

# Critical velocity and anaerobic distance capacity in prepubertal children.

Serge Berthoin, Georges Baquet, Gregory Dupont, Nicolas Blondel, Patrick Mucci

# ▶ To cite this version:

Serge Berthoin, Georges Baquet, Gregory Dupont, Nicolas Blondel, Patrick Mucci. Critical velocity and anaerobic distance capacity in prepubertal children. Canadian Journal of Applied Physiology, 2003, Canadian journal of applied physiology = Revue canadienne de physiologie appliquee, 28, pp.561-75. hal-02400484v1

# HAL Id: hal-02400484 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-02400484v1

Submitted on 9 Dec 2019 (v1), last revised 6 Dec 2020 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CRITICAL VELOCITY AND ANAEROBIC DISTANCE CAPACITY IN PRE-

PUBERTAL CHILDREN

Serge Berthoin<sup>1</sup>, Georges Baquet<sup>1</sup>, Gregory Dupont<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Blondel<sup>1-2</sup>, Patrick Mucci<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire d'Études de la Motricité Humaine, Faculté des Sciences du Sport et de

l'Éducations Physique, Université de Lille 2, France

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire d'Analyse Multidisciplinaire des Pratiques Sportives, UFR STAPS de Liévin,

Université de d'Artois, France

**Corresponding author** 

Serge Berthoin

Laboratoire d'Etudes de la Motricité Humaine

Faculté des Sciences du Sport et de l'Education Physique

9, rue de l'Université

F-59790 Ronchin

France

tel: +33 3 20 88 73 66

fax: +33 3 20 88 73 63

e-mail: berthoin@hp-sc.univ-lille2.fr

- 1 -

**ABSTRACT** 

This study was designed to calculate the critical velocity (v<sub>crit</sub>) and the anaerobic distance

capacity (ADC) of prepubescent children for running events. Thirty-four prepubertal children

performed a graded field test until exhaustion in order to determine peak oxygen uptake

(peakVO<sub>2</sub>) and maximal aerobic velocity (MAV). Then, in a random order, they performed

five runs until exhaustion (tlim) at relative velocities corresponding to 90, 95, 100, 105 and

110 % of MAV. The linear relationships between distance limit (dlim) and tlim were

calculated in order to determine v<sub>crit</sub> (slope of the relationship) and ADC (intercept). Very

high individual coefficients of determination were found between dlim and tlim

 $(0.98 < r^2 < 0.99; p < 0.001)$ . The v<sub>crit</sub> was significantly correlated to peak VO<sub>2</sub> (r=0.73; p < 0.001).

However, no relationship was found between ADC and the maximal accumulated oxygen

deficit. In conclusion, our results indicated that, for children, the relationship between dlim

and tlim could be calculated with tlim ranging from 2 to 10 min and that v<sub>crit</sub> is a good

indicator of the aerobic fitness of children. Nevertheless, further studies have to be conducted

to validate the use of ADC as an indicator of children's anaerobic capacity.

**Key Words:** aerobic power – anaerobic capacity - comparison - model – performance

- 2 -

Résumé

Le but de cette étude était de calculer la vitesse (v<sub>crit</sub>) et la capacité de distance anaérobie

(CDA) d'enfants prépubères pour des exercices de course. Trente quatre enfants on effectué

un test de terrain progressif et maximal afin de mesurer leur pic de consommation d'oxygène

(picVO<sub>2</sub>) et de déterminer leur vitesse maximale aérobie (VMA). Ils ont ensuite effectué, dans

un ordre aléatoire, cinq courses continues jusqu'à épuisement (tlim) à des allures relatives

représentantes 90, 95, 100, 105 et 110 % de VMA. Les relations linéaires entre les distances

limites (dlim) et les tlim ont alors été calculées pour déterminer v<sub>crit</sub> (pente de la relation) et

CDA (ordonnée à l'origine). De très forts coefficients de détemination ont été calculés entre

dlim et tlim (0.98<r<sup>2</sup><0.99; p<0.001). La v<sub>crit</sub> était significativement corrélée au picVO<sub>2</sub>

(r=0.73; p<0.001). Cependant, aucune relation n'a été trouvée entre la CDA et le déficit

maximal accumulé en oxygène. En conclusion, nos résultats indiquent que la relation entre

dlim et tlim peut être calculée à partir de tlim dont les durées sont comprises entre 2 et 10 min

et que la v<sub>crit</sub> est un bon indicateur de l'aptitude aérobie de enfants. Cependant, d'autres études

sont nécessaires pour valider l'utilisation de la CDA comme indicateur de la capacité

anaérobie des enfants.

**Mots clés:** puissance aérobie – capacité aérobie - comparaison - modélisation – performance

- 3 -

#### Introduction

Since the initial paper by Scherrer et al. (1954) many publications have been dedicated to the application of the critical power concept to general exercises (see Hill, 1993; Vandewalle et al., 1997 for review). Ettema (1966) is the first author to have applied this concept to running events. He proposed calculating the relationship between the distance limit (dlim) and time to exhaustion (tlim) as: dlim=(v<sub>crit</sub>.tlim)+ADC where v<sub>crit</sub> (critical velocity) and ADC (anaerobic distance capacity) were respectively the slope and intercept of this relationship (Figure 1: dlim=f(tlim). In adults research has demonstrated that the v<sub>crit</sub> was not significantly different from the velocity corresponding to a 4 mmol.l<sup>-1</sup> blood lactate concentration (Lechevalier et al., 1989) or the velocity at a maximal lactate steady state (Sid-Ali et al., 1991). The ADC parameter was assumed to represent the distance that could be covered with the energy equivalent of maximal oxygen deficit. It was found to be correlated to the maximal lactate concentration after exercise ([La]max) and maximal accumulated oxygen deficit (Hill et al., 1998).

Thus, the dlim=f(tlim) relationship, and its parameters, are assumed to provide an indication of performance over a wide range of times (or distances) and to provide an indication of both aerobic power (i.e. v<sub>crit</sub>) and anaerobic capacity (i.e. ADC). In addition, v<sub>crit</sub> could be considered as a velocity criterion to determine a running pace for training as are other physiological thresholds. This is of practical interest to coaches and physical educators, who cannot perform invasive measurements, yet require accessible methods to evaluate subjects' metabolic characteristics. For adults, many studies on the dlim=f(tlim) relationship have been conducted as reviewed by Hill (1993) and Vandewalle et al. (1997). In children who have specific cardiorespiratory and metabolic exercise responses, only three studies have been conducted on the dlim=f(tlim) relationship, but these were based on swimming exercise

(Denadai et al., 2000; Hill et al., 1995) or on cycling exercise (Fawkner and Armstrong, 2002). The results of these studies demonstrated that: the critical swimming velocity increased with age (Hill et al., 1995), and was significantly correlated to either swimming performance (Denadai et al., 2000; Hill et al., 1995) or to a swimming velocity corresponding to a 4 mmol.l<sup>-1</sup> blood lactate concentration (Hill et al., 1995). For cycling exercise, significant correlations were found between critical power and peak oxygen uptake (peakVO<sub>2</sub>) and the ventilatory threshold (Fawkner and Armstrong, 2002). These findings are of particular interest as they support the use of the dlim=f(tlim) parameters to assess aerobic power in children. However, except for the study by Fawkner and Armstrong (2002), the assessment of v<sub>crit</sub> and ADC were not validated with comparison to physiologically determined parameters, nor for running.

The aims of this study were to calculate the dlim=f(tlim) relationship in prepubertal children for running events and to assess the validity of the calculated parameters (v<sub>crit</sub> and ADC) in comparison with physiologically determined parameters (peakVO<sub>2</sub> and maximal accumulated oxygen deficit).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Subjects

Twenty-two girls (8-10 years) and twelve boys (9-11 years), attending a rural school, volunteered to enter the study. The children were not involved in any systematic training. Before the start of the experimentation, they were fully informed of the goals and the protocol of the study. Each child and his/her parents signed a consent form. This study received approval from the "Comité Consultatif de Protection des Personnes dans la Recherche Biomédicale de Lille"

Height and body mass were measured with a wall stadiometer (Vivioz medical) and a calibrated beam balance (Tanita TBF 543). Sexual maturity was evaluated from pubertal stages (Tanner, 1962): e.g., breast and pubic hair stages were assessed for girls and pubic hair and genital development stages for boys. Subjects were classified as prepubertal when the combined stage assessment was  $\leq$  3. The same physician made all the observations visually.

#### Protocol

Before entering the study, the children were familiarized with the testing modalities and the gas analyzer. Then, they performed six maximal field tests over a three-week period: one graded test and five continuous tests. The first test measured maximal aerobic velocity (MAV) and peak oxygen consumption (peakVO<sub>2</sub>). Then the children performed five exercises until exhaustion, or time limit (tlim), in a random order, at velocities equal to 90%, 95%, 100%, 105%, 110% of MAV (tlim90 to tlim110). During the exercises, the velocities were monitored via a prerecorded soundtrack (graded test) or with a computer (continuous tests). All tests were performed in a covered place on a 150-m track marked with cones every 25 m. The soundtrack (or computer) emitted a brief sound that indicated to the children the moment

when they had to pass near a cone to maintain a constant speed. During all tests, the children were verbally encouraged to run until exhaustion. To avoid any problem linked to a child's inability to maintain a constant speed, they ran with an adult. In all cases, the tests ended when the children were exhausted or when they could no longer maintain the required running velocity.

# Cardiorespiratory parameters

During the graded and continuous tests, the heart rate (HR) was continuously monitored with a heart rate monitor (Accurex+, Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland). In both the graded test and the tlim110 test, respiratory parameters were measured breath by breath with a portable analyzer (Cosmed K4b<sup>2</sup>, Rome, Italy), in order to determine the values for oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2</sub>), carbon dioxide production (VCO<sub>2</sub>), respiratory exchange ratio (RER) and ventilation (V<sub>E</sub>). The measured values were averaged over 15-s periods. Before each test, the gas analyzers for O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> were calibrated with a gas of known concentrations. The turbinflowmeter was calibrated with a 3-l syringe (Quinton Instruments, Seattle, USA).

#### Graded test

The Université de Montréal Track Test (Léger and Boucher, 1980) was used to determine peakVO<sub>2</sub> and MAV. Immediately after a 4-min warm-up at 7 km.h<sup>-1</sup>, the test began at 8 km.h<sup>-1</sup>. The velocity was then increased by 1 km.h<sup>-1</sup> every two minutes. The velocity at the last completed stage, increased by 0.5 km.h<sup>-1</sup> if the subject was able to run a half stage, was assumed to represent the MAV. PeakVO<sub>2</sub> was accepted as a maximal index, when, in addition to subjective indication of maximal effort, HR reached a value above 195 bpm or RER was >

1 (Tolfrey et al., 1998). All subjects satisfied these criteria. The highest level of VO<sub>2</sub> measured (15 s) during the test was assumed to represent the peakVO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Continuous test

In a random order, five tlim were performed until exhaustion at velocities that represented 90 %, 95%, 100%, 105% and 110% of MAV. There was at least 24 h of recovery between two consecutive tests. The tlim were preceded by a standardized warm-up which consisted of a 4-min run at 7 km.h<sup>-1</sup> followed by a 2-min rest period. For each test, running time was measured to the nearest second. The maximal value for HR reached at each tlim was retained as peak heart rate (peakHR).

In the tlim110 trial, the ventilatory parameters were measured with the portable analyzer as described previously. Prior to the warm-up, subjects had to remain seated for 30 min. During the last 10 min of the rest period, ventilatory parameters were measured in order to determine resting VO<sub>2</sub> (VO<sub>2</sub>r: i.e. the lowest value for VO<sub>2</sub> averaged over four consecutive 15-s periods).

# Calculation of maximal accumulated oxygen deficit

The maximal accumulated oxygen deficit (MAOD) was calculated from the VO<sub>2</sub> values collected during the tlim110. This relative exercise velocity was assumed to allow children to reach exhaustion over a sufficient duration for MAOD to be calculated (Carlson and Naughton, 1998). The MAOD was calculated as the O<sub>2</sub> theoretically consumed to run at 110% of MAV minus the O<sub>2</sub> effectively consumed. The VO<sub>2</sub> theoretically needed to run at 110% of MAV was calculated from VO<sub>2</sub>r and energy cost of running (Cr). The Cr was

calculated from VO<sub>2</sub> values measured at 7 km.h<sup>-1</sup> or 116.6 m.min<sup>-1</sup> (VO<sub>2</sub>-7) as:  $Cr = (VO_27-VO_2r)/116.6$ . As the relationship between VO<sub>2</sub> and velocity is: VO<sub>2</sub>=Cr.velocity+VO<sub>2</sub>r, it is possible to estimate the VO<sub>2</sub> required to run at 110% of MAV by replacing the known velocity (MAV\*1.1) in the equation. The O<sub>2</sub> required to run the tlim110 was calculated as the product of the theoretical VO<sub>2</sub> at 110% of MAV with time to exhaustion for the tlim110. The O<sub>2</sub> effectively consumed during this run was subtracted from this value to calculate MAOD. In addition to the MAOD, the distance that can be covered with this O<sub>2</sub> anaerobic equivalent was calculated as the quotient of MAOD and Cr.

# Calculation of the dlim = f(tlim) relationship

The dlim=f(tlim) relationship was calculated from five tlim. If one measurement was not consistent with the others (i.e.: tlim90<tlim95<tlim100<tlim105<tlim110), the children had to perform an additional test. For example, if a tlim105 was shorter than a tlim110, the children had to perform an additional tlim105. If the tlim105 remained lower than the tlim110, the relationship was calculated with four experimental values (tlim105 was removed). To provide a more accessible estimation of this relationship all the combinations of two points were also used to calculate the dlim=f(tlim) relationship.

#### **Statistics**

Data were analyzed with StatView software (StatView+Graphic, Abacus Concepts). The experimental values were presented as mean±standard deviation. The mean cardiorespiratory values have been compared with a Student's t-test for paired (graded test versus tlim100) or unpaired series (boys versus girls). The times and HR values measured during the five continuous tests (tlim tests) have been compared with a two-way ANOVA (repeated

measurements by gender). For both variables, there was no effect that could be attributed to a gender by time interaction, nor to a gender difference. Therefore, data for boys and girls were pooled and analyzed through a one-way ANOVA with repeated measurements. Where necessary, a post-hoc Scheffé test was used to compare experimental means. Linear regressions were calculated between the different parameters and for the determination of the dlim=f(tlim) relationships. To analyze the validity of the simplified methods for the dlim=f(tlim) determination, with only two tlim, the technique suggested by Bland and Altman (Bland and Altman, 1986) was applied. The difference in estimated parameters (v<sub>crit</sub> or ADC) was plotted against means for v<sub>crit</sub> or ADC. The mean difference (Bias) and the limits of agreement (Bias plus and minus two standard deviations) were graphically indicated. Bland and Altman (1986) suggested that if the values are within the average difference ±2 standard variations, and there is no correlation between the differences versus the averaged values, then the methods are equivalent. In all cases, the threshold for signification was set at p<0.05.

#### RESULTS

The means values for age, mass and height are presented in Table 1 for both girls and boys. All boys were at stage 1 for genital development and pubic hair. Nineteen girls were at stage 1 for breast and pubic hair, while for the remaining girls the combined stage assessment was  $\leq$  3. The children's mean MAV was  $10.4\pm1.1$  km.h<sup>-1</sup> with significantly higher values (p<0.001) in boys ( $11.2\pm1.1$  km.h<sup>-1</sup>) than in girls ( $9.9\pm0.8$  km.h<sup>-1</sup>). The cardiorespiratory values for the graded test and tlim110 are presented in Table 2. For the entire population, the peakVO<sub>2</sub> (p<0.05) and peakHR (p<0.001) were significantly higher for the graded test, while the RER and  $V_E$  were significantly higher (p<0.001) for the tlim110. There was no significant difference between the maximal values for HR. Only for peakVO<sub>2</sub> (graded test and tlim100) and RER (tlim100), did boys demonstrate significantly higher values than girls (p<0.05). The results of tlim are presented in Table 3. The running time to exhaustion diminished significantly as the percentage of MAV increased (p<0.001) until the tlim110. However, the difference between tlim105 and tlim110 was not significant. The ANOVA for repeated measurements indicated no significant difference between the peakHR values measured during each tlim.

For twenty subjects, the dlim=f(tlim) relationship was calculated from five tlim, for twelve subjects it was calculated from four tlim, and for the remaining two subjects it was calculated from three tlim. For the whole group of subjects, linear adjustments were obtained (0.98<r2<0.99, p<0.001). The average VO<sub>2</sub>r was 6.4±1.0 ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup> (range: 4.5-8.2 ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), with no significant difference between boys and girls. The mean values for Cr, MAOD v<sub>crit</sub> (km.h<sup>-1</sup> and %MAV) and ADC are presented in Table 4. Except for v<sub>crit</sub> when expressed in km.h<sup>-1</sup> (p<0.001), no significant difference was observed between boys and girls for Cr, MAOD v<sub>crit</sub> (%MAV) and ADC. The correlations between the values of MAOD and

peakVO<sub>2</sub> (graded test) on the one hand, and the measured and calculated parameters from field tests on the other hand, are presented in Table 5. The  $v_{crit}$  was correlated to peakVO<sub>2</sub> (r = 0.73, p<0.001) and to MAV (r=0.91, p<0.001). The MAOD was moderately correlated to  $v_{crit}$  (r=0.41, p<0.05). No significant correlation was found between MAOD and ADC (p=0.08). When the product of MAOD and Cr was calculated, the resulting distance that could theoretically be covered (141.1±40.0m) was significantly correlated to ADC (r=0.43, p<0.05). However, these calculated distances significantly overestimated the ADC (p<0.05).

Multiple combinations of two tlim were performed in order to calculate the dlim=f(tlim) relationship. Only the subjects with five tlim measurements (n=20) were retained for this part of the study. The different calculated  $v_{crit}$  and ADC were compared to those calculated with five tlim which was assumed to be the gold standard. The most accurate calculations were obtained when the dlim=f(tlim) relationship was calculated from tlim110 and tlim90. When calculated from tlim110 and tlim90, the mean values for  $v_{crit}$  and ADC were  $9.06\pm1.05 \text{ km} \text{ h}^{-1}$  and  $87.7\pm19.3 \text{ m}$ , respectively. These values were not significantly different from those calculated with five tlim. Significant correlations were found between the parameters of the dlim=f(tlim) relationship when calculated with 5 tlim and 2 tlim (tlim110 and tlim90) for  $v_{crit}$  (r=0.99; p<0.001) and ADC (r=0.71; p<0.001). In addition, the technique proposed by Bland and Altman (1986) indicated graphically (Figures 2 and 3) that for  $v_{crit}$  and ADC, all values (minus one for  $v_{crit}$ ) were between the limits of agreement. For  $v_{crit}$  (r=0.21, p=0.36) as for ADC (r=0.05, p=0.82) no relationship was found between the differences versus the averaged values.

#### DISCUSSION

The results of the present study indicate that, for running events, the dlim=v<sub>crit</sub>.tlim+ADC relationship could be satisfactorily adjusted to the results of prepubertal children (0.98<r<sup>2</sup><0.99; p<0.001) for performances obtained from tlim at 90%, 95%, 100%, 105% and 110% of MAV. As is the case for adults, this model can be used to describe running performance of prepubertal children. The v<sub>crit</sub> was significantly correlated to peakVO<sub>2</sub> (r=0.73; p<0.001), which suggests that this parameter is a good index of the aerobic fitness of a child. Conversely, the ADC was not correlated to the MAOD.

# Characteristics of children

The peakVO<sub>2</sub> (45.2±6.7 ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>) was characteristic of moderately active children (Falgairette et al., 1991; Armstrong and Welsman, 2000). The HRmax (203±9 bpm) and RER (1.04±0.06) confirmed the maximal level of the graded test. The children's mean MAV (10.4±1.1 km·h<sup>-1</sup> at 9.8±0.7 years) was similar to that reported by Berthoin et al. (1996) for 9 and 10 year old children, 9.4±0.8 km·h<sup>-1</sup> and 10.6±1.2 km·h<sup>-1</sup> respectively.

The children's tlim diminished significantly as the percentage of MAV increased. It is difficult to compare the tlim to those of others as, to our knowledge, only values for running tlim100 have been reported for children (Berthoin et al., 1996). The authors reported mean values of 213±112 s (9 years) and 252±99 s (10 years) for girls, while those of boys were 232±100 s (9 years) and 227±87 s (10 years). These reported values are similar to those found in the present study (244±79 s) for a mixed population of boys and girls with a mean age of 9.4 years. Conversely, all tlim were lower than those reported for adults (Blondel et al., 2001; Billat et al., 1995; Kachouri et al., 1996).

The lack of difference (p=0.08) between tlim110 and tlim105 could come from the hyperbolic nature of the tlim versus velocity relationship. Indeed, for intensities close to v<sub>crit</sub>, small variations in velocity could induce large variations in tlim. However, for high velocities the tlim versus velocity has a tendency to become linear and small variations in velocity are associated with smaller variations in tlim than for exercises close to v<sub>crit</sub>. This is particularly evident in children for whom the absolute range of velocities (km.h<sup>-1</sup>) between two relative velocities (%MAV) is very short.

Our results indicated that at all relative velocities (%MAV) the tlim are lower in children than in adults and that the differences in tlim increase as the percentage of MAV decreases (Figure 4). This observation has practical implications for aerobic training in children as the duration of exercises, at selected percentages of MAV (or peakVO<sub>2</sub>), should not follow the recommendations for adults. During intermittent exercises for example, the duration of the repetitions certainly have to be shortened in children when running at the same percentage of MAV as adults. Thus specific recommendations have to be established for children and further studies are needed to propose specific exercise sessions for training children.

# Calculation of the dlim=f(tlim)relationship

In the present study, the dlim=f(tlim) relationship was calculated with 5 tlim for only twenty subjects. The number of tlim was chosen in order to accurately represent the relationship. However, for 12 subjects (35% of the population), we failed to measure 5 consistent values (tlim90<tlim105<tlim100<tlim105<tlim110). This could be explained by day-to-day variations of physiological parameters, or even motivation associated with little difference between the velocities at the different percentages of MAV (0.45 km.h<sup>-1</sup> for a child with a MAV of 9 km.h<sup>-1</sup>, for example).

The high values of the coefficients of determination (0.98<r2<0.99, p<0.001) together with the calculation of the dlim=f(tlim) underline the adequate nature of the linear model used to describe the relationship. The coefficients of determination are similar to those reported in children for swimming (Hill et al., 1995) or cycling (Fawkner and Armstrong, 2002). On the basis of the results, it could be concluded that exercises leading to exhaustion, reached in 2-3 to 10-12 min, could be retained to calculate the dlim=f(tlim) relationship in children. The relationship could also be calculated from competitive data (time trial data), which could give a slightly better representation than tlim data (Hill et al., 1995).

To provide less time-consuming methods for the determination of the dlim=f(tlim) relationship, all the combinations of calculations with only two tlim were performed. Only the subjects with five tlim (n=20) were included for this analysis. In each case, the v<sub>crit</sub> and ADC parameters were compared to those obtained using five tlim, assumed to be the gold standard. It was when the dlim=f(tlim) relationship was calculated from tlim110 and tlim90 that the highest correlations between measurements of v<sub>crit</sub> (r=0.99; p<0.001) and ADC (r=0.71; p<0.001) were obtained. In addition, the measurements were not significantly different and the technique by Bland and Altman (1986) indicated graphically significant agreement between the two methods (Figures 1 and 2). Furthermore, for both v<sub>crit</sub> and ADC, no relationship was found between differences versus averaged values indicating that an additional simplification could also result in the measurement of the two tlim during the same day. Indeed, as demonstrated by Bishop and Jenkins (1995), two measurements of tlim performed the same day, with a sufficient rest period, could be proposed. Indeed, Fawkner and Armstrong (2002) have observed that the critical power of children measured from 3 tlim carried out on a same day with a 3-hour recovery period between each was not significantly different than the critical power calculated from tlim performed on different days. It could be

of particular interest for children that recover more quickly than adults after intensive exercises (Hebestreit et al., 1993).

# Parameters of the dlim=f(tlim)relationship

The mean values for v<sub>crit</sub> and ADC cannot be compared to those reported in the literature as, to our knowledge, no study had focused on this topic for pre- or post-pubertal children. The absolute v<sub>crit</sub> (km·h<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly lower than the values reported for adults (Blondel et al., 2001; Kachouri et al., 1996). Similar findings have been reported for swimming exercises by Hill et al. (1995) who demonstrated that absolute v<sub>crit</sub> increased with age. A higher difference can easily be explained by a higher Cr in children than in adults (Daniels et al., 1978) despite similar peakVO<sub>2</sub> (Falgairette et al., 1991). However, when expressed as a percentage of MAV (85%) the results in children were of a similar level as those of adults for running events: 85%-90% (Blondel et al., 2001; Billat et al., 1995; Kachouri et al., 1996). This is an interesting result as v<sub>crit</sub> has been presented as an index of aerobic endurance (time limit for running at velocities lower than the velocity associated with VO<sub>2</sub>max) (Léger, 1996) that is not significantly different from the velocity corresponding to the 4 mmol.1-1 blood lactate concentration (Lechevalier et al., 1989) or the velocity at maximal lactate steady state (Mocellin et al., 1991) in adults. However, despite v<sub>crit</sub>, ventilatory anaerobic thresholds (Reybrouck et al., 1985) or onset of blood lactate accumulation (Sid-Ali et al., 1991) occurring at a similar or higher percentage of peakVO<sub>2</sub> (or MAV) than adults, children demonstrated lower tlim at all relative velocities (Figure 4). Thus, the interpretation of the different physiological thresholds (or v<sub>crit</sub>) as an index of aerobic endurance has to be questioned as it implies that maximal time to exhaustion is longer in children than in adults.

The ADC of the children (94.4±30.4m) represented less than 50% of the values obtained in adults: 187±86 m (Kachouri et al., 1996) or 216±59 m (Billat et al., 1995). These results are in accordance with the lower values for ADC reported by Hill et al. (1995) in young swimmers and consistent with those obtained when comparing the anaerobic capacity of children and adults by means of MAOD measurement (Carlson et al., 1998) or Wingate tests (Armstrong et al., 2000).

The measurement of v<sub>crit</sub> and ADC are of some help in explaining the lower tlim in children than in adults and also in explaining the increase of tlim100 with age (Berthoin et al., 1996). The linear relationship between dlim and tlim [dlim=(v<sub>crit</sub>.tlim)+ADC] is mathematically equivalent to the hyperbolic relationship: tlim=ADC/(v-v<sub>crit</sub>). This equation indicates that the tlim could be improved by increasing ADC or by decreasing the v-v<sub>crit</sub> difference. As demonstrated in this study, the ADC parameter is considerably lower in children than in adults. However, the v-v<sub>crit</sub> difference proved to be lower in children. In children with MAV of 10 km·h<sup>-1</sup> and a v<sub>crit</sub> of 85% of MAV for example, the v-v<sub>crit</sub> difference is 1.5 km·h<sup>-1</sup>, while for adults with a MAV of 15 km·h<sup>-1</sup> and a similar relative v<sub>crit</sub> (85% of MAV), the v-v<sub>crit</sub> difference will be equal to 2.2 km·h<sup>-1</sup>. During growth, the combined evolution of ADC and v-v<sub>crit</sub> difference are among the parameters that could partly explain an increase in tlim.

# Physiological significance of the v<sub>crit</sub> and ADC parameters

Our results indicated that  $v_{crit}$  was significantly correlated to peakVO<sub>2</sub> (r=0.73, p<0.001) and to MAV (r=0.91; p<0.001), an index of aerobic performance. This result is in line with those of Fawkner and Armstrong (2002) who reported significant correlations between critical power and peakVO<sub>2</sub> (0.91<r<0.95, p<0.001) and ventilatory threshold (0.75<r<0.77; p<0.001). Others have also found significant correlations between swimming  $v_{crit}$  and

performances over 400 m (Wakayoshi et al., 1992) and 457 m or 1509 m (Hill et al., 1995). These different results indicated that the v<sub>crit</sub> is a good index of the aerobic power and the aerobic performance of children. No relationship was found between ADC and MAOD (p=0.08). The mean calculated MAOD (34.1±12.3 ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>) was close to that reported for children of the same age by Carlson and Naughton (1998). However, a large variability of MAOD was observed, as demonstrated by a high coefficient of variation. In the present study, a simplified method of MAOD determination was performed using resting VO<sub>2</sub> and Cr. More submaximal VO<sub>2</sub> are certainly needed to calculate the VO<sub>2</sub> versus velocity relationship and to obtain a more accurate determination of the MAOD. In adults, the ADC parameter was found to be a reflection of anaerobic fitness determined from cycle ergometry (Jenkins and Quigley, 1991). However, for running events, the ADC has not been related to indicators of anaerobic capacity (Housh et al., 1992). Determining the distance that can be covered using the MAOD provided an alternative determination of the anaerobic capacity of the children. This distance was significantly correlated to ADC (r=0.43, p<0.05) but was also significantly higher. However, Hill et al. (1995) showed that, for children, correlations between ADC and peak lactate concentration were only observed for the children for whom the most accurate adjustment of the dlim=f(tlim) relationship was obtained. In general, the results reported in the literature indicated that the determination of the ADC parameter was more protocoldependent and that ADC values presented a lower correlation to other indicators of anaerobic capacity than v<sub>crit</sub> do with indicators of aerobic power (Hill, 1993; Vandewalle et al., 1997). Nevertheless, the validity of ADC measurement to evaluate children's anaerobic capacity requires further investigation.

#### **Conclusions**

In children, the dlim versus tlim relationship can be calculated with running times to exhaustion ranging between 2 and 10 min. The v<sub>crit</sub> seems to be an interesting predictor of the aerobic power of children. Conversely, the use of ADC as a predictor of anaerobic capacity requires further investigation. From a practical point of view, the relationship can be accurately calculated from only tlim90 and tlim110 or even with performances over 2 min or 10 min. By knowing the dlim=f(tlim) relationship, the performance of children can be predicted over a large range of times (or distances). However, further studies are needed to test the sensitivity of v<sub>crit</sub> and ADC to training or its evolutions with growth and maturation. In addition, studies are needed to test the accuracy of prescribing exercise intensities for training with the v<sub>crit</sub> as a velocity criterion.

#### REFERENCES

Armstrong, N., Welsman, J.R., Williams, C.A., and Kirby, B.J. (2000). Longitudinal changes in young people's short-term power output. **Med. Sci. Sports Exerc**. 32: 1140-1145.

Armstrong, N. Welsman, J.R. (2000). Development of aerobic fitness during childhood and adolescence. Ped. Exerc. Sci. 12: 128-149

Berthoin, S., Baquet, G., Mantéca, F., Lensel-Corbeil, G., and Gerbeaux, M. (1996). Maximal aerobic speed and running time to exhaustion for children 6 to 17 years old. **Ped. Exerc. Sci.** 8: 234-244.

Billat, V., Renoux, J.C., Pinoteau, J., Petit, B., and Koralsztein, J.P. (1995). Times to exhaustion at 90, 100 and 105 % of velocity at VO<sub>2</sub>max (maximal aerobic speed) and critical speed in elite long distance runners. **Arch. Physiol. Biochem**. 103: 129-135.

Bishop, D., and Jenkins, D.G. (1995). The influence of recovery duration between periods of exercise on the critical power function. **Eur. J. Appl. Physiol**. 72: 115-120.

Bland, J.M., and Altman, D.G. (1986). Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. **Lancet** 1: 307-310.

Blondel, N., Berthoin, S., Billat, V., and Lensel, G. (2001). Relationship between run times to exhaustion at 90, 100, 120, 140% of vVO<sub>2</sub>max and velocity expressed relatively to critical velocity and maximal velocity. Int. J. Sports Med. 22: 27-33

Carlson, J., and Naughton, G.A. (1998). Assessing oxygen deficit in children. In: E. VanPraagh (Ed.), **Pediatric Anaerobic Performance**, pp. 119-136. Human Kinetics: Champaign, Il.

Daniels, J.T., Oldridge, N., Nagle, F., and With, N. (1978). Differences and changes in VO<sub>2</sub> among young runners 10-18 years of age. **Med. Sci. Sports** 10: 200-203.

Denadai, B.S., Greco, C.C., and Teixeira, M. (2000). Blood lactate response and critical speed in swimmers aged 10-12 years of different standards. **J. Sports Sci.** 18: 779-784.

Ettema, J.H. (1966). Limits of human performance and energy production. **Int. J. Angew. Physiol.** 22: 45-54.

Falgairette, G., Bedu, M., Fellmann, N., Van-Praagh, E., and Coudert, J. (1991). Bioenergetic profile in 144 boys aged from 6 to 15 years with special reference to sexual maturation. **Eur. J. Appl. Physiol**. 62: 151-156.

Fawkner, S.G., Armstrong, N. (2002). Assessment of critical velocity with children. Ped. Exerc. Sci. 14: 258-268

Hebestreit, H., Mimura, K., and Bar-Or, O. (1993). Recovery of muscle power after high-intensity short-term exercise: comparing boys and men. J. Appl. Physiol. 74: 2875-2880.

Hill, D.W. (1993). The critical power concept: A review. Sports Med. 16: 237-354.

Hill, D.W., Ferguson, C.S., and Ehler, K.L. (1998). An alternative method to determine maximal accumulated O<sub>2</sub> deficit in runners. **Eur. J. Appl. Physiol**. 79: 114-117.

Hill., D.W., Steward, R.P., and Lane, C.J. (1995). Application of the critical power concept to young swimmers. **Ped. Exerc. Sci.** 7: 281-293.

Housh, T.J., Johnson, G.O., McDowell, S.L., Housh, D.J., and Pepper, M.L. (1992). The relationship between anaerobic running capacity and peak plasma lactate. **J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness**. 32:117-122.

Jenkins, D.G., and Quigley, B.M. (1991). The y-intercept of the critical power function as a measure of anaerobic work capacity. **Ergonomics** 34: 13-22.

Kachouri, M., Vandewalle, H., Billat, V., Huet, M., Thomaïdis, M., Jousselin, E., and Monod, H. (1996). Critical velocity of continuous and intermittent running exercise. An example of the limits of the critical power concept. **Eur. J. Appl. Physiol**. 73: 484-487.

Lechevalier, J.M., Vandewalle, H., Chatard, J.C., Gandrieu, A., Besson, F., and Monod, H. (1989). Relationship between the 4 mmol running velocity, the time-distance relationship and the Léger-Boucher test. **Arch. Int. Physiol. Bioch. Biophys**. 97: 355-360.

Leger, L. (1996). Aerobic performance. In:. D. Docherty (Ed.), **Measurement in pediatric** exercise science, pp. 183-223. Human Kinetics: Champaign, Il.

Leger, L., and Boucher, R. (1980). An indirect continuous running multistage field test: the Université de Montréal Track Test. Can. J. Appl. Spt. Sci. 5: 77-84.

Mocellin, R., Heusgen, M., and Gildein, H.P. (1991). Anaerobic threshold and maximal steady-state blood lactate in prepubertal boys. **Eur. J. Appl. Physiol**. 62: 56-60.

Reybrouck, T., Weymans, M., Stijns, H., Knops, J., and x VanderHauwaert, J. (1985). Ventilatory anaerobic threshold in healthy children. **Eur. J. Appl. Physiol**. 54: 278-284.

Scherrer, J., Samson, M., and Paleologue, A. (1954). Etude du travail musculaire et de la fatigue, Données ergométriques obtenues chez l'homme. **J. Physiol. (Paris)** 46: 887-916.

Sid-Ali, B., Vandewalle, H., Chaïr, K., Moreaux, A., and Monod, H. (1991). Lactate steady state velocity and distance-exhaustion time relationship in running. **Arch. Int. Physiol. Bioch. Biophys**. 99: 297-301.

Tanner, J. M. (1962). Growth at Adolescence (2nd ed.). Boston: Blackwell.

Tolfrey, K., Campbell, I.G., Batterham, A.M. (1998). Aerobic trainability of prepubertal boys and girls. **Ped. Exerc. Sci.** 10: 248 - 263

Vandewalle, H., Vautier, J.F., Kachouri, M., Lechevallier, J.M., and Monod, H. (1997). Work-exhaustion time relationships and the critical power concept: A critical Review. **J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness** 37: 89-102.

Wakayoshi, K., Yoshida, M., Udo, T., Kasai, T., Moritani, Y., Mutoh, Y., and Miyashita, M. (1992). A simple method for determining critical speed as swimming fatigue threshold in competitive swimming. **Int. J. Sports Med**. 13: 367-371, 1992.

**Acknowledgment**: The authors wish to express special thanks to the children for their enthusiasm and participation, the teachers from the Illies primary school for their welcome and availability, and the "Inspection Pédagogique du Nord", particularly Mr Fromont for allowing the experiments.

Table 1 Age and anthropometric characteristics of children.

	Girls	Boys
	(n=22)	(n=12)
Age (years)	9.5±0.7	9.5±0.8
Mass (kg)	$36.3 \pm 8.4$	34.4±7.2
Height (m)	1.39±0.08	1.39±0.05

Table 2 Cardiorespiratory parameters measured during the graded and tlim110 tests.

	Graded test	Tlim110
Boys and girls		
peakVO <sub>2</sub> (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )	$45.2 \pm 6.7$	$43.3 \pm 5.3***$
V <sub>E</sub> max (l.min <sup>-1</sup> )	$59.5 \pm 10.6$	$63.4 \pm 10.2***$
RER	$1.04 \pm 0.06$	$1.21 \pm 0.09$ ***
HRmax (bpm)	$203 \pm 9$	$200\pm10$
Girls		
peakVO <sub>2</sub> (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )	$43.3 \pm 5.3$	$42.1 \pm 5.3*$
V <sub>E</sub> max (l.min <sup>-1</sup> )	$58.2 \pm 11.9$	$63.0 \pm 10.6***$
RER	$1.04\pm0.07$	$1.18 \pm 0.08***$
HRmax (bpm)	$204 \pm 9$	$202 \pm 11$
D		
Boys		
peakVO <sub>2</sub> (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )	$48.7 \pm 8.1$	$45.9 \pm 4.7***$
V <sub>E</sub> max (l.min <sup>-1</sup> )	$61.9 \pm 8.1$	$65.2 \pm 9.8$
RER	$1.04 \pm 0.05$	$1.26 \pm 0.11***$
HRmax (bpm)	$201 \pm 7$	$198 \pm 7$

Tlim110: time to exhaustion at 110% of maximal aerobic velocity; peakVO<sub>2</sub>: peak oxygen uptake;  $V_E$ max: maximal ventilation; RER: respiratory exchange ratio; HRmax: maximal heart rate.

<sup>\*:</sup> significantly different from graded test for the same group (p < 0.05)

<sup>\*\*\*:</sup> significantly different from graded test for the same group (p < 0.001)

Table 3 Exercise times to exhaustion and peakHR values for tlim at 90%, 95%, 100%, 105% and 110% of MAV.

	Tlim90	Tlim95	Tlim100	Tlim105	Tlim110
time (s)	$667 \pm 311$	380 ± 177***	244 ± 79***	151 ± 47***	129 ± 34
peakHR (bpm)	201 ± 9	201 ± 8	$200 \pm 7$	$200\pm7$	$199 \pm 7$

Tlim90, Tlim95, Tlim100, Tlim105, Tlim110: times to exhaustion at 90, 95, 100, 105 and 110% of maximal aerobic velocity, respectively; peakHR: peak heart rate.

<sup>\*\*\*:</sup> significantly different from previous column (p < 0.001)

**Table 4 Mean calculated parameters.** 

	Girls	Boys	Boys and girls
Cr (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> .m <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.240 \pm 0.032$	$0.235 \pm 0.019$	$0.238 \pm 0.028$
MAOD (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$34.3 \pm 11.8$	$33.6 \pm 13.6$	$34.1 \pm 12.3$
ADC (m)	$95.3 \pm 32.1$	$92.8 \pm 28.4$	$94.4\pm30.4$
V <sub>crit</sub> (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )	8.4 ± 1.0***	$9.7\pm0.9$	$8.8 \pm 1.1$
V <sub>crit</sub> (%MAV)	$84.2 \pm 5.8$	$86.4 \pm 1.7$	$85.0 \pm 4.9$

Cr: energy cost of running; MAOD: maximal accumulated oxygen deficit; ADC: anaerobic distance capacity;  $V_{\text{crit}}$ : critical velocity

<sup>\*\*\*:</sup> significantly different from boys (p < 0.001)

Table 5 Correlations between physiological parameters and calculated or measured parameters from field tests.

	v <sub>crit</sub> (km·h <sup>-1</sup> )	v <sub>crit</sub> (%MAV)	ADC (m)
peakVO <sub>2</sub> (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )	0.77 ***	0.44 **	0.02
MAOD (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.41 *	0.22	0.30
MAV (km·h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.91 ***	0.20	0.06

V<sub>crit</sub>: critical velocity; ADC: anaerobic distance capacity; peakVO<sub>2</sub>: peak oxygen uptake measured during the graded test; MAOD: maximal accumulated oxygen deficit; MAV: maximal aerobic velocity.

<sup>\*:</sup> significantly correlated (p < 0.05)

<sup>\*\*:</sup> significantly correlated (p < 0.01)

<sup>\*\*\*:</sup> significantly correlated (p < 0.001)

# Figure captions


**Figure 1.** An example of the dlim versus tlim relationship.

**Figure 2.** Average between critical velocities calculated with 5 tlim and with 2 tlim (tlim110 and tlim90) plotted against the differences between the two critical velocities.

**Figure 3.** Average between anaerobic distance capacities calculated with 5 tlim and with 2 tlim (tlim110 and tlim90) plotted against the differences between the two anaerobic distance capacities

**Figure 4.** Relationships between tlim and % percentage of MAV for the children in the present study and those of non-specifically aerobic-trained adults (Blondel et al., 2001; Kachouri et al., 1996) and aerobic-trained adults (Billat et al., 1995).

Figure 5. The dlim versus tlim relationship for mean values.



□Time □Distance = Critical velocity

