



Comparison between one step and multistep fire retardant coating processes by Life Cycle Assessment

Maude Jimenez, Charlotte Lemesle, Jérôme Frémiot, Agnès Beaugendre, Sophie Duquesne, Mathilde Casetta

► To cite this version:

Maude Jimenez, Charlotte Lemesle, Jérôme Frémiot, Agnès Beaugendre, Sophie Duquesne, et al.. Comparison between one step and multistep fire retardant coating processes by Life Cycle Assessment. [avniR] conference, Nov 2018, Lille, France. hal-02414194

HAL Id: hal-02414194

<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-02414194>

Submitted on 16 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Comparison between one step and multistep fire retardant coating processes by Life Cycle Assessment

M. Jimenez¹, C. Lemesle¹, J. Fremiot¹, A. Beaugendre¹, S. Duquesne¹, M. Casetta¹
(1) Université de Lille, Unité Matériaux et Transformations (UMET), UMR 8207, F-59000 Lille, France

Introduction

The design of a functional coating on a substrate usually requires **different layers**, each of them having a **specific role**. So, the development of **innovative coatings** with **multifunctional properties** is very challenging. **Self-stratification** can be an alternative as it is an eco-efficient process allowing the formation, in only **one application**, of a **multi-layer film**.

Coatings properties

The **reference system (REF)** is made of **two distinct layers** : epoxy resin as bottom layer and silicon resin as upper layer. In the **self-stratifying coating (AS)**, silicone and iron oxide migrate to the upper layer (Figure 2).




Figure 2 : Cross section pictures of self-stratifying coating

The samples were tested to a **fire test UL-94** (Figure 3). It evaluate the tendency of a material to **extinguish or to spread the flame after ignition** of a material. Other characteristics have been evaluated in order to compare both systems (Figure 4). The **global performances of the AS system** appear **more interesting** than those of the REF system.




Figure 3 : Fire test UL-94

Figure 4 : Comparison of the characteristics of the two systems

Results of LCA




Figure 6 : Comparison of environmental impacts (over ten years) for major indicators for REF and AS systems




The **next step of this work** is to carry out the same study on a **flame retardant self-stratifying coating** based on **eco-friendly products** (bio-based epoxy resin, green solvent ...) to see the influence on the global environmental impact.

Maude.jimenez@univ-lille.fr

avniR@cd2e.com - www.avnir.org

Rue de bourgogne • Base du 11/19 • 62750 Loos-en-Gohelle - FRANCE



Functional analysis

LCA was carried out on **two lab scale coating processes**.

Functional unit (FU) : "Deposit on a 100cm² polycarbonate plate a coating allowing reaching a V0 rating at UL94"

Calculation method : Recipe E 1,12 (Europe)




Figure 5 : Inventory of main steps and inputs / outputs for each process

Conclusion




Figure 7 : Comparison of total environmental score for REF and AS systems

Less power and chemicals consumption