

Polylactic acid synthesis, biodegradability, conversion to microplastics and toxicity: a review

Wajid Ali, H. Ali, S. Gillani, Philippe Zinck, S. Souissi, S. Gillani

To cite this version:

Wajid Ali, H. Ali, S. Gillani, Philippe Zinck, S. Souissi, et al.. Polylactic acid synthesis, biodegradability, conversion to microplastics and toxicity: a review. Environmental Chemistry Letters, 2023, Environ. Chem. Lett., 21, pp.1761-1786. 10.1007/s10311-023-01564-8. hal-04107119

HAL Id: hal-04107119 <https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04107119>

Submitted on 26 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

REVIEW ARTICLE

Polylactic acid synthesis, biodegradability, conversion to microplastics and toxicity: a review

Wajid Ali1,2 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2405-966X) Hazrat Ali³ · Sayed Gillani4 · Philippe Zinck1 · Sami Souissi2

Received: 31 October 2022 / Accepted: 9 January 2023 / Published online: 25 January 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Global pollution by plastics derived from petroleum has fostered the development of carbon–neutral, biodegradable bioplastics synthesized from renewable resources such as modern biomass, yet knowledge on the impact of bioplastics on ecosystems is limited. Here we review the polylactic acid plastic with focus on synthesis, biodegradability tuning, environmental conversion to microplastics, and impact on microbes, algae, phytoplankton, zooplankton, annelids, mollusk and fsh. Polylactic acid is a low weight semi-crystalline bioplastic used in agriculture, medicine, packaging and textile. Polylactic acid is one of the most widely used biopolymers, accounting for 33% of all bioplastics produced in 2021. Although biodegradable *in vivo,* polylactic acid is not completely degradable under natural environmental conditions, notably under aquatic conditions. Polylactic acid disintegrates into microplastics faster than petroleum-based plastics and may pose severe threats to the exposed biota.

Keywords Biota · Degradation · Microplastics · Petroleum-based plastics · Polylactic acid · Toxicity

Introduction

The production of durable plastics started in 1940s (Chia et al. 2021). Plastics became an integral part of human life and as a result a huge amount is being produced every year, with around 370 million tons in 2021 (EuropeanBioplastics 2022). The non-biodegradable character of most petroleum-based plastics in addition to a low rate of recycling,

 \boxtimes Wajid Ali wajid.ali@univ-lille.fr

 \boxtimes Philippe Zinck philippe.zinck@univ-lille.fr

 \boxtimes Sami Souissi sami.souissi@univ-lille.fr

- ¹ CNRS, UMR 8181—UCCS—Unité de Catalyse et Chimie du Solide, Univ. Lille, 59000 Lille, France
- ² CNRS, UMR 8187, LOG, Laboratoire d'Océanologie et de Géosciences, Station marine de Wimereux, Univ. Lille, 59000 Lille, France
- ³ Environmental Chemistry, Ecotoxicology and Applied Ecology Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Malakand, Chakdara, Dir Lower 18800, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan
- ⁴ Institut Catholique d'Arts et Métiers, 6 Rue Auber, 59800 Lille, France

for example only 9% of the total plastic produced until 2015 has been recycled (Almeshal et al. 2020), are the key factors of its accumulation in the natural environment. Plastic wastes are prone to natural weathering processes including ultraviolet radiations, oxidation, and biodegradation, which result into tiny pieces of 1 µm to 5 mm in size, termed as microplastics (Frias and Nash 2019). The presence of microplastics has been reported in almost all types of environmental media, including the ice blocks of Antarctic region (Kelly et al. 2020; Obbard et al. 2014). Marine organisms accidently take up microplastics from their ambient environment with food (Matijaković Mlinarić et al. 2022). As a result, the presence of microplastics has been reported in a wide range of organisms, ranging from zooplankton (Thery et al. 2022) to fsh (Alomar et al. 2021), followed by their accumulation in the food chain via trophic transfer (Sarker et al. 2022). Consequently, a wide range of implications has been reported in the exposed individuals ranging from physical damage (Eltemsah and Bøhn 2019) to hormonal disruption (Ismail et al. 2021) and even mortality (Eom et al. 2020), suggesting that the impact of petroleum-based plastic on aquatic ecosystems is becoming severe (Nandhini et al. 2022).

Bioplastics, biodegradable and or compostable, may be considered as a relevant alternative to petroleum-based plastics. Polylactic acid is one of the most widely produced biopolymers which constituted 33% of all the bioplastics produced in 2021 (EuropeanBioplastics 2022). This aliphatic polyester is made from renewable resources (Nanda et al. 2022) and is used in agriculture, medicine and medical devices including temporary implants (Shruti and Kutralam-Muniasamy 2019), as well as for packaging, in automotive, and is now emerging for textile applications. The investigation of its degradation in natural environment is thus of great interest. Although polylactic acid is known for its in vivo biodegradable character, its biodegradation in the natural environment, notably in aquatic, is not so easy. Several review papers have summarized the applications, degradation mechanisms, modifcations and ecotoxicological evaluation of polylactic acid over the last few years (Ainali et al. 2022; Chamas et al. 2020; Hubbe et al. 2021; Karamanlioglu et al. 2017; Qin et al. 2021; Ribba et al. 2022; Taib et al. 2022; Zaaba and Jaafar 2020). A comprehensive presentation on polylactic acid including the strategies available to promote biodegradability, the synthesis of microplastics and their impact on aquatic biota is still missing. This is the objective of the present contribution.

Polylactic acid as bioplastic

Polylactic acid is a low weight, in vivo biodegradable and compostable semi-crystalline bio-based polymer, synthesized from natural sources like corn starch, sugarcane or cassava roots (Grigoras 2021). For the very frst time polylactic acid was synthesized in 1845 by Théophile-Jules Pelouze (Benninga 1990) and was commercialized in 1990s by Cargill and Dow Chemicals. However, polylactic acid and its copolymers were used as biomedical material during 1970s (Masutani and Kimura 2015). Due to its bio-absorbable and biocompatible nature, polylactic acid has been used in various biomedical applications ranging from instant drug

Fig. 1 Polylactic acid has been used as a potential alternative to petroleum-based plastics in many sectors. In agriculture, polylactic acid is used in greenhouses, drip irrigation and as a protective layer against soil erosion. Medical applications of polylactic acid range from surgery to dentistry. It is also used in automotive industry to produce diferent parts of vehicles. It is also used in increasing amount in packaging to produce cartoons, cups and plastic lined paper bags

delivery (Hu et al. 2003; Miao et al. 2011) to tissue engineering (Zhang and Ma 2004) (Fig. 1).

Due to its mechanical properties, for example, Young modulus of 1–3 GPa and elongation at break around 6–7% for $poly(L-lactide)$, and biodegradable nature, polylactic acid has also been utilized for short-term use ranging from packing to coating (Garlotta 2001) (Fig. 1), since the mid of 1990s, with the aim to replace petroleum-based plastics. Polylactic acid has a glass transition temperature (T_o) around 60 °C and is relatively brittle. Improvements are being achieved by means of copolymerization (Meimoun et al. 2021), blending, compounding and additives (Kfoury et al. 2013), which refect the hopes and interests of both the researchers and public for replacing petroleum-based plastics with the bioplastic, polylactic acid.

Synthesis of polylactic acid

The precursor of polylactic acid is lactic acid, 2-hydroxy propionic acid, which exists in two stereo isomeric forms (Fig. 2). They are mainly produced by microbial fermentation and/or chemical synthesis. During chemical synthesis, an equal amount of L-lactic acid and D-lactic acid is produced (Juodeikiene et al. 2015), while during fermentation either type of lactic acid is produced depending on the type of bacteria used. It is then subjected to purifcation process in which a number of techniques are used, ranging from nanofltration and electrodialysis to reactive distillation (Msuya et al. 2017). Once purifed, an oligo(lactic acid) is synthesized by the process of polycondensation, which gives rise to a cyclic dimer, lactide, by depolymerization reaction (Filachione and Costello 1952; Masutani and Kimura 2015) (Fig. 2). L-lactide, D-lactide and meso lactide are obtained, as shown in Fig. 2. Polylactic acid can be synthesized from these monomers either by ring-opening polymerization or

Fig. 2 Synthetic route of stereo forms of polylactic acid from biomass. Stereo forms of lactic acid are synthesized from biomass. Oligo(lactic acid) is formed from lactic acid by polycondensation. Oligo(lactic acid) gives rise to diferent stereo forms of lactide through depolymerization reaction. Polylactide is mainly synthesized by the ring opening polymerization of lactide in the presence of catalyst

Polylactide

polycondensation, catalyzed by metallic and organic catalysts mainly. However, ring-opening polymerization was the frst method of polylactic acid synthesis (Taib et al. 2022) and still being used for the manufacturing of polylactic acid on industrial scale. The resultant stereo forms of polylactic acid, $poly(L-lactide)$, $poly(D-lactide)$, and $poly(Dl-lactide)$ (Nair et al. 2013), and their properties depend on the stereo isomeric form of lactide and the catalyst used for their synthesis. For example, the resultant polylactic acid can be either semi-crystalline, poly(l-lactide) or poly(l-lactide), or amorphous, poly(DL lactic acid) (Naser et al. 2021).

Biobased versus biodegradable/degradable nature of polylactic acid

According to the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry, biodegradable plastics are macromolecular substances which can be degraded as a result of biological activity, leading to reduction in molecular weight. Not all bioplastics are degradable. For example, biobased polyamides are not degradable (Vardar et al. 2022). The misconception that all bioplastics are biodegradable became the base of their popularity (Reddy et al. 2013).

The biodegradation of polylactic acid depends on several factors including its material properties, frst and higher order structure, environmental conditions, ultraviolet radiations, temperature, pH and humidity (Karamanlioglu et al. 2017), catalytic species, microorganisms and/or enzyme (Nampoothiri et al. 2010; Qi et al. 2017). The biodegradation of polylactic acid occurs in two main steps: fragmentation and mineralization. Fragmentation of polylactic acid is achieved by means of hydrolysis which can be biotic or abiotic. For instance, biotic hydrolysis involves microorganisms and/or enzymes, whereas abiotic hydrolysis involves mechanical weathering. The second step, mineralization, is achieved by microbes resulting into $CO₂$, water and methane (Fig. 3) depending on the presence and/or absence of oxygen in the medium.

Many studies have assessed the biodegradation of polylactic acid and its blends with other polymers. Narancic et al. (2018) studied the biodegradation of polylactic acid and other polymers along with some of their blends using standards of biodegradation. The authors reported that polylactic acid alone or other polylactic acid-based plastics were not degraded in 56 days experiment in a simulated aquatic environment, anaerobic sludge, derived from wastewater treatment plant, under 35 ± 2 °C. The authors concluded that polylactic acid and its blends are similar to non-biodegradable plastics in terms of biodegradation in aquatic environment. Bagheri et al. (2017) studied the degradation of polylactic acid, along with other biopolymers and synthetic polymers, in artifcial freshwater and sea water, in a thermostatic chamber at 25 °C and under fuorescence light of 16 h light and 8 h dark cycle. The authors found that polylactic acid did not show any signifcant degradation as compared to others during a 400 days experiment. Other studies have also reported very low or negligible degradation rate of polylactic acid in the aquatic environment (Martin et al. 2014; Pinto et al. 2015; Tsuji and Suzuyoshi 2002a).

Similarly, Weinstein et al. (2020) conducted a 32-week experiment in intertidal salt marshes, where samples were submerged at high tide for 6 h and exposed to low tide for approximately 6 h too, and compared the degradation of polylactic acid, as a commercial biobased case study polymer, to conventional petro-based plastics. The conventional petro-based plastics included poly(ethylene terephthalate), high density polyethylene and polystyrene, some of which contain additives to enhance the degradation. The authors reported the formation of bioflms and microplastics, and polylactic acid showed the slowest rate of degradation of all polymers tested. Kliem et al. (2020) proposed that low temperatures along with low bacterial density make the sea water unsuitable for the biodegradation of polylactic acid.

It can be concluded from these results that polylactic acid is having no or very low degradability in the natural environment at room temperature. Consequently, like majority of other petroleum-based plastics, polylactic acid will be fragmented by mechanical weathering and due to uncommon microorganisms for polylactic acid degradation and

Fig. 3 A huge amount of polylactic acid is produced each year. After its use, a small portion is either recycled or incinerated. The rest of polylactic acid goes to dumping sites where it is prone to environmental degradation to form low molecular weight polymer. The low molecular weight polymer is either degraded by microbes, to form carbon dioxide, water, methane and biomass, or fragmented, to form pieces of less than 5 mm in size termed as microplastics. Microplastics accumulate in phytoplankton and then in zooplankton via ingestion, hence make their way to the aquatic food chain. The prey–predator relationship in aquatic ecosystems makes the microplastics to reach higher trophic levels, including humans

assimilation (Ribba et al. 2022), these fragments will turn to microplastics by the actions of several environmental factors including interaction with biota (Wang et al. 2021), which will have impact on aquatic biota. It should be noted in turn that polylactic acid is a compostable polymer which can be degraded under controlled conditions. In fact, the degradation of polylactic acid requires a high temperature $(55-175 \degree C)$, which is beyond the limits of the natural environment (Garlotta 2001; Haider et al. 2019).

Modifcations strategies for promoting the biodegradability of polylactic acid

Eforts are being made to modify polylactic acid for long term utilities. Literature survey reveals that blending with other polymers in the presence of eventual compatibilizers and the use of additives, such as plasticizers, have a signifcant efect on the degradability of polylactic acid as shown in Table 1.

Plasticizers

Plasticizers are used as additives which increase elongation at break, the stretching of plastic before it breaks, along with reduction in glass transition temperature and elastic modulus. Many studies have reported the use of diferent additives as plasticizers which promote degradation of polylactic acid. For example, Arrieta et al. (2014b) reported that the use of polyethylene glycol and acetyl-tri-*n*-butyl citrate, as plasticizer, can enhance polylactic acid degradation, where weight loss was higher than 90% after 28 days of incubation under composting conditions. In another example, Gardella et al. (2017) investigated the efects of a dendrimer like structure, a hyperbranched polyglycerol core poly(D -lactide) arms, as a plasticizer on the degradation rate of polylactic acid under composting conditions. The authors reported a faster degradation and increase in hydrophilicity of the polymer, up to about 30%. The authors attributed the faster degradation to increased hydrophilicity of the modifed polylactic acid. Similar results were also reported by many other authors including Xie et al. (2014) and Sharma et al. (2021) while investigating the degradation of plasticized polylactic acid.

The degradation of modifed polylactic acid depends on the nature of the additive used. In some cases, the use of plasticizer is shown to have very little or no efects on the degradation of polylactic acid. For example, Balart et al. (2018) reported that the use of epoxidized linseed oil, as plasticizer and or compatibilizer, can delay the degradation of polylactic acid. The authors reported that plasticized polylactic acid showed a weight loss of 61% only, while this value for unplasticized polylactic acid was 86%. Likewise, literature review reveals that other additives, when used as plasticizer, including p-limonene, glucose pentaacetate, sucrose octaacetate and glucose hexanoate esters, are reported to have slight or no efects on polylactic acid in terms of degradation (Fortunati et al. 2014; Nair et al. 2012; Yang and Hakkarainen 2015).

Blends with hydrophilic polymers

Blending with hydrophilic polymers is another approach for the modifcation of polylactic acid to improve mechanical properties as well as degradability. As polylactic acid is hydrophobic in nature, therefore blending it with hydrophilic polymers/polysaccharide has been shown to increase its degradability, attributed to an increase in hydrophilic characteristic of the polymer. For example, Claro et al. (2016) have shown that polylactic acid/chitosan and polylactic acid/ cellulose acetate blends allow rapid biodegradation as compared to neat polylactic acid. Lv et al. (2017) studied the biodegradation of polylactic acid blended with starch and wood flour for 105 days under ambient environmental conditions and reported an accelerated degradation of polylactic acid. The authors concluded that the degradation of starch created holes in the polylactic acid matrix which facilitated the difusion of water, leading to an enhanced hydrolysis of polylactic acid.

In another example, Wilfred et al. (2018) investigated the biodegradation of polylactic acid/starch blend in a commercial compost and soil for 14 and 28 days. The authors reported that the degradation rate of polylactic acid/starch blend was higher as compared to neat polylactic acid. These results also reveal a positive correlation of starch content and degradation rate, as proposed by Yamano et al. (2014) that biodegradability is correlated with hydrophilicity. Likewise, a number of studies have shown that blending of polylactic acid with hydrophilic polymers and or polysaccharides can make them more susceptible to degradation as shown in Table 1.

Blends with hydrophobic polymers

Chuayjuljit et al. (2017) studied the degradation of polylactic acid blended with poly(butylene succinate) in natural environment, buried in soil under ambient environmental temperature for 90 days. The authors reported faster degradation of blended polylactic acid and attributed it to the faster degradation of poly(butylene succinate). Similar results were also reported for blending of polylactic acid with other hydrophobic polymers, for example poly(β-hydroxybutyrate) (Bonartsev et al. 2012) and poly(vinyl acetate) (Haque et al. 2017).

However, not necessarily the blending of polylactic acid with hydrophobic polymers could contribute to their degradability profile. For example, Luzi et al. (2016) reported a

Table 1 Effects of modifications in polylactic acid on its degradability

Table 1 (continued)

 $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ Springer

decrease in the degradation of polylactic acid blended with poly(butylene succinate), compared to neat polylactic acid, attributed to higher crystallinity induced by poly(butylene succinate). These contradictions in the results, as compared to Chuayjuljit et al. (2017), could be attributed to the diference in the percentage of poly(butylene succinate) content in the blend and composting conditions as well. Furthermore, in some cases the blending of polylactic acid with hydrophobic polymers is shown to have varying efects on the degradation of polylactic acid, as shown in Table 1.

Composites

Compounding of polylactic acid with other materials, having signifcantly diferent characteristics, either synthetically or artifcially to obtain composites is another approach to improve the degradation rate of polylactic acid. Many studies have reported signifcant improvements in the degradation rate of the resultant polylactic acid composites. For example, Yaacob et al. (2016) investigated the degradation of paddy straw powder/polylactic acid composite and reported an improved degradation rate of polylactic acid in natural soil burial experiment. The authors attributed the improved degradation rate to the hydrophilic nature of paddy straw. In another work, Zandi et al. (2019) investigated the benzylated pulp (rich in cellulose)/polylactic acid and pulping liquor (rich in lignin)/polylactic acid composites in an indoor soil biodegradation experiment. The authors reported considerable biodegradation of polylactic acid composites, attributed to lower glass transition temperature and higher water absorption, in addition to larger biodegradation of fller. Similar results were also reported by many other authors as shown in Table 1.

Compatibilizers for polymer blend and composite

Many studies have reported that the use of compatibilizers can afect the degradation rate of polylactic acid in blends and composites. For example, Fortunati et al. (2013a) investigated a blend of polylactic acid with ethylene–vinyl acetate-glycidylmethacrylate copolymer, on the degradation rate of polylactic acid. The authors reported a faster degradation of the blend, 71% weight loss, under aerobic conditions in the presence of compost inoculum at 58 °C, as compared to neat polylactic acid. The authors attributed it to the faster difusion of water in to the polymer mixture. Persenaire et al. (2014) also studied the biodegradation of polylactic acid/poly(butylene succinate) blends in the presence of a compatibilizer, maleic-anhydride-grafted polylactic acid and maleic-anhydride-grafted poly(butylene succinate), under composting conditions, in a test chamber under 50 °C and humidity of 50% for 475 h. The authors reported an enhanced molar mass loss in the presence of compatibilizer as compared to the polylactic acid/poly(butylene succinate) blend. Similar results were also reported by many other studies, including Olewnik-Kruszkowska et al. (2020), Sun et al. (2021), Wan and Zhang (2018) and Wan et al. (2019), while investigating the degradation of polylactic acid after the addition of compatibilizers, as shown in Table 1.

In contrast to these results, a few studies have shown that the use of compatibilizer can also negatively afect the degradation rate of polylactic acid. For example, Carbonell-Verdu et al. (2018) reported that the use of epoxidized cotton seed oil derivatives, as compatibilizer in polylactic acid/poly(butylene adipate-*co*-terephthalate) binary blends, reduced the disintegration ability of polylactic acid. The authors attributed the reduced disintegration rate of the blend to the lower disintegration rate of poly(butylene adipate-*co*-terephthalate). However, it is worthy to note that the compatibilizer do improve some of the mechanical properties of the blend as reported by Luzi et al. (2016).

Conversion of polylactic acid into microplastics

The term microplastic was introduced to the scientifc literature by Thompson et al. (2004), and defned it as microscopic plastic pieces, while investigating its accumulation in sediments and water of European territory. This defnition was further refined by Arthur et al. (2009) as plastic fragments less than 5 mm in size. In term of size, this is the most used defnition in the literature with controversy on lower size limit. However, many authors have set diferent lower size limits ranging from 1 to 20 μ m. To address this issue, Frias and Nash (2019) defned microplastic as any synthetic polymeric particle of 1 µm to 5 mm in size, irrespective of shape and source of origin (primary or secondary).

As discussed earlier, polylactic acid is having no or very low biodegradability in the natural environment; as a result it will remain for a long time in the environment. Like petroleum-based plastics, many biotic and abiotic factors will lead to its fragmentation and consequently microplastics will be generated from it. For example, Lambert and Wagner (2016) reported the release of microscopic size particles into the surrounding solution while investigating the biodegradation of polylactic acid along with polyethylene, polyethylene terephthalate, polystyrene and polypropylene in the weathering chamber. The authors also reported that polylactic acid generated significantly higher rate of particles, 11.6×10^6 particles per milliliter, as compared to other polymers, where polyethylene, polyethylene terephthalate, polystyrene and polypropylene generated 8.0×10^6 , 9.4×10^6 , 9.9×10^6 , and 9.8×10^6 particles per milliliter, respectively. This could be attributed to the fact that bioplastics are more susceptible to degradation factors as compared to petroleum-based plastics

and consequently bioplastics are having high degradation rate (Napper and Thompson 2019; Wei et al. 2021), leading to the generation of huge number of microplastics.

Niu et al. (2022) assessed the disintegration of polylactic acid and its capacity to form microplastics using sea water and accelerated ultraviolet radiations for 18 months. The authors reported that polylactic acid forms almost 18 times fewer microplastics as compared to the petroleum-based plastic, polypropylene. However, the capacity of polylactic acid to form microplastics was double as compared to polypropylene in control. In contrast to Lambert and Wagner (2016), the number of generated microplastics were very less. The possible reason might be diference in the experimental conditions, for example, temperature which is known to have impact on the degradation of polylactic acid, and chemistry of polylactic acid used in both the studies. In a recent study, Le Gall et al. (2022) studied the formation of microplastics from self-reinforced polylactic acid (homocomposite of two comingled grades of polylactic acid fbers) using artifcial sea water and accelerated ultraviolet radiations for 18 months. The authors reported the formation of microplastics in both, control (without ultraviolet radiations exposure and kept in dark) and experimental group. However, the experimental group had double number of microplastics (17 \pm 18) as compared to control (9 \pm 5).

Many recent research studies including Shruti and Kutralam-Muniasamy (2019), Wei et al. (2021) and Wei et al. (2022) show that other bioplastics also give rise to microplastics under laboratory conditions. The fndings of these studies indicate that bioplastics including polylactic acid can give rise to microplastics, similar to petroleumbased plastics. Consequently, very recently, a few studies have identifed polylactic acid microplastics in sediments (Bancin et al. 2019), marine ecosystems (Kazour et al. 2019) and wastewater treatment plant (Granberg et al. 2019), which further makes it an alarming issue. Yagi et al. (2012) reported that polylactic acid microplastics degradation rate is much slower as compared to large fragments, which refects their persistent nature similar to petroleum-based microplastics. Polylactic acid microplastics are also resistant to degradation under normal environmental conditions and will persist in ecosystems, where they will pose potential threat to the natural environment and biota.

Environmental factors afecting formation of microplastics from polylactic acid

The degradation of polylactic acid requires both abiotic and biotic processes therefore the term environmental degradation was suggested for the overall mechanisms of degradation (Nampoothiri et al. 2010). Both types of processes are crucial for the degradation of polylactic acid, as there is evidence that polylactic acid can be degraded by biotic factors, for example enzymes, after decrease in its molecular mass by an abiotic mechanism (Stloukal et al. 2015). Like for all other plastics, there are several factors which afect the degradation of polylactic acid and lead to the formation of microplastics.

Ultraviolet radiations

Ultraviolet radiations can cause changes in the polymer microstructure by diferent pathways including chain scission and/or cross linking (Kijchavengkul et al. 2010). Considering the latter, polymers exposed to ultraviolet radiations were found to have reduced biodegradation because of higher molecular weight which in turn decreases its assimilation by microorganisms (Kijchavengkul et al. 2008). Jeon and Kim (2013) reported that exposure of polylactic acid to ultraviolet radiations for a long period reduced its biodegradation by microorganisms which suggested the formation of poorly assimilated solids or microplastics. However, Stloukal et al. (2012) reported that exposure of polylactic acid to ultraviolet radiations leads to chain scissions and specifc surface area is more important factor than photooxidation for degradation. It is very clear from the above discussion that ultraviolet radiations can afect the degradation of polylactic acid and lead to the formation of microplastics.

Temperature

Temperature is another factor which can influence the degradation of polylactic acid. It is shown by many studies that an increase in temperature can accelerate polylactic acid degradation. The possible reason is that high temperature enhances water's afnity for the polymer and increases hydrolysis rate (Goto et al. 2020), resulting in a faster degradation of the polymer. The hydrolysis of polylactic acid starts from its amorphous region (Growney Kalaf et al. 2017), which allows water to difuse more readily as compared to the crystalline region. Consequently, with the passage of time, portion of crystalline region increases and the rate of degradation decreases (Siparsky et al. 1998). The degradation of polylactic acid was investigated by Le Duigou et al. (2009) considering 20 and 40 °C temperature for 3 months. The authors reported slight change in the molecular weight of polylactic acid at 20 °C and 48% decrease at 40 °C after 3 months.

Lyu et al. (2007) reported significant variations in abiotic hydrolysis of polylactic acid considering a range of temperature from 37 to 90 °C. Similarly, Karamanlioglu et al. (2017) reported that the polylactic acid chains become more fexible at or above glass transition temperature, therefore rate of polylactic acid degradation is higher above this temperature which accelerates both hydrolysis and attachment of microbes. The attachment of microbes to polylactic acid could be very rare in the aquatic environment, as two studies have reported that there is no evidence of microbial degradation after 10 weeks of polylactic acid immersion in static and dynamic seawater (Tsuji and Suzuyoshi 2002a, 2002b). The degraded portion might be that of the amorphous region of polylactic acid and the crystalline region will persist which could lead to the formation of microplastics.

pH

Hydrolysis of polylactic acid in acidic and basic media occurs by bulk erosion and surface erosion, respectively (Rodriguez et al. 2016). There is evidence that pH can also infuence the degradation of polylactic acid. The degradation of polylactic acid has been reported under both, acidic and basic media driven by diferent mechanisms of depolymerization. For example, chain end scission hydrolyzes polylactic acid under acidic medium where protonation activates hydroxyl group, resulting in the depolymerization of polylactic acid to lactic acid (Codari et al. 2012; Lazzari et al. 2014). It should be noted that the rate of degradation was independent of chain length due to high hydrophilicity of chain end and hydrophobicity of polymer chain.

On the other hand, back biting reaction leads to random chain scission under basic medium, which depolymerizes polylactic acid into lactide followed by hydrolysis (de Jong et al. 2001; van Nostrum et al. 2004), as shown in Fig. 4. During hydrolysis, hydroxide ions catalyzed the cleavage of ester. At higher pH, the concentration of hydroxide ions is higher and therefore enhances the degradation of polylactic acid (Cam et al. 1995; Tsuji and Ikada 1998). However, the complete degradation of polylactic acid in natural environment takes time and the resulting disintegrated fragments or microplastics will aid in microplastic pollution before mineralization. Additionally, the reduction in the size of microplastics makes them likely of ingestion by organisms (Naqash et al. 2020). The question is whether these microplastics will pose the same threat to the ecosystems as petroleum-based microplastics or they will have different effects?

Impact of polylactic acid microplastics on aquatic biota

Recently some studies have shown that polylactic acid microplastics have a prominent impact on marine biota. Due to their compostable nature, polylactic acid microplastics cannot be degraded and are assimilated as all marine biota do not have the specifc enzymes responsible for their degradation. In case of petroleum-based microplastics, it is well known that ingestion of these contaminants can cause a number of adverse efects. However, in the case of polylactic acid microplastics, very recently scientifc community has shown an interest in their ecotoxicological evaluation. Very few studies have been conducted on the impact of polylactic acid microplastics on limited number of aquatic species which revealed that in some cases these contaminants can negatively afect the exposed individuals analogous to petroleum-based microplastics. The literature survey reveals that Green et al. (2016) is pioneer in assessing the toxic effects of polylactic acid microplastics on aquatic biota. After this, many research studies focused on the ecotoxicological evaluation of polylactic acid microplastics using a range of experimental model species (Table 2).

Microbial communities

Sediment microbial communities consist of large number of the earth's biodiversity and which play a key role in biogeochemical cycling of nutrients (Vincent et al. 2021) and ecological purifcation of pollutants (John et al. 2022). The presence of microplastics in the environment provides a new habitat to these microbes (McCormick et al. 2014), but on the other hand, the degradation of these polymers can produce toxic substances which will have negative efects on these microbes (Kong et al. 2018). A few species of bacteria will be benefited but the others will be negatively affected (Li et al. 2020), as diferent species of bacteria respond differently to the presence of microplastics (Wang et al. 2020). The impact of petroleum-based microplastics on microbial communities is well studied. However, studies focusing on the impact of polylactic acid microplastics on microbial communities are scarce.

Seeley et al. (2020) have conducted a 2-week microcosm experiment to investigate the efects of petroleum-based and bio-based microplastics on composition and function of sedimentary microbial communities. They reported a signifcant alteration in microbial communities exposed to petroleum-based microplastics. Surprisingly, polylactic acid microplastics were found to promote nitrifcation and denitrifcation. Based on the results, the authors suggested that the microorganisms might have utilized the microplastics as organic carbon for the energy which facilitated these phenomena. However, degradation and assimilation of polylactic acid microplastics in 2 weeks have not been reported in the literature.

Algae

Microalgae are the primary producer of aquatic ecosystems which play an important role in their functioning (Casado et al. 2013). Being a primary producer, microalgae account for 50% of net production (Barbosa 2009), and therefore, any alteration in microalgae population can have serious efects on food webs. Many studies have been carried out to

OН

Ö

Lactic acid

۰H

 $OH_+ H^+$

Ć

(Lactide)

OН

ि

HO

5

Ö

 $\overline{+}$

OН

 $H₂C$

Ő

౯

 (a)

Poly(lactic acid)

 \overline{R}

² Springer

investigate the impact of petroleum-based microplastics on several parameters of microalgae, including growth (Long et al. 2017), morphological changes (Mao et al. 2018), chlorophyll content (Prata et al. 2018), photosynthesis (Zhang et al. 2017) and gene expression (Lagarde et al. 2016). The results of all these studies reveal that petroleum-based microplastics have deleterious efects on microalgae ranging from individual to population level.

The impact of polylactic acid microplastics on microalgae has also been investigated. Su et al. (2022) investigated the effects of petroleum-based microplastics and bio-based microplastics including polylactic acid on marine alga *Chlorella vulgaris*. The authors reported that both types of microplastics inhibited the growth of microalgae. However, polylactic acid microplastics were reported to have severe efects on growth of algae with highest inhibition rate of 47.95%, as compared to other petroleum-based and bio-based microplastics. The authors attributed these efects to the physiochemical properties and chemical changes of microplastics. The authors also reported that microplastics can stimulate pigments content (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoid), attributed to the cellular defense against stress. These fndings are very interesting in two domains. Firstly, in the context of adaptation and or defense mechanisms against microplastics pollution, secondly, in understanding the fact that polylactic acid microplastics appear to be more toxic as compared to petroleum-based microplastics.

Phytoplankton community

Being primary producers, phytoplankton plays a key role in maintaining aquatic ecosystems. Due to their key role in food chains/webs, there is a serious concern about the impact of microplastics on phytoplankton (Koenigstein 2020). Any alteration or threat to the primary producers will have signifcant efects on the food chains/webs and consequently on the entire ecosystems, therefore, assessing the impact of emerging pollutants on primary producers is crucial. Literature survey revealed that petroleum-based microplastics have deleterious efects on various parameters of phytoplankton including photosynthetic capacity and growth (Sánchez-Fortún et al. 2021).

Studies on the impact of polylactic acid microplastics on phytoplankton are scare. Only a few studies have assessed their impact on phytoplankton. For example, Yokota and Mehlrose (2020) have assessed the impact of polylactic acid microplastics, originated from body wash scrub, on natural phytoplankton communities in a 7-days incubation experiment conducted in temperate mesotrophic lake. The authors reported that polylactic acid microplastics eliminated cryptophytes and increased chrysophytes, resulting in the alteration of taxonomic composition of the phytoplankton in the mesocosms. They suggested that chrysophytes contain a protective siliceous loricae against the polylactic acid microplastics whereas cryptophytes do not have any such protection and thereby got afected by the polylactic acid microplastics.

Zooplankton

Zooplanktons are primary consumers and located at the base of food chains/webs, thereby channeling nutrients and energy from the primary producers to higher trophic levels. Most researchers investigating the efects of microplastics on aquatic biota have focused on the primary consumers. As compared to other species, zooplanktons are more prone to microplastics and therefore documented as potential microplastics consumers (Cole and Galloway 2015). Microplastics act as analogues of zooplankton prey (Gambardella et al. 2017) and can have negative impact on diferent ecological processes. Recently, many studies have reported adverse efects of petroleum-based microplastics on a range of zooplankton species. The results of those studies reveal that

Table 2 (continued)

petroleum-based microplastics have adverse effects on survival rate and reproduction (Yu et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2019a), feeding capacity and selectivity (Cole et al. 2019; Coppock et al. 2019) and behavior (Suwaki et al. 2020). However, studies investigating the effects of polylactic acid microplastics on zooplankton are scare and only a few stud ies are available.

Zimmermann et al. (2020) investigated that how polylactic acid microplastics afected the survival, reproduction, and growth of *Daphnia magna* in a 21-days experiment. They found that polylactic acid microplastics cause the mortality of 60% individuals exposed to 500 mg/L, while in control the mortality was 5%. The authors also reported decrease in reproductive output and body length in the exposed indi viduals, induced by the microplastics themselves rather than leachates or additives. Similarly, very recently Di Gian nantonio et al. (2022) studied the efects of polylactic acid microplastics on uptake of microplastics, immobility, and behavior of two zooplankton species, the crustacean *Artemia franciscana* and the cnidarian *Aurelia* species (common jel lyfsh) in a 24 h experiment. The authors reported polylactic acid microplastics in the digestive system of *A. franciscana* and in the gelatinous tissue of *Aurelia* species exposed to 100 mg/L, with no efects on the immobility of both the species. However, signifcant alterations were reported in the swimming behavior (pulsation) of *Aurelia* species at all the exposure concentrations (1, 10 and 100 mg/L), attributed to the direct toxicity of polylactic acid microplastics. It is worthy to note that the concentration of microplastics used in majority of the ecotoxicological studies, to evaluate their potential efects on the exposed organisms, are much higher as compared to their concentrations found in the natural environment.

Annelids

Annelids are invertebrates which play an important role in benthic ecosystems by serving as a link from primary producers to higher trophic levels and in the cycling of minerals (Rafa and Ashok 2014). They are the dominant invertebrates of the deep sea and mostly occupy sediments. Recent studies reported that petroleum-based microplas tics can have deleterious efects on various parameters of annelids which include decrease in food intake (Wright et al. 2013), impairment of immune system, physical stress and even death (Browne et al. 2013), leading to a drastic impact on ecological processes (Green et al. 2016). To the best of our knowledge, only three studies have assessed the negative efects of polylactic acid microplastics on annelids. Klein et al. (2021) investigated the impact of polylactic acid microplastics (mixed and or layered on sediment surface) on

freshwater worms (*Lumbriculus variegatus*) under laboratory conditions. The authors reported a signifcant reduction in the survival of the worms exposed to microplastics mixed with the sediments. However, they attributed the toxicity to the associated chemicals rather than to the polymer.

Similarly, Green et al. (2016) assessed the effects of polylactic acid and petroleum-based microplastics on lugworms (*Arenicola marina*) using concentrations of 0.02, 0.20 and 2% (wet sediment weight) in a 31-days mesocosm experiment with focus on health, biological activity and nitrogen cycling, in addition to the primary productivity of the sediments. The authors reported a signifcant impact of both types of microplastics on the health and behavior of the exposed individuals, as well as reduction in the primary productivity of the sediments they inhabited. Polylactic acid microplastics exposure not only reduced the feeding activity of the exposed individuals but also reduced the biomass of the algae on the surface of sediments. They also found that polylactic acid microplastics reduced the concentration of ammonia in pore water, which might be due to the potential of carbonyl and hydroxyl groups of polylactic acid to adsorb cations.

In another study, Green et al. (2017), while investigating the ecological impacts of polylactic acid and petroleumbased microplastics, high-density polyethylene, on the biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, found a diference in faunal invertebrate assemblages in the exposed groups, with less polychaetes and more oligochaetes, highlighting the potential of polylactic acid microplastics to afect ecosystem. These results are quite interesting in the context of species-specific response to microplastics (Bai et al. 2021) or other contaminants, as both the species were exposed to the same types and concentrations of microplastics but showed completely diferent responses.

Mollusks

Mollusks are a diverse group of flter feeders which can be found in a variety of aquatic habitats. They provide ecological services to a number of organisms ranging from habitat to food (Fernández-Pérez et al. 2018). Being flter feeders, mollusks can accumulate and transfer microplastics to higher trophic levels, which will have detrimental effects on their consumers including humans. Therefore, many ecotoxicological studies have used mollusks as bioindicators of pollution (Capillo et al. 2018). However, there are only a few studies available on the impact of polylactic acid microplastics on mollusks. Green et al. (2017) studied the ecological impacts of polylactic acid and petroleum-based microplastics on the biodiversity and ecosystem functioning of bivalve-dominated European fat oysters (*Ostrea edulis*) and blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) habitats in outdoor 50-days mesocosms experiment, using two diferent concentrations of 2.5 and 25 μg/L for each type of microplastics. The authors reported a signifcant reduction in fltration by *M. edulis* (exposed to 25 μg/L), while no effects were observed on ecosystem functioning or the associated assemblages of invertebrates. On the other hand, the authors reported a signifcant increase in fltration by *O. edulis* after exposure to 2.5 and 25 μg/L and decrease in the pore water ammonium and biomass of benthic cyanobacteria.

Khalid et al. (2021) also studied the effects of polylactic acid microplastics on blue mussels (*M. edulis*) using two diferent concentrations, 10 and 100 μg/L, in an 8-days experiment with biochemical endpoints. The authors found no signifcant efects of polylactic acid microplastics on *M. edulis* in terms of oxidative stress (catalase, glutathione-*S*-transferase, and superoxide dismutase activities), neurotoxicity (acetylcholinesterase), and immunotoxicity (lysosomal membrane stability and acid phosphatase activity). In contrast to these results, Green et al. (2019) found a signifcant alteration in the immunological profle of haemolymph of *Mytilus edulis* exposed to polylactic acid microplastics in a 52-days mesocosms experiment. However, the authors found no adverse efects of polylactic acid microplastics on the attachment strength of the exposed individuals.

Green (2016) investigated that how polylactic acid and petroleum-based microplastics at low and high concentrations (0.8 and 80 μ g/L) affect the health and biological functioning of European fat oysters (*Ostrea edulis*) along with the impact on structure of associated macro faunal assemblages in a 60-days mesocosm experiment. They reported minimal efects on the exposed individuals, but the associated macro faunal assemblages were signifcantly altered which were \sim 1.2 and 1.5 times reduced as compared to the control. For instance, the biomass of *Scrobicularia plana* (peppery furrow shell clam), the abundance of juvenile *Littorina* sp. (periwinkles) and *Idotea balthica* (an isopod) were decreased 1.5, 2.0 and 8.0 times in groups exposed to either type of microplastics compared to the control.

Beside the mussels, other flter feeder organisms were also used for the ecotoxicological evaluation of microplastics. For example, Anderson and Shenkar (2021) investigated the impact of polyethylene terephthalate and polylactic acid microplastics on the biological and ecological features of a solitary ascidian (*Microcosmus exasperatus*). The authors reported that both polylactic acid and petroleum-based microplastics had similar impact on the exposed individuals; for example, both types of microplastics reduced the fertilization rates in the exposed individuals.

Fish

Fish are a good source of unsaturated fatty acids and proteins; therefore, their consumption is recommended in human diet (Ali et al. 2017). Therefore, assessment of microplastics and its consequent impact on fsh is of major environmental importance. Many ecotoxicological studies have used fsh as bioindicator of water quality and ecosystem health. Fish have the potential to accumulate and magnify pollutants which may have potential impacts on their consumers including humans. Many studies have reported the ingestion and accumulation of petroleum-based microplastics in a range of fsh species, while studies on polylactic acid microplastics are very few.

Recently, Chagas et al. (2021) studied the bioaccumulation of polylactic acid microplastics, at a concentration of 2.5 and 5 mg/L, in adult zebrafsh and its consequent impact on behavioral, biochemical, and morphological parameters in a 30-days experiment. The authors reported the accumulation of microplastics in the liver, brain, gills, and carcass of the exposed group in addition to behavioral and morphological changes. The reported behavioral and biochemical changes were shoals predictive of co-specifc social interaction and an anti-predator defense response defect, attributed to cholinergic changes inferred by an increase in the activity of acetylcholinesterase and redox imbalance whereas the morphological changes were alteration In the pigmentation pattern. However, in contrast to de Oliveira et al. (2021), no locomotor damages or anxiety-like behavior was observed in the exposed individuals. The possible reason might be diference in the life stages of the test organism as early life stages are more sensitive to diferent contaminants.

Most studies, while investigating the effects of various contaminants on aquatic organisms, have focused on early life stages of fsh, for example larvae and embryo (Mu et al. 2022), because of their sensitivity to diferent contaminants (Schweizer et al. 2018), which are critical on individual and population health's point of view. For instance, zebrafsh (*Danio rerio*) has been widely used as a biological model and/or as a representative of fsh group by many researchers to investigate the toxicological impact of microplastics. de Oliveira et al. (2021) investigated the effects of polylactic acid microplastics (3 and 9 mg/L) on zebrafsh larvae in a 5-days exposure experiment with behavioral and biochemical endpoints. The authors reported a decrease in the swimming speed and distance of the exposed individuals in open feld test. The authors attributed these outcomes as a consequent impact of microplastics on fsh locomotor and exploration activities. They also reported anxiety like behavior and accumulation of microplastics, which inhibited the activity of acetylcholinesterase leading to the reinforcement of neurotoxic action in the exposed group.

Similarly, another study also focused on the impact of polylactic acid microplastics (virgin and degraded) on zebrafsh larvae (Zhang et al. 2021). They found a slower efflux and detoxification of degraded polylactic acid, mediated by ABC transporters and P450 enzymes, leading to increase in bioaccumulation of microplastics and thereby inhibiting

the skeletal development of larvae. They also pointed the higher toxicity of degraded polylactic acid microplastics by identifcation of crucial mechanisms, for example, mitochondrial structural damage by oxidative stress, apoptosis, depolarization, and fission inhibition. However, no effects were reported on the hatching rate of larvae when exposed to both types of polylactic acid microplastics. The authors attributed these outcomes to the fact that the size of microplastics was larger as compared to the chorionic pore canals and the resistance of the chorionic barrier to polylactic acid microplastics.

Very recently, Duan et al. (2022) compared the accumulation and toxicity of polylactic acid and poly(ethylene terephthalate) microplastics using zebrafsh (*Danio rerio*) as a model organism. The authors reported 170 times higher polylactic acid microplastics in the fsh as compared to poly(ethylene terephthalate) microplastics resulting in intestinal epithelial tract damage followed by afecting the diversity of intestinal microbiota. The authors attributed these results to the depolymerization of polylactic acid in the digestive tract of fsh, which decreased the intestinal pH and changed the carbon source structure. These results are quite interesting in understanding the toxicity of polylactic acid microplastics. These fndings strongly support the concept that polylactic acid microplastics will have severe efects, similar to petroleum-based plastics, on the exposed individuals.

Conclusion

Due to the persistence and non-biodegradability of most petroleum-based plastics, efforts have been made to develop ecofriendly and environmentally safe substitutes. Polylactic acid is considered as a potential substitute of petroleumbased plastics. A comprehensive literature review shows that polylactic acid is compostable rather than biodegradable in the natural environment, leading to the formation of microplastics. Recent research has clearly identifed that microplastics originating from polylactic acid are emerging environmental contaminants similar to microplastics from petroleum-based plastics. They are severely toxic to aquatic biota and might be a threat to human population as well through the food chain.

Acknowledgements The authors are thankful to Program for Early-Stage Researchers in Lille (PEARL), coordinated by the Foundation I-SITE ULNE, for the funding under the project 'Assessing the toxicity of plastic fragments on zooplankton ecology via video tracking and behavioral analysis' TOPAZ. Sami SOUISSI acknowledges the French CNRS delegation program providing him one sabbatical semester in 2022–2023. The authors are also grateful to the Editor for his valuable comments on the earlier versions of the manuscript, which really helped to substantially improve the quality of the manuscript.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Ainali NM, Kalaronis D, Evgenidou E, Kyzas GZ, Bobori DC, Kaloyianni M, Yang X, Bikiaris DN, Lambropoulou DA (2022) Do poly(lactic acid) microplastics instigate a threat? A perception for their dynamic towards environmental pollution and toxicity. Sci Total Environ 832:155014. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scito](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155014) [tenv.2022.155014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155014)
- Ali H, Ali W, Ullah K, Akbar F, Ahrar S, Ullah I, Ahmad I, Ahmad A, Ilahi I, Sajad MA (2017) Bioaccumulation of Cu and Zn in *Schizothorax plagiostomus* and *Mastacembelus armatus* from river Swat, river Panjkora and river Barandu in Malakand Division, Pakistan. Pak J Zool 49:1555–1561. [https://doi.org/10.17582/](https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2017.49.5.1555.1561) [journal.pjz/2017.49.5.1555.1561](https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2017.49.5.1555.1561)
- Almeshal I, Tayeh BA, Alyousef R, Alabduljabbar H, Mustafa Mohamed A, Alaskar A (2020) Use of recycled plastic as fne aggregate in cementitious composites: a review. Constr Build Mater 253:119146. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.119146) [119146](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.119146)
- Alomar C, Sanz-Martín M, Compa M, Rios-Fuster B, Álvarez E, Ripolles V, Valencia JM, Deudero S (2021) Microplastic ingestion in reared aquaculture fsh: biological responses to low-density polyethylene controlled diets in *Sparus aurata*. Environ Pollut 280:116960. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116960>
- Anderson G, Shenkar N (2021) Potential efects of biodegradable single-use items in the sea: polylactic acid (PLA) and solitary ascidians. Environ Pollut 268:115364. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115364) [envpol.2020.115364](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115364)
- Arrieta MP, López J, Hernández A, Rayón E (2014a) Ternary PLA– PHB–Limonene blends intended for biodegradable food packaging applications. Eur Polym J 50:255–270. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2013.11.009) [1016/j.eurpolymj.2013.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2013.11.009)
- Arrieta MP, López J, Rayón E, Jiménez A (2014b) Disintegrability under composting conditions of plasticized PLA–PHB blends. Polym Degrad Stab 108:307–318. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2014.01.034) [polymdegradstab.2014.01.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2014.01.034)
- Arrieta MP, Fortunati E, Dominici F, López J, Kenny JM (2015) Bionanocomposite flms based on plasticized PLA–PHB/cellulose nanocrystal blends. Carbohydr Polym 121:265–275. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.12.056) [org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.12.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.12.056)
- Arthur C, Baker JE, Bamford HA (2009) Proceedings of the international research workshop on the occurrence, efects, and fate of microplastic marine debris, September 9–11, 2008. University of Washington Tacoma, Tacoma, WA, USA
- Bagheri AR, Laforsch C, Greiner A, Agarwal S (2017) Fate of socalled biodegradable polymers in seawater and freshwater. Glob Chall 1:A1700048.<https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201700048>
- Bai Z, Wang N, Wang M (2021) Effects of microplastics on marine copepods. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 217:112243. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112243) [10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112243](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112243)
- Balart JF, Montanes N, Fombuena V, Boronat T, Sánchez-Nacher L (2018) Disintegration in compost conditions and water uptake of green composites from poly(lactic acid) and hazelnut shell four. J Polym Environ 26:701–715. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-017-0988-3) [s10924-017-0988-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-017-0988-3)
- Bancin LJ, Walther BA, Lee Y-C, Kunz A (2019) Two-dimensional distribution and abundance of micro- and mesoplastic pollution in the surface sediment of Xialiao Beach, New Taipei City, Taiwan. Mar Pollut Bull 140:75–85. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpo](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.01.028) [lbul.2019.01.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.01.028)
- Barbosa AB (2009) Dynamics of living phytoplankton: implications for paleoenvironmental reconstructions. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci 5:012001.<https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1307/5/1/012001>
- Benninga H (1990) A history of lactic acid making: a chapter in the history of biotechnology, vol 11. Springer, Berlin
- Bonartsev AP, Boskhomodgiev AP, Iordanskii AL, Bonartseva GA, Rebrov AV, Makhina TK, Myshkina VL, Yakovlev SA, Filatova EA, Ivanov EA, Bagrov DV, Zaikov GE (2012) Hydrolytic degradation of poly(3-hydroxybutyrate), polylactide and their derivatives: kinetics, crystallinity, and surface morphology. Mol Cryst Liq Cryst 556:288–300. [https://doi.org/10.1080/15421406.](https://doi.org/10.1080/15421406.2012.635982) [2012.635982](https://doi.org/10.1080/15421406.2012.635982)
- Browne MA, Niven Stewart J, Galloway Tamara S, Rowland Steve J, Thompson Richard C (2013) Microplastic moves pollutants and additives to worms, reducing functions linked to health and biodiversity. Curr Biol 23:2388–2392. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.012) [cub.2013.10.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.012)
- Cam D, Hyon S, Ikada Y (1995) Degradation of high molecular weight poly(l-lactide) in alkaline medium. Biomaterials 16:833–843. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-9612\(95\)94144-A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-9612(95)94144-A)
- Capillo G, Silvestro S, Sanflippo M, Fiorino E, Giangrosso G, Ferrantelli V, Vazzana I, Faggio C (2018) Assessment of electrolytes and metals profle of the Faro Lake (Capo Peloro Lagoon, Sicily, Italy) and its impact on *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Chem Biodivers 15:1800044.<https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201800044>
- Carbonell-Verdu A, Ferri J, Dominici F, Boronat T, Sanchez-Nacher L, Balart R, Torre L (2018) Manufacturing and compatibilization of PLA/PBAT binary blends by cottonseed oil-based derivatives. Express Polym Lett 12:808–823. [https://doi.org/10.3144/expre](https://doi.org/10.3144/expresspolymlett.2018.69) [sspolymlett.2018.69](https://doi.org/10.3144/expresspolymlett.2018.69)
- Casado MP, Macken A, Byrne HJ (2013) Ecotoxicological assessment of silica and polystyrene nanoparticles assessed by a multitrophic test battery. Environ Int 51:97–105. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.11.001) [envint.2012.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.11.001)
- Chagas TQ, Araújo APC, Malafaia G (2021) Biomicroplastics versus conventional microplastics: an insight on the toxicity of these polymers in dragonfy larvae. Sci Total Environ 761:143231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143231>
- Chaiwutthinan P, Chuayjuljit S, Srasomsub S, Boonmahitthisud A (2019) Composites of poly(lactic acid)/poly(butylene adipate-coterephthalate) blend with wood fber and wollastonite: physical properties, morphology, and biodegradability. J Appl Polym Sci 136:47543. <https://doi.org/10.1002/app.47543>
- Chamas A, Moon H, Zheng J, Qiu Y, Tabassum T, Jang JH, Abu-Omar M, Scott SL, Suh S (2020) Degradation rates of plastics in the environment. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 8:3494–3511. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06635) [org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06635](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06635)
- Chia RW, Lee J-Y, Kim H, Jang J (2021) Microplastic pollution in soil and groundwater: a review. Environ Chem Lett 19:4211–4224. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01297-6>
- Chuayjuljit S, Wongwaiwattanakul C, Chaiwutthinan P, Prasassarakich P (2017) Biodegradable poly(lactic acid)/poly(butylene succinate)/wood flour composites: physical and morphological properties. Polym Compos 38:2841–2851. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.23886) [1002/pc.23886](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.23886)
- Claro PIC, Neto ARS, Bibbo ACC, Mattoso LHC, Bastos MSR, Marconcini JM (2016) Biodegradable blends with potential use in packaging: a comparison of PLA/chitosan and PLA/cellulose acetate flms. J Polym Environ 24:363–371. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-016-0785-4) [1007/s10924-016-0785-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-016-0785-4)
- Codari F, Lazzari S, Soos M, Storti G, Morbidelli M, Moscatelli D (2012) Kinetics of the hydrolytic degradation of poly(lactic acid). Polym Degrad Stab 97:2460–2466. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2012.06.026) [polymdegradstab.2012.06.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2012.06.026)
- Cole M, Galloway TS (2015) Ingestion of nanoplastics and microplastics by Pacifc oyster larvae. Environ Sci Technol 49:14625– 14632.<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04099>
- Cole M, Coppock R, Lindeque PK, Altin D, Reed S, Pond DW, Sørensen L, Galloway TS, Booth AM (2019) Efects of nylon microplastic on feeding, lipid accumulation, and moulting in a coldwater copepod. Environ Sci Technol 53:7075–7082. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01853) doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01853
- Coppock RL, Galloway TS, Cole M, Fileman ES, Queirós AM, Lindeque PK (2019) Microplastics alter feeding selectivity and faecal density in the copepod, *Calanus helgolandicus*. Sci Total Environ 687:780–789.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.009>
- de Jong SJ, Arias ER, Rijkers DTS, van Nostrum CF, Kettenes-van den Bosch JJ, Hennink WE (2001) New insights into the hydrolytic degradation of poly(lactic acid): participation of the alcohol terminus. Polymer 42:2795–2802. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(00)00646-7) [3861\(00\)00646-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(00)00646-7)
- de Oliveira JPJ, Estrela FN, Rodrigues ASL, Guimarães ATB, Rocha TL, Malafaia G (2021) Behavioral and biochemical consequences of *Danio rerio* larvae exposure to polylactic acid bioplastic. J Hazard Mater 404:124152. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124152) [jhazmat.2020.124152](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124152)
- Di Giannantonio M, Gambardella C, Miroglio R, Costa E, Sbrana F, Smerieri M, Carraro G, Utzeri R, Faimali M, Garaventa F (2022) Ecotoxicity of polyvinylidene difuoride (PVDF) and polylactic acid (PLA) microplastics in marine zooplankton. Toxics 10:479. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics10080479>
- Duan Z, Cheng H, Duan X, Zhang H, Wang Y, Gong Z, Zhang H, Sun H, Wang L (2022) Diet preference of zebrafsh (*Danio rerio*) for bio-based polylactic acid microplastics and induced intestinal damage and microbiota dysbiosis. J Hazard Mater 429:128332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.128332>
- Eltemsah YS, Bøhn T (2019) Acute and chronic efects of polystyrene microplastics on juvenile and adult *Daphnia magna*. Environ Pollut 254:112919.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.07.087>
- Eom H-J, Nam S-E, Rhee J-S (2020) Polystyrene microplastics induce mortality through acute cell stress and inhibition of cholinergic activity in a brine shrimp. Mol Cell Toxicol 16:233–243. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13273-020-00088-4) doi.org/10.1007/s13273-020-00088-4
- EuropeanBioplastics (2022) Market—European bioplastics. europeanbioplastics.org. Accessed July 2022
- Fernández-Pérez J, Nantón A, Arias-Pérez A, Freire R, Martínez-Patiño D, Méndez J (2018) Mitochondrial DNA analyses of *Donax trunculus* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) population structure in the Iberian Peninsula, a bivalve with high commercial importance. Aquat Conserv Mar Freshw Ecosyst 28:1139–1152. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2929) [org/10.1002/aqc.2929](https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2929)
- Filachione E, Costello E (1952) Lactic esters by reaction of ammonium lactate with alcohols. Ind Eng Chem 44:2189–2191
- Fortunati E, Puglia D, Kenny JM, Minhaz-Ul Haque M, Pracella M (2013a) Efect of ethylene-co-vinyl acetate-glycidylmethacrylate and cellulose microfbers on the thermal, rheological

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

and biodegradation properties of poly(lactic acid) based systems. Polym Degrad Stab 98:2742–2751. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2013.10.007) [polymdegradstab.2013.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2013.10.007)

- Fortunati E, Puglia D, Monti M, Santulli C, Maniruzzaman M, Foresti ML, Vazquez A, Kenny JM (2013b) Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) fibre based PLA composites: mechanical behaviour and biodegradation. J Polym Environ 21:726–737. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-013-0571-5) [1007/s10924-013-0571-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-013-0571-5)
- Fortunati E, Luzi F, Puglia D, Dominici F, Santulli C, Kenny JM, Torre L (2014) Investigation of thermo-mechanical, chemical and degradative properties of PLA-limonene flms reinforced with cellulose nanocrystals extracted from *Phormium tenax* leaves. Eur Polym J 56:77–91. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2014.03.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2014.03.030) [030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2014.03.030)
- Frias JPGL, Nash R (2019) Microplastics: fnding a consensus on the defnition. Mar Pollut Bull 138:145–147. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.11.022) [10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.11.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.11.022)
- Gambardella C, Morgana S, Ferrando S, Bramini M, Piazza V, Costa E, Garaventa F, Faimali M (2017) Efects of polystyrene microbeads in marine planktonic crustaceans. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 145:250–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.07.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.07.036) [036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.07.036)
- Gardella L, Forouharshad M, Pastorino L, Monticelli O (2017) Hyperbranched PDLA-polyglicerol: a novel additive for tuning PLLA electrospun fber degradation and properties. Eur Polym J 91:21–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2017.03.054>
- Garlotta D (2001) A literature review of poly(lactic acid). J Polym Environ 9:63–84.<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020200822435>
- Goto T, Kishita M, Sun Y, Sako T, Okajima I (2020) Degradation of polylactic acid using sub-critical water for compost. Polymers 12:2434.<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12112434>
- Granberg M, von Friesen LW, Bach L, Collard F, Gabrielsen GW, Strand J (2019) Anthropogenic microlitter in wastewater and marine samples from Ny-Ålesund, Barentsburg and Signehamna, Svalbard
- Green DS (2016) Efects of microplastics on European fat oysters, *Ostrea edulis* and their associated benthic communities. Environ Pollut 216:95–103. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.043) [05.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.043)
- Green DS, Boots B, Sigwart J, Jiang S, Rocha C (2016) Efects of conventional and biodegradable microplastics on a marine ecosystem engineer (*Arenicola marina*) and sediment nutrient cycling. Environ Pollut 208:426–434. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.10.010) [2015.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.10.010)
- Green DS, Boots B, O'Connor NE, Thompson R (2017) Microplastics afect the ecological functioning of an important biogenic habitat. Environ Sci Technol 51:68–77. [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04496) [acs.est.6b04496](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04496)
- Green DS, Colgan TJ, Thompson RC, Carolan JC (2019) Exposure to microplastics reduces attachment strength and alters the haemolymph proteome of blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*). Environ Pollut 246:423–434.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.12.017>
- Grigoras AG (2021) Natural and synthetic polymeric antimicrobials with quaternary ammonium moieties: a review. Environ Chem Lett 19:3009–3022. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01215-w) [s10311-021-01215-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01215-w)
- Growney Kalaf EA, Hixon KR, Kadakia PU, Dunn AJ, Sell SA (2017) Electrospun biomaterials for dermal regeneration. In: Uyar T, Kny E (eds) Electrospun materials for tissue engineering and biomedical applications. Woodhead Publishing, Sawston, pp 179–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-101022-8.00005-3>
- Haider TP, Völker C, Kramm J, Landfester K, Wurm FR (2019) Plastics of the future? The impact of biodegradable polymers on the environment and on society. Angew Chem Int Ed 58:50–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201805766>
- Hammiche D, Boukerrou A, Azzeddine B, Guermazi N, Budtova T (2019) Characterization of polylactic acid green composites and its biodegradation in a bacterial environment. Int J Polym Anal Charact 24:236–244. [https://doi.org/10.1080/1023666X.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1080/1023666X.2019.1567083) [1567083](https://doi.org/10.1080/1023666X.2019.1567083)
- Haque MM-U, Puglia D, Fortunati E, Pracella M (2017) Effect of reactive functionalization on properties and degradability of poly(lactic acid)/poly(vinyl acetate) nanocomposites with cellulose nanocrystals. React Funct Polym 110:1–9. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reactfunctpolym.2016.11.003) [10.1016/j.reactfunctpolym.2016.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reactfunctpolym.2016.11.003)
- Hu Y, Jiang X, Ding Y, Zhang L, Yang C, Zhang J, Chen J, Yang Y (2003) Preparation and drug release behaviors of nimodipineloaded poly(caprolactone)–poly(ethylene oxide)–polylactide amphiphilic copolymer nanoparticles. Biomaterials 24:2395– 2404. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612\(03\)00021-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(03)00021-8)
- Hubbe MA, Lavoine N, Lucia LA, Dou C (2021) Formulating bioplastic composites for biodegradability, recycling, and performance: a review. BioResources 16:2021–2083
- Ismail RF, Saleh NE, Sayed AE-DH (2021) Impacts of microplastics on reproductive performance of male tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) pre-fed on *Amphora cofeaeformis*. Environ Sci Pollut Res 28:68732–68744. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-14984-2) [s11356-021-14984-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-14984-2)
- Jeon HJ, Kim MN (2013) Biodegradation of poly(L-lactide) (PLA) exposed to UV irradiation by a mesophilic bacterium. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 85:289–293. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2013.08.013) [2013.08.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2013.08.013)
- John J, Nandhini AR, Velayudhaperumal Chellam P, Sillanpää M (2022) Microplastics in mangroves and coral reef ecosystems: a review. Environ Chem Lett 20:397–416. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01326-4) [s10311-021-01326-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01326-4)
- Juodeikiene G, Vidmantiene D, Basinskiene L, Cernauskas D, Bartkiene E, Cizeikiene D (2015) Green metrics for sustainability of biobased lactic acid from starchy biomass vs chemical synthesis. Catal Today 239:11–16. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2014.05.039) [05.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2014.05.039)
- Karamanlioglu M, Preziosi R, Robson GD (2017) Abiotic and biotic environmental degradation of the bioplastic polymer poly(lactic acid): a review. Polym Degrad Stab 137:122–130. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2017.01.009) [10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2017.01.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2017.01.009)
- Kazour M, Jemaa S, Issa C, Khalaf G, Amara R (2019) Microplastics pollution along the Lebanese coast (Eastern Mediterranean Basin): occurrence in surface water, sediments and biota samples. Sci Total Environ 696:133933. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133933) [scitotenv.2019.133933](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133933)
- Kelly A, Lannuzel D, Rodemann T, Meiners KM, Auman HJ (2020) Microplastic contamination in east Antarctic sea ice. Mar Pollut Bull 154:111130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111130) [111130](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111130)
- Kfoury G, Raquez J-M, Hassouna F, Odent J, Toniazzo V, Ruch D, Dubois P (2013) Recent advances in high performance poly(lactide): from "green" plasticization to super-tough materials via (reactive) compounding. Front Chem 1:32. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2013.00032) [org/10.3389/fchem.2013.00032](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2013.00032)
- Khalid A, Zalouk-Vergnoux A, Benali S, Mincheva R, Raquez J-M, Bertrand S, Poirier L (2021) Are bio-based and biodegradable microplastics impacting for blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)? Mar Pollut Bull 167:112295. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112295) [2021.112295](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112295)
- Kijchavengkul T, Auras R, Rubino M, Ngouajio M, Fernandez RT (2008) Assessment of aliphatic–aromatic copolyester biodegradable mulch flms. Part I: feld study. Chemosphere 71:942–953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.10.074>
- Kijchavengkul T, Auras R, Rubino M, Alvarado E, Camacho Montero JR, Rosales JM (2010) Atmospheric and soil degradation of

aliphatic–aromatic polyester flms. Polym Degrad Stab 95:99– 107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2009.11.048>

- Klein K, Piana T, Lauschke T, Schweyen P, Dierkes G, Ternes T, Schulte-Oehlmann U, Oehlmann J (2021) Chemicals associated with biodegradable microplastic drive the toxicity to the freshwater oligochaete *Lumbriculus variegatus*. Aquat Toxicol 231:105723. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2020.105723>
- Kliem S, Kreutzbruck M, Bonten C (2020) Review on the biological degradation of polymers in various environments. Materials 13:4586.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13204586>
- Koenigstein S (2020) Arctic marine ecosystems, climate change impacts, and governance responses: an integrated perspective from the Barents Sea. In: Pongrácz E, Pavlov V, Hänninen N (eds) Arctic marine sustainability: arctic maritime businesses and the resilience of the marine environment. Springer, Cham, pp 45–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28404-6_3
- Kong X, Jin D, Jin S, Wang Z, Yin H, Xu M, Deng Y (2018) Responses of bacterial community to dibutyl phthalate pollution in a soilvegetable ecosystem. J Hazard Mater 353:142–150. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.04.015) [org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.04.015)
- Kumar A, Tumu VR, Ray Chowdhury S, Ramana Reddy SVS (2019) A green physical approach to compatibilize a bio-based poly (lactic acid)/lignin blend for better mechanical, thermal and degradation properties. Int J Biol Macromol 121:588–600. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.10.057) [1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.10.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.10.057)
- Lagarde F, Olivier O, Zanella M, Daniel P, Hiard S, Caruso A (2016) Microplastic interactions with freshwater microalgae: Heteroaggregation and changes in plastic density appear strongly dependent on polymer type. Environ Pollut 215:331–339. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.006) doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.006
- Lambert S, Wagner M (2016) Formation of microscopic particles during the degradation of diferent polymers. Chemosphere 161:510–517. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.07.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.07.042) 042
- Lazzari S, Codari F, Storti G, Morbidelli M, Moscatelli D (2014) Modeling the pH-dependent PLA oligomer degradation kinetics. Polym Degrad Stab 110:80–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polym](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2014.08.012) [degradstab.2014.08.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2014.08.012)
- Le Duigou A, Davies P, Baley C (2009) Seawater ageing of fax/ poly(lactic acid) biocomposites. Polym Degrad Stab 94:1151– 1162.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2009.03.025>
- Le Gall M, Niu Z, Curto M, Catarino AI, Demeyer E, Jiang C, Dhakal H, Everaert G, Davies P (2022) Behaviour of a self-reinforced polylactic acid (SRPLA) in seawater. Polym Test 111:107619. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2022.107619>
- Li C, Gan Y, Dong J, Fang J, Chen H, Quan Q, Liu J (2020) Impact of microplastics on microbial community in sediments of the Huangjinxia reservoir—water source of a water diversion project in western China. Chemosphere 253:126740. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126740) [1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126740](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126740)
- Long M, Paul-Pont I, Hégaret H, Moriceau B, Lambert C, Huvet A, Soudant P (2017) Interactions between polystyrene microplastics and marine phytoplankton lead to species-specifc hetero-aggregation. Environ Pollut 228:454–463. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.05.047) [envpol.2017.05.047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.05.047)
- Luzi F, Fortunati E, Jiménez A, Puglia D, Pezzolla D, Gigliotti G, Kenny JM, Chiralt A, Torre L (2016) Production and characterization of PLA_PBS biodegradable blends reinforced with cellulose nanocrystals extracted from hemp fbres. Ind Crops Prod 93:276–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.01.045>
- Lv S, Zhang Y, Gu J, Tan H (2017) Biodegradation behavior and modelling of soil burial efect on degradation rate of PLA blended with starch and wood flour. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 159:800–808.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2017.08.056>
- Lyu SJ, Loy B, Lind D, Hobot C, Sparer R, Untereker D (2007) Kinetics and time−temperature equivalence of polymer degradation. Biomacromol 8:2301–2310.<https://doi.org/10.1021/bm070313n>
- MacDonald RT, McCarthy SP, Gross RA (1996) Enzymatic degradability of poly(lactide): effects of chain stereochemistry and material crystallinity. Macromolecules 29:7356–7361. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/ma960513j) doi.org/10.1021/ma960513j
- Mao Y, Ai H, Chen Y, Zhang Z, Zeng P, Kang L, Li W, Gu W, He Q, Li H (2018) Phytoplankton response to polystyrene microplastics: perspective from an entire growth period. Chemosphere 208:59–68.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.05.170>
- Martin RT, Camargo LP, Miller SA (2014) Marine-degradable polylactic acid. Green Chem 16:1768–1773. [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3GC42604A) [C3GC42604A](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3GC42604A)
- Masutani K, Kimura Y (2015) Chapter 1 PLA synthesis. From the monomer to the polymer. In: Jiménez A, Peltzer M, Ruseckaite R (eds) Poly(lactic acid) science and technology: processing, properties, additives and applications. The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, pp 1–36. [https://doi.org/10.1039/97817](https://doi.org/10.1039/9781782624806-00001) [82624806-00001](https://doi.org/10.1039/9781782624806-00001)
- Matijaković Mlinarić N, Selmani A, Brkić AL, Njegić Džakula B, Kralj D, Kontrec J (2022) Exposure of microplastics to organic matter in waters enhances microplastic encapsulation into calcium carbonate. Environ Chem Lett 20:2235–2242. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-022-01433-w) [1007/s10311-022-01433-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-022-01433-w)
- McCormick A, Hoellein TJ, Mason SA, Schluep J, Kelly JJ (2014) Microplastic is an abundant and distinct microbial habitat in an urban river. Environ Sci Technol 48:11863–11871. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es503610r) [org/10.1021/es503610r](https://doi.org/10.1021/es503610r)
- Meimoun J, Sutapin C, Stoclet G, Favrelle A, Roussel P, Bria M, Chirachanchai S, Bonnet F, Zinck P (2021) Lactide lactone chain shuttling copolymerization mediated by an aminobisphenolate supported aluminum complex and Al(O*i*Pr)₃: access to new polylactide based block copolymers. J Am Chem Soc 143:21206– 21210.<https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c09744>
- Miao Y, Rousseau C, Mortreux A, Martin P, Zinck P (2011) Access to new carbohydrate-functionalized polylactides via organocatalyzed ring-opening polymerization. Polymer 52:5018–5026. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2011.08.040>
- Msuya N, Katima J, Masanja E, Temu A (2017) Poly (lactic-acid) production from monomer to polymer: a review. SciFed J Polym Sci 1:1000002
- Mu X, Qi S, Liu J, Yuan L, Huang Y, Xue J, Qian L, Wang C, Li Y (2022) Toxicity and behavioral response of zebrafsh exposed to combined microplastic and bisphenol analogues. Environ Chem Lett 20:41–48.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01320-w>
- Nair NR, Nampoothiri KM, Pandey A (2012) Preparation of poly(llactide) blends and biodegradation by *Lentzea waywayandensis*. Biotechnol Lett 34:2031–2035. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-012-1005-5) [s10529-012-1005-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-012-1005-5)
- Nair AB, Sivasubramanian P, Balakrishnan P, Ajith Kumar KAN, Sreekala MS (2013) Environmental efects, biodegradation, and life cycle analysis of fully biodegradable "green" composites. In: Thomas S, Joseph K, Malhotra SK, Goda K, Sreekala MS (eds) Polymer composites. Wiley, Weinheim, pp 515–568. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527674220.ch15) [org/10.1002/9783527674220.ch15](https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527674220.ch15)
- Nampoothiri KM, Nair NR, John RP (2010) An overview of the recent developments in polylactide (PLA) research. Bioresour Technol 101:8493–8501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.05.092>
- Nanda S, Patra BR, Patel R, Bakos J, Dalai AK (2022) Innovations in applications and prospects of bioplastics and biopolymers: a review. Environ Chem Lett 20:379–395. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01334-4) [s10311-021-01334-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01334-4)
- Nandhini R, Sivaprakash B, Rajamohan N, Vo D-VN (2022) Lignin and polylactic acid for the production of bioplastics and

valuable chemicals. Environ Chem Lett. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-022-01505-x) [s10311-022-01505-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-022-01505-x)

- Napper IE, Thompson RC (2019) Environmental deterioration of biodegradable, oxo-biodegradable, compostable, and conventional plastic carrier bags in the sea, soil, and open-air over a 3-year period. Environ Sci Technol 53:4775–4783. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06984) [1021/acs.est.8b06984](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06984)
- Naqash N, Prakash S, Kapoor D, Singh R (2020) Interaction of freshwater microplastics with biota and heavy metals: a review. Environ Chem Lett 18:1813–1824. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01044-3) [s10311-020-01044-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01044-3)
- Narancic T, Verstichel S, Reddy Chaganti S, Morales-Gamez L, Kenny ST, De Wilde B, Babu Padamati R, O'Connor KE (2018) Biodegradable plastic blends create new possibilities for end-of-life management of plastics but they are not a panacea for plastic pollution. Environ Sci Technol 52:10441–10452. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02963) [10.1021/acs.est.8b02963](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02963)
- Naser AZ, Deiab I, Darras BM (2021) Poly (lactic acid)(PLA) and polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs), green alternatives to petroleum-based plastics: a review. RSC Adv 11:17151–17196. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1RA02390J>
- Niu Z, Catarino AI, Le Gall M, Curto M, Demeyer E, Hom D, Davies P, Everaert G (2022) Release of microplastics from a bio-based composite after ultraviolet irradiation. Copernicus Meetings
- Obbard RW, Sadri S, Wong YQ, Khitun AA, Baker I, Thompson RC (2014) Global warming releases microplastic legacy frozen in Arctic Sea ice. Earth's Future 2:315–320. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/2014EF000240) [1002/2014EF000240](https://doi.org/10.1002/2014EF000240)
- Olewnik-Kruszkowska E, Burkowska-But A, Tarach I, Walczak M, Jakubowska E (2020) Biodegradation of polylactide-based composites with an addition of a compatibilizing agent in different environments. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 147:104840. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2019.104840>
- Persenaire O, Quintana R, Lemmouchi Y, Sampson J, Martin S, Bonnaud L, Dubois P (2014) Reactive compatibilization of poly(l-lactide)/poly(butylene succinate) blends through polyester maleation: from materials to properties. Polym Int 63:1724–1731.<https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.4700>
- Pinto VC, Ramos T, Alves S, Xavier J, Tavares P, Moreira PMGP, Guedes RM (2015) Comparative failure analysis of PLA, PLA/ GNP and PLA/CNT-COOH biodegradable nanocomposites thin films. Procedia Eng 114:635–642. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.08.004) [1016/j.proeng.2015.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.08.004)
- Prata JC, Lavorante BRBO, Montenegro MdC BSM, Guilhermino L (2018) Infuence of microplastics on the toxicity of the pharmaceuticals procainamide and doxycycline on the marine microalgae *Tetraselmis chuii*. Aquat Toxicol 197:143–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2018.02.015>
- Qi X, Ren Y, Wang X (2017) New advances in the biodegradation of poly(lactic) acid. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 117:215–223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2017.01.010>
- Qin M, Chen C, Song B, Shen M, Cao W, Yang H, Zeng G, Gong J (2021) A review of biodegradable plastics to biodegradable microplastics: Another ecological threat to soil environments? J Clean Prod 312:127816. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127816) [2021.127816](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127816)
- Rafa R, Ashok KP (2014) Macroinvertebrates (oligochaetes) as indicators of pollution: a review. J Ecol Nat Environ 6:140–144
- Ramos M, Fortunati E, Peltzer M, Dominici F, Jiménez A, Garrigós MC, Kenny JM (2014) Infuence of thymol and silver nanoparticles on the degradation of poly(lactic acid) based nanocomposites: thermal and morphological properties. Polym Degrad Stab 108:158–165. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2014.02.011) [2014.02.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2014.02.011)
- Reddy RL, Reddy VS, Gupta GA (2013) Study of bio-plastics as green and sustainable alternative to plastics. Int J Emerg Technol Adv Eng 3:76–81
- Reeve MS, McCarthy SP, Downey MJ, Gross RA (1994) Polylactide stereochemistry: effect on enzymic degradability. Macromolecules 27:825–831
- Ribba L, Lopretti M, Montes de Oca-Vásquez G, Batista D, Goyanes S, Vega-Baudrit JR (2022) Biodegradable plastics in aquatic ecosystems: latest fndings, research gaps, and recommendations. Environ Res Lett 17:033003. [https://doi.org/10.1088/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac548d) [1748-9326/ac548d](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac548d)
- Rodriguez EJ, Marcos B, Huneault MA (2016) Hydrolysis of polylactide in aqueous media. J Appl Polym Sci 133:44152. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/app.44152) doi.org/10.1002/app.44152
- Sánchez-Fortún A, Fajardo C, Martín C, D'ors A, Nande M, Mengs G, Costa G, Martín M, Sánchez-Fortún S (2021) Efects of polyethylene-type microplastics on the growth and primary production of the freshwater phytoplankton species *Scenedesmus armatus* and *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Environ Expe Bot 188:104510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2021.104510>
- Sarker S, Huda ANMS, Niloy MNH, Chowdhury GW (2022) Trophic transfer of microplastics in the aquatic ecosystem of Sundarbans mangrove forest, Bangladesh. Sci Total Environ 838:155896.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155896>
- Schweizer M, Dieterich A, Corral Morillas N, Dewald C, Miksch L, Nelson S, Wick A, Triebskorn R, Köhler H-R (2018) The importance of sediments in ecological quality assessment of stream headwaters: embryotoxicity along the Nidda River and its tributaries in Central Hesse, Germany. Environ Sci Eur 30:22.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-018-0150-4>
- Seeley ME, Song B, Passie R, Hale RC (2020) Microplastics affect sedimentary microbial communities and nitrogen cycling. Nat Commun 11:2372. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16235-3) [s41467-020-16235-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16235-3)
- Sharma S, Majumdar A, Butola BS (2021) Tailoring the biodegradability of polylactic acid (PLA) based flms and ramie- PLA green composites by using selective additives. Int J Biol Macromol 181:1092–1103.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.04.108>
- Shruti VC, Kutralam-Muniasamy G (2019) Bioplastics: missing link in the era of Microplastics. Sci Total Environ 697:134139. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134139) doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134139
- Siparsky GL, Voorhees KJ, Miao F (1998) Hydrolysis of polylactic acid (PLA) and polycaprolactone (PCL) in aqueous acetonitrile solutions: autocatalysis. J Environ Polym Degrad 6:31–41. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022826528673) doi.org/10.1023/A:1022826528673
- Stloukal P, Verney V, Commereuc S, Rychly J, Matisova-Rychlá L, Pis V, Koutny M (2012) Assessment of the interrelation between photooxidation and biodegradation of selected polyesters after artifcial weathering. Chemosphere 88:1214–1219. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.03.072) [org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.03.072](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.03.072)
- Stloukal P, Pekařová S, Kalendova A, Mattausch H, Laske S, Holzer C, Chitu L, Bodner S, Maier G, Slouf M, Koutny M (2015) Kinetics and mechanism of the biodegradation of PLA/clay nanocomposites during thermophilic phase of composting process. Waste Manag 42:31–40.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.04.006>
- Su Y, Cheng Z, Hou Y, Lin S, Gao L, Wang Z, Bao R, Peng L (2022) Biodegradable and conventional microplastics posed similar toxicity to marine algae *Chlorella vulgaris*. Aquat Toxicol 244:106097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2022.106097>
- Sun Y, Lee D, Wang Y, Li S, Ying J, Liu X, Xu G, Gwon J, Wu Q (2021) Thermal decomposition behavior of 3D printing flaments made of wood-flled polylactic acid/starch blend. J Appl Polym Sci 138:49944. <https://doi.org/10.1002/app.49944>
- Suwaki CH, De-La-Cruz LT, Lopes RM (2020) Impacts of microplastics on the swimming behavior of the copepod *Temora turbinata*

(Dana, 1849). Fluids 5:103. [https://doi.org/10.3390/fuids5030](https://doi.org/10.3390/fluids5030103) [103](https://doi.org/10.3390/fluids5030103)

- Taib N-AAB, Rahman MR, Huda D, Kuok KK, Hamdan S, Bakri MKB, Julaihi MRMB, Khan A (2022) A review on poly lactic acid (PLA) as a biodegradable polymer. Polym Bull. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-022-04160-y) [org/10.1007/s00289-022-04160-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-022-04160-y)
- Thery J, Bialais C, Kazour M, Moreau M, Dufour D, Benali S, Amara R, Monchy S, Raquez JM, Souissi S (2022) A new method for microplastics identifcation in copepods. Front Environ Chem 3:905303.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvc>
- Thompson RC, Olsen Y, Mitchell RP, Davis A, Rowland SJ, John AWG, McGonigle D, Russell AE (2004) Lost at sea: Where is all the plastic? Science 304:838–838. [https://doi.org/10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1094559) [science.1094559](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1094559)
- Tsuji H, Ikada Y (1998) Properties and morphology of poly(L-lactide). II. Hydrolysis in alkaline solution. J Polym Sci Part A Polym Chem 36:59–66. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-0518\(19980](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0518(19980115)36:1%3c59::AID-POLA9%3e3.0.CO;2-X) [115\)36:1%3c59::AID-POLA9%3e3.0.CO;2-X](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0518(19980115)36:1%3c59::AID-POLA9%3e3.0.CO;2-X)
- Tsuji H, Suzuyoshi K (2002a) Environmental degradation of biodegradable polyesters 1. Poly(ε-caprolactone), poly[(R)-3-hydroxybutyrate], and poly(l-lactide) flms in controlled static seawater. Polym Degrad Stab 75:347–355. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910(01)00240-3) [1016/S0141-3910\(01\)00240-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910(01)00240-3)
- Tsuji H, Suzuyoshi K (2002b) Environmental degradation of biodegradable polyesters 2. Poly(ε-caprolactone), poly[(R)-3-hydroxybutyrate], and poly(l-lactide) flms in natural dynamic seawater. Polym Degrad Stab 75:357–365. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910(01)00239-7) [1016/S0141-3910\(01\)00239-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910(01)00239-7)
- van Nostrum CF, Veldhuis TFJ, Bos GW, Hennink WE (2004) Hydrolytic degradation of oligo(lactic acid): a kinetic and mechanistic study. Polymer 45:6779–6787. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2004.08.001) [1016/j.polymer.2004.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2004.08.001)
- Vardar S, Demirel B, Onay TT (2022) Degradability of bioplastics in anaerobic digestion systems and their efects on biogas production: a review. Rev Environ Sci Bio/technol 21:205–223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-021-09610-z>
- Vincent SGT, Jennerjahn TC, Ramasamy K (2021) Microbial communities in coastal sediments: structure and functions. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Wan L, Zhang Y (2018) Jointly modifed mechanical properties and accelerated hydrolytic degradation of PLA by interface reinforcement of PLA-WF. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 88:223– 230.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2018.08.016>
- Wan L, Zhou S, Zhang Y (2019) Parallel advances in improving mechanical properties and accelerating degradation to polylactic acid. Int J Biol Macromol 125:1093–1102. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.12.148) [org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.12.148](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.12.148)
- Wang J, Huang M, Wang Q, Sun Y, Zhao Y, Huang Y (2020) LDPE microplastics signifcantly alter the temporal turnover of soil microbial communities. Sci Total Environ 726:138682. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138682) doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138682
- Wang C, Yu J, Lu Y, Hua D, Wang X, Zou X (2021) Biodegradable microplastics (BMPs): A new cause for concern? Environ Sci Pollut Res 28:66511–66518. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16435-4) [s11356-021-16435-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16435-4)
- Wei X-F, Bohlén M, Lindblad C, Hedenqvist M, Hakonen A (2021) Microplastics generated from a biodegradable plastic in freshwater and seawater. Water Res 198:117123. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117123) [1016/j.watres.2021.117123](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117123)
- Wei X-F, Capezza AJ, Cui Y, Li L, Hakonen A, Liu B, Hedenqvist MS (2022) Millions of microplastics released from a biodegradable polymer during biodegradation/enzymatic hydrolysis. Water Res 211:118068. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118068) [118068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118068)
- Weinstein JE, Dekle JL, Leads RR, Hunter RA (2020) Degradation of bio-based and biodegradable plastics in a salt marsh habitat:

another potential source of microplastics in coastal waters. Mar Pollut Bull 160:111518. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111518) [2020.111518](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111518)

- Wilfred O, Tai H, Marriott R, Liu Q, Tverezovskiy V, Curling S, Fan Z, Wang W (2018) Biodegradation of polyactic acid and starch composites in compost and soil. Int J Nano Res 1:1–11
- Wright SL, Rowe D, Thompson RC, Galloway TS (2013) Microplastic ingestion decreases energy reserves in marine worms. Curr Biol 23:R1031–R1033. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.068) [2013.10.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.068)
- Wu C-S (2012) Preparation, characterization, and biodegradability of renewable resource-based composites from recycled polylactide bioplastic and sisal fbers. J Appl Polym Sci 123:347–355. <https://doi.org/10.1002/app.34223>
- Xie L, Xu H, Wang Z-P, Li X-J, Chen J-B, Zhang Z-J, Yin H-M, Zhong G-J, Lei J, Li Z-M (2014) Toward faster degradation for natural fber reinforced poly(lactic acid) biocomposites by enhancing the hydrolysis-induced surface erosion. J Polym Res 21:357. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-014-0357-z) doi.org/10.1007/s10965-014-0357-z
- Yaacob ND, Ismail H, Ting SS (2016) Soil burial of polylactic acid/ paddy straw powder biocomposite. BioResources 11:1255–1269
- Yagi H, Ninomiya F, Funabashi M, Kunioka M (2012) Anaerobic biodegradation of poly (lactic acid) flm in anaerobic sludge. J Polym Environ 20:673–680.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-012-0472-z>
- Yamano N, Kawasaki N, Oshima M, Nakayama A (2014) Polyamide 4 with long-chain fatty acid groups—suppressing the biodegradability of biodegradable polymers. Polym Degrad Stab 108:116– 122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2014.06.011>
- Yang X, Hakkarainen M (2015) Migration resistant glucose esters as bioplasticizers for polylactide. J Appl Polym Sci 132:41928. <https://doi.org/10.1002/app.41928>
- Yokota K, Mehlrose M (2020) Lake phytoplankton assemblage altered by irregularly shaped PLA body wash microplastics but not by PS calibration beads. Water 12:2650. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/w12092650) [w12092650](https://doi.org/10.3390/w12092650)
- Yu J, Tian J-Y, Xu R, Zhang Z-Y, Yang G-P, Wang X-D, Lai J-G, Chen R (2020) Efects of microplastics exposure on ingestion, fecundity, development, and dimethylsulfde production in *Tigriopus japonicus* (Harpacticoida, copepod). Environ Pollut 267:115429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115429>
- Zaaba NF, Jaafar M (2020) A review on degradation mechanisms of polylactic acid: hydrolytic, photodegradative, microbial, and

enzymatic degradation. Polym Eng Sci 60:2061–2075. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/pen.25511) doi.org/10.1002/pen.25511

- Zandi A, Zanganeh A, Hemmati F, Mohammadi-Roshandeh J (2019) Thermal and biodegradation properties of poly(lactic acid)/rice straw composites: effects of modified pulping products. Iran Polym J 28:403–415. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13726-019-00709-3) [s13726-019-00709-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13726-019-00709-3)
- Zhang R, Ma PX (2004) Biomimetic polymer/apatite composite scafolds for mineralized tissue engineering. Macromol Biosci 4:100–111. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mabi.200300017>
- Zhang C, Chen X, Wang J, Tan L (2017) Toxic efects of microplastic on marine microalgae *Skeletonema costatum*: interactions between microplastic and algae. Environ Pollut 220:1282–1288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.005>
- Zhang C, Jeong C-B, Lee J-S, Wang D, Wang M (2019a) Transgenerational proteome plasticity in resilience of a marine copepod in response to environmentally relevant concentrations of microplastics. Environ Sci Technol 53:8426–8436. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02525) [1021/acs.est.9b02525](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02525)
- Zhang P, He Y-Y, Gao D, Cai Y, Liu B (2019b) Hydrolytic and thermal degradation of polyethylene glycol compatibilized poly(lactic acid)-nanocrystalline cellulose bionanocomposites. J Appl Polym Sci 136:46933. <https://doi.org/10.1002/app.46933>
- Zhang X, Xia M, Su X, Yuan P, Li X, Zhou C, Wan Z, Zou W (2021) Photolytic degradation elevated the toxicity of polylactic acid microplastics to developing zebrafsh by triggering mitochondrial dysfunction and apoptosis. J Hazard Mater 413:125321. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125321) doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125321
- Zimmermann L, Göttlich S, Oehlmann J, Wagner M, Völker C (2020) What are the drivers of microplastic toxicity? Comparing the toxicity of plastic chemicals and particles to *Daphnia magna*. Environ Pollut 267:115392. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115392) [2020.115392](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115392)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.