



HAL
open science

Implementation of Miyake task in psychopathic forensic sample: an exploratory research

Audrey Vicenzutto, Xavier Saloppé, Arkhipova Olga, Thomas Deviviers,
Thierry H. Pham

► **To cite this version:**

Audrey Vicenzutto, Xavier Saloppé, Arkhipova Olga, Thomas Deviviers, Thierry H. Pham. Implementation of Miyake task in psychopathic forensic sample: an exploratory research. 6th Belgian Brain Congress, Oct 2016, Mons, Belgium. 10.3389/conf.fnagi.2016.03.00057 . hal-03134288

HAL Id: hal-03134288

<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-03134288>

Submitted on 23 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Introduction

Much research has been conducted on the associations between the concept of psychopathy and executive functions (Bagshaw, Gray, & Snowden, 2014; Blair & al., 2006; Mol, & al., 2009; Pham, & al., 2003). Psychopathic patients have difficulties on attentional management, behavioral inhibition and planning (Arnett, Smith, & Newman, 1997; Kosson and Newman, 1986; Newman, Patterson, Howland, & Nichols, 1990). However, no study has yet assess the executive function tasks in psychopathy using Miyake Task.

Method

Instruments

The **Psychopathy Checklist – Revised** (PCL-R; Hare, 2003) is a 20-item scale composed of two factors. The factor 1 evaluates personality traits including emotional aspects and interpersonal tendency. The factor 2 evaluates chronic antisocial tendency. Both factors may each be divided into two facets: factor 1 is divided in the Interpersonal facet (facet 1) and the affect deficit (facet 2) and factor 2 is composed of the impulsivity and parasitic lifestyle (facet 3) and chronic antisocial behavior (facet 4)

The **Computerized Miyake Task** assess three executive functions, precisely: mental set shifting, information updating and monitoring, and inhibition of prepotent responses (Miyake, Emerson, Witzki, Howerter, & Wager, 2000). Each function is assessed by three subtests.

Sample

Our sample included 20 males forensic inpatients from the secure psychiatric hospital of the C.R.P. « Les Marronniers » in Belgium. The mean age is 45.92 years (SD = 12.02). The mean length of stay is 6.11 years (SD = 5.33). The mean total IQ score is 67.3 (SD = 10.67).

Procedure

We excluded patients in acute phase. Each participant signed an informed consent sheet.

Data analysis

Because of non normality (Shapiro-Wilk test), we performed Spearman nonparametric correlations between the PCL-R factors and performances at the computerized Miyake task.

Results

| | | Descriptives statistics M SD | Correlation with PCL scores | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | | Total score | Factors F1 F2 | | Facets f1 f2 f3 f4 | | | | |
| SHIFTING | Plus Minus | μTR Plus | 1183.53 440.28 | .042 | -.401 | .311 | -.414 | -.455 | .368 | .532 |
| | | μTR Minus | 1356.91 441.63 | -.297 | -.729* | -.036 | -.735* | -.714* | .050 | .312 |
| | | μTR Shift | 1293.90 381.46 | -.261 | -.590 | -.120 | -.661* | -.609 | .025 | .219 |
| | | %C Plus | 85.55 15.80 | -.425 | -.490 | -.464 | -.050 | .153 | -.428 | -.528 |
| | | %C Minus | 68.87 23.03 | -.479 | -.328 | -.623 | -.309 | -.222 | -.276 | -.717* |
| | | %C Shift | 60.37 25.20 | -.239 | -.006 | -.693 | -.106 | .156 | -.349 | -.852** |
| | Number-Letter | μTR Number | 1173.04 374.82 | -.350 | -.276 | -.393 | -.513 | -.017 | -.429 | -.061 |
| | | μTR Letter | 1172.02 483.61 | -.400 | -.653 | -.679 | -.787* | -.525 | -.405 | -.182 |
| | | μTR Shift | 1559.45 496.24 | -.133 | -.126 | -.179 | -.120 | -.017 | .095 | -.303 |
| | | %C Number | 83.07 16.85 | -.617 | -.494 | -.857* | -.479 | -.458 | -.452 | -.691 |
| | | %C Letter | 75.13 25.70 | -.683* | -.301 | -.821* | -.333 | -.153 | -.619 | -.861** |
| | | %C Shift | 73.57 17.54 | -.667* | -.427 | -.893** | -.436 | -.356 | -.595 | -.776* |
| Local - Global | μTR Local | 952.76 231.94 | -.327 | -.726* | -.092 | -.642* | -.704* | -.036 | .226 | |
| | μTR Global | 974.75 178.22 | -.091 | -.525 | .285 | -.453 | -.648* | .267 | .343 | |
| | μTR Shift | 1339.71 415.44 | .027 | -.256 | -.159 | -.139 | -.445 | .298 | .080 | |
| | %C Local | 83.64 20.53 | -.155 | -.653* | -.050 | -.564 | -.602 | -.024 | .367 | |
| | %C Global | 82.24 22.98 | -.212 | -.614* | -.127 | -.514 | -.595 | -.089 | .183 | |
| | %C Shift | 67.72 20.18 | -.209 | -.507 | -.285 | -.559 | -.426 | -.097 | .006 | |
| UPDATING | Keep Track | μTR Red | 76.79 17.00 | .098 | .144 | -.277 | .315 | .075 | -.050 | -.295 |
| | | μTR First | 55.02 20.37 | -.449 | -.340 | -.084 | -.088 | -.453 | -.238 | -.251 |
| | | μTR Latest | 52.15 13.42 | -.622 | -.444 | -.578 | -.364 | -.447 | -.563 | -.522 |
| | Tone monitoring | μTR Oddball | 475.35 167.84 | -.283 | -.100 | -.383 | -.204 | .162 | -.209 | -.325 |
| | | μTR One | 741.46 240.66 | -.167 | -.192 | -.335 | -.060 | -.298 | -.234 | -.289 |
| | | μTR Three | 975.17 344.08 | -.393 | -.259 | -.192 | .026 | -.247 | -.301 | -.217 |
| Letter Memory | Rappel | 30.16 23.34 | -.642* | -.413 | -.167 | -.413 | -.311 | -.517 | -.150 | |
| INHIBITION | Anitissaccade | μTR SI | 745.16 216.76 | -.564 | -.516 | -.410 | -.536 | -.389 | -.657* | -.092 |
| | | μTR IC | 755.68 223.94 | -.382 | -.575 | -.343 | -.582 | -.565 | -.377 | -.043 |
| | | μTR II | 704.52 203.37 | -.297 | -.712* | .050 | -.568 | -.796** | -.036 | .410 |
| | | %C SI | 71.44 21.26 | -.193 | -.737** | .111 | -.657** | -.776** | .018 | .390 |
| | | %C IC | 81.97 16.94 | -.356 | -.821** | -.084 | -.754** | -.777** | -.122 | .215 |
| | | %C II | 52.30 20.36 | -.073 | -.595 | .042 | -.664* | -.594 | .018 | .387 |
| | Stop signal | μTR Part I | 871.26 145.55 | -.067 | -.092 | .190 | -.077 | -.017 | -.084 | .145 |
| | | μTR Part II | 813.19 174.86 | -.533 | -.226 | -.452 | -.349 | -.051 | -.417 | -.410 |
| | | %C Part I | 87.73 11.49 | -.025 | .177 | .072 | .446 | .176 | .059 | -.097 |
| | | %C Part II | 70.48 15.32 | .050 | .437 | -.167 | .274 | .530 | .157 | -.434 |
| | Stroop | μTR Reading | 585.92 126.25 | -.467 | -.851** | -.238 | -.778** | -.819** | -.333 | .169 |
| | | μTR Deno | 740.67 169.48 | -.648* | -.900** | -.357 | -.784** | -.800** | -.500 | -.008 |
| μTR Inhibition | | 978.45 273.58 | -.515 | -.766** | -.310 | -.654* | -.745 | -.333 | -.042 | |
| %C Reading | | 99.21 1.40 | -.247 | -.271 | -.592 | -.549 | -.091 | -.159 | -.485 | |
| %C Deno | | 98.51 2.53 | -.496 | -.167 | -.417 | -.393 | .080 | -.498 | -.224 | |
| | %C inhibition | 93.23 6.49 | -.018 | -.255 | -.143 | -.290 | -.148 | .183 | -.118 | |

* p<.05 ; ** p<.01 Legend : μTR = mean of reaction time - %C : percentage/success rate

Discussion

- ✓ Results show significant negative correlations between the PCL-R scores, Factor 1 (and facets 1 and 2) and the performances at the Stroop, particularly, the reaction time. These results suggest that the higher the Factor 1, the lower the reaction time, which did not impair the success rate. In term of inhibition, this factor allows the patient to be functional.
- ✓ On the other hand, significant negative correlations for the subtest Number-Letter (Shifting) indicate that the higher the score on Factor 2, especially in the facet 4, the lower are the success rate at this subtest.

Clinical implications

- ✓ In contrast to most studies concerning executive function deficits in psychopathy, this study implemented a more specific measure of executive function among a forensic population testing the equipment in clinical conditions. These first observations highlight several difficulties with the computerized Miyake Task: the length of the administration (several sessions) and the difficulty of several tasks caused a loss of motivation among patients. Moreover, several tasks require alphabet knowledge or reading abilities, which are not accessible to some patients.

Conclusion

- ✓ The results suggest some Inhibition and Shifting response specificities. These findings encourage further research on the executive functioning in psychopathy through the implementation of specific measures of executive functions. The results need to be considered with caution. Indeed, we conducted an exploratory research, with a small sample size. We did not control psychiatric comorbidities, which may alter cognitive tests performances.