



HAL
open science

Cesarean during labor: is induction a risk factor for complications?

Victoire Delporte, Anne Grabarz, Nassima Ramdane, Sophie Bodart,
Veronique Debarge, Damien Subtil, Charles Garabedian

► To cite this version:

Victoire Delporte, Anne Grabarz, Nassima Ramdane, Sophie Bodart, Veronique Debarge, et al.. Cesarean during labor: is induction a risk factor for complications?. *Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction*, 2019, *Journal of gynecology obstetrics and human reproduction*, 48 (9), pp.757-761. 10.1016/j.jogoh.2019.08.008 . hal-03242717

HAL Id: hal-03242717

<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-03242717>

Submitted on 20 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial | 4.0 International License

Cesarean during labor: is induction a risk factor for complications?

Victoire Delporte¹, Anne Grabarz¹, Nassima Ramdane², Sophie Bodart¹, Véronique
Debarge^{1,1}, Damien Subtil¹, Charles Garabedian^{1,3}

¹ CHU Lille, Jeanne de Flandre Hospital, Department of obstetrics, F-59000 Lille, France

² Univ. Lille, CHU Lille, EA 2694 – Public health: epidemiology and Quality of patient care,
F-59000 Lille, France

¹ University of Lille, EA 4489 – Perinatal health and Environment, F-59000 Lille, France

Introduction

In 2016, 22.0% of women had induction of labor and the cesarean section rate was 20.2% in France according to the national report (1). Van Ham showed that “major” complications were significantly more frequent during cesarean sections during labor than during elective cesareans before labor. Onset, 23.4% of women with cesarean sections during labor had intraoperative complications and 7.3% in the other group (2). Women in labor also showed significantly more postoperative complications compared to women with elective cesarean.

In recent studies, elective induction at 39 weeks, compared to expectative, is associated with a lower rate of C-section in nulliparous and also multiparous (3). Compared to spontaneous labor, induction is associated with higher rate of post partum hemorrhage (PPH) (4). Indeed, we hypothesized that in case of cesarean during labor, blood loss, or other complication, will be different in the group spontaneous labor compared to induced labour.

Thus, the main objective of our study was to evaluate the maternal morbidity following a cesarean section during labor in women with labor induction compared to those with spontaneous labor. Secondly, we aimed to evaluate this morbidity according to the type of induction.

Material and methods

This is a retrospective study in one tertiary center (Lille, France,) including all women with single pregnancy who had cesarean section during labor (cephalic or podalic) after 37WG between January 2015 and April 2017. Elective cesareans and multiple pregnancies were excluded. The rate of cesarean during labor in 2018 was 11% in this center, and the rate of induction 26%. The study was approved by the local committee of the CNIL (National Commission for Information Technology and Liberties, notice no. DEC16-206).

In our center, labor induction methods were chosen according to modified Bishop score (MBS) (5), *i.e.* adding parity to the Bishop score. A score greater than or equal to 4 allowed management of labor by amniotomy and oxytocin. For a score below 4, cervical ripening could be done either by a mechanical method (double-balloon catheter or single balloon 18ch catheter), or prostaglandins (PG). Transcervical balloon were preferred as first method of induction. After mechanical induction, in case of favorable cervix MBS (≥ 4) or after spontaneous fall of the balloon catheter, induction was pursued in labor ward. In the opposite case, a complementary cervical ripening by prostaglandins was proposed.

Datas were collected manually, obstetrical files were reading and we collected postoperated complication in the post-partum page of this file. There were maternal characteristics, type of onset of labor, cesarean section characteristics (indication, cervix dilatation at Csection) and color code of the cesarean section. In our center, the color codes protocol is inspired by that described by Dupuis et al. (2). Red code corresponded to an objective of decision-to-delivery interval of less than 20 minutes, orange code less than 30 minutes, and green code cesarean section corresponded to non-urgent cesarean. For each woman, intra and postoperative complications were recorded, we checked the post-partum part of the obstetrical file for each women.

Dystocia was defined as arrest of labor during 4 hours during active phase and 6h during latent phase. The postoperative complications were also classified according to the ClavienDindo classification (6). This classification consists in five grades: Grade I includes women with any adverse postoperative event that does not require medical, surgical, endoscopic or radiological treatment. Only antiemetic drugs, antipyretics, analgesics, diuretics, electrolytes and physiotherapy are allowed. Grade II includes complications requiring unauthorized medical treatment in Grade I. Grades III to V represent complications requiring surgical, endoscopic or

radiological treatment, and life-threatening complications requiring intensive care or leading to death.

Statistics

Qualitative data are expressed in numbers and percentages. Quantitative data were expressed as mean and standard deviation. The normality of the numerical parameters was verified graphically and by the Shapiro-Wilk test. The two cesarean comparison groups, “Spontaneous Labor” and “Labor induction”, were compared by Chi-square or Fisher exact tests on qualitative parameters and by the Mann-Whitney U test on quantitative parameters. We then focused on cesarean procedure with induced labor population. In this population, we compared the different modes of induction (PG, mechanical method +/- PG, amniotomy and oxytocin) according to the complications by Chi-square exact Fisher tests. For significant comparisons, we performed post hoc analyzes with Bonferroni correction (two by two comparison) between the different induction modes. The significance threshold used was set at 5%. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Eight hundred and eighty two women (851 cephalic and 31 breech presentations) were included, of which 416 (47.3%) had spontaneous labor and 464 (52.7%) induced labor and two were unknown.

Table 1 presents demographic characteristics of the population. In the labor induction group mean Body mass index was higher (27.0 ± 6.8 vs. 25.4 ± 5.8 kg / m², $p < 0.001$). The proportion of women with diabetes mellitus or pre-eclampsia was higher in the induced labor group (respectively 9.9 vs 1.5%, $p < 0.0001$ and 10.6% vs 1.9%, $p < 0.001$). There were no differences regarding parity, previous cesarean section, hypertension, gestational diabetes, or premature

rupture of membranes. Of the 464 women in the labor induction group, 186 (40%) had a postdate pregnancy, 108 (23.3%) a premature rupture of the membranes, 49 (10.6%) a pre-eclampsia, 8 (1.7%) a cholestasis, 46 (10%) a diabetes mellitus, and 134 (28.9%) achronic disease.

Table 2 shows the characteristics of cesarean sections during labor in both groups. The main indications for women with induction of labor were cervical dystocia(38.2%), the occurrence of fetal heart rate (FHR) abnormalities (36.2%), and the combination of FHR abnormalities and cervical dystocia (15.3%). In case of spontaneous labor, the abnormal fetal heart rate was the first indication (39.7%), then cervical dystocia (17.1%) and finally FHR abnormalities and cervical dysfunction association (16.4%), the others indications (18.3%) were : unknown breach presentation, procidencia, retroplacental hematoma, uterine rupture, ombilical cord procidencia, placenta praevia with perpartum hemorraghe. Cervical dilatation on cesarean section was less in the labor induction group (4.7 ± 2.6 vs. $5.9\text{cm} \pm 2.8$, $p < .001$). Duration of labor was shorter for women in spontaneous labor group (463.7 ± 244.8 vs. 409.7 ± 269.5 min $p < 0.001$).

Uterine pedicle injuries made during the hysterotomy were the only complication with a significant difference between the 2 groups (3.0% vs. 6.3%, $p = 0.02$) (Table 3). No difference was found for postpartum hemorrhage (blood loss over 500mL), postpartum infectious or thrombotic complications.

According to the Clavien Dindo's classification, no difference was found between women with spontaneous labor or those with induced labor (grades I : 91.0% vs 87.5%; grades II : 7.8% vs 9.6% ; grades III : 1.3% vs 2.9% ; $p = 0.14$).

The method used was mechanical method for 248 (53.6%), prostaglandin alone for 60 (13.0%), oxytocin for 65 (14.0%), and double method (mechanical and PG) for 90 (19.4%).

Comparison of complications according to the modes of labor induction showed no significant difference, except for severe PPH. There were more PPH more than one liter in the double method group than in the mechanical method alone group (22.2% vs. 8.1% p after Bonferroni 0.002 correction). There was no significant difference when comparing double method vs PG alone (22.2% vs. 11.7% p after Bonferroni correction = 0.5952) or in case of oxytocin (22.2% vs. 18.5% p after correction of Bonferroni = 1)

Discussion

Labor induction is a common obstetrical practice with a rate of 22% according to the 2016 French Perinatal Study (1). In recent studies, elective induction at 39 weeks, compared to expectative, is associated with a lower rate of C-section in nulliparous and also multiparous (3). However, “major” complications are significantly more frequent during cesareans during labor than during elective cesareans before labor (7). We were therefore interested in the occurrence of complications in women with induced versus spontaneous labor in case of “emergency” cesarean section. In our study, no significant difference was found for complications according to onset of labor. However, a difference was noted according to the indication for c-section. Cervical dystocia was the main indication in the induction group resulting in more green-code cesareans than in the spontaneous labor group. According to the method of induction, use of mechanical method in association with prostaglandin led to a higher risk of severe PPH.

In France, between 1995 and 2016, the mode of onset of labor remained stable with labor induction rates around 20-22% (1). The rate of cesarean section has increased from 15.9% to 20.4% in 20 years with a slight increase in the rate of elective cesareans from 8.5 to 9.4% (1). Studies on the impact of labor induction on the delivery route are controversial in the literature. According to several studies, the risk of cesarean section may increase significantly in induced

labor (8,9,10 ,11). One of the most predictive factors would be cervical dilatation before induction (8). For others authors, this risk would not be higher, and would even be decreased especially in the absence of medical indication for labor induction (,12, 13). In a meta-analysis, Gobman et al. demonstrated that elective induction of labor at 39 weeks, compared with expectant management beyond that gestational age, was associated with a significantly lower risk of cesarean delivery (3).

The rates of serious complications vary according to their different definitions. If we consider severe thromboembolic complications, surgical revision procedures or transfers in intensive care unit (Clavien-Dindo, grade II), our study is in line with the literature with a severe complication rate of 10.7% (14). Intraoperative complications (excluding PPH) were similar to those observed by Van Ham et al. (7). Uterine pedicle injuries occurred more frequently in the spontaneous labor group and was the only significant difference found in our study. A higher proportion of “emergency” indications (red- and orange-code cesareans) in this group may explain this.

The occurrence of PPH was similar between the 2 groups. PPH rate greater than 1 liter observed (12.02%) was higher than that reported by Van Ham et al. in 1997 (7), but was consistent with a more recent study (15) and with the evolution of PPH over time as observed by several authors, including all delivery routes (16). One of the hypotheses of this increase would be the improvement of the quantification of peripartum bleeding. In our center, compresses are weighed, a collection bag is placed under the woman and aspiration allows exact quantification of blood loss. The induction of labor would be associated with a higher risk of PPH in low-risk women (4), all delivery routes combined. In our study, we didn't find this difference, this could be because of the unknown of oxytocin dose in each group. For Belghiti et al., oxytocin during labor appears to be an independent risk factor for severe PPH (17). The

time between stopping oxytocin and the beginning of cesarean could be another interesting data. The more we stopped early the oxytocin, the less we may have PPH (18).

The strength of our study lies in its specific focusing on the complications of cesarean section during labor depending on the mode of onset of labor. In fact, many studies have examined the complications of cesarean section compared to the vaginal route, according to cervical dilation, before or during labor, depending on maternal age or the consequences of the onset of labor (delivery route, neonatal, maternal complications), but to our knowledge none has studied the complications of cesarean sections during labor in women with labor induction (19-23). The main limitation of our study is the absence of data related to the amount of oxytocin received. Indeed, prolonged exposure to oxytocin increases the risk of PPH (24). Other limits could be confusion because of the differences between the two groups, a low statistical power for rare events.

Conclusion

Labor induction does not result in a higher risk of complications for cesarean during labor when compared to spontaneous labor. The use of two methods of induction was responsible for more frequent occurrence of severe postpartum hemorrhage.

References

1. Enquête Périnatale. DRESS. Ministère des Solidarités et de la Santé. France. http://drees.solidaritesante.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/rapport_enp_2016.pdf
2. Dupuis O, Sayegh I, Decullier E, Dupont C, Clément H-J, Berland M, et al. Red, orange and green Caesarean sections: a new communication tool for on-call obstetricians. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* oct 2008;140(2):206-11.
3. Grobman WA, Caughey AB. Elective induction of labor at 39 weeks compared with expectant management : a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* Feb 2019; 9378(19)30425-9
4. Khireddine I, Le Ray C, Dupont C, Rudigoz R-C, Bouvier-Colle M-H, Deneux-Tharaux C. Induction of labor and risk of postpartum hemorrhage in low risk parturients. *PloS One.* 2013;8(1):e54858.
5. Ivars J, Garabedian C, Devos P, Therby D, Carlier S, Deruelle P, et al. Simplified Bishop score including parity predicts successful induction of labor. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* août 2016;203:309-14.
6. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien P-A. Classification of Surgical Complications. *Ann Surg.* août 2004;240(2):205-13.
7. van Ham MA, van Dongen PW, Mulder J. Maternal consequences of caesarean section. A retrospective study of intra-operative and postoperative maternal complications of caesarean section during a 10-year period. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* juill 1997;74(1):1-6.
8. Kjerulff KH, Attanasio LB, Edmonds JK, Kozhimannil KB, Repke JT. Labor induction and cesarean delivery: A prospective cohort study of first births in Pennsylvania, USA. *Birth.* 1 mars 2017;n/a-n/a.
9. Davey M-A, King J. Caesarean section following induction of labour in uncomplicated first births- a population-based cross-sectional analysis of 42,950 births. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 27 avr 2016;16:92.
10. Knight HE, Cromwell DA, Gurol-Urganci I, Harron K, van der Meulen JH, Smith GCS. Perinatal mortality associated with induction of labour versus expectant management in nulliparous women aged 35 years or over: An English national cohort study. *PLoS Med.* nov 2017;14(11):e1002425.
11. Glantz JC. Elective induction vs. spontaneous labor associations and outcomes. *J Reprod Med.* avr 2005;50(4):235-40.
12. Hannah ME, Hannah WJ, Hellmann J, Hewson S, Milner R, Willan A. Induction of labor as compared with serial antenatal monitoring in post-term pregnancy. A randomized controlled trial. The Canadian Multicenter Post-term Pregnancy Trial Group. *N Engl J Med.* 11 juin 1992;326(24):1587-92.

13. A clinical trial of induction of labor versus expectant management in postterm pregnancy. The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Network of Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* mars 1994;170(3):716-23.
14. Pallasmaa N, Ekblad U, Aitokallio-Tallberg A, Uotila J, Raudaskoski T, Ulander V-M, et al. Cesarean delivery in Finland: maternal complications and obstetric risk factors. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* juill 2010;89(7):896-902.
15. Misme H, Dupont C, Cortet M, Rudigoz R-C, Huissoud C. [Distribution of blood loss during vaginal delivery and cesarean section]. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris).* janv 2016;45(1):71-9.
16. Deneux-Tharaux C, Bonnet M-P, Tort J. [Epidemiology of post-partum haemorrhage]. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris).* déc 2014;43(10):936-50.
17. Belghiti J, Kayem G, Dupont C, Rudigoz RC, Bouvier-Colle MH, Deneux-Tharaux C. Oxytocin during labour and risk of severe postpartum haemorrhage : a population-based, cohort-nested case-control study. *BMJ Open* 2011 Dec ; 1(2):e000514
18. Tran G, Kanczuk M, Balki M. The association between the time from oxytocin cessation during labour to Cesarean delivery and postpartum blood loss: a retrospective cohort study. *Can J Anaesth J Can Anesth.* août 2017;64(8):8207.
19. Alexander JM, Leveno KJ, Rouse DJ, Landon MB, Gilbert S, Spong CY, et al. Comparison of maternal and infant outcomes from primary cesarean delivery during the second compared with first stage of labor. *Obstet Gynecol.* avr 2007;109(4):917-21.
20. Allen VM, O'Connell CM, Baskett TF. Maternal and perinatal morbidity of caesarean delivery at full cervical dilatation compared with caesarean delivery in the first stage of labour. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol.* juill 2005;112(7):986-90.
21. Mascarello KC, Horta BL, Silveira MF. Maternal complications and cesarean section without indication: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rev Saude Publica.* 2017;51:105.
22. Hoffman MK, Vahratian A, Sciscione AC, Troendle JF, Zhang J. Comparison of labor progression between induced and noninduced multiparous women. *Obstet Gynecol.* mai 2006;107(5):1029-34.
23. Seyb ST, Berka RJ, Socol ML, Dooley SL. Risk of cesarean delivery with elective induction of labor at term in nulliparous women. *Obstet Gynecol.* oct 1999;94(4):600-7.
24. Tran G, Kanczuk M, Balki M. The association between the time from oxytocin cessation during labour to Cesarean delivery and postpartum blood loss: a retrospective cohort study. *Can J Anaesth J Can Anesth.* août 2017;64(8):820-7.

TABLE 1 : Population characteristics

Characteristics	Labor onset			P
	Total (n=882)	Induced (n=464)	Spontaneous (n=416)	
Age (y)	31.1 ± 7.1	30.8 ± 5.8	31.4 ± 8.3	0.62
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.2 ± 6.4	27.0 ± 6.8	25.4 ± 5.8	<0.001
Parity	1.5 ± 0.8	1.4 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 0.9	0.07
Gestational age	39.8 ± 1.3	39.9 ± 1.4	39.8 ± 1.1	0.28
Previous C Section	153 (17.4)	77 (16.6)	76 (18.3)	0.51
Diabetes mellitus	52 (5.9)	46 (9.9)	6 (1.5)	< 0.001
Arterial hypertension	21 (2.4)	15 (3.2)	6 (1.4)	0.08
Smoking	130 (14.7)	56 (12.1)	74 (17.8)	0.017
Gestational diabetes	203 (23.0)	113 (24.4)	90 (21.6)	0.58
Preeclampsia	57 (6.5)	49 (10.6)	8 (1.9)	< 0.001
PROM	223 (25.3)	108 (23.3)	115 (27.6)	0.14

Results expressed as mean +/- standard deviation or number (percentage)

BMI: body mass index (defined as weight (kg)/ size² (m²))

AHT: Arterial hypertension

PROM: Premature rupture of membranes

NA : non applicable

TABLE 2 : Cesarean section characteristics and bivariate comparisons

Characteristics	Total (n=882)	Labor onset		P
		Induced (n=464)	Spontaneous (n=416)	
Indication				<0.001
FHR anomalies	333 (37.8)	168 (36.2)	165 (39.7)	
Cervical dystocia	248 (28.2)	177 (38.2)	71 (17.1)	
FHR and cervical dystocia	139 (15.8)	71 (15.3)	68 (16.4)	
Failed instrumental extraction	53 (6.0)	17 (3.7)	36 (8.7)	
Other	107 (12.2)	31 (6.7)	76 (18.3)	
Cervix dilatation at C section (cm)	5.3 ± 2.8	4.7 ± 2.7	5.9 ± 2.8	< 0.001
Color code				<0.001
Green	310 (35.15)	187 (40.30)	122 (29.33)	
Orange	535 (40.2)	180 (38.9)	173 (41.6)	
Red	219 (24.83)	94 (20.26)	124 (29.81)	

Results expressed as mean +/- standard deviation or number (percentage)

FHR: FHR anomalies

CD: cervical dystocia

TABLE 3 : Complications according to mode of labor onset

Characteristics	Labor onset			P
	Total (n=882)	Induced (n=464)	Spontaneous (n=416)	
<i>Intra-operative</i>				
Post partum hemorrhage	374 (42.5)	201 (43.3)	173 (41.6)	0.60
Post partum hemorrhage >1L	106 (12.1)	59 (12.7)	47 (11.3)	0.52
Bladder injury	13 (1.5)	5 (1.1)	8 (1.9)	0.30
Fetal injury	5 (0.6)	1 (0.2)	4 (1.0)	NA
Uterine pedicle injury	40 (4.6)	14 (3.0)	26 (6.3)	0.02
<i>Postoperative</i>				
Hyperthermia	60 (6.8)	25 (5.4)	35 (8.4)	0.08
Sepsis	26 (3.0)	11 (2.4)	15 (3.6)	0.28
Phlebitis	10 (1.1)	4 (0.9)	6 (1.4)	0.53
Scar disunion	37 (4.2)	20 (4.3)	17 (4.1)	0.87
Bound infection	48 (5.5)	21 (4.5)	27 (6.5)	0.20
Profound infection	12 (1.4)	4 (0.9)	8 (1.9)	0.18
Second intervention	14 (1.6)	5 (1.1)	9 (2.2)	0.20
Intensive care unit transfer	3 (0.3)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	NA
<i>Clavien Dindo</i>				0.14
I	787 (89.2)	422 (91.0)	364 (87.5)	
II	77 (8.7)	36 (7.8)	40 (9.6)	
>III	18 (2.0)	6 (1.3)	12 (2.9)	

Results expressed as mean +/- standard deviation or number (percentage)

NA non applicable

TABLE 4 : Analysis of cesarean after induced labor- complications according to mode of labor induction

Characteristics	Mode of labor induction					p
	Total (n=463)	PG (prostaglandin) (n=60)	Ballooncatheter (n=248)	Oxytocin (n=65)	Double method (n=90)	
Intra operative						
PPH	201 (43.4)	23 (38.3)	105 (42.3)	27 (41.5)	46 (51.1)	0.39
PPH >1L	59 (12.7)	7 (11.7)	20 (8.1) ¹	12 (18.5)	20 (22.2) ¹	0.003
Post operative						
Hyperthermia	25 (5.4)	4 (6.8)	15 (6.1)	2 (3.1)	4 (4.4)	0.78
Sepsis	11 (2.4)	1 (1.7)	7 (2.8)	1 (1.5)	2 (2.2)	1.00
Phlebitis	4 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (4.4)	NA
Scar disunion	20 (4.3)	5 (8.3)	10 (4.0)	1 (1.5)	4 (4.4)	0.34
Bound infection	21 (4.5)	5 (8.3)	10 (4.0)	2 (3.1)	4 (4.4)	0.49
Profound infection	4 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	4 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	NA
Clavien Dindo						NA
I	421 (90.9)	50 (83.3)	233 (94.0)	59 (90.8)	79 (87.8)	
II	36 (7.8)	9 (15.0)	11 (4.4)	6 (9.2)	10 (11.1)	
>III	6 (1.3)	1 (1.7)	4 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.1)	

Results expressed as mean +/- standard deviation or number (percentage)

PPH: postpartum hemorrhage

NA: non applicable

¹

: indicates significant difference for two-by-two comparisons after Bonferroni correction