

# Low-frequency ultrasound device for pressure ulcer diagnosis

Elis Marina Sales de Castro, Frédéric Giraud, Betty Semail

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Elis Marina Sales de Castro, Frédéric Giraud, Betty Semail. Low-frequency ultrasound device for pressure ulcer diagnosis. EPUAP 2022 - 22nd Annual Meeting of the European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel, Sep 2022, Prague, Czech Republic. hal-03823700v2

### HAL Id: hal-03823700 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-03823700v2

Submitted on 21 Dec 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



#### 10.5

## Low-frequency ultrasound device for pressure ulcer diagnosis

Elis Marina Sales de Castro<sup>1</sup>, Frédéric Giraud<sup>1</sup>, Betty Lemaire-Semail<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Lille, L2EP, Villeneuve-d'Ascq, France

**Introduction:** As a result of pressure ulcer (PU), skin mechanical properties vary [1]. In this project, a new technology for PU diagnosis is proposed, the low-frequency ultrasound (LFU) diagnostics tool. Literature indicates LFU as a complement for PU treatment, reducing pain and time for the recovery of patients [2], [3].

The LFU diagnostic tool consists of a Langevin transducer (LT) of 60kHz vibration connected to a host computer and a microcontroller. Initial results of healthy skin are presented below.

**Methods:** The device's set-up is presented on Figure 1. The microcontroller & LFU generation are responsible for the vibration of the LT and the assurance that the waves behave as demanded by the user. The holding structure, containing a force sensor, assures the indentation force of 0.2N during the contact. The host computer provides the interface between user and device.

The experiments taken had a ramp-like vibration over the skin, with a controlled velocity using vector control method [4]. As the vibration is the same in both contact and no-load (no contact with skin) operation, it is possible to calculate skin acoustical force with the difference of electrical effort of the device, reflected on its voltage, as shown below:




Figure 1. Set-up of the system

 $f_r = N(v_{in\text{-contact}} - v_{no\text{-load}})$ 

In the equation,  $v_{in\text{-}contact}$  and  $v_{no\text{-}load}$  are the voltages measured in skin tests and no-load operation,  $f_r$  is the acoustical force imposed by the skin and N is an LT intrinsic constant. Tests based on this measure were taken in 11 participants (5 female, 6 male) with ages ranging from 26 to 67 yo. Results presented in this abstract were obtained with the mechanical reaction force from skin in the morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) and for each assessment, 2 measurements were taken to guarantee the reproducibility of the measurements.

**Results:** The results for acoustic mechanical impedance (AMI), defined as the,  $f_r/u$ , where u is the velocity [5], are presented in Figure 2, where values of AMI range from 0.0017 to 0.0107 Ns/mm. The standard deviation within the population in different shifts is 0.0028 Ns/mm.




Figure 2. Results of AMI of skin

From the figure, note that the measurements in the same shift (AM or PM) present similar values.

**Conclusions:** The LFU diagnostic tool has shown consistent measurements for the AMI of skin. It is fair to say it can be an objective instrument to characterize skin mechanics and potentially asses early stage PU. For that, tests on damaged skin are foreseen to validate this application.

#### References

- [1] H.Yamada,Y.Inoue,Y.Shimokawa,and K.Sakata,Medical & biological engineering & computing,vol.55,no.1,pp.79–88,2017.
- [2 ]Y.-J.R.Chang, J.Perry, and K.Cross, Plast Surg (Oakv), vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 21–26, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1177/2292550317693813.
- [3] N.N.Dedovich,A.F.Romanov,and V.S.Ulashchik,Biomed Eng,vol.51,no.2,pp.138–141,Jul.2017, doi: 10.1007/s10527-017-9701-z.
- [4] F.Giraud and C.Giraud-Audine, Butterworth-Heinemann, 2019.
- [5] A.Israr, S.Choi, and H.Z.Tan, 2006 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Oct. 2006, pp. 472–477. doi: 10.1109/IROS. 2006.282353.