



HAL
open science

Experimental studies and computational exploration on the 2-amino-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole as novel corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in acidic environment

A. Attou, M. Tourabi, A. Benikdes, O. Benali, H.B. Ouici, F. Benhiba, A. Zarrouk, Charafeddine Jama, Fouad Bentiss

► To cite this version:

A. Attou, M. Tourabi, A. Benikdes, O. Benali, H.B. Ouici, et al.. Experimental studies and computational exploration on the 2-amino-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole as novel corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in acidic environment. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 2020, *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, pp.125320. 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2020.125320 . hal-02926245

HAL Id: hal-02926245

<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-02926245>

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Experimental studies and computational exploration on**
2 **the 2-amino-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole as**
3 **novel corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in acidic**
4 **environment**

5
6 **A. Attou**^a, **M. Tourabi**^b, **A. Benikdes**^c, **O. Benali**^c, **H.B. Ouici**^c, **F. Benhiba**^{d,e}, **A.**
7 **Zarrouk**^{e,*}, **C. Jama**^f, **F. Bentiss**^{b,f,*}

8
9 ^a*Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University Dr Moulay Tahar, Saïda, Algeria*

10 ^b*Laboratory of Catalysis and Corrosion of Materials, Faculty of Sciences, Chouaib Doukkali*
11 *University, PO Box 20, M-24000 El Jadida, Morocco*

12 ^c*Laboratory of Chemistry: Synthesis, Properties and Applications, University Dr Moulay Tahar,*
13 *Saïda, Algeria*

14 ^d*Laboratory of Separation Processes, Faculty of Sciences, University Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco.*

15 ^e*Laboratory of Materials, Nanotechnology and Environment, Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V*
16 *University, Av. Ibn Battouta, PO Box 1014 Agdal-Rabat, Morocco*

17 ^f*Univ. Lille, CNRS, INRAE, Centrale Lille, UMR 8207, - UMET - Unité Matériaux et Transformations,*
18 *F-59000 Lille, France*

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 ** Corresponding authors.*

38 *E-mail address: azarrouk@gmail.com (A. Zarrouk)*

39 *fbentiss@gmail.com (F. Bentiss)*

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 In the present research, a new 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivative, namely the 2-amino-5-(2-
3 methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole (5-AMT) was synthesized and investigated as corrosion
4 inhibitor for mild steel (M-steel) in molar hydrochloric acid medium. The experimental
5 studies such as weight loss, potentiodynamic polarization (PDP), Linear polarization
6 resistance (LPR) technique and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were
7 performed in order to evaluate the corrosion protection performance of the tested compound.
8 Based on the experimental outcomes, a protection degree of approximately 98 % was
9 achieved exploiting 5×10^{-4} M of 5-AMT after 1 h of immersion at temperature 303 K.
10 Additionally, PDP study highlighted that the investigated 1,3,4-thiadiazole is of mixed-kind
11 inhibitor. Thermodynamic data from adsorption isotherms and activation energies were
12 determined and discussed. It was shown that the adsorption of 5-AMT molecules fits
13 Langmuir isotherm model. Furthermore, in order to characterize the M-steel surface and to
14 comprehend the adsorption mechanism of 5-AMT, XPS analyses were accomplished.
15 Computational studies by DFT and MD simulation were also conducted to correlate the
16 protection properties and quantum chemical parameters of the investigated inhibitor.

17

18 *Keywords:* 1,3,4-Thiadiazole; Mild steel corrosion; Adsorption; XPS; Theoretical approach.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 **1. Introduction**


2 Corrosion of metals and alloys is an ubiquitous phenomenon with huge economic
3 impact, which affects many industrial sectors [1]. Preventing metals from corrosion not only
4 has an economic benefit, but also protects the environment. Generally, organic inhibitors are
5 known by their absorption on metal surfaces and form a thin protective film. The most
6 effective organic compounds are those which carry heteroatoms with high electron density (P,
7 S, N and O) or those containing aromatic ring, which are considered as centers of adsorption
8 [2-6]. Indeed, several N-heterocyclic compounds, such as triazoles, oxadiazoles,
9 benzimidazoles, pyrazoles, purines, pyrimidines, quinolones, etc... are well known as
10 effective corrosion inhibitors for iron or steel in several aggressive media [5-7]. The high
11 corrosion protection of these latter compounds is generally due to chelates formed with metals
12 which act as a barrier thanks to the formation of protective film on the metal surface [1]. In
13 particular, these thiadiazolic derivatives behave as good inhibitors against corrosion of M-
14 steel and carbon steel [8-22], stainless steel [23-25], ductile iron [26], and copper [27-32]. At
15 this time, the utilize of 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives as corrosion inhibitors rest limited. In this
16 context, this work aims to investigate the 2-amino-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole(5-
17 AMT) as new corrosion inhibitor of M-steel in molar hydrochloric acid pickling environment.
18 The 5-AMT compound was selected as an inhibitor based on two considerations. Firstly, it's
19 easy and cheap to synthesis. Secondly, the existence of heteroatoms such as N, O and S,
20 methoxy group and π -electron on the phenyl ring in its structure are important structural
21 features through which inhibitor can easily adsorbed on the metal surface, promoting
22 therefore an effective protection.

23 **The inhibitory efficacy of 2-amino-5- (2-methoxyphenyl) -1,3,4-thiadiazole (5-AMT) is first**
24 **evaluated by means of gravimetry, and, by means of stationary electrochemical techniques the**
25 **exploitation of the polarization curves as well as transient methods based on the measurement**

1 of the electrochemical impedance and LPR technique. The effect of temperature on the
2 behavior of the inhibitor against corrosion has also been studied in a temperature range from
3 303 to 333 K. Thermodynamic corrosion and inhibition parameters were also calculated and
4 discussed. The nature of the film formed on the metal surface in the presence of the inhibitor
5 has been characterized XPS. At the end of this study, we supplemented this work by a study
6 the density functional theory and molecular dynamic simulation were exploited to better
7 understand the structural and electronic effects in relation to the effectiveness of the
8 protection. The use of all these experimental and theoretical approaches were used to better
9 understand the mechanism of adsorption of this compound on the metal surface.

11 2. Experimental details

12 The investigated organic compound is 2-amino-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole
13 (5-AMT). The method of synthesis of the inhibitor under investigation was already described
14 in the literature [33]. The molecular structure of 5-AMT is given in Fig. 1.



15
16 **Fig. 1.** Molecular structure of 2-amino-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole (5-AMT).

17
18 The composition of the M-steel, the electrolytic solution (1 M HCl) as well as the
19 description of different methods exploited in this work (weight loss and the electrochemical
20 experiments) were detailed in our previous work [34].

21 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were recorded by a XPS KRATOS,
22 AXIS Ultra^{DLD} spectrometer with the monochromatized Al-K α X-ray source ($h\nu = 1486.6$

1 eV) and an X-ray beam of around 1 mm. The analyser was operated in constant pass energy
2 of 40 eV using an analysis area of approximately $700\ \mu\text{m} \times 300\ \mu\text{m}$. Charge compensation
3 was applied to compensate for the charging effects that occurred during the analysis. The C 1s
4 (285.0 eV) binding energy (BE) was used as internal reference. The spectrometer BE scale
5 was initially calibrated against the Ag $3d_{5/2}$ (368.2 eV) level. Pressure was in the 10^{-10} torr
6 range during the experiments. Quantification and simulation of the experimental photopeaks
7 were carried out using CasaXPS software. Quantification took into account a non-linear
8 Shirley background subtraction [35]. XPS analyses were practiced on pure 5-AMT and on 5-
9 AMT steel surface. The M-steel sample ($1\ \text{cm}^2$) was pre-treated by the same procedure as for
10 the gravimetric test. After 6 h of immersion at 303 K, the carbon steel sheet was rinsed with
11 acetone and ultra-pure water.

12 Various investigations have been carried out exploiting density functional theory
13 (DFT) calculations in order to understand the effect of molecular structure on the protection
14 efficiency of organic compounds [36]. This theoretical method has been exploited to correlate
15 experimentally detected phenomena with the property of the electronic structure MT [37]. In
16 this context, quantum chemical calculations of 5-AMT were done exploiting the Gaussian 09
17 software package [38]. In addition, DFT computations were performed via B3LYP and
18 6-31G (d, p) basis set in the gaseous phase [39]. The quantum chemical descriptors such as
19 E_{HOMO} , E_{LUMO} , ΔE_{gap} , global hardness (η), global electronegativity (χ), fraction of electron
20 transferred ΔN_{110} , electrophilic (ω) and nucleophilic (ε) indexes were exploited and calculated
21 following the same procedure described by Benhiba et al. [40].

22 To estimate the interaction and adsorption behaviour of inhibitor molecules with the
23 Fe (110) surface, Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulation method exploiting the Forcite
24 module from Materials Studio 8 software was exploited according to [41,42]. The MD
25 simulation was executed in a $32.270 \times 32.270 \times 34.134\ \text{\AA}^3$ simulation box with periodic

1 boundary conditions, which was composed of the chemical species (5-AMT, $5\text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + 5\text{Cl}^- +$
2 $500 \text{H}_2\text{O}$) and slab of Fe (110). The COMPASS force field has been exploited [43]. The MD
3 simulation is performed with the Andersen thermostat at 303 K using NVT (canonical
4 ensemble) with a simulation time of 100.0 ps and a time step of 1.0 fs [44].

7 3. Results and discussion

9 3.1. Potentiodynamic polarization (PDP) curves

10 Polarization curves (Tafel) for M-steel without and with 5-AMT in the electrolytic
11 solution (1 M HCl) at 303 K after 1 h of immersion are grouped in Figs. 2a-b. The
12 electrochemical parameters of corrosion potential (E_{corr}), corrosion current density (i_{corr}),
13 cathodic Tafel slope (β_c), and anodic Tafel slope (β_a), obtained by Tafel extrapolation are
14 shown in the Table 1. The corrosion current density (i_{corr}) values were used to compute the
15 protection efficiency as follows:


$$16 \quad \eta_{\text{Tafel}}(\%) = \frac{i_{\text{corr}} - i_{\text{corr}(i)}}{i_{\text{corr}}} \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

17 where i_{corr} and $i_{\text{corr}(i)}$ are the corrosion current densities obtained in uninhibited and inhibited
18 solutions, respectively.

19 It is evident from Fig. 2b that after the addition of 5-AMT both hydrogen evolution
20 and metal dissolution reactions were retarded. By increasing the C_{inh} it is noted that the
21 density values of the corrosion current decreased, which indicates that the inhibitor limits
22 electrochemical reaction. In addition, we notice that when the C_{inh} increases, $\eta_{\text{Tafel}}(\%)$ also
23 increases to reach a maximum of 98.68%, which is assigned to the fact that the quality of the
24 5-AMT inhibitive layer was improved, and more active sites were covered by 1,3,4-

1 thiadiazole molecules. The inhibition efficiency found by this technique allowed us to say that
2 5-AMT is an excellent inhibitor even at low concentration (0.1 mM).

3 From Table 1, it is noticed that the change in β_c values of the inhibited systems with
4 respect to that of the uninhibited one is independent of inhibitor concentration, that is, there is
5 no pattern in β_c values of the inhibited solution with respect to that of the blank as the
6 concentration of 5-AMT is increased. This means that the kinetics of the cathodic reactions
7 are not affected and the corrosion inhibition is by simple geometric blocking mechanism.
8 However, a slight decrease in β_a value with increasing 5-AMT concentration is observed,
9 suggesting that the investigated 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivative was first adsorbed onto the metal
10 surface and stopped by simply blocking the reaction sites of the metal surface without
11 touching the anodic reaction mechanism [34].



12




Fig. 2. PDP curve of M-steel in electrolytic medium (1 M HCl) (a) without and (b) with addition of 5-AMT at diverse concentrations.

The corrosion potentials in the presence 5-AMT are divided slightly towards the cathodic values compared to the blank. It can be understood from the Table 1 values that 5-AMT shifts the corrosion potential (E_{corr}) towards cathodic direction and the potential shift is < 85 mV, confirms that inhibitor is a mixed type of protection behaviour [45]. It should be noted that for the anodic branch in the presence of 5-AMT and from a potential of -350 mV/SCE, the currents are greater than that of without 5-AMT inhibitor. This phenomenon is attributed to the desorption of inhibitor molecules adsorbed on the electrode surface [34].

Table 1
Electrochemical parameter and inhibition efficiency values for M-steel in electrolytic medium (1 M HCl) containing different concentrations of 5-AMT at 303 K.

C_{inh} (M)	$-E_{\text{corr}}$ (mV vs SCE)	$-\beta_c$ (mV dec ⁻¹)	β_a (mV dec ⁻¹)	i_{corr} (mA cm ⁻²)	R_p (Ω cm ²)	η_{Tafel} (%)	η_{LPR} (%)
Blank	445	185	105	4.24	6.78	—	—
1×10^{-4}	503	167	85	0.32	66.43	92.45	89.79
2×10^{-4}	512	190	83	0.31	99.03	92.69	93.15

3×10^{-4}	502	150	80	0.086	231.87	97.97	97.08
4×10^{-4}	505	162	72	0.083	293.37	98.04	97.17
5×10^{-4}	500	148	70	0.056	392.98	98.68	98.27

1

2 3.2 LPR study

3 The polarization resistance, R_p , is determined by the inverse of the slope
4 corresponding to the linear part of the curve $i = f(E)$ in the vicinity of E_{corr} . The corresponding
5 data of R_p are given in the [Table 1](#). It is apparent that by increasing inhibitor (5-AMT)
6 concentration the values of R_p increase. The values of $\eta_{\text{LPR}}(\%)$, have been gathered in [Table 1](#)
7 and they are calculated by the following equation(Eq2):

$$8 \quad \eta_{\text{LPR}}(\%) = \frac{R_{\text{P(i)}} - R_p}{R_{\text{P(i)}}} \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$


9 The results show that by increasing the concentration of inhibitor, $\eta_{\text{LPR}}(\%)$ increases and
10 attains 98.27 % for 5×10^{-4} M. It can be noted that the corrosion inhibition efficiency
11 calculated by the LPR and PDP methods are in reasonably agreement. **However, these**
12 **stationary techniques are insufficient to characterize complex mechanisms, involving several**
13 **reaction stages and having different characteristic kinetics (which is often the case during**
14 **inhibition processes). The use of AC impedance techniques then becomes essential. Among**
15 **these techniques, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy occupies a more advanced place.**

16

17 3.3 . AC impedance study

18 [Figs. 3a](#) and [3b](#) present Nyquist and Bode plots obtained for M-steel in 1 M HCl
19 solution with and without 5-AMT after **1 h** of immersion at 303 K. Fig. 3a shows that the
20 Nyquist plots present a non-ideal semicircle (a flat loop). This is attributed to the non-ideality
21 and inhomogeneity of the metal surface [46]. The Nyquist plots represent the characteristic
22 depressed semicircles with their centers lying under the real x-axis suggesting that the

1 electrochemical process is charge-transfer controlled. The corresponding Bode plots shown in
 2 [Fig. 3b](#) confirm the presence of only one constant time due to charge transfer phenomenon at
 3 the interface electrolyte/working electrode.




4
 5 **Fig. 3.** (a) Nyquist and (b) Bode (modulus and phase) diagrams for M-steel interface in 1 M HCl
 6 medium without and with addition of diverse concentrations of 5-AMT at 303 K.

7
 8
 9 The impedance spectra in [Fig. 3a](#) shows that the semicircle diameter values increase
 10 with the increase of 5-AMT concentration. These outcomes are interpreted by the formation
 11 of a protective film on the metal surface via the adsorption of the inhibitor molecules [10].
 12 The equivalent circuit shown in [Fig. 4](#) allowed us to analyse the EIS data, this equivalent
 13 circuit is a modified Randel's circuit containing the R_s , which represents the uncompensated
 14 resistance of the electrolytic solution, and the R_p , which represents the polarization resistance.
 15 Herein, we have used a constant phase element (CPE) rather than an ideal double-layer
 16 capacitor (C_{dl}), to accurately model the frequency distribution response. Here, R_p contains two
 17 resistances ($R_p = R_{ct} + R_f$) which are the charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) and the resistance of
 18 inhibitor film (R_f) formed on the metal surface in the presence of inhibitor [47]. On the other

1 hand, the CPE is substituted for the capacitive element. The impedance function of the CPE is
 2 given in Equation (Eq. 3) [47]:

$$3 \quad Z_{\text{CPE}} = Q^{-1} (i\omega)^{-n} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

4 where Z_{CPE} , Q, ω, n are respectively, the impedance of CPE, the coefficient of
 5 proportionality, the angular frequency and the measure of the phase shift. The description of
 6 this model is detailed in a previous work [10].



7
 8
 9
 10 **Fig. 4.** Equivalent circuit used to fit the obtained impedance spectra for M-steel in the nonexistence
 11 and existence of 5-AMT.

12
 13 The corrosion protection efficiency from the EIS measurements, $\eta_z(\%)$, was
 14 calculated as according to equation 4, where $R_{p(i)}$ and R_p are the polarization resistance of M-
 15 steel electrode in the presence and the absence of the inhibitor, respectively.

$$16 \quad \eta_z(\%) = \frac{R_{p(i)} - R_p}{R_{p(i)}} \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

17 The fitted results are presented in Table 2. The experimental plots and the fit lines indicates
 18 the chosen equivalent electrical circuits fit very well the experimental EIS diagrams (Fig. 3).
 19 It is also confirmed by the chi-square adjustment values (χ^2) which are around 10^{-3} (Table 2).

20
 21 **Table 2**

22 Impedance data of M-steel in 1 M HCl containing different concentrations of 5-AMT

C_{inh} (M)	R_p ($\Omega \text{ cm}^2$)	$Q \times 10^4$ ($\text{s}^n \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$)	n	C_{dl} ($\mu\text{F cm}^{-2}$)	τ (s)	χ^2	η_z (%)
Blank	6.25	47.56	0.86	184.3	0.0011	0.009	—
1×10^{-4}	65.63	0.93	0.86	40.55	0.0027	0.009	90.47

2×10^{-4}	92.54	0.72	0.84	27.72	0.0026	0.010	93.25
3×10^{-4}	165.6	0.60	0.85	27.00	0.0044	0.012	96.22
4×10^{-4}	220.0	0.31	0.87	14.71	0.0032	0.006	97.16
5×10^{-4}	369.0	0.27	0.88	14.40	0.0053	0.006	98.30

1

2 From Table 2, we can see that the values of R_p are much higher when 5-AMT inhibitor

3 is exploited in comparison to the electrolytic solution. The obtained result suggests that the

4 presence of the molecules of the inhibitor at the metal-electrolyte interface limit the process of

5 charge transfer from the metal surface to the solution. Moreover, one can see that when the

6 concentration of inhibitor (5-AMT) in the solution increases the R_p values are even greater.

7 On the other hand, due to the adsorption of 5-AMT at the interfaces, the C_{dl} values decrease

8 which is attributed to an increase in the thickness of the electric double layer [48]. The values

9 of n remain almost constant, indicating a small depression of the double layer capacitance of

10 the loop [10]. The protection efficiency reaches a greatest value of 98.30 % at 5×10^{-4} M. The

11 use of 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives as corrosion inhibitors has been discussed in several

12 publications [8-32,49-51]. Table 3 shows the protection efficiency $\eta(\%)$ obtained exploiting

13 EIS method of some 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives exploited as corrosion inhibitors of steel in

14 a 1 M HCl medium. Such comparison shows that the protection efficiency $\eta(\%)$ of 5-AMT is

15 higher and give evidence of the very good corrosion protection properties of 5-AMT.

16

17 **Table 3**

18 Corrosion protection efficiency for some 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives in 1 M HCl.

1,3,4-Thiadiazole derivative	Optimum concentration	Immersion time (h)	Temperature (K)	Metal	Protection efficiency ^(a) (%)
2-Amino-5-thiol-1,3,4-thiadiazole [10]	2×10^{-3} M	1	303	M-steel	91.2
5,5'-((1Z,1'Z)-(1,4-phenylenebis(methanylylidene))bis(azanylylidene))bis(1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-thiol) [14]	5×10^{-4} M	0.5	303	M-steel	87.6
5-Pentadecyl-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2(3H)-thione [15]	5×10^{-4} M	0.5	298	Carbon steel	93.0

2,5-Bis(2-pyridyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [17]	1.2×10^{-3} M	24	303	M-steel	93.5
2,5-Bis(3-pyridyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [17]	1.2×10^{-3} M	24	303	M-steel	96.5
2,5-Bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [17]	1.2×10^{-3} M	24	303	M-steel	86.6
APT [19]	40 ppm	0.5	308	M-steel	95.0
APT2 [19]	40 ppm	0.5	308	M-steel	96.8
APT-4 [19]	40 ppm	0.5	308	M-steel	92.7
PAT [19]	40 ppm	0.5	308	M-steel	98.6
2-Amino-5-phenyl-1,3,4-thiadiazole [20]	6×10^{-3} M	1	303	M-steel	88.6
2,5-Bis(phenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [22]	1.5×10^{-4} M	24	303	M-steel	90.9
2,5-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [22]	1.5×10^{-4} M	24	303	M-steel	97.9
2,5-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [22]	1.5×10^{-4} M	24	303	M-steel	95.6
2,5-Bis(4-nitrophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [22]	1.5×10^{-4} M	24	303	M-steel	54.8
2,5-Bis(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [22]	1.5×10^{-4} M	24	303	M-steel	29.6
2-Amino-5-tert-butyl-1,3,4-thiadiazole [49]	2.1×10^{-5} M	0.5	298	Carbon steel	91.8
2-Amino-5-ethyl-1,3,4-thiadiazole [49]	2.1×10^{-5} M	0.5	298	Carbon steel	90.9
2-Amino-1,3,4-thiadiazole [49]	2.1×10^{-5} M	0.5	298	Carbon steel	78.1
1-MCTH [50]	1×10^{-4} M	24	303	M-steel	98.6
2,5-bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [51]	5×10^{-4} M	24	303	M-steel	98.2
2,5-bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [51]	5×10^{-4} M	24	303	M-steel	98.2
2-amino-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole [this work]	5×10^{-4} M	1	303	M-steel	98.3

1 (a) The $\eta(\%)$ values were obtained by exploiting EIS method.

2

3 3.4. Gravimetric studies

4 3.4.1 Effect of 5-AMT concentration

5 **Table 4** gives the corrosion rate (W_{corr}) of M-steel and the protection efficiency (η_{WL})
6 with and without of 5-AMT after 6h of immersion in 1 M HCl at 303 K. The η_{WL} values were
7 obtained from the Eq. 5, where w_0 and w_i are the corrosion rate of M-steel without and with
8 5-AMT, respectively.

$$9 \quad \eta_{\text{WL}} (\%) = \left(1 - \frac{w_i}{w_0} \right) \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

1 The obtained results indicate that the protection ability of 5-AMT increase with the
 2 inhibitor concentration and achieve the highest efficiency value at a concentration of 5×10^{-4}
 3 M. [Table 4](#) reveals that when the inhibitor concentration varies in the range of 1×10^{-4} to
 4 3×10^{-4} M the efficiency of 5-AMT significantly increases. On the other hand, for higher
 5 concentrations, a slight increase can be observed. The efficiency of the inhibitor is a direct
 6 consequence of the covering and the formation of an organic film on the surface of the M-
 7 steel, which reduces the attack of the acid and the digestion of H_2 gaz. The easy adsorption of
 8 5-AMT molecules may be due to the presence of π electrons in the phenyl group and non-
 9 binding electrons on the hetero-atoms (O, N and S) [52]. The results obtained with different
 10 methods are in good agreement and confirm the very good corrosion protection properties of
 11 5-AMT. **In order to evaluate the stability of the behavior of this compound, on a time scale,**
 12 **the electrochemical impedance measurements were carried out in 1 M HCl, in the absence**
 13 **and in the presence of this compound, after different immersion times in the next part**


14 **Table 4**
 15 Corrosion rate and protection efficiency of the system 5-AMT/M-steel in electrolytic solution.

C_{inh} (M)	W_{corr} ($mg\ cm^{-2}\ h^{-1}$)	η_{WL} (%)
Blank	4.20	—
1×10^{-4}	0.58	86.19
2×10^{-4}	0.47	88.81
3×10^{-4}	0.21	95.00
4×10^{-4}	0.17	95.95
5×10^{-4}	0.084	98.00

17 *3.4.2. Effect of immersion time*

18 Immersion time is one of the main parameters considered during the study of the
 19 protection of corrosion [52-54], indeed it evaluates the stability of protection system over
 20 time. [Fig. 5](#) shows the variation of $\eta_{WL}(\%)$ versus time of immersion in 1 M HCl solution
 21 containing 5×10^{-4} M of 5-AMT at 303 K. Better performance was obtained after 1 h of
 22 immersion with a value of 98% ([Fig. 5](#)). For a longer exposure time (24 h), our inhibitor (5-

1 AMT) always retains its high efficacy, which reaches a value of 97%. From the obtained
2 results, we can say that there is a rapid formation and can be complete with a protective film
3 on the surface of our substrate after 1 h of immersion. After this time, we notice that there is a
4 very negligible diminution in the steadiness of the protective layer. This can be said during
5 diffusion from side to side the protective interface / electrolytic layer and / or the desorption
6 of the protective molecules. [55].
7
8



9 **Fig. 5.** $\eta_{WL}(\%)$ versus immersion time in 1 M HCl medium containing 5×10^{-4} M of 5-AMT at 303 K.
10
11

12 3.4.3. Temperature effect on the inhibitor adsorption

13 The temperature is considered, in the literature, among the most influencing factors
14 on corrosion, in a very great majority of the cases, the exposure of a material in an aggressive
15 solution to the high temperatures results in an appreciably homogeneous degradation on all
16 the surface of the material. The effect of the temperature on the corrosion of the M-steel in the
17 presence of the inhibitor was followed by mass loss evaluation. Table 5 presents the different

1 values of the corrosion rates as well as the corresponding inhibitory efficiencies in the
 2 temperature range 303-333 K. It shows that the corrosion rates increase with increasing
 3 temperature whether in the 5-AMT nonexistence or in the 5-AMT existence of the inhibitor
 4 molecules leading to a decrease of protection efficiency with the temperature. This is due to
 5 the fact that the protective film formed by the inhibitor molecules desorbs and becomes
 6 porous giving way to the diffusion of corrosive species responsible when the temperature
 7 increases [54-57].

8

9 **Table 5**

10 Influence of temperature on corrosion rate and protection efficiency for the system, M-steel / 1 M HCl
 11 / 5-AMT.

Temperature (K)	C_{inh} (M)	W_{corr} ($mg\ cm^{-2}\ h^{-1}$)	η_{WL} (%)
303	Blank	4.20	—
	1×10^{-4}	0.58	86.19
	2×10^{-4}	0.47	88.81
	3×10^{-4}	0.21	95.00
	4×10^{-4}	0.17	95.95
	5×10^{-4}	0.084	98.00
313	Blank	4.70	—
	1×10^{-4}	2.30	51.06
	2×10^{-4}	0.77	83.61
	3×10^{-4}	0.37	92.13
	4×10^{-4}	0.27	94.25
	5×10^{-4}	0.18	96.17
323	Blank	8.20	—
	1×10^{-4}	5.00	39.02
	2×10^{-4}	2.30	75.60
	3×10^{-4}	0.67	91.83
	4×10^{-4}	0.55	93.20
	5×10^{-4}	0.40	95.12
333	Blank	14.14	—
	1×10^{-4}	8.50	39.88
	2×10^{-4}	4.50	68.17
	3×10^{-4}	3.00	78.78
	4×10^{-4}	1.50	89.39
	5×10^{-4}	0.72	94.90

12


13 However, the activation energy (E_a), enthalpy (ΔH_a), and entropy (ΔS_a) were estimated from
 14 the W_{corr} , as follows:

$$1 \quad W_{\text{corr}} = A \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

$$2 \quad W_{\text{corr}} = \frac{RT}{Nh} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta S_a}{R}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta H_a}{RT}\right) \quad (\text{Eq. 7})$$

3 where E_a , ΔH_a and ΔS_a are the activation parameters, A is pre-exponential factor, h is constant
 4 of Plank, N is number of Avogadro, R is the constant of ideal gaz, and T is the absolute
 5 temperature.

6 **Figs. 6 and 7** show the variation of $\ln(W_{\text{corr}})$ and $\ln(W_{\text{corr}}/T)$ against $1000/T$ for M-steel
 7 electrode in 1 M HCl electrolyte in the absence and presence of diverse concentrations of 5-
 8 AMT. The activation parameters extracted from these two curves are collated in **Table 6**. The
 9 average difference between E_a and ΔH_a is approximately 2.6 kJ mol^{-1} for all cases. This
 10 indicates that the dissolution of M-steel takes place through an uni-molecular reaction.



11

12 **Fig. 6.** $\ln W_{\text{corr}}$ vs $1/T$ for M-steel in 1 M HCl with and without of 5-AMT.




Fig. 7. $\ln(W_{\text{corr}} / T)$ vs $1/T$ for M-steel in 1 M HCl with and without of 5-AMT.

It was found that E_a values for systems containing 5-AMT vary in the range of 60.53 to 74.50 kJ mol^{-1} and are superior to blank (34.91 kJ mol^{-1}). The increase in E_a values after the addition of the inhibitor molecules indicates the formation of an energy barrier which is accompanied by the reduction in charge and mass transfer. These results are coherent with those reported in the literature, the decrease of protection efficiency with temperature is associated to higher E_a in presence of the inhibitor in comparison to E_a (blank) [58]. For the activation enthalpy (ΔH_a), the positive sign indicates the endothermic nature of the M-steel dissolving process [59,60]. On comparing the values of the entropy of activation (ΔS_a) in Table 6, it is clear that ΔS_a is more positive in presence of 5-AMT compared to free acid solution. Such variation is associated with the phenomenon of ordering and disordering of inhibitor molecules on the M-steel surface. The increased entropy of activation in the presence of inhibitor indicated that disorderness is increased on going from reactant to activated complex. This observation is in agreement with the findings of other workers [59,60]. This behaviour can also be explained as a result of the replacement process of water

1 molecules during adsorption of molecule inhibitor on the M-steel surface and therefore the
 2 increasing in entropy of activation was attributed to the increasing in solvent entropy [60].

3

4 **Table 6**

5 Activation parameters (E_a , ΔH_a and ΔS_a) for M-steel / 1 M HCl system containing 5-AMT.

Conc. (M)	E_a (kJ mol ⁻¹)	ΔH_a (kJ mol ⁻¹)	ΔS_a (J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
Blank	34.91	32.27	-127.8
1×10 ⁻⁴	74.50	71.87	-10.85
2×10 ⁻⁴	65.67	63.03	-44.29
3×10 ⁻⁴	71.71	69.07	-31.90
4×10 ⁻⁴	60.53	57.89	-70.04
5×10 ⁻⁴	60.76	58.11	-73.83

6

7 *3.4.3. Adsorption isotherm*

8 To demonstrate the efficiency of inhibitors and to determinate the mechanism of the
 9 molecules action, adsorption isotherms are often exploited. In this part, the classical Langmuir
 10 adsorption isotherm is applied to describe the adsorption behavior of the 5-AMT, and the
 11 isotherm can be represented as:

12
$$\frac{C_{inh}}{\theta} = \frac{1}{K_{ads}} + C_{inh} \quad (\text{Eq. 8})$$


13 where K_{ads} and C_{inh} are the equilibrium constant of the adsorption process and is the
 14 concentration of 5-AMT, respectively. The standard free energy of adsorption (ΔG_{ads}°) is
 15 related to K_{ads} according the following equation:

16
$$K_{ads} = (55.55)^{-1} e^{\left(\frac{-\Delta G_{ads}^{\circ}}{RT}\right)} \quad (\text{Eq. 9})$$

17 where R and T are the constant of ideal gas and the absolute temperature, respectively. The
 18 plots of C_{inh}/θ vs. C_{inh} show straight lines (Fig. 8) and the adsorption parameters were
 19 determined and are presented in Table 7. The deviation of the slopes from the unit can be

1 attributed to the interaction between the inhibitory molecules adsorbed, especially at high
 2 temperature (Table 7). Villamil et al. [62] proposed a modified Langmuir isotherm, which is
 3 given by following equation (Eq. 10):

$$4 \quad \frac{C_{\text{inh}}}{\theta} = \frac{n}{K_{\text{ads}}} + nC_{\text{inh}} \quad (\text{Eq. 10})$$



5
 6 **Fig. 8.** Langmuir isotherm model for M-steel / 1 M HCl / 5-AMT system.
 7

8 **Table 7**
 9 Parameters of adsorption for M-steel with 5-AMT in 1 M HCl.

Temperature (K)	R^2	Slope	K_{ads} (L mol ⁻¹)	$\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)	$\Delta H_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)	$\Delta S_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ (J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
303	0.999	0.981	4.30×10^4	-36.99		
313	0.999	0.935	1.91×10^4	-36.10		
323	0.988	0.891	1.21×10^4	-36.02	-61.12	79.4
333	0.991	0.710	4.44×10^3	-34.37		


10
 11 The obtained negative value of $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ indicates that the adsorbed layer is stable and the
 12 adsorption process onto the metal surface is spontaneous [10,63]. The nature of the interaction
 13 between 5-AMT and metal surface could be interpreted by three modes: physical adsorption,
 14 chemical adsorption or by complexation. The nature and charge of the metal (alloys), the type

1 of electrolytic solution and the chemical structure of the inhibitor are the essential parameters
 2 that influence these last three modes [64]. The values of $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ for the studied inhibitor varies
 3 between $-36.99 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $-34.37 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, indicating therefore that the adsorption
 4 mechanism of 5-AMT on M-steel surface involves two types of interactions, predominant
 5 physisorption (ionic) and weak chemisorption (molecular) [63-65]. In fact, the adsorption of
 6 our inhibitor on the surface of M-steel in two different ways: either the 5-AMT molecules
 7 adsorb electrostatically on the part of the surface of the substrate covered with Cl^{-} ions
 8 through its protonated form and or that the 5-AMT molecules compete with acid anions for
 9 sites at the water overcast surface and the unshared electron pairs in heteroatoms (O, N and/or
 10 S) interact with d-orbitals of M-steel to provide a protective film.

11 The thermodynamic parameters $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ (variation of enthalpy) and $\Delta S_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ (variation of
 12 entropy) for the adsorption of 5-AMT on M-M-steel is given by the following equation:

$$13 \quad \Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ} = \Delta H_{\text{ads}}^{\circ} - T \Delta S_{\text{ads}}^{\circ} \quad (\text{Eq. 11})$$

14 The values of $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ were plotted versus temperature T (Fig. 9). From equation 11
 15 and Fig. 9 we can calculate the two adsorption parameters ($\Delta S_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$), their values are
 16 gathered in the Table 7.



17

1 **Fig. 9.** Variation of $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ vs T for M-steel / 1 M HCl / 5-AMT system.

2 The positive values of $\Delta S_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ indicate an increase in the entropy and is a consequence
3 of the adsorption of 5-AMT on the M-steel surface [66]. Moreover, physisorption or mixture
4 of chemi/physisorption is usually characterized by an exothermic process ($\Delta H_{\text{ads}}^{\circ} < 0$) [4,67].
5 In our case, the adsorption is exothermic accompanied by $\Delta S_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ of positive sign. It should be
6 noted that an exothermic process of adsorption is always accompanied by increased disorder
7 ($\Delta S_{\text{ads}}^{\circ} > 0$). The same phenomenon has been interpreted by several authors as desorption of
8 water molecules existing on the metal surface and replacing them by adsorption of organic
9 compounds [68-71].



10

11 3.5. XPS study

12 To more assess the adsorbed layer on the M-steel surface and to understand the
13 corrosion protection mechanism of 5-AMT, the XPS analyses were consequently realised.
14 The obtained XPS wide-scan (survey) spectra of pure 5-AMT and of 5-AMT/treated M-steel
15 are presented in Fig. 10 and show the presence of C, O, N and S elements in the case of pure
16 5-AMT, peaks of Fe and Cl are also detected in case of 5-AMT/treated M-steel. The XPS
17 deconvoluted profiles for different elements core levels, exploiting the CASA XPS software,
18 are given in Figs. 11-12 and the corresponding data are recapitulated in Table 8.

19 For 5-AMT/treated M-steel sample, the C 1s peak deconvolution may be fitted into
20 three components as depicted in Fig. 11 and Table 8. The first at 284.9eV is related to C–C,
21 C=C and C–H bonds in the 5-AMT molecules [72]. The second at 286.6 eV might be
22 attributed to C–S, C=N bonds, and C–O in methoxy group of 5-AMT [72,73]. The last at
23 289.1 eV and less intense component (9 %) can be associated to shake-up satellite due to π - π^*
24 transitions in aromatic ring of 5-AMT [73]. Comparison of the component shape of C 1s peak
25 of 5-AMT-treated M-steel (Fig. 11a) and pure 5-AMT (Fig. 12a) shows, in both cases, three

1 components approximatively at the same binding energies as described above, with slight
2 variation in the component contribution (Table 8). The presence of different carbon
3 environments on the M-steel surface clearly discloses the adsorption of 5-AMT molecules.



6 **Fig. 10.** XPS survey spectra of a- pure 5-AMT and b- 5-AMT/treated M-steel substrate.

7

1 The S 2p spectrum of the pure 5-AMT (Fig. 12d) can be resolved two spin-orbit-split
2 doublets (S 2p_{3/2} and S 2p_{1/2}), at about 162.1 and 163.2 eV, respectively. This former is
3 ascribed to sulfur atoms in the 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring as described previously [34,74]. After
4 immersion in 1 M HCl, no S 2p signal is detected on the surface 5-AMT treated M-steel as
5 evidenced the XPS survey spectrum in Fig. 10b. N 1s spectrum of M-steel treated with 5-
6 AMT in 1 M HCl shows one peak located at around 399 - 402 eV (Fig. 11b). The low
7 intensity of this spectrum implies the low N amount on the substrate surface comparing with
8 that of pure 5-AMT (Fig. 10b). This peak can be partly associated to =N- structure in the
9 1,3,4-thiadiazole ring, as confirmed in N 1s spectrum of pure 5-AMT (Fig. 12b), and partly
10 attributed to N⁺, due to protonation of nitrogen atom in the amino group (-NH₃⁺) of 5-AMT
11 in HCl medium [75]. However, XPS surface elemental analysis of 5-AMT treated M-steel
12 sample shows that the N amount is very low (1.18 %). The low nitrogen content and the
13 absence of the sulphur on the M-steel surface can provide a reasonable explanation of the 5-
14 AMT adsorption mode. Based on this finding, we can suggest that 5-AMT inhibitor is mainly
15 electrostatically adsorbed on the M-steel surface. Indeed, the 5-AMT molecule possesses a
16 non-plane structure, which unfavourable for strong adsorption and therefore the N and S
17 atoms in 5-AMT cannot be engaged actively in the surface coverage.


18 The O 1s spectrum for 5-AMT/treated M-steel may be deconvoluted into three
19 components (Fig. 11c, Table 8), however, it is impossible to separate the contributions of
20 organic and inorganic oxygen [76]. The first one at 530.1 eV could be assigned to O²⁻ in the
21 Fe₂O₃ [68], the second at 531.7 eV can be associated to the presence of FeOOH [77] and the
22 last one at 533.3 eV may be ascribed to O atom of adsorbed water [77]. The two higher
23 binding energy features at 531.7 eV and 533.3 eV can be also attributed to -O- in the methoxy
24 group of 5-AMT [78], as demonstrated by O 1s analysis of the pure 5-AMT (Fig. 12c). The
25 presence of these components may suggest the chemical bonding between O and M-steel

1 surface. Indeed, the adsorption of 5-AMT via O of methoxy group can be occurred with the
2 formation of the O-Fe bond on the basis of donor acceptor interactions between the lone sp^2
3 electron pairs present on the O of methoxy group and the vacant d orbitals of Fe. Moreover,
4 the XPS surface elemental analyses show that the O concentration is higher in the case of 5-
5 AMT/treated M-steel sample (37.85%) compared to that of pure 5-AMT (9.78 %). This
6 difference may be explained by the formation of $Fe_2O_3/FeOOH$ layer on the M-steel surface
7 where 5-AMT molecules can be incorporated.


8 Fe 2p spectrum for substrate surface treated with 5-AMT shows a double peak profile
9 at 711 eV (Fe $2p_{3/2}$) and 725 eV (Fe $2p_{1/2}$) as shown in Fig. 11e. The Fe $2p_{3/2}$ deconvolution
10 consists in three components at 706.9, 710.6, and 711.3 eV (Fig. 11d, Table 8). The first one
11 is related to Fe^0 [79], while the last two assigned to Fe (III) are associated to the presence of
12 the Fe_2O_3 and $FeOOH$ [80,81] as detected in the O 1s spectrum. The Cl 2p core-level is fitted
13 on two spin-orbit-split doublets (Cl $2p_{1/2}$ and Cl $2p_{3/2}$) [75], with binding energy for Cl $2p_{3/2}$
14 peak at 198.5 eV (Fig. 11e) associated to Cl-Fe bond in $FeCl_3$ as mentioned previously [82].
15 In contrast, the XPS surface elemental analysis shows the presence of a small amount of
16 chlorine (0.66 %) on the exposed M-steel surface and this could be attributed to the protection
17 action of 5-AMT molecules.

18 In conclusion, the XPS results are in good trend with the thermodynamic findings,
19 suggesting that the adsorption of 5-AMT on the M-steel surface involved both physisorption
20 and chemisorption. The addition of 5-AMT in the corrosive solution leads to reduce the attack
21 of acid ions as well as restraining the metal dissolution process simultaneously.

22



1 **Fig. 11.** XPS deconvoluted profiles of C 1s, N 1s, O 1s, Fe 2p and Cl 2p for 5-AMT/ treated M-steel.



1 **Fig. 12.** XPS deconvoluted profiles of C 1s, N 1s, O 1s, and S 2p for the pure 5-AMT.

2

3

Table 8



Binding energies (eV), relative intensity and their assignment for the major core lines observed pure 5-AMT and 5-AMT/treated M-steel substrate.

Substrate	C 1s		N 1s		S 2p		O 1s		Fe 2p _{3/2}	
	BE / eV	Assignment	BE / eV	Assignment	BE / eV	Assignment	BE / eV	Assignment	BE / eV	Assignment
Pure 5-AMT	284.9 (60%)	C–C/C=C/C–H	400.7 (100 %)	=N– structure / –NH ₂	162.1 (58 %)	>S structure S 2p _{3/2}	532.1 (18%)	C–O	—	—
	286.6 (38 %)	C–O/C–S/C=N	—	—	163.2 (42 %)	>S structure S 2p _{1/2}	533.5 (82 %)	C–O	—	—
	289.1 (2 %)	π - π^* shakeup satellite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
5-AMT/treated M-steel	285 (74%)	C–C/C=C/C–H	398 - 402	=N– structure / –NH ₃ ⁺	—	—	530.1 (46 %)	O ²⁻ in Fe ₂ O ₃	706.9 (4 %)	Fe ⁰
	286.2 (17 %)	C–O/C–S/C=N	—	—	—	—	531.7 (51 %)	OH ⁻ in FeOOH/ C–O	710.6 (18 %)	Fe ₂ O ₃ /FeOOH
	288.7 (9 %)	π - π^* shakeup satellite	—	—	—	—	533.3 (3 %)	H ₂ O _{ads}	711.3 (78 %)	FeOOH/FeCl

1 3.6. DFT calculations

2 In order to study the correlation between the inhibitor molecular structure and its
3 anticorrosion activity, the inhibitive performances of 2-amino-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-
4 thiadiazole (5-AMT) were compared with those of 2-amino-5-thiol-1,3,4-thiadiazole (5-ATT)
5 previously described [10]. 5-ATT is a 1,3,4-thiazole derivative, similar to 5-AMT with thiol
6 group in 5-ATT instead of methoxyphenyl group in 5-AMT (Table 9).

7 **Table 9**
8 Comparison of $\eta(\%)$ values for 5-AMT and 5-ATT (5×10^{-4} M) in 1 M HCl at 303 K obtained by
9 different corrosion evaluation methods.

Inhibitor	Inhibition efficiency (%)			
	Tafel	LPR	AC impedance	Weight loss
 5-AMT	98.68	98.27	98.30	98.00
 5-ATT [10]	82.50	76.96	77.71	79.48


10
11 The obtained corrosion efficiency values, obtained by different evaluation methods
12 (Tafel polarisation, AC impedance and weight loss), for M-steel in 1 M HCl containing 5×10^{-4}
13 M of a 1,3,4-thiazole derivative (5-AMT or 5-ATT) are listed in Table 9. The inspection of
14 these results showed that 5-AMT presents the better inhibition performance than 5-ATT
15 whatever the used evaluation method, which can be correlated to the difference between the
16 two inhibitor structures. Indeed, the replacement of SH group in position 5 in 5-ATT
17 molecule by the methoxyphenyl group in 5-AMT molecule arises a significant enhancement
18 in the inhibition efficiency. This enhanced efficiency may be due to the introduction of the
19 electron releasing on the 1,3,4-thiadiazole moiety, giving therefore, a favourable electron
20 density for preferential adsorption interactions. Hence it facilitates greater adsorption of 5-
21 AMT on M-steel surface than 5-ATT, leading to higher inhibition efficiency of 5-AMT than

1 5-ATT. This difference in inhibition efficiency could be explained by computational
2 parameters of these two molecules in both neutral and protonated forms using DFT method.

3 3.6.1. Neutral forms of 5-ATT and 5-AMT

4 The electron density distribution of frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs), such as
5 HOMO and LUMO, is very important for predicting the mode of reactivity of inhibitor
6 molecules with the substrate surface [82]. This behaviour translates into the phenomenon of
7 electron sharing whose donor effect is represented by the regions occupied by the electron
8 density of HOMO, while the regions occupied by the electron density of LUMO will be
9 available to receive electrons [83]. In this context, Fig. 13 shows the optimized structures and
10 electron density distributions of FMOs. We note that the chemical quantum calculations show
11 no imaginary frequency, i.e. these molecules are well optimized and stable. The visual scan of
12 the Fig. 13 clearly indicates that the density distribution of FMOs is localized over the entire
13 structure of the molecules in question. This shows that both compounds carry several active
14 sites that are available to react with the iron atoms on the metal surface, indicating better
15 adsorption and supporting the protection of the metal surface against corrosion [84].

16



17 **Fig. 13.** Optimized structures, HOMO and LUMO for the neutral forms of 5-ATT and 5-AMT at
18 B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) in gas phase.

1 The set of chemical quantum descriptors obtained by the DFT calculation of the two
2 neutral molecules in the gas phase are grouped together in [Table 10](#). Analysis and
3 comparative study of the molecular descriptor values show that there is a good correlation
4 with the order of inhibitory efficiency, i.e. 5-AMT > 5-ATT. The 5-AMT molecule has higher
5 values of E_{HOMO} and ΔN_{110} , reflecting a strong tendency to give electrons to the vacant orbitals
6 of iron atoms to form coordination bonds, while the electron acceptor provider is measured by
7 the lowest value of E_{LUMO} [[85,86](#)]. The gap energy (ΔE_{gap}) is the energy required to remove an
8 electron from the last occupied layer and reflects the degree of chemical reactivity of a
9 molecule with a minimum value of this descriptor [[87](#)]. Therefore, compared with 5-ATT, the
10 minimum value of ΔE_{gap} for 5-AMT indicates that this molecule has high chemical activity.
11 Hardness (η) is another descriptor that represents the reactivity of a molecule, a minimum
12 value of this descriptor indicates high reactivity [[88](#)]. In this case, the inhibition efficiency
13 values of 5-AMT and 5-ATT regrouped in [Table 9](#) are in accordance with the forecasted trend
14 of protection efficiency. Electronegativity (χ) is exploited to examine the ability of a molecule
15 to attract electrons to it; a molecule with a minimum descriptor value is considered a good
16 inhibitor [[89](#)]. For 5-AMT molecule, this property can be verified. Electrophilic (ω) and
17 nucleophilic (ε) indicators present the capacity of an inhibitory molecule to receive and
18 contribute electrons, respectively [[90](#)]. The data in [Table 10](#) show that a 5-AMT molecule
19 represents a high capacity to give electrons and a low capacity to accept electrons. This
20 characteristic indicates that 5-AMT reacts more efficiently with the metal surface by forming
21 covalent bonds.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

1 **Table 10**

2 Quantum chemical descriptors for the neutral forms of 5-ATT and 5-AMT at B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) in
3 gas phase.


Neutral form	E_{HOMO} (eV)	E_{LUMO} (eV)	ΔE_{gap} (eV)	η (eV)	χ (eV)	ΔN_{110}	ω (eV)	ε (eV ⁻¹)
5-ATT	-6.670	-1.353	5.317	2.658	4.011	0.152	3.027	0.330
5-AMT	-5.570	-1.107	4.463	2.2315	3.338	0.332	2.497	0.400

4 ^(a) The $\eta(\%)$ values were obtained by exploiting EIS method for 5×10^{-4} M of investigated 1,3,4-thiazole derivatives.

5

6 *3.6.2. Possible protonated forms of 5-ATT and 5-AMT*

7 As observed in the structure of the molecules studied, there are hetero atoms such as
8 sulfur (S), nitrogen (N), and oxygen (O). It is probable that these atoms suggest their strong
9 tendency to protonate in an acidic medium. Therefore, it is crucial to explore the importance
10 of the molecular properties of the protonated form of the inhibitor molecule for adsorption on
11 metal surfaces [91]. We have exploited data of distribution of protonated forms versus pH
12 exploiting the Marvin Sketch software to determine the most favourable site(s) for
13 protonation [92]. Therefore, Fig. 14 represents the different possible proton forms determined
14 by nitrogen atoms for the two molecules tested. The percentage of protonation at pH = 0 of
15 each atom is depicted in Fig. 14. It is clear that the 5-ATT molecule has only one form of the
16 protonation 5-ATTH⁺ (100%). On the other hand, the 5-AMT molecule has three possible
17 protonated forms such as 5-AMTH⁺ (form 1: 39%), 5-AMTH⁺ (form 2: 37%), and 5-
18 AMTH₂²⁺ (form 3: 24%). The percentage of the only protonation for the two nitrogen atoms is
19 almost the same, while the percentage of double protonation is less important than single
20 protonation. This diversity of protonated sites indicates a high level of reactivation of the 5-
21 AMT molecule in the acidic medium. This explains why this molecule is more effective
22 against corrosion than 5-AMT. In the following, we investigated the effect of protonation on
23 the electronic behaviour of the molecules surveyed exploiting DFT calculation.




1

2 **Fig. 14.** Possible forms of protonation of 5-ATT and 5-AMT in the acidic medium.

3

4 The optimized structures and electron density distribution of FMOs in the ground state
 5 of the protonated forms of the 5-ATT and 5-AMT molecules are displayed in Fig. 15. It is
 6 clear from analysis of this figure that the density of HOMO and LUMO is dispersed over the
 7 entire molecular skeleton of all protonated forms, i.e. all the atoms of the molecules studied
 8 are capable of reacting with the iron atoms of the metal surface. This result proves that the
 9 protonation of the selected molecules does not influence the electron density distribution,
 10 which leads to a better adsorption of these forms on the surface of the substrate. The different
 11 values of quantum chemical descriptors calculated of the selected molecules in the protonated
 12 form exploiting at B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) are shown in Table 11. Comparative analysis of the
 13 results accumulated in this Table suggests that all protonated forms of 5-AMT are more
 14 chemically reactive than the protonated form of 5-ATT. This also confirms the order of
 15 inhibitory efficacy observed experimentally.



1 **Fig. 15.** Optimized structures, HOMO and LUMO for the protonated forms of 5-ATT and 5-AMT at
2 B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) in gas phase.

3

4 Generally speaking, protonation results in an increase in the reactivated of protonated
5 molecules, this is justified by a decrease in the values of the descriptors ΔE_{gap} and η . In
6 addition, the high values of E_{LUMO} , ω and χ , as well as the negative values of ΔN_{110} , of the
7 protonated forms are characterized by a high electron receptor effect from the electron donor
8 group located in the metal surface [93]. This effect is very important in the case of double
9 protonation (5-AMTH₂²⁺ (24%)).

10

1 **Table 11**
 2 Quantum chemical descriptors for the protonated forms of 5-ATT and 5-AMT at B3LYP/6-31G (d, p)
 3 in gas phase.

Possible protonated forms	E_{HOMO} (eV)	E_{LUMO} (eV)	ΔE_{gap} (eV)	η (eV)	χ (eV)	ΔN_{110}	ω (eV)	ε (eV ⁻¹)
5-ATTH ⁺	-11.229	-6.178	5.051	2.525	8.703	-0.769	14.997	0.067
5-AMTH ⁺ (form 1)	-9.466	-5.267	4.199	2.099	7.366	-0.606	12.923	0.077
5-AMTH ⁺ (form 2)	-9.864	-5.979	3.885	1.942	7.921	-0.798	16.152	0.062
5-AMTH ₂ ²⁺ (form 3)	-13.639	-10.158	3.481	1.740	11.898	-2.033	40.671	0.025

4


5 3.6.3. Local selectivity (Fukui indices)

6 In order to identify the local selectivity of the inhibitory molecules 5-ATT and 5-
 7 AMT, the Fukui functions were calculated exploiting the DMol³ module integrated in the
 8 Materials Studio package (version 8.0) [94]. In this analysis, the Generalized Gradient
 9 Approximation (GGA) of the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) formula was employed for the
 10 electron exchange potential-electron correlation [95], and the all-electron computations were
 11 carried out with a double numerical basis (DNP 4.4). Fukui functions allow describing the
 12 reactive sites that are responsible on the electrophilic (f_i^-) and nucleophilic (f_i^+) attacks of
 13 the molecules under review. Generally, the most condensed functions show the most active
 14 sites (atoms) [96]. Fig. 16 illustrates the densities of the Fukui functions for neutral and
 15 protonated molecules for electrophilic (cyan color) and nucleophilic (wine color) attacks. The
 16 general observation that is noted from this figure, the protonation leads to decreased density
 17 of f_i^- (cyan color), i.e. the donor power of electrons is reduced by this effect. In addition, the
 18 majority of sites in the structure of neutral molecules are occupied by condensed functions
 19 (f_i^- and f_i^+), indicating high reactivity with sites on the metal surface.

20

21

22



1 **Fig.16.** Condensed Fukui functions (f_i^- (cyan color) and f_i^+ (wine color)) distributed on the skeleton
 2 of neutral and protonated inhibitory molecules.
 3

4 *3.7. MDS evaluation*

5 *3.7.1. Neutral form/Fe (110) interface*

6 The protection of a metal surface by inhibitor molecules depends on the mechanism of
 7 action, i.e. the adsorption performance [97]. Therefore, to obtain more information on this
 8 phenomenon, molecular dynamics simulation (MDS) was exploited, taking into account the
 9 considerations of the corrosive medium (HCl) [98,99]. Fig. 17 gives the best adsorption
 10 configuration of the neutral molecules of 5-ATT and 5-AMT on the Fe (110) surface.

11




Fig. 17. Constant adsorption configurations of (a) 5-ATT and (b) 5-AMT neutral molecules on the Fe (110) surface in HCl at 303 K.

It was very apparent that the tested molecules are adsorbed by the whole molecular structure, covering a large part of the contact surface. The advantage of the 5-AMT molecule is a large molecular surface area skeleton caused by the presence of methoxyphenyl group compared of 5-ATT, resulting in the best protection of the M-steel surface. In addition, parallel adsorption indicates that 5-ATT and 5-AMT compounds exhibit several local active sites, revealing high chemical reactivity of these species.

The energy descriptors, i.e. the interaction energy ($E_{\text{interaction}}$) and the binding energy (E_{binding}), are exploited to evaluate the interaction and adsorption power of the tested inhibitory molecules with the Fe (110) surface, respectively. To calculate the values of the two energy descriptors mentioned, we exploited the two following equations [100]:

$$E_{\text{interaction}} = E_{\text{total}} - (E_{\text{surface+solution}} + E_{\text{inhibitor}}) \quad (\text{Eq. 12})$$

$$E_{\text{binding}} = -E_{\text{interaction}} \quad (\text{Eq. 13})$$

1 The calculated values of $E_{\text{interaction}}$ and E_{binding} of the adsorbed molecules are collected
 2 in [Table 12](#). As noted in this table, the negative values of $E_{\text{interaction}}$ and the positive and high
 3 values of E_{binding} indicate that the adsorption process of the molecules under discussion occurs
 4 spontaneously and that 5-ATT and 5-AMT adsorb efficiently on the substrate surface [101].
 5 Therefore, compared with 5-ATT, the high value of E_{binding} of 5-AMT shows that this
 6 molecule is more effective against M-steel corrosion in acidic medium [102]. This result
 7 reinforces the order of inhibitory efficacy reported in [Table 9](#).

8 **Table 12**
 9 $E_{\text{interaction}}$ and E_{binding} energies for 5-ATT and 5-AMT on the Fe (110) surface at 303 K.

System	$E_{\text{interaction}}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)	E_{binding} (kJ mol ⁻¹)
5-ATT / Fe(110)	-342.239	342.239
5-AMT / Fe(110)	-389.820	389.820

10


11 *3.7.2. Protonated form/Fe (110) interface*

12 In the DFT section, we have already discussed that protonation leads to an increase in
 13 the overall chemical reactivity of the protonated forms. A similar study was conducted
 14 exploiting MDS. [Fig. 18](#) shows the different final adsorption configurations of different
 15 protonated forms of the molecules in question. The visual inspection of the images portrayed
 16 in the side and top views shows that despite protonation the molecules are still adsorbed by
 17 the entire molecular structure. This reflects a better reactivated of the investigated compounds
 18 with the metallic surface, indicating a better protection of the examined M-steel.

19

20

21



1
 2 **Fig. 18.** Constant adsorption configurations of (a) 5-ATTH⁺ (100%), (b) 5-AMTH⁺ (form 1), (c) 5-
 3 AMTH⁺ (form 2), and (d) 5-AMTH₂²⁺ (form 3) protonated molecules on the Fe (110) surface in 1 M
 4 HCl at 303 K.

5
 6 To better understand the effect of protonation on the reactivity of the studied
 7 molecules with the metal surface, we exploited energy descriptors such as $E_{\text{interaction}}$ and
 8 E_{binding} . The values of these descriptors are grouped in [Table 13](#). It is very clear that the of
 9 $E_{\text{interaction}}$ are shifted towards the most negative of all protonated forms, leading to an increase
 10 in the reactivity of the forms in relation to the surface of the contact, *i.e.* the protonation
 11 positively influences the inhibitor/Fe interfacial interaction. In addition, the more positive
 12 values of the protonated forms in comparison with the neutral forms indicate that these forms
 13 are well adsorbed on the surface of the iron. There is also increased reactivity in the case of
 14 double protonation of 5-AMT. Similarly, protonation does not change the order of
 15 effectiveness discovered experimentally.

16


1 **Table 13**2 $E_{\text{interaction}}$ and E_{binding} energies for 5-ATTH⁺, 5-AMTH⁺ (form 1), 5-AMTH⁺ (form 2), and 5-AMTH₂²⁺
3 (form 3) protonated molecules on the Fe (110) surface at 303 K.

System	$E_{\text{interaction}}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)	E_{binding} (kJ mol ⁻¹)
5-ATTH ⁺ / Fe(110)	-365.015	365.015
5-AMTH ⁺ (form 1) / Fe(110)	-406.981	406.981
5-AMTH ⁺ (form 2) / Fe(110)	-4013.204	4013.204
5-AMTHH ₂ ²⁺ (form 3) / Fe(110)	-429.979	429.979

4


5 *3.8.2. RDF method*

6 The adsorption of inhibitor molecules on the surface of the metal depends essentially
7 on the types of bonds formed between them [103]. The radial distribution function (RDF)
8 method emphasizes the structural analysis of the MD simulation results and has been
9 employed to detect the type of chemical, physical, or both chemical and physical bonds. If the
10 value of these lengths is of the range of 1-3.5 Å, in this case there is the appearance of the
11 chemical aspect, indicating a strong adsorption, while if the bond length value is > 3.5 Å, then
12 the physical appearance occurs easily [104]. Figs. 19 and 20 reveal that the observed values
13 on the first peak for each bond length of the adsorption of neutral and protonated molecules
14 on the surface of Fe (110) at the simulated temperature of 303 K are in the chemisorption
15 range for the bond lengths depicted in these figures. These results show that both forms
16 adsorb on the metal surface through coordination bonds.




1
2 **Fig. 19.** RDF method of neutral forms on Fe (110) surface in HCl solution at 303 K obtained exploiting MD
3 simulation.

4



5



6

7 **Fig. 20.** RDF method of protonated forms of 5-ATT and 5-AMT on Fe (110) surface in 1 M HCl
8 solution at 303 K obtained exploiting MD simulation.

9

10

1 **4. Conclusions**

2 2-Amino-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole (5-AMT) was found to show
3 excellent protection properties for M-steel corrosion in 1 M HCl solution and the maximum
4 protection efficiency is about 98% at 5×10^{-4} M at 303 K. PDP technique indicates that the
5 tested 1,3,4-thiadiazole is a mixed type corrosion inhibitor in 1 M HCl medium. EIS
6 measurements show that the polarisation resistance (R_p) increased and the double layer
7 capacitance (C_{dl}) decreased in the presence of 5-AMT indicating the adsorption of this
8 inhibitor on the M-steel surface. The adsorption of 5-AMT obeyed to Langmuir adsorption
9 isotherm and the thermodynamic results as well as XPS study further suggested the
10 combination of physisorption and chemisorption. Both the computational DFT calculations
11 and MD simulation outcomes showed the reasonable correlations between the anti-corrosion
12 activity of the tested inhibitor and their chemical structure properties.

13

14

15

16

1 References



- 2 [1] V.S. Sastri, *Corrosion Inhibitors-Principles & Applications*, Wiley, Chichester, England, 1998.
- 3 [2] R. Solmaz, G. Kardas, B. Yazıcı, M. Erbil, *Corros. Eng. Sci. Technol.* 43 (2008) 186–191.
- 4 [3] S.A. Abd El Maksoud, *Corros. Sci.* 44 (2002) 803–813.
- 5 [4] A.Y. Musa, A.A.H. Kadhum, A.B. Mohamad, M.S. Takriff, *Corros. Sci.* 52 (2010) 3331–3340.
- 6 [5] I. Ahamad, R. Prasad, M.A. Quraishi, *Corros. Sci.* 52 (2010) 3033–3041.
- 7 [6] H. Ma, S. Chen, L. Niu, S. Zhao, S. Li, D. Li, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 32 (2002) 65–72.
- 8 [7] F. Bentiss, M. Outirite, M. Traisnel, H. Vezin, M. Lagrenée, B. Hammouti, S.S. Al-Deyab, C.
- 9 Jama, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* 7 (2012) 1699–1723.
- 10 [8] T.A. Salman, D.S. Zinad, S.H. Jaber, M. Al-Ghezi, A. Mahal, M. Takriff, A.A. Al-Amiery, *J. Bio.*
- 11 *Tribo. Corros.* 5, Article number 48 (2019).
- 12 [9] S.B. Al-Baghdadi, F.G. Hashim, A.Q. Salam, T.K. Abed, T.S. Gaaz, A.A. Al-Amiery, A.A.H.
- 13 Kadhum, K.S. Reda, W.K. Ahmed, *Results Phys.* 8 (2018) 1178–1184.
- 14 [10] H. Ouici, M. Tourabi, O. Benali, C. Selles, C. Jama, A. Zarrouk, F. Bentiss, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*
- 15 803 (2017) 125–134.
- 16 [12] S.B. Al-Baghdadi, F.T.M. Noori, W.K. Ahmed, A.A. Al-Amiery, *J. Advan. Electrochem.* 2(1)
- 17 (2016) 67–69.
- 18 [13] M.J. Banera, J.A. Caram, C.A. Gervasi, M.V. Mirífico, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 44 (12) (2014)
- 19 1337–1344.
- 20 [14] A.A. Al-Amiery, A.A. H. Kadhum, A.H.M. Alobaidy, A. B. Mohamad, P.S. Hoon, *Materials* 7
- 21 (2014) 662–672.
- 22 [15] M. Sobhi, R. El-Sayed, M. Abdallah, *J. Surf. Deterg.* 16 (2013) 937–946.
- 23 [16] M. Palomar-Pardavé, M. Romero-Romo, H. Herrera-Hernández, M.A. Abreu-Quijano, N.V.
- 24 Likhanova, J. Uruchurtu, J.M. Juárez-García, *Corros. Sc.* 54 (2012) 231–243.
- 25 [17] F. Bentiss, B. Mernari, M. Traisnel, H. Vezin, M. Lagrenée, *Corros. Sci.* 53 (2011) 487–495.
- 26 [18] R. Solmaz, *Corros. Sci.* 52 (2010) 3321–3330.
- 27 [19] A.K. Singh, M.A. Quraishi, *Corros. Sci.* 52(2010) 1373–1385.
- 28 [20] Y. Tang, X. Yang, W. Yang, Y. Chen, R. Wan, *Corros. Sci.* 52 (2010) 242–249.
- 29 [21] R. Solmaz, G. Kardaş, B. Yazıcı, M. Erbil, *Coll. Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects* 312 (2008)
- 30 7–17.
- 31 [22] F. Bentiss, M. Lebrini, M. Lagrenée, M. Traisnel, A. Elfarouk, H. Vezin, *Electrochim. Acta* 52
- 32 (2007) 6865–6872.
- 33 [23] R.T. Loto, C.A. Loto, *Protec. Met. Phys. Chem. Surf.* 51 (2015) 693–700.
- 34 [24] R.T. Loto, C.A. Loto, A.P.I. Popoola, T.I. Fedotova, *Portugaliae Electrochim. Acta* 32 (2014)
- 35 337–354.
- 36 [25] M. Guzmán, R. Lara, L. Vera, *J. Chill. Chem. Soc.* 54(2009) 123–128.
- 37 [26] A. Benikdes, O. Benali, A. Tidjani, M. Tourabi, H. B. Ouici, F. Bentiss, *J. Mater. Environm. Sci.*
- 38 8(2017) 3175–3183.
- 39 [27] W. Qafsaoui, A. Et Taouil, M.W. Kendig, O. Heintz, H. Cachet, S. Joiret, H. Takenouti, *J. Appl.*
- 40 *Electrochem.* 49 (2019) 823–837.
- 41 [28] M.B. Radovanović, M.M. Antonijević, *J. Adhes. Sci. Tech.* 31 (2017) 369–387.
- 42 [29] H. Tian, W. Li, K. Cao, B. Hou, *Corros. Sci.* 73 (2013) 281–291.
- 43 [30] O.L. Blajiev, T. Breugelmans, R. Pintelon, H. Terryn, A. Hubin, *Electrochim. Acta*, 53(2008)
- 44 7451–7459.
- 45 [31] E.M. Sherif, S. Park, *Electrochim. Acta* 51 (2006) 6556–6562.
- 46 [32] O. Blajiev, A. Hubin, *Electrochim. Acta* 49 (2004) 2761–2770.
- 47 [33] M. Yusuf, R.A. Khan, B. Ahmed, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 16 (2008) 8029–8034.
- 48 [34] M. Tourabi, K. Nohair, M. Traisnel, C. Jama, F. Bentiss, *Corros. Sci.* 75 (2013) 123–133.
- 49 [35] D.A. Shirley, *Phys. Rev. B* 5 (1972) 4709–4714.
- 50 [36] F. Benhiba, H. Zarrok, A. Elmidaoui, M. El Hezzat, R. Tourir, A. Guenbour, A. Zarrouk, S.
- 51 Boukhris, H. Oudda, *J. Mater. Environ. Sci.* 6 (2015) 2301–2314.
- 52 [37] B. Tana, S. Zhang, H. Liu, Y. Qiang, W. Li, L. Guo d, S. Chen, *J. Taiwan. Inst. Chem. E.* 102
- 53 (2019) 424–437.

- 1 [38] M. Frisch, G. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G. Scuseria, M. Robb, J. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V.
2 Barone, B. Mennucci, G. Petersson, Gaussian 09, revision D. 01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT,
3 2009.
- 4 [39] L. Saqalli, M. Galai, F. Benhiba, N. Gharda, N. Habbadi, R. Ghailane, M. Ebn Touhami, Y.
5 Peres-lucchese, A. Souizi, R. Tourir, *J. Mater. Environ. Sci.* 8 (2017) 2455–2467.
- 6 [40] F. Benhiba, H. Serrar, R. Hsissou, A. Guenbour, A. Bellaouchou, M. Tabyaoui, S. Boukhris, H.
7 Oudda, I. Warad, A. Zarrouk, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 743 (2020) 137181
- 8 [41] S. Kaya, P. Banerjee, S.K. Saha, B. Tüzün, C. Kaya, *RSC Adv.*, 6 (2016) 74550–74559.
- 9 [42] Materials Studio, Revision 8.0, Accelrys Inc., San Diego, USA (2015).
- 10 [43] H. Sun, *J. Phys. Chem. B.* 102 (1998) 7338–7364.
- 11 [44] H.C. Andersen, *J. Chem. Phys.* 72 (1980) 2384–2393.
- 12 [45] C. Verma, J. Haque, E.E. Ebenso, M.A. Quraishi, *Results Phys.* 9 (2018) 100–112
- 13 [46] A. Singh, K. R. Ansari, M.A. Quraishi, H. Lgaz, *Materials* 12 (2019) 17–35.
- 14 [47] I. Nadi, Z. Belattmania, B. Sabour, A. Reani, A. Sahibed-dine, C. Jama, F. Bentiss, *Int. J. Biol.*
15 *Macromol.* 141 (2019) 137–149.
- 16 [48] A. Espinoza-Vázquez, S. Garcia-Galan, F. J. Rodríguez-Gómez, *J. Anal. Bioanal. Tech.* 6 (2015)
17 1000273.
- 18 [49] A.S. Fouda, K. Shalabi, R. Ezzat, *J. Mater. Environ. Sci.* 6 (2015) 1022–1039.
- 19 [50] M. Lebrini, M. Lagrenée, H. Vezin, M. Traisnel, F. Bentiss, *Corros. Sci.* 49 (2007) 2254–2269.
- 20 [51] F. Bentiss, M. Traisnel, M. Lagrenée, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 31 (2001) 41–48.
- 21 [52] R. Yildiz, *Corros. Sci.* 90 (2015) 544–553.
- 22 [53] M. Yadav, U. Sharma, P.N. Yadav, *Egypt. J. Petrol.* 22 (2013) 335–344.
- 23 [54] I.N. Putolova, S.A. Balezin, V.P. Barannik, *Metallic Corrosion Inhibitors*, Pergamann Press, NY,
24 1960, 31.
- 25 [55] R. Solmaz, G. Kardaş, M. Çulha, B. Yazıcı, M. Erbil, *Electrochim. Acta* 53 (2008) 5941–5953.
- 26 [56] M. Scendo, J. Trela, *Inter. J. Electrochem. Sci.* 8(2013) 8329–8347.
- 27 [57] Q.A. Jawad, D.S. Zinad, R.D. Salim, A.A. Al-Amiery, T.S. Gaaz, M.S. Takriff, A.A.H. Kadhum,
28 *Coatings* 9 (2019) 729.
- 29 [58] A.K. Singh, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 40 (2010) 1293–1306.
- 30 [59] G.K. Gomma, M.H. Wahdan, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 39 (1995) 209–213.
- 31 [60] A.K. Singh, M.A. Quraishi, *Corros. Sci.* 52 (2010) 1529–1535
- 32 [61] V.R. Saliyan, A.V. Adhikari, *Bull. Mater. Sci.* 31 (4) (2008) 699–711.
- 33 [62] R.F.V. Villamil, P. Corio, J.C. Rubin, S.M.L. Agostinho, *J. Electroanal. Chem* 535 (2002) 75–83.
- 34 [63] L. Yang, X. Li, G. Mu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 253 (2006) 2367–2372.
- 35 [64] A. K. Singh, J. Gopal, R. Prakash, E.E. Ebenso, A.K. Singh, *Inter. J. Electrochem. Sci.* 8 (2013)
36 9442–9448.
- 37 [65] M. Lebrini, M. Traisnel, M. Lagrenée, B. Mernari, F. Bentiss, *Corros. Sci.* 50 (2007) 473–479.
- 38 [66] Emranuzzaman, T. Kumar, S. Vishwanatham, G. Udayabhanu, *Corros. Eng. Sci. Technol.* 39,
39 (2004) 327–332.
- 40 [67] N.M. Mallikarjuna, J. Keshavayya, B.M. Prasanna, B.M. Praveen, H.C. Tandon, *J. Bio-Tribo-*
41 *Corros.* 6, Article number: 9 (2020).
- 42 [68] B.G. Ateya, B.E. El-Anadouli, F.M. El-Nizamy, *Corros. Sci.* 24 (1984) 509–515.
- 43 [69] M. Bouklah, B. Hammouti, M. Lagrenée, F. Bentiss, *Corros. Sci.* 48 (2006) 2831–2842.
- 44 [70] X. Li, S. Deng, G. Mu, H. Fu, F. Yang, *Corros. Sci.* 50 (2008)420–430.
- 45 [71] E. Kamis, F. Bellucci, R.M. Latanision, E.S.H. El-Ashry, *Corrosion* 47 (1991)677–686.
- 46 [72] M. El Faydy, R. Tourir, M. Ebn Touhami, A. Zarrouk, C. Jama, B. Lakhrissi, L.O. Olasunkanmi,
47 E.E. Ebenso, F. Bentiss, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 20 (2018) 20167–20187.
- 48 [73] G.P. Lopez, D.G. Castner, B.D. Ratner, *Surf. Interf. Anal.* 17 (1991) 267–272.
- 49 [74] E.T. Kang, K.G. Neoh, K.L. Tan, *Phys. Rev. B* 44 (1991) 10461–10469.
- 50 [75] F. Moulder, W.F. Stickle, P.E. Sobol, K.D. Bomben, in: J. Chastain (Ed.), *Handbook of X-Ray*
51 *Photoelectron Spectroscopy*, Perkin-Elmer Corp., Minnesota, USA, 1995.
- 52 [76] A.R. González-Elipe, A. Martínez-Alonso, J.M.D. Tascón, *Surf. Interface Anal.* 12 (1988)
53 565–571.
- 54 [77] W. Temesghen, P.M.A. Sherwood, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 373 (2002) 601–608.


- 1 [78] K. Babić-Samardžija, C. Lupu, N. Hackerman, A.R. Barron, A. Luttge, *Langmuir* 21 (2005)
2 12187–12196.
- 3 [79] M. Bouanis, M. Tourabi, A. Nyassi, A. Zarrouk, C. Jama, F. Bentiss, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 389 (2016)
4 952–966.
- 5 [80] P. Mourya, P. Singh, A.K. Tewari, R.B. Rastogi, M.M. Singh, *Corros. Sci.* 95 (2015) 71–87.
- 6 [81] M. Outirite, M. Lagrenée, M. Lebrini, M. Traisnel, C. Jama, H. Vezin, F. Bentiss, *Electrochim.*
7 *Acta* 55 (2010) 1670–1681.
- 8 [82] V.S. Sastri, M. Elboudjaini, J.R. Rown, J.R. Perumareddi, *Corrosion* 52 (1996) 447–452.
- 9 [83] L. Reznik, L. Sathler, M. Cardoso, M. Albuquerque, *Mater. Corros.* 59 (2008) 685–690.
- 10 [84] A. El yaktini, A. Lachiri, M. El Faydy, F. Benhiba, H. Zarrok, M. El Azzouzi, M. Zertoubi, M.
11 Azzi, B. Lakhrissi, A. Zarrouk, *Int. J. Corros. Scale Inhib.* 7 (2017) 609–632.
- 12 [85] S.K. Saha, A. Dutta, P. Ghosh, D. Sukul, P. Banerjee, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 18 (2016)
13 17898–17911.
- 14 [86] R. Hsissou, F. Benhiba, M. Khudhair, M. Berradi, A. Mahsouné, H. Oudda, A. El Harfi, I.B.
15 Obot, A. Zarrouk, *J. King Saud Univ. Sci.* 32 (2020) 667–676.
- 16 [87] C. Verma, Lukman O. Olanukanmi, I.B. Obot, Eno E. Ebenso, M.A. Quraishi, *RSC Adv.* 6
17 (2016) 53933.
- 18 [88] L.O. Olanukanmi, I.B. Obot, M.M. Kabanda, E.E. Ebenso, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 119 (2015) 16004–
19 16019.
- 20 [89] R. Hsissou, F. Benhiba, S. About. O. Dagdag. S. Benkhaya. Avni. Berisha. H. Erramli. A.
21 Elharfi, *J. Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 115 (2020) 107858.
- 22 [90] F. Benhiba, Z. Benzekri, A. Guenbour, M. Tabyaoui, A. Bellaouchou, S. Boukhris, H. Oudda, I.
23 Warad, A. Zarrouk, *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.* 28 (2020) 1436–1458.
- 24 [91] J. Haque, C. Verma, V. Srivastava, M.A. Quraishi, E.E. Ebenso, *Results Phys.* 9 (2018) 1481–
25 1493.
- 26 [92] MarvinSketch Software, Version: 18.22, ChemAxon Ltd., 2018.
- 27 [93] A. El yaktini, A. Lachiri, M. El Faydy, F. Benhiba, H. Zarrok, M. El Azzouzi, M. Zertoubi, M.
28 Azzi, B. Lakhrissi, A. Zarrouk, *Orient. J. Chem.* 34 (2018) 3016–3029.
- 29 [94] R. Nabah, F. Benhiba, Y. Ramli, M. Ouakki, M. Cherkaoui, H. Oudda, R. Tourir, I. Warad, A.
30 Zarrouk, *J. Anal. Bioanal. Electrochem.* 10 (2018) 1375–1398.
- 31 [95] Z. Muskalski, S. Wiewiorowska, *Procedia Manuf.* 2 (2015) 181–185.
- 32 [96] E. Ech-chihbi, A. Nahlé, R. Salim, H. Oudda, F. El Hajjaji, F. El Kalai, A. El Aatiaoui, M. Taleb,
33 *J. Bio. Tribo. Corros.* 5 (2019) 24.
- 34 [97] E. Alibakhshi, M. Ramezanzadeh, G. Bahlakeh, B. Ramezanzadeh, M. Mahdavian, M. Motamedi,
35 *J. Mol. Liq.* 255 (2018) 185–198.
- 36 [98] H. Rahmani, K.I. Alaoui, M. El Azzouzi, F. Benhiba, A. El Hallaoui, Z. Rais, M. Taleb, A.
37 Saady, B. Labriti, A. Aouniti, A. Zarrouk, *J. Chem. Data Collect.* 24 (2019) 100302.
- 38 [99] M.E. Belghiti, S. Echihi, A. Mahsouné, Y. Karzazi, A. Aboulmouhajir, A. Dafali, I. Bahadur, J.
39 *Mol. Liq.* 261 (2018) 62–75.
- 40 [100] J. Saranya, F. Benhiba, N. Anusuya, A. Zarrouk, S. Chitra, *J. Chem. Data Collect.* 26 (2020)
41 100358.
- 42 [101] G. Bahlakeh, A. Dehghani, B. Ramezanzadeh, M. Ramezanzadeh, *J. Mol. Liq.* 293 (2019)
43 111559.
- 44 [102] M. Murmu, S.K. Saha, N.C. Murmu, P. Banerjee, *Corros. Sci.* 146 (2019) 134–151.
- 45 [103] R. Hsissou, F. Benhiba, O. Dagdag, M. El Bouchti, K. Nouneh, M. Assouag, S. Briche, A.
46 Zarrouk, A. Elharfi, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.* 574 (2020) 43–60.
- 47 [104] M. Rbaa, F. Benhiba, A.S. Abousalem, M. Galai, Z. Rouifi, H. Oudda, B. Lakhrissi, I. Warad,
48 A. Zarrouk, *J. Mol. Struct.* 1213 (2020) 128155.
- 49

Graphical abstract


Inhibitor molecule




Molecular dynamic simulation



DFT



EIS study



XPS