

Effects of a non-informative auditory feedback over touch in the blindness

Maria Casado-Palacios, Alessia Tonelli, Claudio Campus, Monica Gori

▶ To cite this version:

Maria Casado-Palacios, Alessia Tonelli, Claudio Campus, Monica Gori. Effects of a non-informative auditory feedback over touch in the blindness. International Multisensory Research Forum, Jul 2022, Ulm, Germany. hal-04192673

HAL Id: hal-04192673 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04192673v1

Submitted on 31 Aug 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Introduction

The mechanisms underlying passive and active touch are different, with active touch leading to an attenuation of afferent somatosensory information to the cortex. This is known as movement-related sensory gating and could be responsible for a worse encoding [1,2,3]. When we have multisensory information one sense can dominate the perception according to its reliability [4]; if noise is added to the signal, its reliability changes, thus their dominance [5]. When there is ambiguation, we integrate multisensory information to infer the most likely interpretation of the sensory input [6]. However, this process is vulnerable to the loss of a sensory modality: the lack of visual calibration over the tactile and audio modality can modulate their integration, with blind individuals showing a reduced multisensory interaction [7].

How a non-informative sound might affect the tactile performance during passive and

active touch in blind and sighted individuals?

Participants:

➢ 18 sighted : 12 women; age mean +-SD: 35.11 +- 11.72 ➤18 blind: 10 women; mean age +-SD: 41.67+- 11.9 years)

Conditions:

Passive and Active

- Unimodal tactile (T)

- Bimodal audio-tactile (AT)

Method

Task:

2AFC - Sequence of two movement with different speeds and to discriminate which was faster between the two.



T=1sPausa T=1s

Standard velocity: 3 cm/s Comparison speed: QUEST [6]

Stimulation:

-Tactile stimulus: 10 cycles/cm

-Tactile area of stimulation: fingertip of index



Results



	☑ Blind	Sighted
٦	N= 17	N= 18

No correlation blindness duration and performance

Conclusions

Sighted individuals:

References

1.Elaine Chapman, C., Tremblay, F., & Ageranioti-Bélanger, S. A. (1996). Role of Primary Somatosensory Cortex in Active and Passive Touch. Hand and Brain, S 1,

No differences between the tactile and audio-tactile conditions during passive touch

In our case, tactile information might be reliable enough not to require extra sensory information.

Significant difference between the tactile and audio-tactile conditions during active touch

The somatosensory gating, as it reduced the amount of sensory information processed by the cortex [2], might increase the ambiguity of tactile information, making sighted participants more vulnerable to the noise of the auditory signal.

Blind individuals:

- No differences between the T and A-T conditions during passive touch
- No differences between the T and A-T conditions during active touch

Our results support the presence of reduced audio-tactile interactions in blind individuals [7] and suggest that it might be responsible for higher resistance to noisy interference, despise the somatosensory gating originating from the self-generated movement in this group

329-347.

- 2.(Chapman, C. E. (1994). Active versus passive touch: Factors influencing the transmission of somatosensory signals to primary sornatosensory cortex. Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology, 72(5), 558–570.
- 3.Kurz, M. J., Wiesman, A. I., Coolidge, N. M., & Wilson, T. W. (2018). Haptic exploration attenuates and alters somatosensory cortical oscillations. Journal of Physiology, 596(20), 5051-5061. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP276263
- 4.Ernst, M. O., Banks, M. S., & Bülthoff, H. H. (2020). Ernst touch can change visual slant perception NNe_2000.pdf. 19–21.
- 5.Ernst, M. O., & Bülthoff, H. H. (2004). Merging the senses into a robust percept. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 8(4), 162–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.02.002 6.Parise, C. V., & Ernst, M. O. (2017). Noise, multisensory integration, and previous
- response in perceptual disambiguation. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 13(7), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005546

7.Occelli, V., Bruns, P., Zampini, M., & Röder, B. (2012). Audiotactile integration is reduced in congenital blindness in a spatial ventriloquism task. Neuropsychologia, 50(1), 36–43.

Acknowlegments

We thank Elisa Freddi for assistance in collecting the data. This project has been funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovaton Programme under Grant Agreement No 860114

> www.iit.it/U-Vip Email: maria.casado@iit.it