Relationships Between Isokinetic Shoulder Evaluation and Fitness Characteristics of Elite French Female Water-Polo Players

Nicolas Olivier, Frederic Daussin

HAL Id: hal-02378926
https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-02378926

Submitted on 25 Nov 2019

1

Relationships between isokinetic shoulder evaluation and fitness characteristics of elite French female water-polo players

4

5 *Authors:*

6 Nicolas Olivier and Frédéric N. Daussin

7

8 *Corresponding author:*

9 Frédéric N. Daussin, Ph.D., Eurasport, 413 avenue Eugène Avinée, 59120 Loos, France; E-mail:
10 frederic.daussin@univ-lille.fr; Phone: +33 (0)3.74.00.82.15.; Fax: +33 (0)3.20.88.73.63.
11

14

15 *Affiliation:*

16 *Univ. Lille, Univ. Artois, Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, EA 7369 - URePSSS - Unité de Recherche*
17 *Pluridisciplinaire Sport Santé Société, F-59000 Lille, France*

18
19

Abstract

Swimming and throwing are involved in water-polo player performance. These movements have a common biomechanical basis in the use of the internal shoulder rotation and adductor muscles. The aim of the study was to evaluate the relationship between shoulder isokinetic evaluation and throwing velocity as well as swimming performance in female water-polo players. Fifteen high level water-polo players completed two isokinetic shoulder evaluations to determine peak torque of shoulder rotators of the dominant shoulder (concentric and eccentric movements at an angular velocity of $60°·s^{-1}$ and concentric movements at an angular velocity of $240°·s^{-1}$) and shoulder extensors of both arms (concentric movements at an angular velocity of $60°·s^{-1}$ and $240°·s^{-1}$). Throwing velocity was measured using a radar gun placed 5 m behind the goal post. Front crawl swimming velocity was determined at 25 m, 100 m and 400 m distances. Concentric peak torque at $60°·s^{-1}$ and $240°·s^{-1}$ of internal rotators and eccentric peak torque at $60°·s^{-1}$ of external rotators were predictors of throwing velocity. The best model to explain the relationship between isokinetic evaluations and throwing velocity was obtained with concentric IR peak torque at $60°·s^{-1}$ and eccentric ER peak torque at $60°·s^{-1}$ ($r^2 = 0.52$, $p = 0.012$). Relative total work done and peak torque of shoulder extensors were predictors of 25 m swimming velocity. Shoulder isokinetic evaluations correlate significantly with swimming performance and throwing velocity of female water-polo players. The results may help coaches to develop new strategies such as eccentric dry land training programs to increase both shoulder external rotators strength and throwing velocity.

Key words: Internal rotators, External rotators, Swimming, Throwing velocity, Female athletes

Introduction

41

42   Water-polo is an intermittent and high-intensity team sport (Smith, 1998). The objective

43   of this discipline is to score more goals than the opposite team and success requires a

44   combination of technique, a high level of physical fitness and tactical skills (Botonis et al., 2016;

45   Ordóñez et al., 2016; Tucher et al., 2015). Consequently, the basic characteristics of elite water-

46   polo players are the ability to throw the ball with a high velocity and to swim at high speed

47   (Alcaraz et al., 2011; Martínez et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2009b; Vila et al., 2011; Zinner et al.,

48   2015).

49   Throwing is considered as one of the most important aspects of performance (Alcaraz et

50   al., 2011; Martínez et al., 2015). Accuracy and throwing speed are two crucial factors involved

51   in throwing efficacy (Alcaraz et al., 2011). The faster and more precise the throw, the more

52   difficult it is for the defense and goalkeeper to intercept the ball. 90% of throwing during a

53   water-polo game is overhead throwing (Bloomfield et al., 1990). Biomechanical analysis of

54   overhead throwing has revealed that the shoulder is medially rotated and horizontally adducted

55   by the anterior shoulder muscles (Feltner, 1986). When performing overhead activities such as

56   throwing in water-polo, the rotator muscles in the shoulder play a critical role in providing both

57   mobility and stability to the glenohumeral joint (Boettcher et al., 2010). However, throwing

58   velocity is multifactorial. In women, anthropometric characteristics such as the arm span or

59   forearm girth, and lower body power have been identified as predictors of throwing velocity

60   (Alcaraz et al., 2011; Martínez et al., 2015; McCluskey et al., 2010; Zinner et al., 2015).

61   Nevertheless, the influence of shoulder rotator performance on throwing velocity has never been

62   evaluated.

63   Analysis of women's water polo game revealed that water polo can be characterised as a

64   high intensity intermittent sport (Tan et al., 2009a). Players perform approximately 54 high

65   intensity activities per game, one every 38 s (Tan et al., 2009a). Elite players generally exhibit

66   sprinting and endurance swimming abilities (Tan et al., 2009b). During swimming, the shoulder

67   also moves into internal rotation, extension, and adduction at the glenohumeral joint during early

68   pull-through. Internal and adduction strength have been reported to be greater in swimmers

69   compared to the normal population (Batalha et al., 2013). However, the relationship between

70   shoulder internal rotators and/or extensors performance and swimming velocity has not been

71   evaluated. It would be of interest for coaches to choose adapted dry land strength training

72   strategies to increase strength in the shoulder muscle groups involved in the generation of forces

73   required for swimming.

74   Having considered that swimming and throwing have a common biomechanical basis in

75   the use of the shoulder internal rotation and adductor muscles, the aim of the study was to

evaluate the relationship between shoulder isokinetic variables and throwing velocity as well as swimming performance in female water-polo players. It was hypothesized that 1) peak torque of shoulder internal rotators would be a predictor of throwing velocity, and 2) peak torques of shoulder internal rotators and abductors would be predictors of 25 m swimming performance.

Methods

Participants

Fifteen female players of the French water-polo national team participated in this study (mean ± SD: 22 ± 2 years; 68 ± 9 kg; 1.72 ± 0.08 m). The study was approved by the local Ethics Board and the athletes were informed of the benefits and risks of the investigation prior to signing an institutionally approved informed consent document to participate in the study. The protocol was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study design

Each player performed the following tests: (1) a throwing velocity test, (2) a 25 m swimming trial, (3) a 100 m swimming trial, (4) a 400 m swimming trial, and (5) an isokinetic evaluation. The players performed two tests per day separated by a minimum of 8 hr.

Assessment of throwing velocity

Throwing velocity was measured using a radar gun (SR3600, Sports Radar Ltd, Homosassa, USA), which operates using the Doppler effect. The radar was placed 5 m behind the goal post. Players completed a standardized warm-up comprised of 5 min of light-moderate swimming followed by 5 min of throwing with a progressive increase of intensity until maximal intensity was reached. The players performed 6 shots from the 5 m penalty line. A 30 s rest period elapsed between throws to minimize the effects of fatigue and reduce the risk of injury to the participants. The best shot was selected for further analysis.

Assessment of swimming velocity

After a standardized 10 min swimming warm-up, the players performed three tests of swimming capacity: a 25 m, 100 m and 400 m trial in an indoor 25 m swimming pool on three separate occasions. During the 100 m and 400 m trials, the players touched the wall after every 25 m lap. The time was recorded with a manual stopwatch (Casio, Japan) by three instructors and the measurement begun when the legs left the wall and stopped when the hand touched the wall. The mean value was selected for analysis. The start was given in water with a whistle blow.

Assessment of isokinetic torque

The measurements were performed using an isokinetic dynamometer (Con-Trex, Medimex, Sainte Foy les Lyon, France). Isokinetic assessment was performed in the dominant arm for internal rotators (IR) and external rotators (ER) of the shoulder joint with the players in the supine position with the arm abducted at 90° in the frontal plane and the elbow flexed at 90°. The range of motion was standardized between 50° of internal rotation and 70° of external rotation as per Forthomme et al. (2013). Three conditions were tested: 1) 3 maximum concentric movements at an angular velocity of $60°·s^{-1}$, 2) 3 maximum concentric movements at an angular velocity of $240°·s^{-1}$, 3) 3 maximum eccentric movements of the external rotator at an angular velocity of $60°·s^{-1}$ with a passive movement of the internal rotator at an angular velocity of $60°·s^{-1}$. Isokinetic assessment was performed in both arms for shoulder extensors (EXT) with extended elbows. The range of motion was standardized between 50° of extension and 70° of flexion. The flexion was performed passively at an angular velocity of $60°·s^{-1}$. Two conditions were tested: 1) 3 maximum concentric movements at an angular velocity of $60°·s^{-1}$, 2) 20 maximum concentric movements at an angular velocity of $240°·s^{-1}$.

Isokinetic testing procedures enabled the measurement of absolute peak torque (PT; N·m) and body mass relative to PT (N·m·kg^{-1}). The total work load was determined during the 20 repetition test and expressed relative to body mass (J·kg^{-1}). Agonist–antagonist ratios (ER/IR) were calculated using the same speed and contraction mode for the agonist and antagonist muscle groups (Forthomme et al., 2013). In addition to the usual ''concentric'' ratios, a mixed ratio (combining ER PT in the eccentric mode at $60°·s^{-1}$ and IR PT in the concentric mode at $240°·s^{-1}$) was designed to more specifically approximate the relationship between shoulder muscles during the throwing motion (Scoville et al., 1997).

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using Sigma Stat for Windows (version 3.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). After testing for normality and variance homogeneity, the relationships between isokinetic variables and swimming speed as well as throwing velocity were determined using Pearson correlation analysis. Variables significantly associated with throwing velocity and swimming speed were entered into the regression model as independent variables. Prior to calculating multiple regression models, the predictors (i.e. isokinetic variables) were checked for multicollinearity by calculating the variance inflation factor (VIF). The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

The mean throwing velocity was 15.3 ± 0.8 m·s^{-1}. The mean swimming speeds were 1.76 ± 0.1 m·s^{-1} for the 25 m, 1.43 ± 0.1 m·s^{-1} for the 100 m and 1.25 ± 0.1 m·s^{-1} for the 400 m trial. Table 1 summarizes the mean values of isokinetic evaluations. No difference was observed between the dominant and non-dominant side during the shoulder extension evaluations.

INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

All variables significantly associated with throwing velocity in bivariate analysis were entered into a multivariable regression model to determine the independent predictors of throwing velocity (Table 2). Peak torque of IR at Con 60 and Con 240 were closely correlated with each other in bivariate analysis and their concurrent inclusion in multiple regression analysis resulted in a VIF >10, indicating high multicollinearity. Accordingly, peak torques at each speed were separately entered into the regression model. The best model was obtained with concentric IR peak torque at 60°·s^{-1} and eccentric ER peak torque at 60°·s^{-1} ($r^2 = 0.52$, $p = 0.012$).

INSERT TABLE 2 HERE

All variables significantly associated with 25 m, 100 m and 400 m swimming velocity in bivariate analysis were entered into a multivariable regression model to determine the independent predictors of the different swimming velocities (Table 3). Relative total work (J·kg^{-1}) and EXT peak torque at 60°·s^{-1} remained the independent predictors of 25 m swimming velocity when the variables were included in the regression model ($r^2 = 0.71$, $p = 0.001$). No correlation was observed between the isokinetic variables and 100 m swimming velocity. Relative total work (J·kg^{-1}) remained the only independent predictor of 400 m swimming velocity when the variables were included in the regression model ($r^2 = 0.27$, $p = 0.044$).

INSERT TABLE 3 HERE

Discussion

The aim of this study was to examine the relationship between isokinetic shoulder evaluations and throwing velocity as well as swimming performance in female water-polo players. Results revealed that concentric peak torque of internal rotators and eccentric peak torque of external rotators were predictors of throwing velocity. Relative total work and peak

6

180 torque of shoulder extensors were good predictors of 25 m swimming velocity.

181

182 The effectiveness of the shot depends, in part, on throwing velocity. The mean value of

183 the maximal throwing velocity that was recorded in this study was 15.3 ± 0.8 m·s^{-1}. These values

184 were similar to those reported previously (range: 13.9 to 16.1 m·s^{-1}) (McCluskey et al., 2010).

185 A number of variables have been tested to determine their influence on throwing

186 velocity. Previous studies showed that anthropometric characteristics influenced throwing

187 velocity in female water polo players (McCluskey et al., 2010; Platanou and Botonis, 2010;

188 Platanou and Varamenti, 2011). Specifically, body height, arm span and calf and upper arm

189 girths were associated with throwing velocity. Moreover, the influence of lower limb power is or

190 is not considered as a significant predictor of throwing velocity (Martínez et al., 2015;

191 McCluskey et al., 2010; Platanou and Botonis 2010; Platanou and Varamenti, 2011). In our

192 study, we focused on the shoulder torque influence on throwing velocity. Similarly to the study

193 of Platanou and Varamenti (2011), we found a correlation between internal rotators and throwing

194 velocity. This is consistent with the fact that muscular performance is an essential requirement to

195 achieve high throwing velocity (Pedegana et al., 1982) and that the production of the maximal

196 torque at the rotator muscles of the shoulder zone has a high correlation with throwing velocity

197 (Bloomfield et al., 1990).

198 In our study, we evaluated external rotators in the eccentric mode based on the fact that

199 during throwing, there is a complementary action of concentric and eccentric contractions.

200 During overarm throwing, there is an antagonist activity involved in deceleration following the

201 release of the ball (Hirashima et al., 2002). The eccentric contraction of external rotators plays a

202 key role and acts as a brake during the final deceleration of the upper limb, thereby limiting the

203 pronounced increase in distraction force (Sirota et al., 1997). Taken together the results suggest

204 that concentric peak torque of internal rotators and eccentric peak torque of external rotators

205 should be developed together to maximize the throwing velocity increase.

206 Several biochemical studies regarding competitive swimming have documented that

207 propulsive forces responsible for total body displacement are mainly produced by the upper

208 limbs, through arm adduction and shoulder internal rotation (Olivier et al., 2008; Yanai and Hay,

209 2000). A common criticism of isokinetic testing is the lack of agreement between the test and

210 performance speed. In our study, we chose a speed of 240°·s^{-1} which is similar to pull-through

211 phase duration reported previously (Bak and Magnusson, 1997). The present study evaluated

212 peak torques of both internal rotators and arm adductors. We did not find a relationship between

213 swimming velocity and peak torque of shoulder rotators. However, we observed that both

214 shoulder extensors peak torque and total work remained independent predictors of 25 m

215   swimming velocity, but not 100 m and 400 m swimming velocities. We could hypothesize that
216   the absence of the relationship between peak torque and 100 m and 400 m swimming velocities
217   could be explained by muscle fatigue that occurs during evaluations leading to lower muscle
218   peak torque. Accordingly, a previous study showed that muscle fatigue occurred during short
219   duration and high speed swims suggesting that muscle peak torque and muscle endurance were
220   distinct characteristics (Bassan et al., 2015). Future studies should evaluate if isokinetic muscle
221   endurance evaluations reflect endurance-swimming performance. All together, these results
222   suggest that maximal and short duration isokinetic evaluations only reflect swimming velocities
223   during short duration.

224   This study showed that isokinetic evaluations reflected throwing velocity as well as
225   swimming velocity in elite female water polo players. This has significant implication for
226   training practices and evaluations of this population. Firstly, for training strategies, it is
227   recommended to develop eccentric strength of shoulder external rotators to enhance throwing
228   velocity of the players. Moreover, coaches should use training programs to develop shoulder
229   adductor force in order to enhance sprint swimming performance. Secondly, the use of isokinetic
230   testing has significant implications for team selection, evaluation and training strategies.
231   Specifically, isokinetic evaluations may help coaches identify whether they should focus on
232   muscle strength development or throwing technique.

233   The present study had some limitations which require further discussion. The swimming
234   evaluations were performed with the front crawl with the head under the water technique.
235   Kinematic differences have been previously observed between different front crawl techniques
236   in young female players (Zamparo and Falco, 2010). However, no swimming velocity
237   differences were reported (De Jesus et al., 2012). Since we compared swimming velocity and
238   isokinetic evaluation, we hypothesized that the use of the front crawl with the head under the
239   water technique would reflect the performance with the use of the front crawl with the head
240   above the water technique. Another limitation was the 25 m distance which did not reflect
241   accurately the elite female water-polo sprint distance (Tan et al., 2009a). However, the
242   comparison of 15 m and 25 m sprint tests showed a high correlation level (Kontic et al., 2017).
243   We assumed that higher correlations with isokinetic variables would be observed in a 15 m
244   swimming test.

245

Conclusions

247   Isokinetic shoulder evaluations correlated significantly with swimming performance and
248   throwing velocity in female water-polo players. We observed that both concentric and eccentric

249 peak torques were influenced by throwing velocity. Future studies should examine the influence
250 of chronic training on shoulder rotators and throwing velocity.
251
252 **References**
253

254 Alcaraz PE, Abraldes JA, Ferragut C, Rodriguez N, Argudo FM, Vila H. Throwing velocities,
255   anthropometric characteristics, and efficacy indices of women's European water polo
256   subchampions. *J Strength Cond Res*, 2011; 25(11): 3051–3058
257 Bak K, Magnusson SP. Shoulder Strength and Range of Motion in Symptomatic and Pain-Free
258   Elite Swimmers. *Am J Sports Med*, 1997; 25(4): 454–459
259 Bassan NM, César T, Denadai BS. Relationship Between Fatigue and Changes in Swim
260   Technique During an Exhaustive Swim Exercise. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 2015; 11(1):
261   33–39
262 Batalha NM, Raimundo AM, Tomas-Carus P, Barbosa TM, Silva AJ. Shoulder Rotator Cuff
263   Balance, Strength, and Endurance in Young Swimmers During a Competitive Season. *J
264   Strength Cond Res*, 2013; 27(9): 2562–2568
265 Bloomfield J, Blanksby BA, Ackland TR. The influence of Strength Training on Guerhead
266   Threading Velocity cf Elite Water Polo Players. *Aust J Sci Med Sports*, 1990; 22(3): 63–67
267 Boettcher CE, Cathers I, Ginn KA. The role of shoulder muscles is task specific. *J Sci Med Sport*,
268   2010; 13(6): 651–656
269 Botonis PG, Toubekis AG, Platanou TI. Physical Performance During Water-Polo Matches: The
270   Effect of the Players' Competitive Level. *J Hum Kinet*, 2016; 54(1):135–142
271 De Jesus K, Figueiredo P, De Jesus K, Pereira F, Vilas-Boas JP, Machado L, Fernandes RJ.
272   Kinematic analysis of three water polo front crawl styles. *J Sports Sci*, 2012; 30(7): 715–723
273 Feltner M. Dynamics of the shoulder and elbow joints of the throwing arm during a baseball
274   pitch. *J Appl Biomech*, 1986; 2(4): 235–259
275 Forthomme B, Wieczorek V, Frisch A, Crielaard JM, Croisier JL. Shoulder pain among high-
276   level volleyball players and preseason features. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 2013; 45(10): 1852–
277   1860
278 Hirashima M, Kadota H, Sakurai S, Kudo K, Ohtsuki T. Sequential muscle activity and its
279   functional role in the upper extremity and trunk during overarm throwing. *J Sports Sci*,
280   2002; 20(4): 301–310
281 Kontic D, Zenic N, Uljevic O, Sekulic D, Lesnik B. Evidencing the association between
282   swimming capacities and performance indicators in water polo: a multiple regression study.
283   *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*, 57(6): 734–743

284 Martínez JG, Vila MH, Ferragut C, Noguera MM, Abraldes JA, Rodrigues N, Freeston J,
285   Alcaraz PE. Position-specific anthropometry and throwing velocity of elite female water
286   polo players. *J Strength Cond Res*, 2015; 29(2): 472–477

287 McCluskey L, Lynskey S, Leung CK, Woodhouse D, Briffa K, Hopper D. Throwing velocity
288   and jump height in female water polo players: performance predictors. *J Sci Med Sport*,
289   2010; 13(2): 236–240

290 Olivier N, Quintin G, Rogez J. The high level swimmer articular shoulder complex. *Ann Readapt*
291   *Med Phys*, 2008; 51(5): 342–347

292 Ordóñez EG, Pérez M, González CT. Performance Assessment in Water Polo Using
293   Compositional Data Analysis. *J Hum Kinet*, 2016; 54: 143–151

294 Pedegana LR, Elsner RC, Roberts D, Lang J, Farewell V. The relationship of upper extremity
295   strength to throwing speed. *Am J Sports Med*, 1982; 10(6): 352–354

296 Platanou T, Botonis P. *Biomechanics and Medicine in Swimming XI*, Oslo: P.-L. Kjendlie, R.K.
297   Stallman, J. Cabri, 77-78; 2010

298 Platanou T, Varamenti E. Relationships between anthropometric and physiological
299   characteristics with throwing velocity and on water jump of female water polo players. *J*
300   *Sports Med Phys Fitness*, 2011; 51(2): 185–193

301 Scoville CR, Arciero RA, Taylor DC, Stoneman PD. End range eccentric antagonist/concentric
302   agonist strength ratios: a new perspective in shoulder strength assessment. *J Orthop Sports*
303   *Phys Ther*, 1997; 25(3): 203–207

304 Sirota SC, Malanga GA, Eischen JJ, Laskowski ER. An Eccentric- and Concentric-Strength
305   Profile of Shoulder External and internal Rotator Muscles in Professional Baseball Pitchers.
306   *Am J Sports Med*, 1997; 25(1): 59–64

307 Smith DHK. Applied Physiology of Water Polo. *Sports Med*, 1998; 26(5): 317–334

308 Tan F, Polglaze T, Dawson B. Activity profiles and physical demands of elite women's water
309   polo match play. *J Sports Sci*, 2009a; 27(10): 1095–1104

310 Tan FHY, Polglaze T, Dawson B, Cox G. Anthropometric and fitness characteristics of elite
311   Australian female water polo players. *J Strength Cond Res*, 2009b; 23(5): 1530–1536

312 Tucher G, de Souza Castro FA, da Silva AJRM, Garrido ND. The Functional Fest for Agility
313   Performance is a Reliable Quick Decision-Making Test for Skilled Water Polo Players. *J*
314   *Hum Kinet*, 2015; 46: 157–165

315 Vila MH, Abraldes JA, Rodríguez N, Ferragut C. Tactical and shooting variables that determine
316   win or loss in top-Level in water polo: analysis by phases of the game. *Int J Perform Anal*
317   *Sport*, 2011; 12: 373–384

318 Yanai T, Hay JG. Shoulder impingement in front-crawl swimming: II. Analysis of stroking

319   technique. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 2000; 32(1): 30–40

320   Zamparo P, Falco S. *Biomechanics and Medicine in Swimming XI*, Oslo: P.-L. Kjendlie, R.K.

321   Stallman, J. Cabri, 187-189; 2010

322   Zinner C, Sperlich B, Krueger M, Focke T, Reed J, Mester J. Strength, Endurance, Throwing

323   Velocity and in-Water Jump Performance of Elite German Water Polo Players. *J Hum Kinet*,

324   2015; 45: 149–156

325

327 # Tables

328 **Table 1:**

329 **Isokinetic performances of dominant and non-dominant shoulders**

Variables	Angular Velocity ($°·s^{-1}$)	D Side	ND Side
Peak Torque IR (N·m)	Con 60	37.3 ± 9.6	
	Con 240	31.9 ± 5.9	
Peak Torque ER (N·m)	Ecc 60	40.6 ± 10.3	
Ratio ER/IR	Con 60	0.65 ± 0.11	
	Con 240	0.66 ± 0.08	
Mixed ratio ER/IR	Ecc 60 / Con 240	1.27 ± 0.21	
Peak Torque EXT (N·m)	Con 60	72.5 ± 12	72.0 ± 9.5
Total work done ($J·kg^{-1}$)	Con 240	29.8 ± 3.8	29.0 ± 3.6

330 *Values are presented as mean ± SD. D Side, Dominant Side; ND Side, Non-dominant Side; Con*
331 *$60 = 60°·s^{-1}$, concentric mode; Con $240 = 240°·s^{-1}$, concentric mode; Ecc $60 = 60°·s^{-1}$, eccentric*
332 *mode. ER, external rotators, IR, Internal rotators, EXT, shoulder extensors.*

333

334 **Table 2:**

335 **Correlations between throwing velocity and related variables in bivariate analysis**

	r	p
Peak Torque IR Con 60	0.692	0.004
Peak Torque IR Con 240	0.649	0.009
Peak Torque ER Ecc 60	0.681	0.005

336 *Con $60 = 60°·s^{-1}$, concentric mode; Con $240 = 240°·s^{-1}$, concentric mode; Ecc $60 = 60°·s^{-1}$,*
337 *eccentric mode. ER, external rotators, IR, Internal rotators.*

338

339

340

341 **Table 3:**

342 **Correlations between swimming velocities and related variables in bivariate analysis**

	25 m		100 m		400 m	
	r	*p*	r	*p*	r	*p*
Peak Torque IR Con 60	-0.21	0.224	-0.15	0.291	0.04	0.443
Peak Torque IR Con 240	-0.41	0.063	-0.09	0.376	0.09	0.368
Peak Torque ER Con 60	-0.46	0.041	-0.38	0.077	-0.23	0.202
Peak Torque EXT (N·m) Con 60	-0.63	0.006	-0.34	0.109	-0.20	0.234
Total work done (J·kg^{-1}) Con 240	-0.76	0.001	-0.29	0.140	-0.53	0.022

343 *Con 60 = 60°·s^{-1}, concentric mode; Con 240 = 240°·s^{-1}, concentric mode; Ecc 60 = 60°·s^{-1},*

344 *eccentric mode. ER, external rotators, IR, Internal rotators, EXT shoulder extensors.*

345