

Progress in the study of the chemical kinetics of cyclic ethers in combustion

Luc-Sy Tran, Olivier Herbinet, Hans-Heinrich Carstensen, Frederique

Battin-Leclerc

► To cite this version:

Luc-Sy Tran, Olivier Herbinet, Hans-Heinrich Carstensen, Frederique Battin-Leclerc. Progress in the study of the chemical kinetics of cyclic ethers in combustion. The 2nd edition of Low Carbon Combustion, Apr 2022, Cambridge, England, France. hal-03829935

HAL Id: hal-03829935 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-03829935

Submitted on 26 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Luc-Sy Tran¹, Olivier Herbinet², Hans-Heinrich Carstensen^{3,4}, Frédérique Battin-Leclerc²

¹ Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8522 - PC2A - Physicochimie des Processus de Combustion et de l'Atmosphère, F-59000 Lille, France

- ² Univ. Lorraine, CNRS, UMR 7274 LRGP Laboratoire Réactions et Génie des Procédés, F-54000, Nancy, France
- ³ Fundación Agencia Aragonesa para la Investigación y Desarrollo (ARAID), Zaragoza, Spain
- ⁴ Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Zaragoza, Spain

For further questions, email to: luc-sy.tran@univ-lille.fr, olivier.herbinet@univ-lorraine.fr, hcarsten@unizar.es, frederique.battin-leclerc@univ-lorraine.fr

Progress in the study of the chemical kinetics See paper in PECS of cyclic ethers (CEs) in combustion coming soon

The 2nd edition of Low-Carbon Combustion, University of Cambridge, Cambridge

Context



Cyclic ethers (CEs) are promising biofuels produced from biomass that can help to replace traditional fossil fuels and help to mitigate global warming CEs are also very important products formed in the low-temperature oxidation of fuels in competition with chain-branching ketohydroperoxides.

Experimental studies over the years

- 1994-2001: main focus on the formation/reactivity of saturated CEs.
- From 2012: significantly more interest in consumption chemistry.





Kinetic models describing CE chemistry

- Available for 14 saturated CEs (Tables 1 and 2) and for 8 unsaturated CEs (Table 3).
- Multiple models for oxirane, THF, 2-MTHF, furan, 2-MF, and 2,5-DMF, but quite limited for other CEs listed in Tables 1-3.
- No model for several CEs (*e.g.* ethyloxirane, 2-ethyltetrahydrofuran, 2-*n*-butylfuran, 2-furfurylalcohol, and 5-methylfurfural)

Table 1. Main kinetic models for the combustion of non-substituted saturated CEs (Spe. N°: species number. Reac. N°: reaction number (forward). *: Conditions presented in previous rows. IDT: ignition delay time, ST: shock tube, LBV: laminar burning velocity, RCM: rapid compression machine, FR: flow reactor, PLF: premixed laminar flame)

[CE	Year & Ref.	Spe. N°	Reac. Nº	Validation conditions (unit: T / K, P / bar)		
	Oxirane	1990, Borisov et al. [2]	15	14	-IDT in ST and static reactor (T=770-1170, P=0.3-1.5)		
	Q				-ST pyrolysis species (T=900-1000, P=0.25-1)		
		1996, Kang <i>et al</i> . [3]	30	88	-IDT in ST (T=950-1220, P=0.13(initial P), \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$=0.5-2.0\$		
		1996, Würmel et al. [4]	30	60	-IDT in ST (T=1050-1400, P=1.9-5, \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$=0.5-2.0\$		
		1996, Dagaut <i>et al</i> . [5]	67	452	-JSR species (T=800-1150, P=1-10.1, \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$=0.5-2\$\$		
					-IDT in ST (T=1052-1315, P=5.1, \$\$\phi=0.4-3.2\$)		
		2005, Joshi <i>et al</i> . [6]	45	332	-ST pyrolysis species (T=830-1200, P=1.5-10.1)		
	Oxetane	1997, Dagaut <i>et al</i> . [7]	63	423	-IDT in ST (T=1050-1780, P=2-5.1, \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$=0.5-2\$\$		
	2				-JSR species (T=800-1150, P=1-10.1, \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$=0.5-2\$\$		
	THF	1998, Dagaut <i>et al.</i> [8]	71	484	-IDT in ST (T=1000-1800, P=2-5, \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$=0.5-2\$\$		
	_0				-JSR species (T =800-1100, P =10, ϕ =0.5-1)		
	$\left\{ \right\}$	2015, Tran et al. [9]	255	1723	-IDT in ST (T=1300-1700, P=8.1-9.3, \$\phi=0.5-2\$)		
					-LBV (Tinitial=298-398, P=1, \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$=1,\$\$\$\$=0.55-1.6\$\$		
					-Species in PLF ($P=0.07, \phi=0.7-1.3$)		
		2018, Fenard et al. [10]	467	2390	-IDT in RCM (T=640-900, P=5-10, \$\phi=1\$)		
					-IDT in ST (T=830-1100, P=20-40, \$\phi=1\$)		
					-JSR species (T=500-1100, P=1.1, \$\phi=0.5-2\$) & [8]*		
					-RCM species (T=711, P=7.7)		
					-Species in PLF [9]*		
	THP	1997, Dagaut <i>et al.</i> [11]	72	507	-IDT in ST (T=1000-1700, P=2-50, \$\$\phi=0.5-2\$\$)		
	~0				-JSR species (T=800-1100, P=10, \$\$\phi=0.5-2\$\$)		
2013, Labbe et al. [12] 125 1046 -PLF species (P=0.03, \$\phi=1.75\$)		-PLF species (P=0.03, ϕ =1.75)					
	\sim	2015, Tran et al. [13]	273	2031	- LBV (Tinitial=298-398, P=1, φ=0.55-1.5)		
					-IDT in ST (T=1350-1613, P=8.9-9.1, \$\phi=0.5-2\$)		
					-FR pyrolysis species (T=913-1133, P=1.7) & [12]*		
	1,3-Dioxolane	2021, Wildenberg et al.	601	3165	-IDT in ST (T=1032-1289, P=20-40, \$\phi=1\$)		
	0_0	[14]			-IDT in RCM (T=662-911, P=20-40, \$\phi=1\$)		
					-JSR species (T=700-1180, P=10, \$\phi=1\$)		
	1,4-Dioxane	2011, Yang et al. [15]		83	-ST pyrolysis species (T=1550-2100, P=0.07-0.16)		
	\bigcirc						
	0						

Table 2. Main kinetic models for the combustion of selected substituted saturated CEs

CE	Year & Ref.	Spe. N°	Reac. Nº	Validation conditions (unit: T / K, P / bar)
Methyloxirane	1994, Lifshitz et al. [16]	37	68	-ST pyrolysis species (T=850-1250, P=2)
0	2010, Burluka et al. [17]	127	1200	-LBV (Tinitial=298, P=1, \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$=0.7-2.1)
\sim	2021, Ramalingam et al. [18]	573	3077	-LBV of [17]*
				-IDT in ST (T=962-1127, P=10-40, \$\$\phi=0.5-2\$) (T=960-
				1300, P=2.5-7, \$\$\phi=0.5-1\$\$)
				-IDT in RCM (T=870-980, P=10-20, \$\$\phi=0.5-2\$\$)
				-ST pyrolysis species (T=900-1450, P=40) & [16]*
2,3-Dimethyloxirane	1995, Lifshitz et al. [19]	41	65	-ST pyrolysis species (T=900-1150, P=2)
\sim				
	2012 14 1	105	1.110	
2-MTHF	2013, Moshammer <i>et al.</i> [20]	185	1412	-PLF species ($P=0.04, \phi=1.7$)
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	2017, De Bruycker et al. [21]	412	2481	-LBV ($T_{initial}$ =298-398, P =1, ϕ =0.6-1.6)
				-FR pyrolysis species (<i>T</i> =900-1100, <i>P</i> =1.7)
		~ • •		-PLF species ($P=0.07, \phi=0.7-1.3$) [20]*
	2017, Tripathi et al. [22]	250	1247	-IDT in RCM (T =639-878, P =10-40, ϕ =0.5-2)
				-IDT in ST (T =753-1349, P =10-20, ϕ =0.5-2)
				-IDT in ST (T =1050-1800, P =10.1, ϕ =1)
			2.425	-PLF species of 20 *
	2017, Fenard <i>et al.</i> [23]	507	2425	-IDT in RCM (T =640-900, P =30-21, ϕ =1)
				-IDT in ST (T =1050-1800, P =1.2-10.1, ϕ =0.5-2)
				-RCM species $(T=719, P=7.6, \phi=1)$
0				-PLF species of [20]*
$\langle \rangle$	2019, Tripathi et al. [24]			-IDT in ST (T =715-1250, P =10-40, ϕ =0.5-2)
3-MTHF				-IDT in RCM (T =615-900, P =10-40, ϕ =0.5-2)
2,5-DMTHF	2019, Fenard et al. [25]	664	3197	-IDT in ST (T=860-1320, P=10-40, \$\phi=1\$)
				-IDT in RCM (T=660-880, P=10-20, \$\phi=1\$)
				-RCM species $(T=712, P=10, \phi=1)$
2-BTHF	2017, Cai et al. [26]	419	1588	-LBV $(T_{initial}=448, P=1-3, \phi=0.7-1.35)$
$\sim \sim \sim$				-IDT in ST $(T=705-1210, P=20, \phi=1)$
				-IDT in RCM (T =650-900, P =10.1, ϕ =0.5-1)
2-THFFOH	2021, Tran <i>et al.</i> [27]	479	2914	- PLF species ($P=0.05, d=1$)
0	, []			121 optimis (2 0000, 7 1)
ОН				
GVL	2016, De Bruycker <i>et al.</i> [28]	520	3589	-FR pyrolysis species (<i>T</i> =900-1100, <i>P</i> =1.7)
Y° ×0	2017, Sudholt <i>et al.</i> [29]	347	1336	-PLF species ($P=0.07, \phi=1$)
\ /				

Fig. 2 Number of studies found in the literature over the years after 1994 related to CE formation during fuel lowtemperature oxidation and to the consumption of saturated and unsaturated CEs [1].

Table 3. Main kinetic models for the combustion of selected unsaturated CEs

CE	Year & Ref.	Spe. N°	Reac. N°	Validation conditions (unit: T / K, P / bar)	
Furan	1991, Organ and Mackie [30]	-	-	-ST pyrolysis species (T=1100-1700, P=20.3)	
~°>	2000, Sendt <i>et al.</i> [31]	-	82	-ST pyrolysis species [30]*	
	2011, Tian et al. [32]	206	1368	-PLF species (P=0.05, \$\$\phi=1.4-2.2\$)	
				-ST pyrolysis species (T=1533, P=0.26) [30]*	
	2014, Liu et al. [33]	305	1472	-PLF species (P=0.02-0.04, \$\phi=1.0-1.7) [32]*	
	2017, Tran <i>et al.</i> [34]	524	3145	-FR species (T=730-1170, P=1, \$\overline\$=0.5-2\$)	
				-JSR species (T=1000-1300, P=1)	
				-PLF species of [33]*	
				-IDT in ST (T=1150-2010, P=1.2-16, \$\phi=1\$)	
2,3-DHF	2016, Fan <i>et al.</i> [35]	255	1723	-IDT in ST (T=1100-1635, P=1.2-10.1, \$\$\phi=0.5-2\$\$)	
്ച				-ST pyrolysis species (T=900-1300, P=0.6)	
	2020, Wu et al. [36]	439	2434	-IDT in RCM (T=660-880, P=10-20, \$\phi=1.0\$)	
	(used with the furan model of			-IDT in ST of [35]*	
15 DUE	Tran et al. [34])	255	1732		
2,5-DHF	2010, Fan et al. [55]	200	1725	-IDT in ST (T =1100-1650, P =1.2-10.1, ϕ =0.5-2)	
Ň				-ST pyrolysis species (T=900-1080, P=1.6-6.5)	
2.MF	1997 Lifzhitz et al. [37]	36	100	ST purchasis manager (T=1100,1400, P=1,7,2,9)	
0	2013 2014 Somers et al [38	567	2889	-51 pytotysis species (1-1100-1400, 1-1.7-2.5)	
N/	301	507	2005	$-1DT \text{ in } ST (T=1120-1800, P=1-10.7, \phi=0.5-2)$	
	22]			-LDV (I _{mmal} =298-398, P=1, ϕ =0.33-1.63) ST purchasis mession of [27]*	
				-51 pyrotysts species of [57].	
	2014 Tran et al [40]	305	1472	-FLF species $(P=0.02-0.04, \phi=1.0-1.7)$	
	2017, Tran et al. [34]	524	3145	-FLT species (F=0.02-0.04, p=1.0-1.7)	
	2017, 1141 87 48. [54]	324	5145	-IDT in RCM $(I=/3/-1143, P=10-30, \phi=1)$	
				$-1DT \text{ m ST}(T=1150-2010, P=1.2-16, \phi=1)$	
				-FK species (1=/30-1400, P=1, Ø=0.02-3.33)	
	2018 Trinathi at al [41]	003	4221	-PLF species (P=0.02-0.04, Ø=1.0-1.7)	
	2010, 11pathi 8: 4. [41]	665	4221	-IDT in RCM $(I=/5/-1145, P=10-50, \phi=1)$	
				$-1DT \text{ m ST} (T=820-2010, P=1-40, \phi=0.25-2)$	
				$-LBV(I_{initial}=298-398, P=1, \phi=0.55-1.65)$	
				-PLF species (P=0.02-0.04, 0=0.8-1.7)	
2.5 DV/F	1008 Lifebile et al [42]	50	100	-FK species (1=/30-1400, P=1, Ø=0.02-3.33)	
2,5-DMF	1998, Litshitz et al. [42]	204	180	-S1 pyrolysis species (1=10/0-13/0, P=2-3.7)	
$\prec \succ$	2015, Sirjean er di. [45]	294	1459	-IDT m ST (T=1300-1831, P=1-4, Ø=0.5-1.5)	
~	2012 Semeral et al [44]	5.45	2760	-S1 pyrolysis species of [42]*	
	2015, Somers et al. [44]	545	2700	$-1D1 \text{ m S1} (I=820-1800, P=1-81.1, \phi=0.5-2) & [43]*$	
				-LBV ($T_{initial}$ =298-473, P =1-7.5, ϕ =0.6-1.6)	
				-51 pyrolysis species (1=1200-1550, P=1-2.5) & [42]*	
				-JSK species $(T=7/0-1220, P=10.1, \phi=0.5-2)$ -FR purchasis species $(T=873-1008, P=1.7)$	
	2014 Toghé et al [45]	305	1472	DI E manier (D=0.02.0.04. d=1.0.1.7)	
	2017, Tran et al. [34]	524	3145	-FLF species (F=0.02-0.04, p=1.0-1.7)	
	2017, 1121 67 28. [54]	224	5145	-FR species $(I=750-1170, P=1, \phi=0.5-2)$	
				TDT in ST (T-1300 1000 D-1 2 16 d-0 5 2) & [44]*	
				PIF maxim ($D=0.02, 0.04, -1.0, 1.7$)	
2-FF	2017 Xn et al [46]	568	2902	-rLr species $(r=0.02-0.04, \phi=1.0-1.7)$ IDT := PCM $(T=766, 1012, D=16, 20, 4=0.5, 2)$	
0.	2021 Song et al [47]	650	3147	-ILF In RCBN $(T = 700-1015, T = 10-50, \phi = 0.5-2)$ -FR myrolysis species $(T = 846, 1310, D = 0.04, 1)$	
	2021, 50ng 8t m. [47]	033	514/	-1 10 pytotysis species (1-040-1515, 1-0.04-1)	
Furfural	2021, Wang et al. [48]	585	3018	-FR pyrolysis species (T=929-1365, P=0.04-1)	
e son				-JSR pyrolysis species (T=900-1100, P=1.07)	
V V	2021, Jin et al. [49]	382	2262	-LBV (Tentital=473, P=1, \$\$\phi=0.6-1.8\$)	
				-JSR species (T=650-950, P=1, \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$=0.4-2\$	
				-JSR pyrolysis species (T=900-1100, P=1.07)	
2-MOF	2019, Yan et al. [50]	601	3086	-FR pyrolysis species (T=879-1107, P=1)	
o 🕯					
Nº 0					

Examples of comparative studies on high-temperature consumption of CEs

Saturated cyclic ethers

- C–H BDE at C₂ decreases from oxirane to THF, then increases from THF to THP (Table 4).
- Ring strain energy increases from THP to oxirane (Table 4).
- Ring size and alkyl substitutions strongly affect CE reactivity (Figs. 3, 4).
- Depending on ring size, unimolecular initiation or Habstractions (Fig. 5) will play more important role.

Table 4. Ring strain energies of non-substituted saturated CEs and their cycloalkane counterparts. Numbers in normal font on species structure: C–H BDE, in kcal/mol; those of CEs were calculated in the present work, at CBS-QB3 and at G4 (in parentheses); those of cycloalkanes were calculated at G3 by [51] and at G4 (in parentheses) by [52].

CEs		Ring strain energy (kcal/mol)	Cycloalkanes		Ring strain energy (kcal/mol)
Oxirane	0 104.8 (103.6) 3 2 H	26.80 26.52 26.3-26.4	Cyclopropane	109.2 (108.2) H	27.60 27.5-27.7
Oxetane	4 95.5 (94.3) 2 H 3 101.7 (100.62) H	25.70 25.30 24.7-24.9	Cyclobutane	100.5 (99.9) H	26.20 26.80 25.8-26.5
THF	5 4 3 93.9 (92.3) H 98.3 (96.8) H	5.90 5.96 5.4-5.7	Cyclopentane	96.4 (95.0) H	6.30 7.50 5.9-6.4
THP	6 5 4 96.6 (94.7) H 99.5 (97.7) H	0.50 0.70 0 0-1.2	Cyclohexane	100 H	0 (chair) 0.08 (chair) 1.0 (chair)

Unsaturated cyclic ethers

Influence of the degree of unsaturation and of C₁₊ alkyl substitutions

Influence of oxygenated substituents



1400 1200 1600 Temperature (K)

• The degree of unsaturation, alkyl or oxygenated substitutions strongly influence CE reactivity (Figs. 6, 7). This can be explained by chemical kinetics (e.g. Fig. 7b)

of Elsevier.

(b)

Influence of ring size

Influence of alkyl substitutions

A typical HT reaction mechanism for saturated CE (case of THP)

(THP)

CBS-QB3

calculations

(THP-yl-2)

Analogy with

cyclohexane

(THP-vI-4



Symbols: experiments with constant initial and O_2 mole fractions. Lines: trendlines. Reproduced from Ref. [8] with permission of Taylor & Francis.



from Refs. [53] with permission of Elsevier.

Fig. 5. Important reaction pathways and respective methods used for rate coefficient determinations in the high-temperature THP combustion model by Tran et al. [13].

EXGAS mechanism generator

References

1.LS. Tran, O. Herbinet, HH. Carstensen, F. Battin-Leclerc,	14.A. Wildenberg, et al., Proc. Combust. Inst. 38, 543 (2021).	28.R. De Bruycker, et al., Combust. Flame 164, 183 (2016).	42.A. Lifshitz, et al., J. Phys. Chem. A 102, 10655 (1998).
Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. (2022), under revision.	15.X. Yang, et al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 13, 3686 (2011).	29.A. Sudholt, et al., Proc. Combust. Inst. 36, 577 (2017).	43.B. Sirjean, et al., J. Phys. Chem. A 117, 1371 (2013).
2.A. A. Borisov, et al. , Sov. J. Chem. Phys. 6, 2181 (1990).	16.A. Lifshitz and C. Tamburu, J. Phys. Chem. 98, 1161 (1994).	30.P. P. Organ, et al., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 87, 815 (1991).	44.K. P. Somers, et al., Combust. Flame 160, 2291 (2013).
3.JG. Kang, et al., <i>Combust. Flame</i> 106, 81 (1996).	17.A. A. Burluka, et al., <i>Fuel</i> 89, 2864 (2010).	31.K. Sendt, et al., J. Phys. Chem. A 104, 1861 (2000).	45.C. Togbé, et al., <i>Combust. Flame</i> 161, 780 (2014).
4.J. Würmel, et al., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 92, 715 (1996).	18.A. Ramalingam, et al., Proc. Combust. Inst. 38, 459 (2021).	32.Z. Tian, et al., <i>Combust. Flame</i> 158, 756 (2011).	46.N. Xu, et al., Proc. Combust. Inst. 36, 323 (2017).
5.P. Dagaut, et al., <i>Combust. Flame</i> 106, 62 (1996).	19.A. Lifshitz and C. Tamburu, J. Phys. Chem. 99, 10251 (1995).	. 33.D. Liu, et al., Combust. Flame 161, 748 (2014).	47.S. Song, et al., Combust. Flame 226, 430 (2021).
6.A. Joshi, et al., J. Phys. Chem. A 109, 8016 (2005).	20.K. Moshammer, et al., Combust. Flame, 160, 2729 (2013).	34.LS. Tran, et al., <i>Combust. Flame</i> 181, 251 (2017).	48.J. Wang, et al., J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 157, 105161 (2021).
7.P. Dagaut, et al., <i>Combust. Flame</i> 110, 409 (1997).	21.R. De Bruycker, et al., Combust. Flame 176, 409 (2017).	35.X. Fan, et al., Energy Fuels 30, 8727 (2016).	49.ZH. Jin, et al., Combust. Flame 226, 200 (2021).
8.P. Dagaut, et al., Combust. Sci. Technol. 135, 3 (1998).	22.R. Tripathi, et al., Proc. Combust. Inst. 36, 587 (2017).	36.Y. Wu, et al., Combust. Flame 213, 226 (2020).	50.B. Yan, et al., <i>Energy Fuels</i> 33, 4611 (2019).
9.LS. Tran, et al., Combust. Flame 162, 1899 (2015).	23.Y. Fenard, et al., Combust Flame 178, 168 (2017).	37.A. Lifshitz, et al., J. Phys. Chem. A 101, 1018 (1997).	51.Z. Tian, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 17087 (2006).
10.Y. Fenard, et al., Combust. Flame 191, 252 (2018).	24.R. Tripathi, et al., Proc. Combust. Inst. 37, 221 (2019).	38.K. P. Somers, et al., Proc. Combust. Inst. 34, 225 (2013).	52.K. B. Wiberg, et al., J. Org. Chem. 85, 11741 (2020).
11.P. Dagaut, et al., <i>Combust. Sci. Technol.</i> 129, 1 (1997).	25.Y. Fenard, et al., Combust. Flame 203, 341 (2019).	39.K. P. Somers, et al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16, 5349 (2014).	53.A. Sudholt, et al., Combust. Flame 171, 133 (2016).
12.N. J. Labbe, et al., Proc. Combust. Inst. 34, 259 (2013).	26.L. Cai, et al., Combust. Flame 178, 257 (2017).	40.LS. Tran, et al., <i>Combust. Flame</i> 161, 766 (2014).	
13.LS. Tran, et al., <i>Combust. Flame</i> 162, 4283 (2015).	27.LS. Tran, et al., Proc. Combust. Inst. 38, 631 (2021).	41.R. Tripathi, et al., <i>Combust. Flame</i> 196, 54 (2018).	



Fig. 8. Species mole fractions. (a,b) in 2,5-DMF oxidation (t= 0.7 s, P=10 bar, f = 1) in a JSR [44]: fuel 2,5-DMF (2,5DMF), methyl vinyl ketone (MVK), 2-ethyl-5-methylfuran (5M2EF), 5-methylfurfural (5M2CHOF); (c) oxidation (t~0.5-0.8 s, *P*=1 bar) in a FR [34]: the fuels are furan, 2-MF (MF) and 2,5-DMF (DMF). Symbols: experiments; lines: simulations. Reproduced from Ref. [34, 44] with permission of Elsevier.



Fig. 7. Pyrolysis of substituted furans in a FR: (a) fuel conversion profiles (*P* = 0.04 bar), (b) major kinetic pathways. Reproduced from Ref. [48] with permission of Elsevier. The lowest BDE (kcal/mol) in each fuel is highlighted in red.

MVK, 5M2EF, 5M2CHOF are important products of 2,5-DMF oxidation. Strong impact of equivalence ratio ϕ on fuel

reactivity seen for 2,5-DMF.