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#### Abstract

Coordination compounds $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{L 1}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{L} 2) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{L 3}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ have been synthesized from optically pure $\alpha$-amino-oxime ligands L1-L3 based on $(R)$-limonene. Structures of the new palladium complexes are characterized and described by NMR spectroscopy and X-rays. These $\alpha$-amino-oxime ligands were then evaluated in the palladium-catalysed allylation of 1,3-dioxo compounds. Résumé. Les complexes $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{L} \mathbf{1}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{L 2}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, et $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{L 3}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ont été synthétisés à partir des ligands $\alpha-$ amino-oxime L1-L3 issus du ( $R$ )-limonene. Ces nouveaux complexes de palladium ont été carractérisés par RMN et diffraction aux rayons X . Les ligands $\alpha$-amino-oxime associés à des précurseurs de palladium ont été évalués sur des réactions d'alkylation allylique.
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## 1. Introduction

The Tsuji-Trost allylation is a pivotal reaction in synthesis because of its operational simplicity and its great potential for applications in total synthesis [13]. As part of the development of this chemistry, the design and the synthesis of simple ligands remain of primary importance [4,5]. In terms of sustainable chemistry, using natural products as precursors for the design of new ligands is particularly judicious due to their low cost and their availability in large quantities. The presence of functionalities such as ketones and carbon-carbon double bonds are suitable to introduce N -coordination centres. In contrast to phosphines, nitrogen chelates would be preferable owing to their higher stability towards oxidation processes. Moreover, several starting building blocks are chiral and thus additionally open the possibility to access asymmetric transformations [6]. In that context, the family of natural terpenes is a convenient source to produce ligands [7,8]. Among the large variety of ligands issued from terpenes, $\alpha$-amino-oximes ligands have been synthesized and used with different metals [9] such as Pd [10], Zn and Pt [11], Cu [12], Co [13], or Ni [14] in several fields, from biochemistry and pharmacology applications [15] to organometallic catalysis and asymmetric synthesis. As an example, they have already been applied in catalytic reactions such as homocoupling of amines [16] and epoxidation of olefins [17]. In our group, a new family of optically pure bifunctional $\alpha$-amino-oxime ligands based on ( $R$ )-limonene has been synthesized and used as chiral inducers for enantioselective hydrogen transfer reactions on various ketones in the presence of ruthenium catalysts [18]. Moreover, one of them has recently been used in combination with palladium precursor leading to an enantiopure palladium complex showing medium anticancer activities [19].

Herein, we report on the use of $\alpha$-amino-oxime ligands to stabilize palladium-based complexes and the use of such precursors to promote allylic alkylation reactions. To our knowledge, despite the impressive number of various ligands [20] used in this reaction, including some issued from the chiral pool such as monoterpenes [21] or sugars [22], no $\alpha$-amino-
oxime ligand has been described for the allylic substitution.

As part of our ongoing research towards the development and their applications as new catalysts, we report here the synthesis of new Pd (II) complexes bearing enantiomerically pure $\alpha$-amino-oxime ligands derived from ( $R$ )-limonene. The molecular structures were determined by NMR and X-ray analyses and their catalytic properties were evaluated in the model allylic alkylation of dimethylmalonate with allylacetate and more generally of various substrates.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Synthesis and characterization of palladium compounds

The synthesis of the optically pure $(1 S, 4 R)$-aminooximes from ( $R$ )-limonene proceeds via the nitrosochloride intermediate formation following the addition of an amine [18]. Three different amines like aniline, benzylamine and 2-picolylamine were used leading to three optically pure bidentate $\alpha$-aminooximes ligands L1-L3, respectively. Royo proposed a synthesis of complexes from precursors $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Pd}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\operatorname{cod}=$ cycloocta-1,5-diene) and $\mathbf{L 3}$ ligand leading to the cationic complex $\left\{\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{L 3}) \mathrm{Cl}^{+}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right.$ in which the picolyl-amino-oxime with the three nitrogen atoms acts as a tridentate ligand [19]. In our case, the reactions of the precursor $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}$ with the amino-oximes $\mathbf{L} 1$ and $\mathbf{L 2}$ afforded the clean formation of the new chiral and neutral complexes $\mathbf{C 1}$ and $\mathbf{C} 2$ of general formula $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathbf{L})(\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{L} 1$ or L2) as orange powder and with yields of 87 and $93 \%$ respectively. In the case of the tridentate ligand $\mathbf{L 3}$, the cationic complex $\mathbf{C 3}$ was obtained as expected (Scheme 1). The new palladium complexes $\mathbf{C 1}$ and C2 were fully characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analyses. As described in the literature, the configurations of the ligands in those compounds were assumed to be $(2 S, 5 R)$ which is confirmed by X-ray structures.

NMR spectroscopic data revealed the presence of the complexes $\mathbf{C 1}$ and $\mathbf{C 3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as single species while, in the case of the complex $\mathbf{C 2}$, two diastereoisomers were observed in a ratio of about $75: 25$. The five-membered chelate rings
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Scheme 1. Synthesis of enantiomerically pure palladium complexes.
$\mathrm{PdN}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ were formed via coordination of the nitrogen atoms from the amino and the amino-oxime groups. No hydrogen abstraction from these groups were observed, the corresponding proton signals being retained in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the complexes (see experiment part).

Thus, the NMR data comparison between the ligand L1 and its complex C1 showed noticeable changes in the close environment of the coordinated nitrogen atoms. The signals of NH protons were strongly downfield shifted from 3.57 to 8.10 ppm and the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OH}$ signal shifted from 8.16 to 10.00 ppm . Concerning the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, a similar behaviour is observed around the carbon atoms linked to the N atoms ( $\delta_{\mathrm{Cq}-\mathrm{NOH}} 164.79$ to 171.37 ppm and $\delta_{\mathrm{Cq}-\mathrm{NH}} 56.66$ to 73.16 ppm from the free ligand to the complex). These values are in total agreement with data collected for $\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ complexes ( $\mathrm{L}=\alpha$-(o-anisidino) caranone and $\alpha$-(oanisidino) pinanone) [10]. In the case of the ligand L3 and the corresponding complex C3, as described by Royo [19], similar observations could be made: the resonance of the proton of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OH}$ shifted from 9.30 ppm in the ligand to 8.62 ppm for the complex. Similarly, signals due to carbon Cq-NOH, shifted from 161.51 ppm for the ligand $\mathbf{L 3}$ to 181.64 ppm in C3 and the signal of the Cq-NH shifted from 56.54 to 68.33 ppm . These significant downfield shifts indicated clearly the coordination of both amino and oxime nitrogen atoms to palladium.


Figure 1. Molecular structure of the palladium complex C1 shown with $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids.

Unexpectedly, analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the complex $\mathbf{C} 2$ showed two broad signals at $\delta 9.96$ and 9.80 ppm assigned to the OH protons of two diastereoisomers $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{\prime} \mathbf{2}$ present in solution. Kokina observed such results with complexes of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ coordinated by amino-oximes ligand issued from carene [10]. He proposed that the two diastereoisomers differ by the configuration of the N atom of the NH group. A similar behaviour could be envisioned in our case. The major product would show a transarrangement of the H atom and the methyl group in respect to the chelate $\mathrm{PdN}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$, while the minor product would be characterized by a cis-arrangement. The
chemical shifts were slightly shifted in comparison to the free ligand $\mathbf{L 2}$ for which the resonance was observed at 9.23 ppm . In the same way, the difference between the ligand $\mathbf{L 2}$ and the corresponding complex C2 in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum was noticeable with a shift from 162.85 to 170.45 ppm for the CqNOH and the signals assigned to Cq-NH from 56.52 to 71.73 ppm .

The structure of the new palladium-amino-oxime complexes $\mathbf{C 1}$ and $\mathbf{C 2}$ were unequivocally elucidated by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Suitable single crystals were grown by slow diffusion at room temperature of diethyl ether into a dichloromethane solution of the mononuclear palladium complexes $\mathbf{C 1}$ and C2. Despite numerous trials, we were unable to crystallize the $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{3}$ complex.

ORTEP plots of the two complexes $\mathbf{C 1}$ and $\mathbf{C 2}$ are shown in Figures 1 and 2 and some relevant structural parameters are collected in Table 1. The diffraction studies showed that the complex $\mathbf{C 1}$ crystallized in a trigonal space group and the coordination geometry around the metal is a square planar with $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ angles of $80.10^{\circ}$ and $91.80^{\circ}$, together with angles $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-$ $\mathrm{N}(2)$, and $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ of $91.4^{\circ}$ and $96.5^{\circ}$ respectively, indicating that the geometry of the square planar is slightly distorted (Figure 1). The two Cl atoms are in a cis-position with $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances of 2.288 and $2.307 \AA$ which are comparable with those reported in the literature.

The NMR of the complex $\mathbf{C} 2$ showed the formation of two diastereoisomers. Only one of them was isolated in the crystalline state. The crystallization is formed in the $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ chiral space group. The coordination geometry of the complex $\mathbf{C 2}$ was a distorted square resulting from the ring closure of a five-membered chelating cycle $\mathrm{PdN}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ with a $80.60^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ angle. The lengths of $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds are respectively $1.990 \AA$ and $2.044 \AA$. Almost the same bond lengths as those observed in the complex $\mathbf{C 1}$ were found.

The optimization of the reaction conditions was first carried out with dimethylmalonate $\mathbf{1 a}$ and allyl acetate 2 as substrates in the presence of the $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{L} 3$ catalytic system (Table 2). The reaction led to the formation of both the monoallylated malonate compound as major product 3a and the bis-allylated compound 4a as a minor product.


Figure 2. Molecular structure of the palladium complex C2 shown with $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids.

Table 1. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg) for C1 and C2

| Complex | C1 | C2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.288(1)$ | $2.3187(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.307(8)$ | $2.2886(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.071(3)$ | $1.990(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.981(4)$ | $2.044(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $91.80(4)$ | $94.56(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $80.10(1)$ | $80.60(6)$ |

The first reaction was carried out in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{L} 3$ catalyst at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h with THF as solvent and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base in catalytic amount (entry 1). A very poor conversion was observed with only $4 \%$ of monoallylmalonate 3a. Decreasing the temperature to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ allowed a slight increase of the conversion up to $19 \%$ with the mono derivative as major product despite the two equivalents of allylic acetate vs malonate (entry 2). This result could be due to a greater stability of the complex at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rather than $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Attempts were made to improve the conversion upon using other solvents like methanol, toluene, dioxane and DMF (entries 3-6). Conversions between $17 \%$ to $29 \%$ and 3a yields from $12 \%$ to $17 \%$ were observed. Nevertheless, the best result in terms of malonate conversion and selectivity into the monoallylated product 3a was obtained with

Table 2. Optimization of the reaction palladium-catalysed allylation of dimethylmalonate using chiral ligands ${ }^{\text {a }}$


| Entry | L | Solvent (mL) | $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Base (mol\%) | Conv. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) | $3 \mathbf{a}^{\text {b }}$ (\%) | $4 \mathrm{a}^{\text {b }}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | L3 | THF (0.5) | 80 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10)$ |  | 4 | - |
| 2 | L3 | THF (0.5) | 60 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10)$ | 19 | 15 | 4 |
| 3 | L3 | $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5)$ | 60 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10)$ | 29 | 13 | 16 |
| 4 | L3 | Toluene (0.5) | 60 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10)$ | 23 | 15 | 8 |
| 5 | L3 | Dioxane (0.5) | 60 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10)$ | 17 | 12 | 5 |
| 6 | L3 | DMF (0.5) | 60 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10)$ | 28 | 17 | 11 |
| 7 | L3 | THF (0.5) | 60 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(20)$ | 31 | 23 | 8 |
| 8 | L3 | THF (0.5) | 60 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(30)$ | 39 | 30 | 9 |
| 9 | L3 | THF (0.5) | 60 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50)$ | 60 | 50 | 10 |
| 10 | L3 | THF (0.5) | 60 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100)$ | 70 | 60 | 10 |
| 11 | L3 | THF (0.5) | 60 | NaOH (10) | 20 | 12 | 8 |
| 12 | L3 | THF (0.5) | 60 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100)$ | 53 | 38 | 15 |
| 13 | L3 | THF (1.0) | 60 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100)$ | 88 | 69 | 17 |
| 14 | L3 | THF (2.0) | 60 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100)$ | 76 | 64 | 12 |
| 15 | L2 | THF (2.0) | 60 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100)$ | 80 | 65 | 15 |
| 16 | L1 | THF (2.0) | 60 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100)$ | 86 | 70 | 16 |
| 17 | - | THF (2.0) | 60 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100)$ | 20 | 18 | 2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $1 \mathbf{1 a}(3.6 \mathrm{mmol}), 2(7.2 \mathrm{mmol}),[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { allyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}: 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%, \mathrm{Ln}=6 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 17 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by gas chromatography (GC).

THF as solvent (entry 2 ). At $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in the presence of THF as solvent, the amount of base was gradually increased to $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ leading, as expected, to better conversions up to $70 \%$ with one equivalent of base related to the dimethylmalonate $\mathbf{1 a}$ (entries 2 , $7-10$ ). As $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is an expensive base, other bases like NaOH (entry 11) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (entry 12) were used leading to similar results. The result obtained with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in 1 mL THF was interesting with a conversion increased to $88 \%$ and in that condition, the yield into 3a attained $69 \%$ (entry 13). In order to improve the conversion, the amount of solvent was again increased to 2 mL (entry 14). However, this addition did not give a better result. The ligands L1 and L2 were also evaluated as reaction promoters (entries

15 and 16). Close conversions and yields into the monoallylated product 3a were observed probably due to the structural similarity of the three aminooximes. It is noteworthy that conversion is very poor $(20 \%)$ in the absence of the amino-oxime ligand (entry 17).

The experimental conditions (ligand $\mathbf{L 3}, T=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2 mL THF with $100 \% \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ) were extended to a series of racemic substrates with different functionalities like $\beta$-diketone, $\beta$-ketoesters and $\beta$-ketoamides (Table 3).

The $\beta$-diketone lb was allylated with $100 \%$ GC conversion and $72 \%$ of isolated yield into the monoallylated product $\mathbf{3 b}$ (entry 1, Table 3). The current allylation could be successfully ap-

Table 3. Substrates scope for allylation with allyl acetate $\mathbf{2}^{\text {a }}$

Entry
(continued on next page)

Table 3. (continued)

| Entry | $\mathbf{1 b}-\mathbf{m}$ | Conv. GC (\%) | $\mathbf{3}$ GC yield (\%) | 4 GC yield (\%) | Isol. yield (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: Substrate $\mathbf{1 b}-\mathbf{m}(3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, allylacetate $\mathbf{2}(7.2 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{allyl}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$, L3: $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{THF}=2 \mathrm{~mL} ; 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}$.
plied to $\beta$-ketoesters ( $\mathbf{l} \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{k}$ ). In the case of ethyl-2oxobutanoate $\mathbf{1 c}$, a mixture of the mono ( $64 \%$ ) and the di $(31 \%)$ compounds was obtained (entry 2 ). The conversion was slightly lower than those obtained with the corresponding diketone $\mathbf{1 a}$ (Table 1, entry 14) due to the lower acidity of the proton of the methylene group in the ketoester compared to the diketone. Then $\beta$-ketoesters substituted in the methylene position ( $\mathbf{1 d - i}$ and $\mathbf{1 k}$ ) were evaluated. As expected, these substrates could be converted into the monoallylated derivatives which have been isolated in various yields from $20 \%$ to $75 \%$ (entries $3-8$ and 10 ). Ketoamides $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 m}$ proved to be good substrates for the allylation with this catalytic system leading to high conversions ( $86 \%$ and $100 \%$ respectively, entries 11 and 12). Moreover, the reaction was $100 \%$ chemoselective towards the monoallylated compound $\mathbf{3 m}$ in the case of $N$-phenyl-2-oxo butanamide 1m (entry 12). Nevertheless, we were unable to isolate the amides $\mathbf{3 1 - m}$. Unfortunately, whatever the catalytic system used, no enantioselectivity on allylmalonate derivatives $\mathbf{3 b}-\mathbf{m}$ could be observed.

## 3. Conclusion

In summary, we have synthesized new palladium complexes with $\alpha$-amino-oxime ligands based on $(R)$-limonene skeleton. X-ray diffraction studies as well as ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR in solution were used to characterize the complexes both in solid state and solution. The ligands act as $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ chelates towards palladium. The catalytic performance of these complexes have been studied in the allylic C-H alkylation of the
$\beta$-diester malonate, $\beta$-diketones, $\beta$-ketoesters and $\beta$ ketoamides with allyl acetate which led to interesting conversions but no enantioselectivity was observed in that reaction. Further investigations on the applications of amino-oximes in other asymmetric catalysis reactions are in progress.


Figure 3. $\alpha$-amino-oxime ligands L1-L3 from (R)-limonene.


Figure 4. Synthesis of the $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (C1-C3 complexes).

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. General methods

The reactions were performed under nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. All reagents were commercial grade materials and were used without any further purification. Prior to use, the solvents were dried, distilled under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and deoxygenated in the vacuum line.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 300 spectrometer and referenced to TMS. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ was purchased from Strem chemicals ( $\geq 99.9 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}$ was prepared as described in literature [23].

The ligands ( $2 S, 5 R$ )-2-methyl-2-(phenylamino)-5-(prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexan-1-one oxime L1, ( $2 S$, $5 R$ )-2-(benzylamino)-2-methyl-5-(prop-1-en-2-yl) cyclohexan-1-one oxime $\mathbf{L 2}$ and ( $2 S, 5 R$ )-2-methyl-5-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-2-((pyridin-2-ylmethyl)amino)cyc-lohexan-1-one oxime $\mathbf{L 3}$ were prepared according to published methods (Figure 3) [18].

### 4.2. Synthesis of Pd complexes $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathbf{L})(\boldsymbol{L}=\boldsymbol{L} \mathbf{1}$ or L2 or L3)

A mixture of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}(0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the ligand L1-L3 ( 0.54 mmol ) in dichloromethane ( 60 mL ) was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. The evaporation of the solvent under vacuum led to an orange precipitate. The precipitate was washed with diethyl ether and filtered yielding the desired compounds (Figure 4).

For $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OPd}(\mathbf{C 1})$ : Yield $=87 \%$
For $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OPd}(\mathbf{C 2})$ : Yield $=93 \%$
For $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OPd}(\mathbf{C 3})$ : Yield $=91 \%$

### 4.3. NMR characterization of Ligands L1-L3 and complexes C1-C3

See Tables 4-6.

### 4.4. X-ray diffraction of C1 and C2 complexes

Single-crystal X-ray measurements were performed at 100 K using an Oxford Cryostream 700 (Oxford Cryosystem). Data for both C1 and C2 complexes were collected on an Apex II CCD 4K Bruker

Table 4. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR spectra of ligand L1 and complex $\mathbf{C 1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

| Atom or group | L1 $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | C1 $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OH}$ | 8.16, s, 1H | 10, s, 1H |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ | 3.57 , s, 1H | 8.10, s, 1H |
| -Ph | 6.69-7.16, m, 5H | 7.07-7.96, m, 5H |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 4.76, d, 2H | $4.78, \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ |
| C* ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 3.29-3.33, dt, 1H | 3.38-3.36, s, 1H |
| $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2.22-2.02, q, 2H | $2.48-2.56 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2.01-1.53, m, 4H | $1.77-2.16 \mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.75, s, 3H | $1.73, \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.47, s, 3H | 1.37, s, 3H |
| Atom or group | L1 $\delta_{\text {C }}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | C1 $\delta_{\text {C }}(\mathrm{ppm})$ |
| $\mathbf{C q}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OH}$ | 164.79 | 171.37 |
| $\mathbf{C q}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 148.23 | 146.28 |
| $\mathbf{C q}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ | 146.52 | 138.56 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 129.18 | 131.08 |
|  | 118.26 | 127.89 |
|  |  | 127.62 |
|  | 114.98 | 125.45 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=$ | 109.61 | 110.45 |
| Cq-NH | 56.66 | 73.16 |
| C* ${ }^{\text {H- }}$ | 45.55 | 46.01 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-(C*-NH) | 43.21 | 41.22 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NOH}$ | 26.35 | 35.71 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cq}$ | 25.45 | 30.68 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-(C= $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) | 22.81 | 27.40 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-(C-NH) | 20.70 | 24.14 |

diffractometer equipped with a $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ Incoatec microsource ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ). The structures were solved using SHELXT [24] and refined by least-squares procedures on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ using SHELXL [25]. The most important details concerning crystal data, experiment and refinement are summarized in Supporting Information.

### 4.5. General procedure for allylic C-H alkylation

The precursor $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, the ligand $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and the solvent ( 2 mL ) were placed in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-purged Schlenk tube. The mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h . Conversions and

Table 5. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR spectra of ligand $\mathbf{L} 2$ and complexes $\mathbf{C} 2$ and $\mathbf{C} 2^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

| Atom or group | L2 $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (ppm) | C2 $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (ppm) | C2' $\delta^{\text {H }}$ (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| = $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OH}$ | 9.23, s, 1H | 9.96, s, 1H | 9.80, s, 1H |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ | 2.6, s, 1H | - | - |
| -Ph | 7.30-7.13, m, 5H | 7.59-7.22, m, 5H | 7.59-7.22, m, 5H |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 4.72, s, 2H | 5.07, s, 1H | $5.55, \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ |
|  |  | 5.02, s, 1H | $5.58, \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - Ph | 3.67, d, 1H | 5.03-4.54, m, 1H | 5.03-4.54, m, 1H |
|  | 3.38, d, 1H | $3.85,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$ | 4.05, dd, 1H |
| $\mathrm{C}^{*} \mathbf{H}$ | $3.30,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}$ | $3.25, \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ | $3.35, \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.73-1.13, m, 4H | 1.42-0.95, m, 4H | 1.42-0.95, m, 4H |
| $\mathbf{C H}_{2}-(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NOH})$ | $1.55, \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ | $2.31, \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ | $2.44, \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ |
|  |  | 2.32, m, 1H | 2.22, m, 1H |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-(C= $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) | 1.71, s, 3H | 1.80, s, 3H | 1.96, s, 3H |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-(C-NH) | 1.30, s, 3H | 1.53, s, 3H | 1.66, s, 3H |
| Atom or group | L2 $\delta_{\text {C }}$ (ppm) | C2 $\delta_{\text {C }}$ (ppm) |  |
| $\mathbf{C q}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OH}$ | 162.85 | 170.45 |  |
| $\mathbf{C q}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 148.53 | 145.19 |  |
| Cq ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) | 141 | 143.61 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 128.48 | 129.51 |  |
|  | 128.18 | 129.20 |  |
|  | 126.83 | 128.85 |  |
|  |  | 128.63 |  |
|  |  | 128.42 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=$ | 109.54 | 112.75 |  |
| Cq-NH | 56.52 | 71.73 |  |
| C*H | 44.82 | 37.55 |  |
| CH2-( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) | 46.76 | 52.68 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{*}-\mathrm{NH}\right)$ | 40.57 | 34.48 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-C-NOH | 26.04 | 29.20 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cq}$ | 25.28 | 24.61 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | 23.27 | 23.13 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-(C-NH) | 20.81 | 21.06 |  |

selectivites were determined by gas chromatography (GC) on Shimadzu 2010 equipped with a column Equity-1 ( 30 m , i.d. $=0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The solvent was then evaporated and the crude product was purified by column chromatography. The separations were conducted on silica gel with petroleum/ethyl acetate (98:2) as eluent, affording the desired product.
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