for input, except for the carbon dioxide 141 concentration, measured either by the Testo probe or the NDIR sensor, for which the logarithm 142 (base 10) is used, because of the much wider range over which this concentration can vary. 143 Preliminary calculations, not reported here, with the direct CO_2 concentration, were performed but 144 the clustering was less efficient than with the log values.

145 The only other parameter for input is the number K of clusters. Values of K ranging from 2 to 10 146 have been investigated for each dataset. Some mathematical criteria to determine the optimal number of clusters have been proposed [44–46], but these may have no physical meanings, and we
have chosen to leave this determination to the judgment of the expert a posteriori.

149 The program first normalizes all the observations in the dataset, in order to standardize their 150 respective weight on the cluster partition. The clustering process is then initialized by splitting the 151 normalized dataset into two subsets. Then, each datapoint is assigned to its nearest cluster center (centroid) according to the euclidian distance. The process is repeated until the association of all the 152 153 observations of the dataset to its respective cluster does not change anymore and the sum of the 154 squared errors of the distance is minimized. The process is iterated by splitting into two new 155 clusters the cluster with the highest sum of squared residuals, following the same procedure, until 156 the required number of clustersis reached. Tests have shown that changing the initial partition does not influence the final results. The output file of the bisecting K-means clustering consists of the 157 158 raw data tagged with their respective cluster, and of the coordinates of the centroids of each of the K 159 clusters.

To compare the outputs of the K-means procedure applied to the two dataset (reference dataset and sensors dataset), we will consider the overlap ratio between a cluster from dataset 2 and its equivalent cluster from the reference dataset 1. It is expressed as the number of correct matches between each datapoint in a defined cluster of each dataset normalized by the number of data points in the reference cluster. Thus, a value of 1 indicates a perfect overlap, while a value of 0 means no overlap between the two clusters.

- 166
- 167

168

169 **3 Results and discussion**

170 **3.1** Clustering of the reference online analyzers measurements (dataset 1)

171 Dataset 1 (concentrations of NO, NO₂, O_3 and CO_2 measured by the reference instruments) are 172 presented on Fig. 2, together with the ventilation status (ON/OFF) which has been found to be the 173 main driver of the chemistry in the room [5]. The specific events described on Fig.1 are clearly 174 distinguished on the concentration time chart of Fig. 2..



Fig. 2. Concentration time series measured by the reference analyzers. The ventilation status isindicated by the background color, white for ventilation OFF, grey for ventilation ON.

179

176

180 The results of the clustering process, applied considering a number of clusters ranging from 2 to 10,

181 are displayed on Fig. 3. This chart clearly illustrates the hierarchical structure of the bisecting K-

182 means process.



184 Fig. 3. Dataset 1 clustering process output, for K values from 2 to 10

186 Data are successively grouped into new clusters, with the 2 clusters divided into smaller sub-187 clusters when K increases. For the initial partition (K=2), the bisecting K-means separates the dataset according to the ozone concentration, with cluster 1 corresponding to the periods with no 188 189 ozone, i.e. when the ventilation is OFF, while cluster 2 refers to the periods where the ozone 190 concentration is significant, i.e. when the ventilation is ON. This result agrees with the result of the 191 MERMAID campaign [5], where the main driver of the IAQ within the room was found to be the 192 ventilation status. When K increases from 3 to 5, the newly defined clusters can be related to the 193 specific known events occurring in the room presented in Fig. 1. Cluster 3 corresponds to the accidental release of NO and NO₂ in the classroom, cluster 4 corresponds to the injection of carbon 194 195 dioxide when the ventilation system is OFF, and cluster 5 corresponds to the moments when the 196 ventilation system is ON and with CO₂ concentration higher than the background, due to either 197 controlled injection of CO₂ in the room or to human presence.

Interestingly, the results for K=6 differ drastically from the previous cases. The new cluster (#6) corresponds to the injection of NO₂, the previously found cluster 4 (injection of CO₂ during ventilation OFF conditions) disappears, and cluster 2 (ventilation ON) is divided into two sub-

201 clusters. However, cluster 4 reappears when considering the K=7 partitioning, with no change on the previously determined clusters. This indicates that a criterion of stability of the clusters when 202 increasing their number must be considered to correctly interpret the results of unsupervised 203 204 classification. Higher values of K up to 10 induce a refinement in the previously determined clusters, according to different levels of ozone. Cluster 8 corresponds to the transition period when 205 the pollutants from outdoor air slowly decrease due to their reactivity, with no compensation from 206 the ventilation, cluster 9 represents the transient periods with elevated ozone and CO₂ 207 208 concentration, and cluster 10 corresponds to a moderate CO₂ concentration with no ozone. These 209 transitions periods, and in particular the mixing between indoor and outdoor pollutants, are 210 generally overlooked during standard analysis.

While clusters 8 to 10 correspond to actual, well-defined conditions in the room, their interpretation is less forward than the previous 7 clusters, and we will consider henceforth that K=7 provides the best description of the air quality events in the room. A lower K value will miss some events, and a higher K value will only split classes with physical meaning according to the levels of concentration. K=7 leads to the best compromise between the lowest numbers of cluster and the correct and separate description of every known event.

217

Cluster	Events	n. obs	O ₃ / ppb	NO / ppb	NO ₂ /ppb	CO ₂ /ppm
C1	Vent. OFF	4480	7.7	0.5	2.8	396
C2	Vent. ON	1180	24.4	0.4	5.6	369
C3	Vent. ON	1467	32.4	0.2	3.1	367
C4	NO+NO ₂	228	4.3	23.8	20.5	416
C5	NO ₂	128	4.7	1.9	122.3	435
C6	CO ₂ (vent. OFF)	277	9.4	0.1	1.8	965
C7	CO ₂ (vent. ON)	400	31.1	0.2	4.0	666

Table 1 Summary of clusters size and coordinates of centroids for K=7 clustering (dataset 1)

220 The output results from the partition of dataset 1 into 7 clusters are presented in Table 1, which 221 summarizes the size (number of data points in the cluster) and centroid coordinates of each cluster, 222 together with their assignment. Cluster 1 groups the majority of the datapoints, with 4480 observations, and is characterized by low levels of every pollutant, as it corresponds to the periods 223 224 with no ventilation, i.e. no intake of outdoor pollutants. A high ozone level is the dominant 225 parameter that influences cluster 2 (1180 obs.) and cluster 3 (1467 obs.). These two clusters 226 correspond to periods when the ozone concentration increases in the classroom due to the activation 227 of the ventilation system. Cluster 4 (228 obs.) data are characterized by background CO₂ (416 ppm) 228 and O_3 (4.3 ppb) level, together with higher NO and NO₂ levels (> 20 ppb). This partition 229 corresponds to the short event that takes place at the end of the measurement period (Fig. 1, Fig. 2) 230 which is an accidental release of NO and NO₂. Cluster 5 (128 obs.) data are characterized by low 231 level of pollutants in the classroom, except for NO₂ (112.3 ppb), and corresponds to a voluntary 232 injection of nitrogen dioxide in the classroom. Cluster 6 represents the CO₂ injection when the 233 ventilation is off, with low O₃, NO and NO₂ concentrations. Cluster 7 represents the moments with high O_3 concentration, that is during ventilation ON, together with high CO_2 concentration, due to either a CO_2 injection or the presence of people in the room.

236

237 **3.2** Clustering of the electrochemical and NDIR sensors measurements (dataset 2)

The time series evolution of dataset 2 is presented on Fig. 4. The direct interpretation of the signals from the sensors must be done with caution, because of possible chemical interferences, such as the cross response of NO_2 and O_3 on electrochemical sensors [47] and because the sensors were not calibrated before taking the measurements. This is where unsupervised classification algorithms can really help analyzing the data.

243



Fig. 4. Time series of the sensors signals. The ventilation status is indicated by the background color, white for ventilation OFF, grey for ventilation ON.

247

The results of the process for each K value between 2 and 10 are displayed on Fig. 5, illustrating, as for dataset 1, the hierarchical structure induced by the bisecting K-means process. However, the different clusters do not appear in the same order as for dataset 1. K=2 separates the datapoints with high CO₂ concentration. For K=3, the new cluster can be related to the injection of NO₂ in the classroom. The accidental release of NO and NO₂ is identified in dataset 2 at K=5. The efficiency of 253 the ventilation, impacting the O₃ concentrations (oxydants coming from outdoor), is identified by clusters built from K=4 and K=6. At K=6, every known event can be related to a specific cluster, 254 255 except the distinction between the CO₂ injections during the ventilation period or without 256 ventilation, which appears only for the classification into 7 clusters. The clusters obtained for dataset 2 when increasing the value of K up to 10 are difficult to put in regard to the actual events 257 258 taking place in the room. For instance, cluster 8 cannot be related to any event in the room. Also, 259 cluster 9 is not stable, and partly disappears for K=10. Still, as the clusters do not appear in the same 260 order when considering the reference dataset or the sensor dataset, it is necessary to explore the 261 analysis with high K values, in order not to miss a specific event.

- 262
- 263
- 264



Fig. 5. Dataset 2 (sensors) clustering process output for K values up to 10. To help the comparison with the results of dataset 1, the cluster numbering and color coding does not follow the increasing K order, but is taken at the K=7 level.

269

265



experiment. This comes from the fact that the information does not lie in the absolute value of the measurements, but in the evolution of the intensity of the signals. This holds true also in spite of the poor selectivity, as in the case of the NO_2 and O_3 sensors. However, the K-means processing of dataset 2 also points to the difficulty of determining the optimal number of clusters, which in the present case could be 7 or 8, depending if we consider the physical meaning of the classes, that is if we use contextual information to supplement the measured data, or 8 if we consider the stability of the clusters, without contextual information.

279 As discussed when treating previously dataset 1, and considering our goal of comparing the results 280 obtained from the two datasets, we will restrict hereafter our discussion to K=7. The results from 281 the partition of dataset 2 into 7 clusters are presented in Table 2, summarizing the size and centroid coordinates of each cluster. Cluster 1 (4394 obs.) is characterized by a background level of the O3-282 B4 and NO-B4 response, of respectively 21.2 and 29.54 mV, a background (uncorrected) CO₂ 283 284 concentration value of 614 ppm, and a low NO₂-B4 value of 5.8 mV. This partition matches well with the period when the ventilation is turned off. Cluster 2 (1246 obs.) is characterized by a higher 285 286 response from the NO-B4 sensor (37.20 mV) and from the NO2-B4 sensor (13.90 mV). Cluster 3 (1515 obs.) is characterized by a higher response from the three electrochemical sensors. Both 287 288 cluster 2 and cluster 3 describe the period where the outdoor pollutant contribution is significant, and can be related to the ventilation ON status. The two clusters differ by the higher values of O₃ in 289 290 cluster 3. Cluster 4 (225 obs.) mainly appears at the end of the measurement period and is characterized by a significant increase of NO-B4 sensor response (51.49 mV). It corresponds to the 291 292 simultaneous increase of NO and NO₂ concentration. Cluster 5 (129 obs.) corresponds to the maximal values from the O₃-B4 and NO₂-B4 sensors, respectively of 95.6 mV and 49.3 mV. This 293 294 data partition can be linked to the injection of nitrogen dioxide into the room. Clusters 6 (208 obs.) and 7 (443 obs.) data match with the high CO₂ concentration periods, with (uncorrected) 295 296 concentrations of 1658 and 1035 ppm respectively.

Cluste r	Events	n. obs	O ₃ -B4/ mV	NO-B4 / mV	NO ₂ -B4/mV	CO ₂ IRC- A1/ppm
C1	Vent. OFF	4394	21.20	29.54	5.80	614
C2	Vent. ON	1246	19.19	37.20	13.90	601
C3	Vent. ON	1515	28.32	28.82	16.53	592
C4	NO+NO ₂	225	22.13	51.49	7.34	642
C5	NO ₂	129	95.63	22.23	49.28	650
C6	CO ₂ (vent. OFF)	208	14.22	31.09	9.39	1658
C7	CO ₂ (vent. ON)	443	21.26	32.48	13.65	1035

Table 2 Summary of clusters size and coordinates of centroids for K=7 clustering (dataset 2)

300 **3.3 Comparison of both clustering results**

Fig. 6 depicts graphically the clusters obtained from the two datasets. The overlap between the two sets of clusters is summarized in Table 3 (percentage of datapoints from each cluster from the sensors dataset that are assigned to the cluster from the analyzers dataset). There is a general agreement between the clusters from the analyzers and the ones from the sensors. Each cluster pair contains roughly the same number of points (Table 1 and Table 2). This 1-to-1 correspondence allows a direct comparison of the two sets of results.



Fig. 6. Graphical comparison of clustering results (K=7) between dataset 1 (analyzers) and dataset 2
 (sensors)

For instance, cluster 1 (vent. OFF), cluster 4 (NO+NO₂) and cluster 5 (NO₂) match very well each 311 312 other, with only a few mismatched points. These clusters are associated to a well defined event, respectively ventilation OFF, NO+NO₂ release, and NO₂ injection, that is perfectly singled out by 313 314 the K-means procedure, leading to an overlap ratio between dataset 2 and dataset 1 higher than 91 % for cluster 1, and of 98% for clusters 4 and 5. Only about 8% of the datapoints of sensors cluster 315 316 1 are associated to the reference cluster 2 (ventilation ON), though no explanation can be advanced. 317 The agreement between the two datasets is slightly poorer for cluster 2 and 3 (vent. ON). The data are split between their respective reference clusters. This might be explained by the relatively low 318 319 NO₂ and O₃ concentration amplitudes and the cross sensitivity of the NO-B4, NO2-B4 and O3-B4 320 sensors [47]. 393 observations are mismatched and associated to the period without ventilation 321 (cluster 1). Cluster 6 (CO₂ vent. OFF) and cluster 7 (CO₂ vent. ON) from the sensors dataset are 322 also mainly divided through the two clusters of dataset 1 that describe the high CO₂ concentration periods (analyzers clusters 6 and 7), leading to overlaps of 66% at most. We assigned this weaker 323

324 agreement to the low NO₂ and O₃ concentration, which do not allow for a good differentiation

325 between the ON and OFF ventilation conditions.

326

Reference Sensors	Cluster 1 (vent. OFF)	Cluster 2 (vent. ON)	Cluster 3 (vent. ON)	Cluster 4 (NO+NO ₂)	Cluster 5 (NO ₂)	Cluster 6 (CO ₂ OFF)	Cluster 7 (CO ₂ ON)
Cluster 1 (vent. OFF)	91.1%	23.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	5.0%
Cluster 2 (vent. ON)	7.9%	37.1%	30.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Cluster 3 (vent. ON)	0.9%	39.4%	66.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	9.3%
Cluster 4 (NO+NO ₂)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	97.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cluster 5 (NO ₂)	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	98.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Cluster 6 (CO ₂ OFF)	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	48.4%	18.3%
Cluster 7 (CO ₂ ON)	0.1%	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	51.3%	66.3%

327	Table 3	Overlap	between	the two	sets of cluster
541	Laure J	Ovenap	Detween	the two	sets of cluster

328

Because of this similarities and links between clusters 2 and 3 and clusters 6 and 7 respectively, it is natural to simplify the data distribution into 5 different classes by grouping some clusters together. Thus, class 1 describes the indoor condition when the ventilation is off, with only the data from cluster 1. Class 2 is composed of cluster 2 and cluster 3, corresponding to the ventilated periods. Class 3 describes the combined increase of NO and NO₂ concentration of cluster 4. Class 4 describes the injection of NO₂ inside the room (cluster 5). Finally, class 5 (cluster 6 + cluster 7)

335 describes the period with high CO₂ concentration, either from controlled CO₂ injection or from the presence of people in the room. Table 4 summarizes the overlap ratio calculated between the 5 336 classes defined by the clustering results on reference analyzers (dataset 1) and sensor measurements 337 338 (dataset 2). The overlap ratios are at least 87.6% for class 2, and up to 98.4% for class 4. Only class 339 1 and 2 still are slightly mingled, with up to 11% of mismatched data. Class 5 also presents about 6% of mismatched data, principally falling on class 2. This illustrates that the K-means procedure, 340 while allowing to identify the events, is not able to pick up correctly the transition between the two 341 342 baseline cases (ventilation ON and ventilation OFF), probably because this transition actually could 343 or should be represented by an additional cluster, as already discussed in the analysis of dataset 1, 344 nor the difference between the end of the CO₂ injection events, with only residual concentration that are also not clearly distinguished from the baseline case. 345

346

Reference	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4	Class 5
Sensors	(vent. OFF)	(vent ON)	(NO+NO ₂)	(NO ₂)	(CO ₂)
Class 1	01 10/	44.40/	0.0%	0.0%	2.40/
(vent. OFF)	91.1%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
Class 2	0.0%	07.0%	2.20/	1.00	C 3 %
(vent ON)	8.8%	87.6%	2.2%	1.6%	0.2%
Class 3	0.0%	0.0%	07.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(NO+NO ₂)	0.0%	0.0%	97.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Class 4	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	00.4%	0.0%
(NO ₂)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	98.4%	0.0%
Class 5	0.1%	1 20/	0.0%	0.0%	00.7%
(CO ₂)	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	90.7%

Table 4 Overlap ratio between 5 classes of dataset 2 and dataset 1

348

349

350 4 Conclusions

351 The present work demonstrates that low-cost sensors are able to detect specific air quality events 352 occurring inside a room, and that these events can be classified without supervision using a K-353 means clustering procedure. The identification of these events requires some outside knowledge, as provided by the log of the experiments, or by the expertise of the user. When applying the K-means 354 classification procedure to the data from the reference online gas analyzers, we were able to 355 356 discriminate the normal ventilation pattern ON/OFF inside the room, and the artificial events such 357 as CO₂ or NO₂ voluntary injections, as well as a NO and NO₂ unintentional spill. Applying the K-358 means procedure to the signals from the sensors as input leads to the same results, with a really 359 good agreement with the analyzers, as shown by the overlap analysis. Based on the measured components (CO₂, NO, NO₂ and O₃), two sets of two clusters were not so well determined, possibly 360 361 because of low NO₂ and O₃ concentration. Merging these two groups of clusters into two classes 362 provides a much better agreement between the reference data (analyzers) and the sensors, with an overlap ratio higher than 88%. 363

364 The unsupervised classification does not require that the sensors be calibrated before the experiment, or that the chemical interferences be studied beforehand. This is a definite advantage 365 towards a generalized deployment of sensors in buildings for the operative management of the 366 367 ventilation and filtration systems, when quantitative measurements are not critical. Should absolute 368 quantitative measurements be needed, the present methodology would still be applicable, but would require that the metrological performances of the sensors be established prior to the deployment. 369 370 The current efforts from manufacturers and research groups to improve the performances of the sensors, both on the short and on the long term, will be determinant to reach this objective. 371

The mathematical procedure we have developed could certainly be improved, in particular with respect to the automatic determination of the optimal number of classes, which so far needs to be defined beforehand or to be adjusted by the expert, using either criteria about the stability of the 375 clusters when their number is increased, or contextual information to supplement the signals from the sensors. In addition, using this unsupervised analysis of the signals from the sensors in different 376 377 real or realistic conditions, it could be possible to construct a set of classes representative of various 378 IAQ events. The resulting database would be used as a guide for the interpretation of the monitored 379 events, and as input for a supervised classification model, which would render easy and efficient the 380 management of IAQ with sensors installed in buildings. Measurements in real conditions in various 381 buildings are currently underway, and will be used to further validate the classification methodology 382 proposed here, and to establish such a database of "chemical signature" associated with specific 383 IAQ events.

- 384
- 385

386 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the French Environment and Energy Management Agency ADEME 387 (Agence de l'Environment et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie) for their financial support of the 388 MERMAID project (PRIMEQUAL Program). This work is a contribution to the CaPPA project 389 390 (Chemical and Physical Properties of the Atmosphere), funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the PIA (Programme d'Investissement d'Avenir) under contract ANR-11-391 392 LABX-005-01, and a contribution to the CPER research project CLIMIBIO, with financial support 393 from the French Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche, the Hauts de France 394 Region and the European Funds for Regional Economical Development.

- 395
- 396
- 398

397

570

399 **References**

- 400 [1] G.A. Ayoko, H. Wang, Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Environments, Indoor Air
 401 Pollution, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014: pp. 69–107. doi:10.1007/698_2014_259.
- 402 [2] G. Buonanno, L. Morawska, L. Stabile, Particle emission factors during cooking activies,
 403 Atmospheric Environment 43 (2009) 3235–3242. doi:10.1016.j.atmosenv.2009.03.044.
- 404 [3] K.W. Tham, Indoor air quality and its effects on humans—A review of challenges and
 405 developments in the last 30 years, Energy and Buildings 130 (2016) 637–650.
 406 doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2016.08.071.
- 407 [4] G. Buonanno, F.C. Fuoco, A. Russi, L. Stabile, Individual exposure of women to fine and
 408 coarse PM, Environmental Engineering and Management Journal 14 (2015) 827–836.
 409 doi:10.30638/eemj.2015.092.
- M. Verriele, C. Schoemaecker, B. Hanoune, N. Leclerc, S. Germain, V. Gaudion, N. Locoge,
 The Mermaid Study: Indoor and Outdoor Average Pollutant Concentrations in 10 Low-Energy
 School Buildings in France, Indoor Air. 26 (2016) 702–713. doi:10.1111/ina.12258.
- [6] N. Castell, F.R. Dauge, P. Schneider, M. Vogt, U. Lerner, B. Fishbain, D. Broday, A.
 Bartonova, Can commercial low-cost sensor platforms contribute to air quality monitoring and
 exposure estimates?, Environment International. 99 (2017) 293–302.
 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2016.12.007.
- 417 [7] A.C. Lewis, J.D. Lee, P.M. Edwards, M.D. Shaw, M.J. Evans, S.J. Moller, K.R. Smith, J.W. 418 Buckley, M. Ellis, S.R. Gillot, A. White, Evaluating the performance of low cost chemical 419 sensors for pollution research, Faraday Discuss. 189 air (2016)85–103. 420 doi:10.1039/C5FD00201J.
- P. Kumar, A.N. Skouloudis, M. Bell, M. Viana, M.C. Carotta, G. Biskos, L. Morawska, Real-421 [8] 422 time sensors for indoor air monitoring and challenges ahead in deploying them to urban buildings. of The Environment. 423 Science Total 560-561 (2016)150-159. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.032. 424

- 425 [9] A. Caron, B. Hanoune, N. Redon, P. Coddeville, Gas sensor networks: relevant tools for real-
- 426 time indoor air quality indicators in low energy buildings, in: Proceedings of the Healthy
 427 Buildings Europe 2015 Conference, 2015.
- 428 [10] F. Röck, N. Barsan, U. Weimar, Electronic nose: current status and future trends, Chemical
 429 Reviews. 108 (2008) 705–725.
- 430 [11] G. Neri, First Fifty Years of Chemoresistive Gas Sensors, Chemosensors. 3 (2015) 1–20.
 431 doi:10.3390/chemosensors3010001.
- 432 [12] M. Aleixandre, M. Gerboles, Review of small commercial sensors for indicative monitoring of
 433 ambient gas, Chemical Engineering Transactions. 30 (2012) 169–174.
- 434 [13] B. Szulczyński, J. Gębicki, Currently Commercially Available Chemical Sensors Employed
- for Detection of Volatile Organic Compounds in Outdoor and Indoor Air, Environments. 4
 (2017) 21. doi:10.3390/environments4010021.
- [14] A. Caron, N. Redon, F. Thevenet, B. Hanoune, P. Coddeville, Performances and limitations of
 electronic gas sensors to investigate an indoor air quality event, Building and Environment.
 107 (2016) 19–28. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2016.07.006.
- [15] X. Pang, M.D. Shaw, A.C. Lewis, L.J. Carpenter, T. Batchellier, Electrochemical ozone
 sensors: A miniaturised alternative for ozone measurements in laboratory experiments and airquality monitoring, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 240 (2017) 829–837.
 doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.09.020.
- L. Spinelle, M. Gerboles, M.G. Villani, M. Aleixandre, F. Bonavitacola, Field calibration of a
 cluster of low-cost commercially available sensors for air quality monitoring. Part B: NO, CO
 and CO₂, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 238 (2017) 706–715.
- 447 doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.07.036.
- 448 [17] W. Jiao, G. Hagler, R. Williams, R. Sharpe, R. Brown, D. Garver, R. Judge, M. Caudill, J.
- 449 Rickard, M. Davis, L. Weinstock, S. Zimmer-Dauphinee, K. Buckley, Community Air Sensor

- 450 Network (CAIRSENSE) project: evaluation of low-cost sensor performance in a suburban
 451 environment in the southeastern United States, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques. 9
 452 (2016) 5281–5292. doi:10.5194/amt-9-5281-2016.
- 453 [18] L. Spinelle, M. Aleixandre, M. Gerboles, Protocol of Evaluation and Calibration of Low-Cos 454 Gas Sensors for the Monitoring of Air Pollution, (2013). 455 http://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC83791/eur%20report%20protocol 456 %20evaluation.pdf.
- [19] P. Van Geloven, M. Honore, J. Roggen, S. Leppavuori, T. Rantala, The influence of relative
 humidity on the response of tin oxide gas sensors to carbon monoxide, Sensors and Actuators
 B: Chemical. 4 (1991) 185–188. doi:10.1016/0925-4005(91)80196-Q.
- 460 [20] J.H. Sohn, M. Atzeni, L. Zeller, G. Pioggia, Characterisation of humidity dependence of a
 461 metal oxide semiconductor sensor array using partial least squares, Sensors and Actuators B:
 462 Chemical. 131 (2008) 230–235. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2007.11.009.
- [21] J.-E. Haugen, O. Tomic, K. Kvaal, A calibration method for handling the temporal drift of
 solid state gas-sensors, Analytica Chimica Acta. 407 (2000) 23–39. doi:10.1016/S00032670(99)00784-9.
- 466 [22] M.J. Fernández, J.L. Fontecha, I. Sayago, M. Aleixandre, J. Lozano, J. Gutiérrez, I. Gràcia, C.
- 467 Cané, M. del C. Horrillo, Discrimination of volatile compounds through an electronic nose
 468 based on ZnO SAW sensors, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 127 (2007) 277–283.
 469 doi:10.1016/j.snb.2007.07.054.
- 470 [23] A. Szczurek, M. Maciejewska, Recognition of benzene, toluene and xylene using TGS array
 471 integrated with linear and non-linear classifier, Talanta. 64 (2004) 609–617.
 472 doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2004.03.036.
- 473 [24] C. Bur, M. Bastuck, A. Lloyd Spetz, M. Andersson, A. Schütze, Selectivity enhancement of
 474 SIC-FET gas sensors by combining temperature and gate bias cycled operation using

- 475 multivariate statistics, Sensors and Actuators B:Chemical 193 (2014) 931-940.
 476 doi:10.1016/j.snb.2013.12.030.
- 477 [25] Y. González Martín, M.C. Cerrato Oliveros, J.L. Pérez Pavón, C. García Pinto, B. Moreno
 478 Cordero, Electronic nose based on metal oxide semiconductor sensors and pattern recognition
 479 techniques: characterisation of vegetable oils, Analytica Chimica Acta. 449 (2001) 69–80.
 480 doi:10.1016/S0003-2670(01)01355-1.
- [26] S. De Vito, E. Esposito, M. Salvato, O. Popoola, F. Formisano, R. Jones, G. Di Francia,
 Calibrating chemical mutlisensory devices for real world applications: An in-depth comparison
 of quantitative machine learning approaches, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 255 (2018)
 1191–1210. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2017.07.155.
- [27] H.-K. Hong, H.W. Shin, H.S. Park, D.H. Yun, C.H. Kwon, K. Lee, S.-T. Kim, T. Moriizumi,
 Gas identification using micro gas sensor array and neural-network pattern recognition,
 Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 33 (1996) 68–71. doi:10.1016/0925-4005(96)01892-8.
- 488 [28] S.B. Kotsiantis, I.D. Zaharakis, P.E. Pintelas, Machine learning: a review of classification and
 489 combining techniques, Artif Intell Rev. 26 (2006) 159–190. doi:10.1007/s10462-007-9052-3.
- 490 [29] A. Olaode, G. Naghdy, C. Todd, Unsupervised classification of images: A review, International
 491 Journal of Image Processing (IJIP). 8 (2014) 325–342.
- 492 [30] S. Marco, A. Gutierrez-Galvez, Signal and data processing for machine olfaction and chemical
 493 sensing: A review, IEEE Sensors Journal 12 (2012) 3189-3214 doi:10.1109.jsen.2012.2192920.
- 494 [31] A. Hierlemann, R. Gutierrez-Osuna, Higher-order chemical sensing, Chemical Reviews 108.
- 495 (2008) 563–613. doi:10.1021.cr068116m.
- 496 [32] M. Bicego, G. Tessari, G. Tecchiolli, M. Bettinelli, A comparative analysis of basic pattern
 497 recognition techniques for the development of small size electronic nose, Sensors and
 498 Actuators B: Chemical. 85 (2002) 137–144. doi:10.1016/S0925-4005(02)00065-5.

- 499 [33] T. Alizadeh, Chemiresistor sensors array optimization by using the method of coupled statistical techniques and its application as an electronic nose for some organic vapors 500 501 recognition, Sensors Actuators B: Chemical. 143 (2010)740-749. and 502 doi:10.1016/j.snb.2009.10.018.
- 503 [34] K. Yan, D. Zhang, Feature selection and analysis on correlated gas sensor data with recursive
 504 feature elimination, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 212 (2015) 353–363.
 505 doi:10.1016/j.snb.2015.02.025.
- 506 [35] J. Lei, C. Hou, D. Huo, Y. Li, X. Luo, M. Yang, H. Fa, M. Bao, J. Li, B. Deng, Detection of
 507 ammonia based on a novel fluorescent artificial nose and pattern recognition, Atmospheric
 508 Pollution Research. 7 (2016) 431–437. doi:10.1016/j.apr.2015.10.019.
- 509 [36] Z. Haddi, S. Mabrouk, M. Bougrini, K. Tahri, K. Sghaier, H. Barhoumi, N. El Bari, A. Maaref, 510 N. Jaffrezic-Renault, B. Bouchikhi, E-Nose and e-Tongue combination for improved 511 recognition fruit juice Chemistry. of samples, Food 150 (2014)246-253. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.10.105. 512
- [37] C. Hou, J. Li, D. Huo, X. Luo, J. Dong, M. Yang, X. Shi, A portable embedded toxic gas
 detection device based on a cross-responsive sensor array, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical.
 161 (2012) 244–250. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2011.10.026.
- 516 [38] A.K. Jain, Data Clustering: 50 Years Beyond K-Means, Pattern Recognition Letters. 31 (2010)
 517 651–666. doi:10.1016/j.patrec.2009.09.011.
- 518 [39] M. Falasconi, M. Pardo, M. Vezzoli, G. Sberveglieri, Cluster validation for electronic nose
 519 data, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 125 (2007) 596–606. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2007.03.004.
- 520 [40] H. Wu, T. Yue, Z. Xu, C. Zhang, Sensor array optimization and discrimination of apple juices
- according to variety by an electronic nose, Anal. Methods. 9 (2017) 921–928.
 doi:10.1039/C6AY02610A.

- 523 [41] M. Verma, M. Srivastava, N. Chack, A.K. Diswar, N. Gupta, A comparative study of various
 524 clustering algorithms in data mining, International Journal of Engineering Research and
 525 Applications (IJERA). 2 (2012) 1379–1384.
- 526 [42] M. Steinbach, G. Karypis, V. Kumar, others, A Comparison of Document Clustering
 527 Techniques, in: KDD Workshop on Text Mining, Boston, 2000: pp. 525–526.
- 528 [43] S. Marshall, M.E. Celebi, Comparison of Conventional and Bisecting K-Means Algorithms on
 529 Color Quantization, in: 14th IASTED International Conference on Signal and Image
 530 Processing, 2012. doi:10.2316/P.2012.786-041.
- [44] J. Shen, S.I. Chang, E.S. Lee, Y. Deng, S.J. Brown, Determination of cluster number in
 clustering microarray data, Applied Mathematics and Computation. 169 (2005) 1172–1185.
 doi:10.1016/j.amc.2004.10.076.
- [45] H. Yu, Z. Liu, G. Wang, An automatic method to determine the number of clusters using
 decision-theoretic rough set, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning. 55 (2014) 101–
 115. doi:10.1016/j.ijar.2013.03.018.
- 537 [46] M.M.-T. Chiang, B. Mirkin, Intelligent Choice of the Number of Clusters in K-Means
 538 Clustering: An Experimental Study with Different Cluster Spreads, J Classif. 27 (2010) 3–40.
 539 doi:10.1007/s00357-010-9049-5.
- 540 [47] L. Spinelle, M. Gerboles, M.G. Villani, M. Aleixandre, F. Bonavitacola, Field calibration of a 541 cluster of low-cost available sensors for air quality monitoring. Part A: Ozone and nitrogen 542 dioxide, Sensors Actuators Chemical. (2015)and B: 215 249-257. 543 doi:10.1016/j.snb.2015.03.031.
- 544
- 545 546