



HAL
open science

Mental health among medical, healthcare, and other university students during the first COVID-19 lockdown in France

Arnaud Leroy, Marielle Wathelet, Thomas Fovet, Enguerrand Habran, Benoît Granon, Niels Martignène, Ali Amad, Charles-Edouard Notredame, Guillaume Vaiva, Fabien d'Hondt

► To cite this version:

Arnaud Leroy, Marielle Wathelet, Thomas Fovet, Enguerrand Habran, Benoît Granon, et al.. Mental health among medical, healthcare, and other university students during the first COVID-19 lockdown in France. *Journal of Affective Disorders Reports*, 2021, 6, pp.100260. 10.1016/j.jadr.2021.100260 . hal-03666373

HAL Id: hal-03666373

<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-03666373>

Submitted on 5 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Mental health among medical, healthcare, and other university students during the first COVID-19 lockdown in France.

Arnaud **Leroy**^{1,2,3*}, Marielle **Wathelet**^{1,2,3,4*}, Thomas **Fovet**^{1,2,3}, Enguerrand **Habran**⁵, Benoît **Granon**¹, Niels **Martignène**^{3,4}, Ali **Amad**^{1,2,4}, Charles-Edouard **Notredame**^{1,2}, Guillaume **Vaiva**^{1,2,3}, Fabien **D'Hondt**^{1,2,3}

* These authors contributed equally to this work

¹ Department of Psychiatry, CHU Lille, F-59000 Lille, France

² Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, U1172 - LiNCog - Lille Neuroscience & Cognition, F-59000 Lille, France

³ Centre National de Ressources et de Résilience Lille-Paris (CN2R), F-59000 Lille, France

⁴ Fédération de Recherche en Psychiatrie et Santé Mentale des Hauts-de-France, F-59000 Lille, France

⁵ Fonds Fédération Hospitalière de France Recherche et Innovation, Paris, France

Corresponding Author: marielle.wathelet@chru-lille.fr

Number of tables/figures: 1/1

Supplementary material: 2 tables

Abstract: 248

Word count: 1994

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and quarantine have raised concerns regarding their negative psychological effects on populations (Brooks *et al.*, 2020). These worries were rapidly confirmed (Wang *et al.*, 2020), especially among university students, whose vulnerability to mental health difficulties is well established (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2013). For instance, the nationwide COSAMe study found high prevalence rates of self-reported suicidal thoughts, distress, depression, anxiety, and stress, among 69,054 French students surveyed during the first COVID-19 lockdown (Wathelet *et al.*, 2020).

Notably, specific concerns have been raised about healthcare students, considered as particularly vulnerable to mental health disorders, due to several stressors such as heavy academic and clinical workload, examinations, competition, difficulties in combining personal and professional life, financial burdens, or exposure to human suffering (Dyrbye, Thomas and Shanafelt, 2006). Indeed, previous reports found high rates of depression and anxiety in this population (Dyrbye, Thomas and Shanafelt, 2006; Gorter *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2018). A systematic review, published before the COVID-19 outbreak, even estimated at 33.8% the prevalence of anxiety among medical students (Quek *et al.*, 2019).

Surprisingly, a recent systematic review including studies conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic found a lower prevalence rate of anxiety (28%) in medical students than before the pandemic (Lasheras *et al.* 2020). Two Chinese studies also found that medical students were less likely to suffer from distress, severe anxiety, and depression than non-medical students during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (Chang, Yuan and Wang, 2020; Xie *et al.*, 2020). However, those two studies compared medical students to non-medical students, grouping together healthcare students (except medical students) and others.

This exploratory study reanalyzed the prevalence rates of self-reported suicidal thoughts, distress, stress, anxiety, and depression obtained by the COSAMe study during the first COVID-19 related lockdown in France. Here, we aimed at comparing medical, non-medical healthcare, and non-healthcare French university students and assessing the risk of mental health problems according to the type of university studies, by adjusting for potential confounders.

Methods

COSAMe study

The study used data from the first time measurement (from April 17 to May 4, 2020) of the repeated cross-sectional university-based COSAMe survey. A total of 69,054 students fully completed the study questionnaire. They were asked to report suicidal thoughts during the previous month, distress resulting from a stressful life event (as assessed by the Impact of Events Scale-Revised – IES-R), perceived stress (Perceived Stress Scale – PSS-10), depression (Beck Depression Inventory – BDI-13), and anxiety (20-item State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, State subscale – STAI Y-2). Outcomes were the presence of severe self-reported symptoms, i.e., suicidal thoughts or a high score (i.e., IES-R score >36; PSS-10 score >26; BDI-13 score >15; or STAI-Y2 score >55) on at least one scale.

Sociodemographic characteristics (gender, year of study, area, being a foreign student, living in a worst hit department), precariousness indicators (loss of income due to quarantine, quality housing), health-related data (history of psychiatric follow-up, physical activity during the quarantine, and having experienced symptoms consistent with COVID-19), social relationship data (feeling socially integrated before the quarantine, having children, housing composition during the quarantine, concern for relatives' health, and quality of social relationships during the quarantine, regular outings during quarantine due to associative, university or professional activities), and media/information data (consumption of media information related to the pandemic, and quality of information perceived) were also collected.

This survey was examined by a French research ethics committee, the Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile de France VIII, before its initiation. Oral or written consent was not required for this study because responding to the survey was considered consent to participate.

The CHERRIES checklist, recommended for reporting the results of Internet e-surveys, is available in Supplementary material 1 (Gunther, 2004). Detailed methods (provided in Supplementary material 2) have been published elsewhere (Wathelet *et al.*, 2020).

University studies

To explore prevalence rates according to the type of university studies, respondents were classified into 3 categories: medical students, non-medical healthcare students (i.e., nursing, pharmacy, dental, physiotherapy, midwifery, speech-therapist and psychologist students, as well as future physical educators, nutritionists, biomedical, and nursing assistants), and non-healthcare students (all students who did not match the previous categories were considered as non-healthcare students).

In France, the PACES (the first common core year for health studies) is common for four specializations: medicine, pharmacy, dentistry, and midwifery. The PACES consists of a common component for all students, and a specialized component chosen by the student. PACES students who specified their specialization were assigned to the corresponding group: medical students for "medicine" and non-medical healthcare students for "pharmacy", "dentistry", and "midwifery". When there was no indication of the specialization, the medical studies being the most popular, the students were assigned to the medical students' group.

Statistical analysis

We described the sample using numbers and percentages. Chi-2 tests were realized to compare sample characteristics and mental health outcome distributions according to the type of university studies (medical, non-medical healthcare, and non-healthcare studies).

Multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the association between the type of university studies and having at least one poor mental health outcome. Similar analyses

were performed for each mental health outcome, i.e., self-reported suicidal thoughts and severe self-reported symptoms of distress, stress, depression, and anxiety. Subgroup analyses by year of study (1st year, 2nd or 3rd year, 4th year and above) were also performed. All explanatory variables were included. Associations between the type of university studies and mental health outcomes are presented as adjusted odds ratios (aORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Data analysis was performed using R version 3.6.1 (R Project for Statistical Computing). The significance level was set at $\alpha = .05$, and all tests were 2-tailed.

Results

Sample characteristics

A total of 69,028 students were analyzed (26 students were excluded because no information regarding the type of university studies was available). There were 4,193 medical students, 5,431 non-medical healthcare students, and 59,404 non-healthcare students.

The distribution of the variables significantly differed depending on the type of studies (**Supplementary Table 1**). Notably, medical students were mostly in their first year of study (67.1% vs 44.2% and 45.8% for other non-medical healthcare and non-healthcare students, respectively, $p < 0.001$). They were less likely to report a loss of income (13.1% vs 22.3% and 22.5%, $p < 0.001$), to declare a history of psychiatry follow-up (8.2% vs 14.8% and 10.0%, $p < 0.001$) and to have experienced symptoms consistent with COVID-19 (20.4% vs 24.7% and 23.6%, $p < 0.001$) but more likely to consider living in high-quality housing (86.5% vs 82.5% and 82.0%, $p < 0.001$). They also considered themselves as better informed (37.8% vs 32.5% and 35.1%, $p < 0.001$).

Mental health outcomes

Compared to non-healthcare students and non-medical healthcare students, medical students were less likely to report at least one poor mental health outcome (39.0% vs 42.7%, $p < 0.001$, compared to other healthcare students, and 39.0% vs 43.1%, $p < 0.001$, compared to non-healthcare students).

No difference was observed between non-medical healthcare students and non-healthcare students **(Figure 1)**.

Prevalence rates of all poor mental health outcomes were significantly lower among medical students compared to other healthcare students and compared to non-healthcare students: 9.1% vs 11.5% ($p<0.001$) and 11.6% ($p<0.001$) for suicidal thoughts, 17.9% vs 21.0% ($p<0.001$) and 22.8% ($p<0.001$) for severe distress, 23.4% vs 25.4% ($p=0.029$) and 24.8% ($p=0.049$) for severe stress, 13.6% vs 15.3% ($p=0.025$) and 16.4% ($p<0.001$) for severe depression, and 25.0% vs 27.3% ($p=0.015$) and 27.7% ($p<0.001$) for severe anxiety. Compared to non-healthcare students, non-medical healthcare students were significantly at lower risk of severe self-reported depression (15.3% vs 16.4%, $p=0.035$) and distress (21.0% vs 22.8%, $p=0.003$).

After adjustment, compared to non-healthcare students, non-medical healthcare and medical students were significantly at lower risk of presenting at least one poor mental health outcome (adjusted OR [95%CI] = 0.86 [0.80-0.91] and 0.87 [0.81-0.93], respectively) **(Table 1)**.

Compared to non-healthcare students, medical students were significantly at lower risk of suicidal thoughts (0.83 [0.74-0.93]), severe self-reported distress (0.75 [0.69-0.82]), and depression (0.83 [0.75-0.92]). There was no significant association with severe anxiety and stress. Compared to non-healthcare students, non-medical healthcare students were significantly at lower risk of severe self-reported distress (0.79 [0.73-0.85]), stress (0.92 [0.85-0.98]), depression (0.83 [0.76-0.91]), and anxiety (0.86 [0.80-0.92]). There was no significant association with suicidal thoughts.

Similar patterns were found in the subgroup analyzes, except for first year students. Within this sub-group, compared to non-healthcare students, medical students were less at risk of suicidal thoughts and severe self-reported distress (0.78 [0.67-0.90] and 0.86 [0.77-0.95], respectively). However, they were more at risk of severe stress (1.15 [1.04-1.26]). No difference was found between non-medical healthcare students and non-healthcare students.

Discussion

This survey study of 69,028 students found high prevalence rates of mental health problems whatever the type of university studies (medical, non-medical healthcare, and non-healthcare studies). Healthcare studies (both medical and non-medical healthcare students) were associated with a lower risk of presenting at least one poor mental health outcome (a 13% and a 14% decrease, respectively, compared to non-healthcare students). Compared to non-healthcare students, medical students were significantly at lower risk of suicidal thoughts, severe self-reported distress, and depression. Compared to non-healthcare students, non-medical healthcare students were significantly at lower risk of severe self-reported distress, stress, depression, and anxiety.

These results are in line with those found by two recent Chinese studies (Chang, Yuan and Wang, 2020; Xie *et al.*, 2020). However, unlike these studies, we differentiated non-medical healthcare students from non-healthcare students. Similar results were found in all healthcare students (medical and non-medical), with a reduced risk of reporting a poor mental health outcome in the two samples, compared to other students.

Little is known about the mediating factors, especially among non-medical healthcare students, but a recent study identified that medical students involved in the COVID-19 response reported lower levels of anxiety, depression, and burnout compared with their non-involved peers (Aebischer *et al.*, 2020). These results are in line with studies among healthcare workers suggesting that the pandemic has a stronger psychological impact on non-front-line or non-medical professionals than on front-line healthcare workers (Horn *et al.*, 2021; Hummel *et al.*, 2021). Stress associated with the feeling that quarantine compromised professional prospects, which might be less important among healthcare students, has also been put forward (Le Vigouroux, Goncalves and Charbonnier, 2021).

If these assumptions can contribute to the explanation of the results for the more advanced students, this is not the case for first year students, as they have no clinical activity, and have not passed the entrance exam yet. Bolatov *et al.* (2020) suggested that online learning due to the COVID-

19 pandemic might have mediated this risk reduction by decreasing the usual exposure to stress (Dyrbye, Thomas and Shanafelt, 2006): decrease of expenses, ability to combine studying with personal life, self-education, and reduction of the clinical placements. However, in the subgroup analyzes, we observed that among first year students, medical studies were associated with a higher risk of stress, which seems to invalidate this hypothesis among the first year students. On the other hand, medical studies were a protective factor against suicidal thoughts and distress, including among first year students. As observed during previous epidemics, Khalid et al. found that psychological distress was associated with lack of knowledge about the COVID-19 (Khalid *et al.*, 2021). In our study, the quality of the information received was evaluated but the level of knowledge, presumed to be higher among healthcare students, was not evaluated and could explain our results. Finally, among medical students, self-efficacy and self-esteem were identified as protective factors for psychological distress (Arima *et al.*, 2020), and higher levels of resilience, observed in both clinical (students in the third year and above) and pre-clinical (students in first and second years) undergraduate medical students, may have promoted adaptive coping strategies facing the pandemic context (van der Merwe, Botha and Joubert, 2020). These coping strategies might also explain the results of pre-pandemic studies, which had already observed a higher prevalence of mental health disorders in non-healthcare students than in healthcare students (Honney *et al.*, 2010; Sheokand and Kumar, 2019; Voltmer, Obst and Kötter, 2019).

Limitations

The following limitations should be considered in the interpretation of these results: (1) a probable self-selection bias, limited by the large number of respondents and the performance of multivariate analyzes to take into account the inter-group differences, (2) the assignment to the group of medical students for PACES students without specifying specialization which may have led to an information bias, (3) the impossibility of establishing a causal link between pandemic context and mental health disorders in a cross-sectional study, but our results are in line with many previous studies, (4) the data collected were declarative, which may have limited the quality of the adjustment

for the socio-economic indicators, medical data and quality of information received, and (5) this study is limited to the first confinement, studies confirming this result beyond the first confinement should be carried out.

In conclusion, although we measured high prevalence rates of mental health disorders whatever the type of university studies, being a healthcare student is a protective factor for mental health problems among confined students in the COVID-19 pandemic context, at least during the first quarantine in France. Factors mediating the lower rate of mental health symptoms in health students still need to be explored.

REFERENCES

Aebischer, O. *et al.* (2020) 'Physical and psychological health of medical students involved in the coronavirus disease 2019 response in Switzerland', *Swiss medical weekly*, 150, p. w20418. doi: 10.4414/smw.2020.20418.

Arima, M. *et al.* (2020) 'Factors associated with the mental health status of medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study in Japan', *BMJ Open*, 10(12). doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-043728.

Bolatov, A. K. *et al.* (2020) 'Online-Learning due to COVID-19 Improved Mental Health Among Medical Students', *Medical Science Educator*. doi: 10.1007/s40670-020-01165-y.

Brooks, S. K. *et al.* (2020) 'The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence', *The Lancet*. Lancet Publishing Group, pp. 912–920. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8.

Chang, J., Yuan, Y. and Wang, D. (2020) 'Mental health status and its influencing factors among college students during the epidemic of COVID-19', *Nan fang yi ke da xue xue bao = Journal of Southern Medical University*, 40(2), pp. 171–176. doi: 10.12122/j.issn.1673-4254.2020.02.06.

Dyrbye, L. N., Thomas, M. R. and Shanafelt, T. D. (2006) 'Systematic review of depression,

anxiety, and other indicators of psychological distress among U.S. and Canadian medical students', *Academic Medicine*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, pp. 354–373. doi: 10.1097/00001888-200604000-00009.

Gorter, R. *et al.* (2008) 'Psychological stress and health in undergraduate dental students: Fifth year outcomes compared with first year baseline results from five European dental schools', *European Journal of Dental Education*, 12(2), pp. 61–68. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0579.2008.00468.x.

Gunther (2004) 'Improving the Quality of Web Surveys: The Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES)', *J Med Internet Res* 2004;6(3):e34
<https://www.jmir.org/2004/3/e34>, 6(3), p. e132. doi: 10.2196/JMIR.6.3.E34.

Honey, K. *et al.* (2010) 'Comparison of levels of depression in medical and non-medical students', *Clinical Teacher*, 7(3), pp. 180–184. doi: 10.1111/J.1743-498X.2010.00384.X.

Horn, M. *et al.* (2021) 'Psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on non-frontline healthcare workers', *General Hospital Psychiatry*. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2021.01.013.

Hummel, S. *et al.* (2021) 'Mental Health Among Medical Professionals During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Eight European Countries: Cross-sectional Survey Study', *Journal of medical Internet research*, 23(1), p. e24983. doi: 10.2196/24983.

Ibrahim, A. K. *et al.* (2013) 'A systematic review of studies of depression prevalence in university students', *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 391–400. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.11.015.

Khalid, A. *et al.* (2021) 'Relationship between knowledge on COVID-19 and psychological distress among students living in quarantine: an email survey', *AIMS Public Health*, 8(1), pp. 90–99. doi: 10.3934/publichealth.2021007.

Lasheras, I. *et al.* (2020) 'Prevalence of anxiety in medical students during the covid-19 pandemic: A rapid systematic review with meta-analysis', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. MDPI AG, pp. 1–12. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17186603.

- van der Merwe, L. J., Botha, A. and Joubert, G. (2020) 'Resilience and coping strategies of undergraduate medical students at the university of the free state', *South African Journal of Psychiatry*, 26, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.4102/sajpsychiatry.v26i0.1471.
- Quek, T. T. C. *et al.* (2019) 'The global prevalence of anxiety among medical students: A meta-analysis', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. MDPI AG. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16152735.
- Sheokand, N. and Kumar, P. (2019) 'Social Determinants, Psychosocial Function and Mental Health among Medical and Non-Medical students', *undefined*.
- Le Vigouroux, S., Goncalves, A. and Charbonnier, E. (2021) 'The Psychological Vulnerability of French University Students to the COVID-19 Confinement', *Health Education and Behavior*. doi: 10.1177/1090198120987128.
- Voltmer, E., Obst, K. and Kötter, T. (2019) 'Study-related behavior patterns of medical students compared to students of science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM): A three-year longitudinal study', *BMC Medical Education*, 19(1). doi: 10.1186/S12909-019-1696-6.
- Wang, C. *et al.* (2020) 'Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(5). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051729.
- Wathelet, M. *et al.* (2020) 'Factors Associated With Mental Health Disorders Among University Students in France Confined During the COVID-19 Pandemic', *JAMA network open*. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.25591.
- Xie, L. *et al.* (2020) 'The immediate psychological effects of Coronavirus Disease 2019 on medical and non-medical students in China', *International Journal of Public Health*, 65(8), pp. 1445–1453. doi: 10.1007/s00038-020-01475-3.
- Zhang, Y. Y. *et al.* (2018) 'Extent of compassion satisfaction, compassion fatigue and burnout in

nursing: A meta-analysis', *Journal of Nursing Management*, 26(7), pp. 810–819. doi:
10.1111/jonm.12589.

FIGURES & TABLES




Figure 1: Prevalence rates of mental health outcome according to the type of university studies and results of bivariate analyzes.
 * = <math><0.05</math>; ** = <math><0.01</math>; *** = <math><0.001</math>

Table 1: Results of multivariate regression models assessing the association between type of university studies and mental health outcomes, in the global sample and according to the year of study

		Global sample N = 69,028 aOR [§] [95%CI]	1 st year N = 32,402 aOR [§] [95%CI]	2 nd or 3 rd year N = 23,135 aOR [§] [95%CI]	4 th year or more N = 13,491 aOR [§] [95%CI]
At least one outcome	Non-healthcare students	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]
	Non-medical healthcare students	0.86 [0.80-0.91]	0,96 [0,87-1,05]	0,79 [0,72-0,88]	0,75 [0,64-0,89]
	Medical students	0.87 [0.81-0.93]	0,97 [0,89-1,06]	0,76 [0,64-0,90]	0,60 [0,49-0,73]
Suicidal thoughts	Non-healthcare students	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]
	Non-medical healthcare students	0.93 [0.84-1.02]	0,94 [0,81-1,08]	1,00 [0,86-1,16]	0,73 [0,55-0,96]
	Medical students	0.83 [0.74-0.93]	0,78 [0,67-0,90]	0,88 [0,66-1,15]	1,05 [0,78-1,40]
Severe symptoms of distress (IES-R>36)	Non-healthcare students	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]
	Non-medical healthcare students	0.79 [0.73-0.85]	0,94 [0,85-1,05]	0,69 [0,62-0,78]	0,61 [0,49-0,74]
	Medical students	0.75 [0.69-0.82]	0,86 [0,77-0,95]	0,63 [0,51-0,78]	0,48 [0,37-0,62]
Severe symptoms of stress (PSS-10>26)	Non-healthcare students	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]
	Non-medical healthcare students	0.92 [0.85-0.98]	0,94 [0,84-1,04]	0,94 [0,84-1,05]	0,77 [0,64-0,93]
	Medical students	0.99 [0.91-1.07]	1,15 [1,04-1,26]	0,76 [0,62-0,93]	0,59 [0,46-0,74]
Severe symptoms of depression (BDI-13>15)	Non-healthcare students	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]
	Non-medical healthcare students	0.83 [0.76-0.91]	0,92 [0,81-1,04]	0,81 [0,70-0,93]	0,58 [0,43-0,76]
	Medical students	0.83 [0.75-0.92]	0,90 [0,80-1,02]	0,69 [0,53-0,89]	0,52 [0,37-0,72]
Severe symptoms of anxiety (STAI-Y2>55)	Non-healthcare students	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]	1 [ref]
	Non-medical healthcare students	0.86 [0.80-0.92]	0,98 [0,88-1,09]	0,80 [0,72-0,90]	0,69 [0,57-0,83]
	Medical students	0.93 [0.85-1.00]	1,09 [0,99-1,20]	0,69 [0,57-0,84]	0,60 [0,48-0,76]

[§]Adjusted for all variables described in Supplementary Table 1.