

Integrating bio-oil and carbohydrate valorization on the fractionation of sugarcane bagasse via Organosolv process using Mo2C-based catalysts

Gleicielle Tozzi Wurzler, Victor Teixeira da Silva, Débora de Almeida Azevedo, Ayla Sant' Ana da Silva, Fabio Bellot Noronha

▶ To cite this version:

Gleicielle Tozzi Wurzler, Victor Teixeira da Silva, Débora de Almeida Azevedo, Ayla Sant' Ana da Silva, Fabio Bellot Noronha. Integrating bio-oil and carbohydrate valorization on the fractionation of sugarcane bagasse via Organosolv process using Mo2C-based catalysts. Fuel Processing Technology, 2022, Fuel Processing Technology, 230, pp.107208. 10.1016/j.fuproc.2022.107208. hal-04254947

HAL Id: hal-04254947 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04254947v1

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1

2

3

Integrating bio-oil and carbohydrate valorization on

the fractionation of sugarcane bagasse via Organosolv

process using Mo₂C-based catalysts

4	
5	Gleicielle Tozzi Wurzler ¹ , Victor Teixeira da Silva ^{1†} , Débora de Almeida Azevedo ² ,
6	Ayla Sant' Ana da Silva ^{3,4} , Fábio Bellot Noronha ^{4,5} *
7	
8	¹ Chemical Engineering Program, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro,
9	Box 68502, 21941-914, Brazil.
10	² Chemistry Institute, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 21941-598,
11	Brazil
12	³ Biochemistry Graduation Program, Chemistry Institute Federal University of Rio de
13	Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 21941-590, Brazil
14	⁴ Catalysis, Biocatalysis and Chemical Processes Division, National Institute of
15	Technology, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 20081-312, Brazil.
16	⁵ Université de Lille, CNRS, Centrale Lille, Université Artois, UMR 8181 – UCCS –
17	Unité de Catalyse et Chimie du Solide, Lille, 59000, France.
18	† In memoriam
19	*Corresponding Author: email: fabio.bellot@int.gov.br
20	
21	
22	
23	Submitted to
24	Fuel Processing Technology
25	Revised
26	February 2021

Abstract

27

This work studied the fractionation of sugarcane bagasse via Organosolv treatment 28 29 using isopropanol/water in the presence of Raney-Ni and molybdenum carbide catalysts 30 (Bulk Mo₂C and Mo₂C supported on activated carbon (AC) or Al₂O₃). The degree of delignification, the bio-oil and solid residue composition depended on the type of 31 catalyst. A partial extraction of hemicellulose occurred followed by depolymerization, 32 33 resulting in a product distribution that depended on the catalyst. Raney-Ni catalyst promoted the formation of diols and triols, while xylose, furfural, and furan were 34 mainly produced by Mo₂C based-catalysts. The Organosolv treatment without catalyst 35 36 and in the presence of bulk Mo₂C produced a bio-oil containing mainly 2,3dihydrobenzofuran. Mo₂C/AC and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ are promising catalysts for the 37 fractionation of sugarcane bagasse that produced a bio-oil with higher yield to 38 substituted methoxyphenols and a solid residue more easily hydrolyzed by cellulases, 39 producing higher yield to glucose than Raney-Ni catalyst. 40

41

42

- **Keywords:** bio-oil; *Organosolv*; biomass fragmentation; reductive catalytic
- 43 fractionation; Raney-Ni; Mo₂C

1. Introduction

Lignocellulosic biomass is a sustainable resource for the production of fuels and chemicals. However, the fractionation of lignocellulose into its major constituents (cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) and the complete utilization of each separated fraction is still a challenge that reduces the competitiveness of the process using this feedstock. From the industrial point of view, the fractionation of lignocellulosic biomass still has issues. For instance, considering the second-generation ethanol (2G ethanol) plants that started their production in the last decade, only few of them are still under operation [1]. The delay on the technology development is partially associated with the pretreatment of biomass that was once considered a simple step of production, but it was not the reality for the large-scale operation of biorefineries [1,2]. Therefore, the search for an efficient method of fractionation of the lignocellulosic biomass is fundamental to overcome the barriers faced by a biorefinery.

Currently, large progresses have been made on the valorization of carbohydrate fractions (cellulose and hemicellulose), whereas the use of isolated lignin remains less explored, being mostly burned for energy generation [3]. However, the success of a biorefinery also requires the valorization of the lignin fraction.

Lignin, a complex and water-insoluble aromatic polymer, is derived primarily from methoxylated hydroxycinnamyl alcohol building blocks. Unlike cellulose, with a well-defined sequence of monomeric units that are linked by regular β -1,4-glycosidic bonds, lignin is characterized by a variety of distinct and chemically different bonds, each one demanding different condition for cleavage when selective depolymerization is targeted. Although structurally more complex, the higher carbon content and lower oxygen content of lignin, relative to the holocellulose fraction, renders it an attractive feedstock for the production of biofuels and chemicals [4]. In spite of the large

production of lignin by the Kraft process of the pulp and paper industry, there are no commercial process for the valorization of Kraft lignin into fuels or chemicals. This is partially due to its recalcitrant and complex chemical nature [5].

One alternative delignification technology is the so-called *Organosolv* process that uses different organic solvents/water mixtures. The solvent polarity not only affects the delignification degree, but also the fragmentation of the lignin oligomers to monoand dimers [6]. The polarity of the solvent enhances the swelling of the lignocellulosic matrix, making it more accessible. However, the solubility of lignin oligomers (small lignin fragments with molecular weight between 100 – 400 Da) [4] significantly decreases in too polar solvents such as pure water, but water is important for the solubilization of holocellulose [7]. The combination of both counteracting effects provides a synergistic effect resulting in an increased biomass extraction and fragmentation [8].

Recently, solvents with hydrogen donor capabilities such as 2-propanol (isopropanol) have also been used on the treatment of lignocellulosic biomass [9-13] as well as on the hydrodeoxygenation of bio-oil produced [14-16]. The hydrogen donor solvents can produce *in situ* H_2 by catalytic decomposition, enhancing the solvolytic process of α -O-4 and β -O-4 ether bonds of the lignin structure and thus, promoting the fractionation of the biomass [5, 17]. The *Organosolv* process using hydrogen donor solvents has been used mostly on the fractionation of different types of wood. There are only few works about the *Organosolv* treatment of sugarcane bagasse using ethanol as solvent [12,13]. Although there are no studies about the fractionation of sugarcane bagasse using isopropanol as hydrogen donor solvent, the performance of both alcohols were similar in the fractionation of birch wood using Pd/C catalyst at 200 °C and 30 bar of H_2 for 3 h [6].

Despite the advantages of the *Organosolv* process, the repolymerization of lignin on the surface of the residual biomass can occur during the fractionation process, decreasing the efficiency of the subsequent downstream processing [18]. This is because this repolymerized lignin is characterized by very strong, highly recalcitrant C—C bonds [4]. This leads to a decrease in the efficiency of delignification, reducing the complete utilization of biomass fractions.

Recently, a new strategy for biomass fractionation has been proposed to avoid or reduce the repolymerization of lignin, the so-called reductive catalytic fractionation (RCF), in which biomass fractionation and lignin depolymerization occurs simultaneously in the presence of a heterogeneous catalyst [5,19, 20]. The role of the catalyst is to avoid repolymerization reactions by hydrogenating unsaturated lignin intermediates to monophenolic compounds. Other advantages of this catalytic fractionation process are: (i) the use of native lignin that exhibits high reactivity in comparison to isolated lignin, which is more condensed and recalcitrant; (ii) the lignin oil obtained can be upgraded under less severe conditions; (iii) the carbohydrate fraction (holocellulose) remains in the solid, whereas the lignin fraction is kept in the liquid phase, containing a high amount of aromatic compounds; and (iv) the decrease on the operational steps.

Since 2008, the number of papers about RCF has been steadily increasing but only a limited number of catalysts have been used. Most of these studies performed the fractionation in the presence of a catalyst containing noble metals such as Pd [6], Pt [21], Ru [22] or Rh [22] and transition metals such as Ni [19,20] supported on carbon or an unsupported catalyst (e.g., Raney Ni) [10,23].

Transition metal carbides are cheaper than noble metal catalysts, but exhibit similar catalytic behavior. They have been tested in a variety of reactions such as

hydrodesulfurization, hydrodenitrogenation and hydrodeoxygenation of bio-oil and model compounds representative of the lignin fraction of lignocellulose biomass [24-26] and deoxygenation of wood pyrolysis vapors [26]. Transition metal carbides have also demonstrated great potential for the conversion of lignin, exhibiting high selectivity for the cleavage of β -O-4, α -O-4, β - β and 4-O-5 bonds [25].

There are different works in the literature that reported the use of metal carbides (mainly molybdenum and tungsten) for the depolymerization of isolated lignin [27,28]. However, the catalytic fractionation of lignocellulosic biomass is much more complex, involving delignification, depolymerization and/or repolymerization of lignin fragments simultaneously. Only one work investigated the performance of metal carbide catalysts for the fractionation of lignocellulosic biomass [29]. In this work, Li *et al.* [29] reported the direct catalytic conversion of raw woody biomass into two groups of chemicals over a carbon supported Ni-W₂C catalyst. The carbohydrate fraction was converted to diols with a yield of 75.6 % (based on the amount of cellulose and hemicellulose), while the lignin component was converted selectively into monophenols with a yield of 46.5 % (based on lignin). Therefore, the metal carbide catalyst favored not only the conversion of carbohydrate fractions, but also the depolymerization of lignin, which makes it competitive when compared to noble metal catalysts.

It is also important to notice that most of the works on RCF used different types of wood (hardwoods and softwoods) [30] but only one work studied the fractionation of a grass (*Miscanthus*) using methanol and Ni/C catalyst [31]. Typical lignin structures in grasses contain ferulate, *p*-coumarate and tricin units that are not usually present in hardwoods and softwoods. Therefore, the depolymerization of lignin from grasses will produce different phenolic monomers than the ones obtained from woods.

Therefore, the goal of this work was to study the fractionation of sugarcane bagasse (grass) via *Organosolv* treatment using isopropanol as solvent and source of hydrogen (*in situ*) in the presence of different catalysts. Bulk Mo₂C and Mo₂C supported on activated carbon (AC) or γ-Al₂O₃ containing 20 % (m/m) of molybdenum carbide were evaluated. Raney-Ni commercial catalyst was used as reference. The characterization of the bio-oil and residual biomass was carried out by GC×GC-TOFMS and confocal microscopy, respectively. Both techniques provide important information about the composition of the bio-oil and morphology of the residue, and they are scarcely used in the literature on the biomass fractionation studies. The approach used in this work allows the full transformation of the fractionated biomass into biofuels and green chemicals through the integration of both lignin valorization and carbohydrate upgrading. The enzymatic hydrolysis of the solid residue containing carbohydrate fraction integrates the production of second-generation ethanol and the lignin extraction for the bio-oil production.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst synthesis

The bulk Mo₂C was prepared by temperature-programmed carburization (TPC) of molybdenum oxide (MoO₃, Sigma-Aldrich). The sample was heated under a 20 % CH₄/H₂ (v/v) mixture (200 mL min⁻¹.g_{oxide}⁻¹) at a heating rate of 2.5 °C min⁻¹ from 25 to 650 °C, remaining at this temperature for 2 h. After synthesis, it was changed the gas feed to He (200 mL min⁻¹) and the system was cooled to room temperature. Since the transition metal carbides are pyrophoric, the reactor was flooded internally with isopropanol after carburization and the catalyst was removed and stored in isopropanol until its use in the reaction.

Mo₂C/AC and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalysts containing 20 % (m/m) of molybdenum carbide were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of the supports, activated carbon (AC – Merck) and γ-Al₂O₃ (BASF), with an aqueous solution containing ammonium heptamolybdate ((NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄.4H₂O, Merck). After impregnation, the materials were dried at 100 °C for 12 h. Then, the Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalyst precursor was calcined at 500 °C for 5 h. Finally, the carburization of both samples followed the procedure previously described for the bulk Mo₂C catalyst.

The nickel catalyst used was a commercial Raney-Ni 2800 slurry (Sigma-Aldrich), an active catalyst stored in water, containing Ni (\geq 89 %) and Al (6-9 %), and 20-60 μ m particle size.

2.2. Catalyst Characterization

Specific surface areas of the samples were measured on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 analyzer by N_2 adsorption at -196 °C.

Temperature-programmed carburization (TPC) experiments were performed in a homemade apparatus. The sample (100 mg) previously loaded into a quartz U-tube micro reactor was heated under a 20 % (v/v) CH_4/H_2 mixture (100 mL min⁻¹) at a heating rate of 2.5 °C min⁻¹ from 25 to 650 °C, remaining at this temperature for 2 h. The gases coming out from the reactor were analyzed continuously by online mass spectrometry (Pfeiffer Vacuum QME 200) monitoring the ion signals m/z 16 (CH₄), m/z 18 (H₂O) and m/z 28 (CO).


Powder XRD patterns were recorded in a Bruker D8 diffractometer. The spectra were recorded in Bragg angles between 10° and 90°, with a step size of 0.02° and an acquisition time of 1 s. The crystalline phases of the samples were identified using the ICCD data base. For this characterization, the precursor oxide was *ex situ* treated by TPC and passivated at room temperature, under a mixture of 0.5 % O₂/He (30 mL min⁻ 1) for 12 h.

CO chemisorption technique was carried out in order to measure the dispersion of the catalysts. The samples were activated under the same conditions previously described for the TPC experiment. Then, the samples were cooled to 30 °C and pulses of CO were injected until saturation. The dispersion was calculated assuming that one CO molecule is chemisorbed at each metal site.

2.3. Sugarcane bagasse fractionation

The delignification of sugarcane bagasse (from Iacanga plant, São Paulo - Brazil) was performed through the *Organosolv* treatment using isopropanol (iPrOH, Vetec) and water as solvents. 7 g of sugarcane bagasse and 140 mL of solvent were used. The reaction was conducted in a 300 mL autoclave (Parr reactor) at 180 °C for 3 h, under mechanical stirring at 500 rpm and autogenous pressure (Scheme 1). The

reactor was then cooled to room temperature in an ice bath. The liquor was separated from the pretreated bagasse by filtration. The pretreated bagasse retained on the filter was washed with iPrOH to remove all the compounds adsorbed thereon and then dried at 40 °C. The liquor and the washing permeate of the pretreated bagasse were mixed and placed into a rotary evaporator under vacuum at 60 °C for removal of the solvents. The bio-oil and the pretreated bagasse were kept under refrigeration for the characterization analyzes. The catalytic method was carried out similarly as *Organosolv*. 5 g of the nickel catalyst (Raney-Ni® 2800, Sigma Aldrich) was used. For the carbide catalysts, it was used 0.7 g of bulk Mo₂C, and 3.5 g of Mo₂C/AC and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalysts, in order to keep the same amount of active phase.



Scheme 1. Schematic diagram of experimental procedure of sugarcane bagasse fractionation.

2.4. Characterization of biomass

The chemical compositional analyses of biomass (e.g. lignin and sugars) were performed following NREL (National Renewable Energy Laboratory, USA) analytical

procedures [32]. The degree of delignification (D_{lig}) and the fraction of sugar in the pretreated bagasse (R) recovered were calculated using Eqs. 1 and 2.

Dlig(%) =
$$\frac{(f_{\text{lignin total},0} - f_{\text{lignin insol},f}).f_{\text{sol.recup}}}{f_{\text{lignin total},0}}.100$$
(1)

230
$$R(\%) = \frac{f_{\text{sugarrecup}}f_{\text{sol.recup}}}{f_{\text{sugar,0}}}.100 \qquad f_{\text{sol.recup}} = \frac{m_{bagasse,f}}{m_{bagasse,0}}$$
 (2)

where $f_{lignin\ total,0}$ is the fraction of total lignin (acid soluble and insoluble lignin) present in the bagasse before treatment and $f_{lignin\ insol,f}$ is the acid insoluble lignin fraction present in the pretreated bagasse recovered after treatment. $f_{sugar\ recup}$ is the sugar fraction in the pretreated bagasse after treatment and $f_{sugar,0}$ is the sugar fraction in the bagasse before treatment. $f_{sol,recup}$ is the fraction of solid residue recovered after the reaction (unreacted bagasse), $m_{bagasse,f}$ is the mass of unreacted bagasse, $m_{bagasse,0}$ is the mass of initial bagasse loaded into the reactor. The fraction of bio-oil formed is calculated in the same way as $f_{sol,recup}$, but considering the mass of bio-oil recovered after the rotary evaporator process.

Thermogravimetric analyzes evaluated the thermal stability of untreated and pretreated bagasse. They were run on a Hitachi STA7300, at a heating rate of 5 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ from room temperature to 700 $^{\circ}$ C under N₂ flow at 80 mL min⁻¹.

Confocal fluorescence imaging was carried out using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope. Untreated and pretreated bagasse samples were stained with Safranin O and Congo Red. The residues were stained with 0.1 % Safranin O for 5 min, then destained by washing in aqueous solution of 50 % ethanol at 30 °C for 3 min until the washing solution is translucent. Then, the residues were stained with 1 % Congo Red under the same conditions as Safranin O and then washed. After staining procedure, slides were

mounted with Fluoromount- G^{\circledast} . Sections stained were excited at 488 nm wavelength. The confocal microscopy images of cellulose and lignin were collected in the 497-544 nm and 561-603 nm spectral regions, respectively. Samples were observed using an LD Plan-Neofluar 40x/0.6 Korr M27 and LD Plan-Neofluar 20x/0.6 Korr M27 objective, and each 1 mm thick image series was rendered as a maximum projection (2D) image from the Z-stack) with an image size of 1024×1024 pixels. Images were treated using Image J software v. 1.52e.

2.5. Characterization of bio-oil

Thermogravimetric analyzes of bio-oils were performed on a Hitachi STA7300, using the same conditions previously described for the biomass. TGA experiments of the liquid product, performed under an inert atmosphere, were used for estimating the fraction of volatile compounds at the injector temperature of the chromatograph [10].

In order to analyze the bio-oils via GC×GC-TOFMS, approximately 13.0 mg of each bio-oil sample were weighed using an analytical balance and dissolved with 2.0 mL of methanol solvent. Then, the solutions were filtered in 0.2 μm syringe filters and dried in a N₂ stream. The samples were resolubilized with 0.5 mL of the standard mixture followed by the chromatographic analysis. Standard mixture was composed of deuterated internal standards, used for identification and semi-quantification, were obtained from CDN Isotopes (Quebec, Canada) and have purity greater than 97 %: toluene-D₈, 1-heptanol-D₁₅, hexanoic acid-D₁₁, phenol-D₆, decalin-D₁₈, hexadecane-D₃₄ and 5α-cholestane-D₆.

The GC×GC-TOFMS system used was a Pegasus 4D (Leco, St. Joseph, MI, USA), which includes an Agilent Technologies 7890 GC (Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with a secondary oven, a non-moving quad-jet dual-stage modulator, and a

Pegasus H11 (Leco, St. Joseph, MI, USA) time-of-flight mass spectrometer. The GC columns consisted of a DB-5 (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) with 5 %phenyl -95 %-methylsiloxane (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μ m df) as the first dimension column (1D) and a DB-17 (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) with 50 %phenyl-50 %-methylsiloxane (1.2 m \times 0.1 mm i.d., 0.1 μ m df) as the second dimension column (2 D). The 2 D column was connected to the TOFMS via a 0.5 m × 0.25 mm i.d. empty deactivated fused silica capillary using SGE mini-unions and SilTiteTM metal ferrules 0.1-0.25 mm i.d. (Ringwood, VIC, Australia). The injections were performed in a splitless mode of 1 µL at 300 °C using a purge time of 60 s and a purge flow of 5 mL min⁻¹. Helium (99.9999 % purity) was used as carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The chromatographic conditions were optimized. The primary oven temperature program was 40 °C for 5 min and ramped up to 320 °C at 5 °C min⁻¹. The temperature of the secondary oven was 5 °C higher than that of the primary oven. Modulation period was 5 s, with a 1.5 s hot-pulse and 1.0 s cool-pulse duration, with modulator temperature 30 °C higher than the primary oven temperature. The MS transfer line was maintained at 300 °C, and the TOFMS was operated in the electron ionization mode with a collected mass range of m/z 35–600. The ion source temperature was 230 °C, the detector was operated at -1400 V, with electron energy 70 eV, and an acquisition rate of 100 spectra s⁻¹.

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

GC×GC-TOFMS data acquisition and processing were performed using ChromaTOF® software version 4.5 (Leco, St. Joseph, MI, USA). Samples were submitted to a data-processing method for which the individual peaks were automatically detected based on a 500:1 signal-to-noise ratio. The areas of individual peaks were acquired using the base peak of each spectrum, generating a list of all detected peaks. The relative area was calculated by peak area/total area of compounds

detected with signal-to-noise ratio higher than 500:1, excluding the solvent area. Identification was performed by comparing the deconvoluted mass spectrum obtained with the NIST Mass Spectral Library software (NIST 08, Software Version: 2.0) for correct matching, in addition to the retention times and elution order of the authentic standards. After comparison, only peaks with similarities greater than 80 % were identified.

2.6. Enzymatic hydrolysis of untreated and pretreated bagasse samples

Enzymatic hydrolysis of untreated and pretreated bagasses samples were carried out with the commercial enzymes Celluclast 1.5L and Novozyme 188. The activity of the enzymes was measured as previously described in the literature [33]. A unit of β -glucosidase (BGU) was defined as the amount of enzyme that converted 1 μ mol of cellobiose to glucose in 1 min and a unit of FPase (FPU) corresponds to the release of 1 μ mol of glucose per minute at 50 °C.

Enzymatic hydrolysis was performed in duplicate assays using 0.5 g biomass (dry weight) in 50 mL glass vials flasks containing sodium citrate buffer (0.05 M), at pH 4.8 and enzymes, in an assay of 10 g (total mass), reaching solids content of 5 % (m/m). In these assays, a mixture of commercial enzymes Celluclast 1.5L and Novozyme 188 at FPU:BGU ratio of 1:3 was used as sources of cellulases and beta-glucosidase, respectively. The cellulase (Celluclast) dosage for enzymatic hydrolysis was 20 FPU g⁻¹ of glucans. Sodium azide (0.01 g) was added to prevent the growth of microorganisms.

The flasks were sealed and kept in a rotary incubator maintained at 50 °C and 200 rpm. Samples were collected at 2, 4, 6, 24, 48 and 72 h, and only 10 % of the initial total volume was withdrawn. Each aliquot was transferred to tubes which were kept in a

boiling water bath for 5 min in order to denature the enzyme pool. Subsequently they were centrifuged and the supernatants were submitted for glucose quantification in the biochemical analyzer (YSI 2700 Select TM, Marshall Scientific).

The glucose yield was calculated according to Eq. 3.

$$y_{glucose} = \frac{(c_{glucose} - c_{glucose,0})}{1.111 \binom{w_t}{v_{h0}} f_{lns,0} \cdot f_{glucan}}.100$$
(3)

where $C_{glucose}$ is the concentration of glucose in the hydrolysate (g L⁻¹), $C_{glucose,0}$ is the initial glucose concentration in the hydrolysis assay, w_t is the total mass of the hydrolysis assay (g), V_{h0} is the initial volume of liquid (L), wt corresponds to the initial mass of liquid added to the hydrolysis assay, $F_{ins,0}$ is the initial mass fraction insoluble in the total hydrolysis assay, F_{glucan} is the initial mass fraction of glucans in the solid insoluble.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst Characterization

The BET surface areas of the catalysts increased from 25 m 2 g $^{-1}$ for the bulk Mo₂C to 540 m 2 g $^{-1}$ and 165 m 2 g $^{-1}$ for Mo₂C/AC and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃, respectively.

Fig. 1 shows the profiles of different products formed during treatment under 20 % CH₄/H₂ (v/v) mixture: m/z 18 (H₂O); m/z 28 (CO) and m/z 16 (CH₄). For the bulk Mo₂C, the curve corresponding to water shows a shoulder at 625 °C and a peak at 640 °C. However, there is only one peak at 650 °C on the curves of the m/z 28 and 16 signals. This result indicates that the first peak in the curve of m/z 18 corresponds to the reduction of MoO₃ to MoO₂ with water formation (Eq. 4). The second peak in the m/z 18 curve is followed by the consumption of methane and the formation of CO, suggesting the formation of Mo carbide (Eq. 5). The same results are reported in the literature [34].

353
$$M_{00}_{3} + H_{2} \rightarrow M_{00}_{2} + H_{2}_{0}$$
 (4)

$$354 2MoO_2 + 2CH_4 \rightarrow Mo_2C + 3H_2O + CO + H_2 (5)$$

For the supported samples, the profiles corresponding to water are more complex than that one for bulk sample. Mo₂C/AC exhibited peaks at 361 and 550 °C while three peaks are observed on the profile of Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalyst at 357, 403 and 560 °C. It is observed the formation of CO above 500 °C, with a peak at around 650 °C, which is followed by a peak with weak intensity corresponding to the consumption of methane. Therefore, the region at low temperature in the profile of water formation could be attributed to the reduction of MoO₃ to MoO₂ with different particle size, whereas the water formation at high temperature (above 500 °C) is likely due to the formation of Mo

carbide. Then, the TPC experiments suggests that Mo carbide was formed after treatment at 650 °C for 2h under 20 % CH₄/H₂ (v/v) mixture for all samples. XRD experiments after TPC were carried out to confirm the formation of Mo carbide phase and the results will be presented next.


368

364

365

366

367



370

371

372

Figure 1. Signals of the products formed during the TPC up to 650 °C (2.5 °C min⁻¹) under 20 % CH₄/H₂ (v/v) mixture. m/z 18 (H₂O); m/z 28 (CO); and m/z 16 (CH₄).

373

374

375

376

Fig. 2 shows the diffractograms of Mo-based catalysts after carburization. The diffractogram of bulk Mo₂C exhibits the lines characteristic of β-Mo₂C phase (ICDD 35-0787) at $2\theta = 34.4$, 37.9, 39.4, 52.0, 61.7, 69.5, 72.4, 74.6 and 75.5° . However, the lines corresponding to MoO_3 ($2\theta = 12.8$, 25.7, 39.0°) phase are also observed, suggesting that the Mo carbide was partially oxidized when the sample was exposed to air after TPC. However, this phase is not expected during the catalytic experiment because the catalyst was in situ reduced before the reaction.

For both supported catalysts, the diffractograms revealed the presence of the main diffraction line of β -Mo₂C phase at $2\theta = 39.4^{\circ}$, which agrees well with the TPC results that revealed the formation of carbide phase.




Figure 2. X-ray diffractograms of Mo₂C, Mo₂C/AC and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ samples. Dotted line corresponds to the most intense diffraction line of β-Mo₂C phase ($2\theta = 39.4^{\circ}$).

It has been observed that the type of support influences the formation of molybdenum carbide phase. Han *et al.* [34] reported the formation of the molybdenum

carbide phase on carbon nanotube (CNT) at lower temperature than over activated carbon support.

The amount of CO chemisorbed and the calculated dispersion are reported in Table 1. Both supported Mo₂C catalysts have approximately the same dispersion around 14-15 %, which is in agreement with other works in the literature [24,35].

Table 1. CO chemisorption and carbide dispersion (D).

Catalyst	Chemisorption	D (%)		
	$(\mu mol_{CO} . g_{catalyst}^{-1})$			
Mo ₂ C	68	-		
Mo ₂ C/AC	141	14.4		
Mo ₂ C/Al ₂ O ₃	150	15.3		

3.2. Catalytic fractionation of sugarcane bagasse

First, the delignification of sugarcane bagasse was performed using the Organosolv treatment in an aqueous solution containing 2-propanol (iPrOH:H₂O, 70 % v/v) at 180 °C for 3 h. 2-Propanol was used as a solvent as well as a hydrogen-donor. The aim of this procedure is to retain the holocellulose (cellulose and hemicellulose) fraction as a solid residue and to keep the lignin in the liquid phase.

After treatment with aqueous solution of isopropanol (iPrOH), 30 % of the initial biomass produced the bio-oil and 70 % was recovered as the solid residue (pretreated bagasse) (Table 2). The relative content of cellulose in the pretreated bagasse increased from 39.9 % (untreated bagasse) to 52.8 % (pretreated bagasse), while the hemicellulose fraction remained unchanged around 25 % (Table S1). Based on the initial content, this treatment retained a considerable part of the sugars in the solid residue with high

recovery of cellulose (93 %) while hemicellulose was partially recovered (69 %) (Table 2). However, the insoluble lignin content decreased considerably from 22.5 to 13.1% (Table S1), resulting in 59 % of delignification of sugarcane bagasse (Table 2).

Table 2. Degree of delignification and recovered fraction (%) after *Organosolv* reaction with isopropanol solution in the absence of catalyst (iPrOH) and in the presence of Raney-Ni (Ni+iPrOH), Mo₂C (Mo₂C+iPrOH), Mo₂C/AC (Mo₂C/AC+iPrOH) and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ (Mo₂C/Al₂O₃+iPrOH) catalysts.

	iPrOH	Ni+iPrOH	Mo ₂ C+iPrOH	Mo ₂ C/AC+iPrOH	Mo ₂ C/Al ₂ O ₃ +iPrOH				
Bio-oil fraction	30	23	49	34	45				
Solid fraction	70	69	44	36	47				
Fraction sum	100	92	92	70	92				
Delignification	59	62	80	14	14				
Recovered fraction in pretreated bagasse in comparison to the initial biomass *(%, m/m)									
Cellulose	93	93	73	31	28				
Hemicellulose	69	74	28	15	31				

^{*} Untreated bagasse consisted of 39.90 % cellulose, 25.69 % hemicellulose, 22.54 % total lignin, 4.96 % extractives and 0.93 % ash (Table S1).

A similar result was reported by Novo *et al.* [36] for *Organosolv* fractionation of sugarcane bagasse with an aqueous solution containing 80 % of glycerol. The authors recovered 93 % of cellulose, a value similar to the one obtained in our work with iPrOH reaction, and approximately 80 % of delignification was achieved at 190 °C and 4 h. The lower degree of delignification of our work is likely due to the type of solvent and the lower reaction temperature used (2-propanol, 180 °C). Ferrini and Rinaldi [10] used the *Organosolv* method for the delignification of poplar wood under the same conditions of our work (reaction temperature and solvent) and obtained 77 % of

delignification. This result reveals the effect of the composition of lignocelullosic biomass on the delignification degree. Lignin from grasses, such as sugarcane bagasse, is less susceptible to delignification than lignin from hardwood (poplar). The reactivity of lignin depends on the composition of reactive functional groups within monomer units [37]. Lignin is composed by three fundamental monomers: *p*-coumaryl alcohol (*p*-hydroxyphenol - H), coniferyl alcohol (guaiacyl - G), and sinapyl alcohol (syringyl - S). In softwood lignins, the dominant monomer is guaiacyl (G), while hardwood lignins consists of both guaiacyl (G) and syringyl (S) units. Grasses have large quantities of all three phenylpropylenes [38].

Van den Bosch *et al.* [39] performed the catalytic fractionation of different lignocellulosic feedstocks (birch, poplar, a pine-spruce mixture and *Miscanthus*) using the *Organosolv* method with methanol and H₂ for 3 h and a Ru/C catalyst. The hardwoods (birch and poplar) exhibited both the highest degree of delignification (93 % and 86 %, respectively), and the highest yields of monomers and dimers. On the other hand, the softwood samples (pine and spruce mixture) led to a moderate degree of delignification (56 %) and a low yield of phenolic monomers. *Miscanthus* samples, which belongs to the family of grasses as sugarcane bagasse, presented an intermediate degree of delignification (63 %), as well as an intermediate monomer yield. Therefore, these results indicate that the lignin building block composition will influence in its tendency to depolymerization into phenolic monomers and dimers compounds.

Fig. 3 shows a two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC×GC-TOFMS) image corresponding to the bio-oil obtained by the *Organosolv* treatment of sugarcane bagasse without catalyst, highlighting the main detected and identified species.




Figure 3. GC×GC-TOFMS chromatogram of bio-oil sample from iPrOH *Organosolv* treatment. The main analytes are highlighted under the chromatogram.

2,3-dihydrobenzofuran is the main component of the bio-oil (118.6 μg mg⁻¹) that also contains significant amounts of substituted methoxyphenols (2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol, vanillin, 4-hydroxy-benzaldehyde). 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran and substituted methoxyphenols are obtained from the decomposition of lignin structure [40,41]. Phenylcoumaran structures formed by β -5′ coupling are one of the main structures present in the lignin of sugarcane bagasse as identified in the NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) spectra [42]. The depolymerization of this structure led to the formation of 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (Fig. 4), which has pharmaceutical, industrial, and medical applications. Several biologically active natural and synthetic compounds are based on the 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran core. For instance, 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans have antitubercular, anti-HIV, anticancer, antiprotozoal and cytotoxic activities [43].

Phenylcoumaran

Figure 4. Depolymerization of phenylcoumaran structures and formation of 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans, where, G: guaiacyl and S: syringyl units; C_1 and C_3 are the carbons in position 1 and 3, respectively, of the guaiacyl units.

GC×GC images of the bio-oil obtained by *Organosolv* treatment of poplar wood under the same reaction conditions used in our work (180 °C, iPrOH/H₂O (7:3, v/v)) [10] did not reveal the presence of 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran, which also demonstrate that this compound is typical of depolymerization of lignin derived from grasses.

Table 3 reports the concentration of the main classes of compounds present in the bio-oil. Substituted phenols and methoxyphenols stood out as a class of compounds identified in the bio-oil (44.7 %) and they are derived from lignin. Table S2 lists the main constituents of the bio-oil obtained without catalyst and their respective concentrations. Substituted methoxyphenols such as 2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol (analyte 6, Fig. 3) was detected. This compound has application as flavoring agent in foods and beverages [44]. Additionally, a considerable amount of vanillin was quantified, which is a lignin oxidation product applied as flavoring agent for foods [45].

Table 3. Concentration (μg mg_{biooil-volatilized}-¹) and percentage of the identified classes in the bio-oils obtained by Semi-quantification via GC×GC-TOFMS. Fraction of volatiles at 300 °C obtained by thermogravimetric analysis of bio-oils.

Caora**	Concentration (μg mg ⁻¹ biooil-volatilized* / %)									
Group**	iPrOH		Ni+iI	PrOH	Mo ₂ C+	-iPrOH	Mo ₂ C/A	C+iPrOH	Mo ₂ C/Al ₂	O3+iPrOH
Carbohydrates derived-compounds										
Acids	5.5	/1.9	21.2	/2.7	12.4	/4.1	19.1	/3.9	9.0	/2.8
Alcohols and sugars	7.0	/2.5	73.7	/9.5	13.9	/4.5	23.4	/4.8	11.6	/3.7
Ketones	0.6	/0.2	0.5	/0.1	2.5	/0.8	5.7	/1.2	7.0	/2.2
Esthers	2.0	/0.7	1.0	/0.1	4.7	/1.5	26.2	/5.3	2.8	/0.9
Furanics derived	18.8	/6.6	237.2	/30.6	45.9	/15.0	76.4	/15.6	109.7	/34.7
Lignin derived-compounds										
Substituted phenols and methoxyphenols	126.8	/44.7	146.3	/18.9	107.2	/35.0	208.1	/42.4	129.0	/40.8
2,3- Dihydrobenzofuran	118.6	/41.8	3.6	/0.5	118.2	/38.6	121.8	/24.8	1.2	/0.4
Others lignin derived-compounds	3.5	/1.2	289.8	/37.4	1.6	/0.5	9.8	/2.0	45.8	/14.5
Hydrocarbons	0.9	/0.3	2.5	/0.3	0.1	/0.0	0.0	/0.0	0.3	/0.1
Total	283.7		776.2		306.5		490.5		316.3	
Fraction volatiles 300 °C (%)	5	4	7	76	3	9	4	8	5	

^{*} Concentration: semi-quantified analyte mass relative to bio-oil volatilized mass at 300 °C

488

489

490

491

The *Organosolv* treatment was also evaluated in the presence of Raney-Ni commercial catalyst, used as reference, in comparison to molybdenum carbide catalysts, proposed in our study as alternative catalyst. The addition of Raney-Ni catalyst did not

^{**} Aldehydes and ethers were found in traces in the bio-oil samples

produce significant changes in the delignification degree (62 %) or in the fraction of recovered cellulose (93 %) and hemicellulose (74 %) in the solid residue (Table 2) in comparison to the *Organosolv* treatment without catalyst. The variations in the relative content of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin in the solid residue were also quite close to the ones observed in the absence of catalyst (Table S1).

Van den Bosch *et al.* [19] reported similar results with the *Organosolv* treatment of birch sawdust using methanol and Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst, reaching delignification degrees of 84 and 87 % without and with catalyst, respectively. According to the authors, the solvent was responsible for the extraction of lignin and its subsequent depolymerization by solvolytic cleavage of the β-O-4 bonds. It was suggested that the catalyst stabilized the products generated from lignin, preventing the repolymerization. The ability of organic solvents to dissolve lignin facilitates its depolymerization especially because of the increase in mass transfer between the catalyst and solubilized substrate [6]. In contrast, for the wood poplar, Ferrini and Rinaldi [10] reported a decrease in the delignification degree on the treatments in the presence of Raney-Ni catalyst (77 to 63 %).

The chromatogram of the bio-oil produced in the presence of Raney-Ni catalyst is displayed in Fig. 5. The presence of acetic acid and diols corresponds to the depolymerization of cellulose and hemicellulose. In addition, Fig. S1 shows that at least 76 % of the compounds present in the Ni+iPrOH bio-oil are volatilized at 300 °C (temperature of chromatograph injector), and therefore analyzed. It is important to take into account that this was the bio-oil sample with the highest fraction of volatiles, with 775.8 μ g of analytes identified for each mg of volatilized bio-oil (at 300 °C, Table 3).




Figure 5. GC×GC-TOFMS chromatogram of bio-oil sample from Ni+iPrOH catalyzed iPrOH *Organosolv* treatment. The main analytes are highlighted under the chromatogram.

As show in Tables 3 and S2, the bio-oil obtained from the *Organosolv* treatment in the presence of Raney-Ni catalyst contained larger amounts of acids (acetic acid), alcohols (1,2-butanediol and 1,4-butanediol), furans (tetrahydro-2-methyl-2-furanol) and lactones (2-hydroxy-γ-butyrolactone and 5-hydroxymethyldihydrofuran-2-one) than the treatment with only iPrOH without catalyst. 5-Hydroxymethyldihydrofuran-2-one is a product of hydrogenation of the ring of 5-Hydromethyl-2(5H)-furanone (HBO), an important feedstock for the production of different antimumor, antibacterial, antiviral drugs such as azidotimidine (AZT) and Remdesivir that is used in the treatment of

coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID 19) [46]. Acetic acid is likely due to sugars degradation and acetyl bond cleavage in the xylans [47].

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

lignin-derived formed The main products were 3,4-dihydroxycyclohexanepropanoic acid (135.3 µg/mg), 3-(4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-prop-2enal (72.4 μg/mg), cyclohexanepropanoic acid (74.5 μg/mg), and benzylmalonic acid (73.4 µg/mg). Curiously, only a low concentration of 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran was detected in the bio-oil obtained in the presence of Raney-Ni (3.6 µg/mg). Benzylmalonic acid was not produced in the absence of the catalyst and 3-(4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-prop-2-enal was produced in low concentration, indicating that Raney-Ni participated on the depolymerization of lignin and then, changed the product 3,5-Dimethoxy-4-hydroxycinnamaldehyde distribution. (sinapaldehyde) intermediate in the synthesis pathway of native lignin monomer precursor sinapyl alcohol [4].

Comparing the Ni+iPrOH bio-oil from sugarcane bagasse with that from wood [10], both exhibited high content of alcohols from hydrogenolysis of hemicellulose sugars [47]. Analyzing qualitatively, the bio-oil obtained from the treatment of wood with Raney-Ni catalyst presented mostly methoxyphenols similar to the ones presented in the sugarcane bio-oil, such as eugenol, 2,6-dimethoxyphenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-phenol. However, the bio-oil from sugarcane differ from that of wood by the presence of phenol and methoxyphenols substituted by acid groups (analytes 14, 16 and 20, Fig. 5).

Organosolv treatment of bagasse was also evaluated in the presence of molybdenum carbide catalysts (bulk Mo_2C or Mo_2C supported on activated carbon (AC) or γ -Al₂O₃).

The treatment with the bulk Mo₂C catalyst (Mo₂C+iPrOH) produced a larger amount of bio-oil and, consequently, a decrease in the solid fraction was observed, when compared to the reactions with only iPrOH or Ni+iPrOH (Table 2). This is likely due to the higher delignification degree (80 %) as well as to the larger extent of cellulose and hemicellulose depolymerization. At this condition, only 28 % of the hemicellulose of the untreated bagasse was retained in the solid residue. This affected the bio-oil composition that contained acetic acid (11.8 μ g/mg), xylose (9.3 μ g/mg), furfural (17.3 μ g/mg) and furan (7.9 μ g/mg), all hemicellulose-derived products (Table S2). However, diols and triols were not formed.

Fig. 6 shows the chromatogram of the bio-oil produced in the treatment with the bulk Mo₂C catalyst. There was practically no formation of diols in the bio-oil produced by bulk Mo₂C that also exhibited a lower formation of acetic acid than Raney-Ni (Table S2). On the other hand, xylose, furfural and furan were preferentially formed on the carbide-based catalyst (Table S2). The concentration of substituted phenols and methoxyphenols (107.2 μg/mg) was lower than on Raney-Ni (Table 3). The main compound in the bio-oil obtained from the treatment with Mo₂C was 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (118.2 μg/mg), as observed for the *Organosolv* reaction without catalyst. Moreover, 2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol (34.5 μg/mg), 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (19.3 μg/mg), vanillin (16.5 μg/mg), and 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (10.1 μg/mg) were also detected (Table S2).




Figure 6. GC×GC-TOFMS chromatogram of bio-oil sample from bulk Mo₂C catalyzed iPrOH *Organosolv* treatment. The main analytes are highlighted under the chromatogram.

It is also important to notice that only 39 % of the bio-oil obtained on the treatment with bulk Mo₂C was volatized at 300 °C (temperature of chromatograph injector) as shown by the TGA experiments (Fig. S1), which may explain the apparent decrease in the total amount of compounds in this bio-oil, when compared with the bio-oil from Ni+iPrOH catalyzed system.

In comparison to the reaction with bulk Mo₂C reaction, the use of Mo₂C supported in AC or Al₂O₃ resulted in low delignification (14 %) and high cellulose and hemicellulose extraction. For instance, it was observed a retention of only 31 and 15 % of the initial cellulose and hemicellulose content, respectively, in the solid residue recovered after the reaction with Mo₂C/AC (Table 2). These results agree with the considerable amount of acetic acid, xylose, furfural and lactones in the bio-oils from reactions catalyzed by Mo₂C supported catalysts (Table S2).

The bio-oil produced in the treatment with Mo₂C/AC (Fig. 7) contained considerable amounts of acetic acid (18.7 μg/mg), xylose (18.2 μg/mg) and furfural (29.2 μg/mg) (Table S2). These results show a significant depolymerization of hemicellulose in the presence of Mo₂C/AC catalyst. 2,3-Dihydrobenzofuran was the main lignin derived product (121.8 μg/mg) as observed for the bulk Mo₂C catalyst. Considering the substituted phenols and methoxyphenols, there was significant formation of 2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol (51.5 μg/mg), 4-hydroxy-benzaldehyde (30.5 μg/mg), 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-phenol (24.5 μg/mg), 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy-benzaldehyde (15.7 μg mg⁻¹) and 2-methoxy-4-(1-propenyl)-phenol (15.4 μg mg⁻¹). The Mo₂C/Al₂O₃+iPrOH bio-oil (Fig. 8) contained a significant amount of lactones such as 2-hydroxy-γ-butyrolactone and substituted methoxyphenols such as 3-hydroxy-4-methoxy-benzaldehyde. As observed for Raney-Ni catalyst, 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran was not formed in the reaction using Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalyst. The tests with Mo₂C/AC and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalysts exhibited the highest yield to substituted methoxyphenols (24 %), which, in general, are good octane components of gasoline.




Figure 7. GC×GC-TOFMS chromatogram of bio-oil sample from Mo₂C/AC catalyzed iPrOH *Organosolv* treatment. The main analytes are highlighted under the chromatogram.




Figure 8. GC×GC-TOFMS chromatogram of bio-oil sample from Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalyzed iPrOH *Organosolv* treatment. The main analytes are highlighted under the chromatogram.

3.3. Effect of the type of metal phase

The fractionation of sugarcane bagasse caused a partial extraction of hemicellulose from the biomass through the solvolysis performed by the isopropanol/water mixture, whereas the cellulose fraction remained in the solid residue practically untouched. The addition of Raney-Ni did not change significantly the fraction of cellulose and hemicellulose recovered in the solid residue in comparison the Organosolv treatment of bagasse. However, in the presence of Raney-Ni catalyst, the solvolytic extraction of hemicellulose was accompanied by its catalytic depolymerization and the formation of large quantities of diols (1,2-butanediol, 1,4-butanediol), triols (glycerol, 1,2,4-butanetriol), furans (tetrahydro-2-methyl-2-furanol) and lactones (2-hydroxy-butyrolactone and 5-hydroxymethyldihydrofuran-2-one). The

carbide phase also favored the depolymerization of hemicellulose, but the main products obtained were xylose and furfural.

Hemicelluloses are linear polymers of β -D-xylopyranosyl units linked by (1 \rightarrow 4) glycosidic bonds (xylose), with many of the xylose units substituted at position 2 or 3 by 4-O-methyl-α-D-glucuronopyranosyl acid. Hemicelluloses may also have a high rate of substitution by acetyl groups. In the presence of catalysts, the amount of acetic acid, diols, xylose, furfural and lactones in the bio-oil significantly increased (Table S2). These results indicates that they catalyzed the removal of the acetyl groups and the acetic acid released likely promoted the cleavage of β -(1,4) glycosidic bonds of hemicellulose. The xylose formed is isomerized and dehydrated to furfural [48], or it is hydrogenated to xylitol [49] (Scheme 2). The dehydration is promoted by the acid sites of the supported Mo₂C catalysts represented by the Lewis acid sites of the support (alumina) and of the Mo₂C phase. This explains the higher fraction of furfural on the bio-oil of Mo₂C based catalysts. On the other hand, Raney-Ni does not contain acidity and then, this reaction pathway is not relevant. However, this catalyst has high hydrogenation and hydrogenolysis activity. Therefore, glucose and xylose are preferentially hydrogenated to sorbitol and xylitol, respectively. The hydrogenolysis of sorbitol and xylitol leads to the production of glycerol, ethylene glycol and 1,2,3butanetriol that is further converted to 1,2-butanediol or 1,4-butanediol [50]. The dehydrogenation of 1,4-butanediol may produce γ-butyrolactone and its derivatives. Therefore, the active phase (metallic Ni or Mo2C) and the type of support strongly affects the product distribution of the bio-oil.

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

Scheme 2. Reaction pathways for the production of the main hemicellulose-derived products observed in this work on Raney-Ni and Mo₂C-based catalysts.

The addition of catalyst also affected the product distribution obtained from lignin depolymerization, which depended on the active phase (Fig. 9). For *Organosolv* treatment, 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran was the main product formed, while Raney-Ni catalyst favored the formation of 3,4-dihydroxy-cyclohexanepropanoic acid, cyclohexanepropanoic acid and benzylmalonic acid, substituted methoxyphenols that were only formed on this catalyst.





Figure 9. Distribution of the concentration of main identified analytes derived from lignin. Percentage calculated in relation to the total products derived from lignin.

For the bulk Mo₂C catalyst, the product distribution and the percentage of lignin-derived compounds was quite similar to that for *Organosolv* treatment without catalyst. However, the percentage of each lignin-derived compounds varied on the supported carbide phase in comparison to the *Organosolv* treatment without catalyst. For instance, 3-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde and 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-phenol were formed over Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalyst, but they were not observed in the bio-oil of the *Organosolv* treatment without catalyst. For Mo₂C/AC catalyst, 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-phenol, and 2-methoxy-4-(1-propenyl)-phenol were formed whereas only trace amounts were detected in the *Organosolv* treatment without catalyst. These results revealed that the type of support also plays a role in the reaction since the carbide phase was the same, regardless the catalyst.

Scheme 3 shows the main lignin structures containing different units for grasses with inter-unit bonding such as ferulate or tricin units [42]. This Scheme also displays the main compounds formed in the bio-oil obtained with the Raney-Ni and Mo₂C catalysts, representing the cleavage of different C-O and C-C bonds. For Raney-Ni, benzylmalonic acid is obtained by the cleavage of β -O-4 bonds of the ferulate unit while 3-(4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-prop-2-enal (sinapaldehyde) is produced by breaking β -O-4 and 4-O-5 bonds of a guaiacyl unit. 3-(p-hydroxyphenyl)-1-propanol is produced from *p*-coumarate unit. In the case of Mo₂C/AC catalyst, 2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol is formed through the cleavage of β -O-4 and α -O-4 bonds between two guaiacyl units. 2,6-Dimethoxyphenol may be obtained by the cleavage of β -1 bond between a guaiacyl/syringyl unit and phenylcoumaran unit of a ferulate structure. The cleavage of β -1 bond may occur depending on the reaction conditions and catalyst used as demonstrated by the reactions using lignin model compounds [5]. Therefore, the type

of the active phase promoted the cleavage of specific C-O and C-C bonds, originating different substituted methoxyphenols.



Scheme 3. Monomers formed in the reactions and suggested precursor structure. G: guaiacyl and S: syringyl units; *p*C: *p*-coumarate; FA: ferulate.

Since transition metal carbide catalysts, like the Mo_2C type catalysts presented in this work, have catalytic activity similar to noble metal catalysts [24-29], we can make a parallel with the results obtained by Ru/C catalyst used by Lv *et al.* [51]. These authors suggested a reaction mechanism for the depolymerization of a hydrolyzed residue of cornstalk chips in an aqueous solution of ethyl acetate and Ru/C catalyst. They proposed that the β -O-4, α -O-4, β -5 and 4-O-5 linkages as well as the bonds in the

side chain of phenylpropane (e.g., α - β , α -OH, β -OH and γ -OH) could be greatly cleaved, indicating that these linkages and side chain bonds were fractured under mild conditions. For instance, the β -O-4 linkages and α - β side chain bond in oligomer could be broken to form dimer, and then the α -O-4 linkage and the side chain α -OH and β -OH bonds in dimer might be fractured to obtain monomers. The α -O-4 and β -5 linkages of dimers as well as α -OH or/and β -OH of side chain bonds, might be cleaved to obtain the monomers.

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

Considering the yields of substituted methoxyphenols, Yan *et al.* [21] reported the results obtained for the fractionation of birch wood sawdust in water at 200 °C and 40 bar of H₂ using active carbon supported Ru, Pd, Pt and Rh catalysts. The main monomer formed for each catalyst were: Pt/C (guaiacylpropanol); Pd/C (syringylpropanol); Rh/C (guaiacylpropanol); and Ru/C (syringylpropanol). The sum of the yields of the main monomers formed followed the order: Pt/C (33.6 %) > Pd/C (25.5 %) > Rh/C (19.7 %) >> Ru/C (4.6 %). These results revealed that the type of the metal plays a key role on the distribution of products derived from lignin depolymerization.

Li et al. [29] investigated the fractionation of birch wood at 235 °C and 60 bar of H₂ in water using carbon supported W₂C promoted by Ni, Ir, Pd, Pt and Ru catalysts and obtained monomers yield of 36.9, 30.6, 28.4, 26.7 and 0.00 %, respectively. In addition, the distribution of phenolic products varied significantly depending on the catalyst. For Pd-W₂C/AC, phenolic the main monomers were guaiacylpropanol syringylpropanol. On the other hand, Ni-W₂C/AC, Pt-W₂C/AC and Ir-W₂C/AC favored the formation of guaiacylpropane and syringylpropane, which were probably produced from the hydrogenolysis of guaiacylpropanol and syringylpropanol, respectively. These results suggested that Pd-based catalyst favored the lignin hydrogenation preferentially to hydroxyl group, while Ni-W₂C/AC and other catalysts facilitated the dehydroxylation

reaction. In addition, a significant formation of diols (ethylene glycol, 1,2-propylene glycol, 1,2-butylene glycol) was observed for all catalysts, which was attributed to the depolymerization of cellulose and hemicellulose. The yields of diols were higher than that obtained for substituted methoxyphenols and followed the order: Ni-W₂C/C (70.6%) > Pd-W₂C/C (47.4%) > Pt-W₂C/AC (35.6%) > Ir-W₂C/AC (25.2%) > Ru-W₂C/AC (0.0%). Li *et al.* [29] also carried out the fractionation of sugarcane bagasse at 235 °C and 60 bar of H₂ on water using Ni-W₂C/C, which was the catalyst that exhibited the highest yield to substituted methoxyphenols for the treatment of birch wood (36.9%). The yield to substituted methoxyphenols significantly decreased to 23.4% for the treatment of sugarcane bagasse, which reveals the important effect of the biomass type on the yield of substituted methoxyphenols. Furthermore, a high yield of diols was also observed (59.6%).

In our work, the yields of substituted methoxyphenols obtained in the *Organosolv* treatment with our Mo₂C-based catalysts (bulk Mo₂C – 18 %; Mo₂C/AC – 24 %; Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ - 24 %) (Tab. S3) were higher than that ones for the treatment without catalyst (13 %) and Raney-Ni (13 %). Considering the total yield of monomer in our work, the following values were obtained for the carbide catalysts: bulk Mo₂C – 49 %, Mo₂C/AC - 51 %; Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ - 35 % (Tab. S3), which are comparable with the data from literature for catalytic fractionation of hardwood and softwood. However, the yield to monomers reported in the literature vary significantly because of the different reaction conditions (temperature, pressure, solvent), catalysts and type of biomass used [30], which makes the comparison of our results quite hard. In particular with sugarcane bagasse, there is only the work of Li *et al.* [29] who also used supported carbide catalysts. In this case, the yield to substituted methoxyphenols on both works was approximately the same (23 and 24 %) but Li *et al.* [29] used more severe reaction

conditions (235 $^{\circ}$ C and 60 bar of H_2) than our work (180 $^{\circ}$ C and autogenous pressure). In addition, a lower formation of diols was observed in our work.

3.4. Enzymatic hydrolysis and characterization of the Organosolv pretreated bagasse

Pretreatment is one of the most expensive stages in second-generation technologies, however, it is crucial for ensuring good ultimate yields of sugars from both polysaccharides [48]. Initially, this operation has been considered a simple step, but commercial scale 2G ethanol plants presented difficulties in the treatment processes of lignocellulosic biomass [52]. Addressing this issue is fundamental for the success of the biorefinery, which allows the use of all fractions of the lignocellulosic biomass [53-55]. Therefore, it is important that both the bio-oil formed and the pretreated bagasse exhibits high quality. In this context, the enzymatic hydrolysis using cellulases of the *Organosolv* pretreated bagasse (solid residue) was performed for gaining insights into its potential as feedstock for cellulosic ethanol production.

Fig. 10 shows the glucose yield as a function of time during the enzymatic hydrolysis of the untreated and the pretreated bagasse samples obtained after the treatments with different catalysts. As expected, the untreated bagasse hydrolysis resulted in the lowest glucose yield (~ 5 %, 72 h), because cellulose in the intact plant cell walls is not promptly available for the cellulases attack. However, the hydrolysis of pretreated bagasse from the iPrOH and Ni+iPrOH treatments also achieved low glucose yields of 12 and 16 % respectively, suggesting that the modification of the cell wall structure at these conditions did not improve the exposure of cellulose for the enzymatic attack.




Figure 10. Glucose yield obtained on the enzymatic hydrolysis of untreated and pretreated sugarcane bagasse after *Organosolv* treatment using isopropanol (iPrOH):water solution in the absence or in the presence of different catalysts. The values in $g L^{-1}$ refer to glucose concentration at 72 h.

Nonetheless, improved glucose yields were obtained with the pretreated biomass from carbide-based catalysts treatments, corresponding to approximately 20 %, 67 % and 35 % for Mo₂C, Mo₂C/AC and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ treated samples, respectively. Considering these catalysts, the highest delignification degree was obtained by the treatment using Mo₂C+iPrOH, but the glucose yield was the lowest, showing that there was not a direct correlation between delignification and the exposure of cellulose for the enzymatic attack. This is a reasonable result, as enzymatic hydrolysis effectiveness can be affected by different parameters other than lignin content, such as cellulose degree of polymerization, crystallinity and hemicellulose content. For instance, the yield of glucose in the enzymatic hydrolysis followed the order Mo₂C/AC > Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ > Mo₂C > Raney-Ni \approx iPrOH, which corresponded to the reverse order to the amount of hemicellulose in the biomass that was hydrolyzed (Tab. S1).

Galkin *et al.* [56] performed the enzymatic hydrolysis of the pulp obtained in the treatment of different hardwoods using a Pd/C catalyst. The glucose yield for the *Organosolv* treatment without catalyst after 2 h was higher than the ones obtained for all hardwoods in the presence of Pd/C catalyst. These results indicate that the pulp obtained by the catalytic fractionation has lower susceptibility to enzymatic hydrolysis than *Organosolv* treatment and depended on the type of biomass. The glucose yield followed the order: *Organosolv* > Sweden Birch wood > Finish Birch wood > Poplar. In our work, the glucose yield obtained on the enzymatic hydrolysis of the pulp obtained by the catalytic fractionation was higher than that for *Organosolv* treatment, regardless of the catalyst.

Comparing the glucose yield and concentration obtained for the hydrolysis of Mo₂C+iPrOH pretreated bagasse with that one for Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ pretreated bagasse, it is observed that the hydrolysis of the solid residue obtained with alumina supported catalyst resulted in a higher yield, but in a lower concentration of glucose generated. The higher yield occurred because the Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ residue was more accessible to cellulases action. However, from Table 2, it is observed that a large part of the polysaccharides was removed during the *Organosolv* reaction. Thus, in the pretreated bagasse recovered after the Mo₂C/Al₂O₃–*Organosolv* treatment, a lower cellulose content was available in the pretreated bagasse to be converted by the enzymes, leading to a lower glucose concentration value (6.1 g L⁻¹).

Therefore, the treatments may have impacted the cellulose structure. Figure S2 depicts the thermogravimetric curves (TG) and their first derivative curves (DTG) of pretreated bagasse obtained under different treatments. The thermal degradation of hemicellulose and cellulose occurs at temperatures close to 330 °C for untreated residues [57]. Lignin and hemicellulose initiate degradation at lower temperatures than

cellulose. Lignin has a wide range of degradation, close to 237-510 °C. Cellulose, however, degrades between 374-425 °C and hemicellulose between 288-382 °C, which can be observed as a shoulder in the DTG of untreated bagasse. This shoulder is also a result of lower molecular weight components such as extractives [57].

DTG curves revealed that the impact of the treatment on cellulose was higher for samples treated with Mo₂C catalysts, since there is considerable variation in the maximum temperature peak. The Mo₂C type catalysts presented a decrease in the maximum temperature in the DTG, which may be related to a facilitated degradation of cellulose due to structural modifications affecting its stability [58], when compared to iPrOH treatment and in the presence of Raney-Ni catalyst. It should also be considered that the exposure of the cellulose favored the enzymatic action and consequently, the increase in the glucose yield of the pretreated samples, consistent with results presented in Fig. 10.

Aiming at getting further insights regarding lignin distribution and cellulose exposure in the pretreated bagasse samples, confocal microscopy analysis was performed (Fig. 11). The images were treated with the software using the histogram stretching mode to provide greater contrast between the structures. Images were obtained in different spectral regions for lignin and cellulose observation; thus Fig. 11 shows the overlay of both images. The original microscopy images without histogram stretching are provided in Fig. S3. It could be observed that the lignin (red color) and cellulose (green color) structures are practically intact on the untreated bagasse, showing intact cell walls. After treatment, fibrous and porous surfaces are observed, except for the Ni+iPrOH residue because it had a more compact surface. Furthermore, the unprocessed images (Fig. S3) reveal that the surface of the residues are covered by the lignin structure (red), except for the Mo₂C/AC+iPrOH pretreated bagasse that

exposes the cellulose structure (green). In Fig. S3 (E) it is possible to see that this is the only sample in which cellulose is clearly exposed in its surface, correlating well with the improved enzymatic hydrolysis yields obtained for this residue. In particular, as show in Table 2, the treatment with Mo₂C/AC+iPrOH resulted in an increased removal of cellulose and hemicellulose, but the remaining polysaccharides became more accessible to the hydrolysis.




Figure 11. Confocal micrographs of the distribution of cellulose and lignin in pretreated bagasse samples processed with the software in histogram stretching mode. Red fluorescent signs identify the lignin structure and green signal identifies the structure of the cellulose. Residues: A) untreated bagasse, B) iPrOH, C) Ni+iPrOH, D) Mo₂C+iPrOH, E) Mo₂C/AC+iPrOH, F) Mo₂C/Al₂O₃+iPrOH.

Additionally, by correlating these observations with the data presented in Table S1, it is possible to conclude that the samples derived from conditions with high lignin

content presented an intense red color (Fig. 11, E and F). However, for conditions that resulted in low lignin content in the bagasse residue (Fig. 11, C and D), the predominance of red color in some areas could also be observed.

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

This observation could also be partially related to a possible condensation and/or repolymerization of the previously depolymerized smaller fragments formed followed by their deposition on the surface of the solid residue. This repolymerized lignin is characterized by very strong C—C bonds that are highly recalcitrant in relation to the C—O bonds characteristic of native lignin, making this material less susceptible to enzymatic attack. In the reaction, the solvent extracts lignin from the lignocellulosic matrix and breaks the α-O-4 ether bonds, resulting in the formation of unsaturated phenolic intermediates. During Organosolv treatment, α-O-4 bonds in the lignin structure are cleaved predominantly, and the β-O-4 linkages remains unreacted due to the lower activation energy for cleavage of α-O-4 bonds [59]. The catalyst in turn is responsible for the hydrogenation of the reactive unsaturated side chains of the lignin intermediates that have been solubilized, leading to the formation of stable phenolic monomers and avoiding undesirable reactions of repolymerization [19]. By correlating the confocal images and the enzymatic hydrolysis results, it is suggested that the Mo₂C/AC could be a better hydrogenation catalyst thus avoiding the lignin repolymerization on the surface of the pretreated bagasse and, consequently favoring the enzymatic hydrolysis. Therefore, this indicates that not only the content, but also the lignin distribution affects the cell wall recalcitrance to the enzymatic depolymerization.

A similar result was reported by Santo *et al*. [60]. These authors evaluated the compositional and structural changes on sugarcane bagasse caused by hydrothermal and/or *Organosolv* (ethanol) treatments. They found that the treatments resulted in

lignin degradation, rearrangement and inhomogeneous deposition of significant amounts of lignin on the surface of the treated residue.

Despite of the apparent low delignification, the hydrolysis of the bagasse treated by Mo₂C/AC+iPrOH achieved 67 % glucose yield (13.7 g L⁻¹ glucose). This is a promising result, showing that the production of bio-oil from bagasse could be associated with production of cellulosic ethanol, giving a proper use to distinct biomass fractions.

4. Conclusion

The *Organosolv* treatment of sugarcane bagasse caused a partial extraction of hemicellulose from the pretreated bagasse through the solvolysis performed by the isopropanol/water mixture. The extent of hemicellulose extraction from the pretreated bagasse varied in the following order: without catalyst \approx Raney-Ni << bulk $Mo_2C \approx Mo_2C/Al_2O_3 < Mo_2C/AC$. High recovery of cellulose in the solid residue was achieved in the absence of catalyst and with Raney-Ni but the recovered fraction of cellulose decreased on Mo_2C -based catalysts. After solvolytic extraction, hemicellulose was depolymerized and product distribution obtained depended on the type of active phase. Raney-Ni catalyst promoted the formation of diols (1,2-butanediol, 1,4-butanediol) and triols (glycerol, 1,2,4-butanetriol), while xylose, furfural, and furan were mainly produced by Mo_2C based-catalysts.

The catalyst also influenced the product distribution obtained from lignin depolymerization, which depended on the active phase. The *Organosolv* treatment without catalyst and in the presence of bulk Mo₂C and Mo₂C/AC catalysts produced significant amount of 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran, whereas this

compound was detected in trace amounts in the bio-oil obtained from Raney-Ni and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃. This product stem from the depolymerization of phenylcoumaran structures characteristic of lignin from grasses such as sugarcane bagasse and it is not formed on the catalytic fractionation of hardwoods and softwoods.

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

Mo₂C-based catalysts favored the formation of substituted methoxyphenols but product distribution depended on the active phase. Cyclohexanepropanoic acid, 3,4-dihydroxycyclohexanepropanoic benzylmalonic acid were only formed on Raney-Ni catalyst, whereas substituted methoxyphenols such as 3-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde and 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-phenol were formed over Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalyst. These results were likely due to the capacity of the active phase to promote the cleavage of specific C-O and C-C bonds, originating different substituted methoxyphenols. For Raney-Ni, benzylmalonic acid is obtained by the cleavage of β -O-4 bonds of the ferulate unit, while 3,5-dimethoxy-4-hydroxycinnamaldehyde (sinapaldehyde) is produced by breaking β -O-4 and α -O-5 bonds of a guaiacyl unit. The Mo₂C/AC and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ catalysts exhibited the highest yield to substituted methoxyphenols (~ 24%), which is similar to the values reported in the literature for bio-oil from catalytic fractionation of sugarcane bagasse but at less severe reaction conditions.

The enzymatic hydrolysis of the solid residue obtained from the *Organosolv* treatment of sugarcane bagasse without catalyst and with Raney-Ni resulted in very low glucose concentration and yield. The fractionation with Mo₂C/AC generated a pretreated bagasse that was readily hydrolyzed by cellulases, producing glucose yield and concentration of 67 % and 13.7 g L⁻¹, respectively. TG experiments

revealed that the cellulose structure was differently affected by the treatment depending on the catalyst. DTG curves showed lower stability of cellulose treated with Mo₂C catalysts, which is likely due to structural modifications that occurred during fractionation. Confocal microscopy analysis confirmed that cellulose structure is more exposed after treatment with Mo₂C/AC catalyst, which favored the enzymatic action and consequently, the highest glucose yield obtained for this residue.

Therefore, Mo₂C/AC and Mo₂C/Al₂O₃ are promising catalysts for the fractionation of sugarcane bagasse that produced a bio-oil with higher yield to substituted methoxyphenols and a solid residue more easily hydrolyzed by cellulases, producing higher yield to glucose than Raney-Ni catalyst.

943

944

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

Acknowledgements

- 945 The authors thank CAPES (Finance code001), CNPq (303667/2018-4; 305046/2015-2),
- 946 FAPERJ (E-26/202.783/2017) and Office of Naval Research (N62909-18-1-2085) for
- 947 the scholarship and the financial support.

948

949

REFERENCES

- 950 [1] L.R. Lynd, X. Liang, M.J. Biddy, A. Allee, H. Cai, T. Foust, M.E. Himmel,
- 951 M.S. Laser, M. Wang, C.E. Wyman, Cellulosic ethanol: status and innovation,
- 952 Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 45 (2017) 202–211.
- 953 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2017.03.008.
- 954 [2] A.K. Chandel, V.K. Garlapati, A.K. Singh, F.A.F. Antunes, S.S. da Silva, The
- path forward for lignocellulose biorefineries: Bottlenecks, solutions, and

- perspective on commercialization, Bioresour. Technol. 264 (2018) 370–381.
- 957 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.06.004.
- 958 [3] T. Renders, S. Van den Bosch, S.-F. Koelewijn, W. Schutyser, B.F. Sels,
- Lignin-first biomass fractionation: the advent of active stabilisation strategies,
- 960 Energy Environ. Sci. 10 (2017) 1551–1557.
- 961 https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EE01298E.
- 962 [4] R. Rinaldi, R. Jastrzebski, M.T. Clough, J. Ralph, M. Kennema, P.C.A.
- Bruijnincx, B.M. Weckhuysen, Paving the Way for Lignin Valorisation: Recent
- Advances in Bioengineering, Biorefining and Catalysis, Angew. Chemie Int.
- 965 Ed. 55 (2016) 8164–8215. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201510351.
- 966 [5] J. Zakzeski, P. C. A. Bruijnincx, A. L. Jongerius, B. M. Weckhuysen, The
- 967 catalytic valorization of lignin for the production of renewable chemicals,
- 968 Chem. Rev. 110 (2010) 3552-3599. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900354u.
- 969 [6] W. Schutyser, S. Van Den Bosch, T. Renders, T. De Boe, S.F. Koelewijn, A.
- Dewaele, T. Ennaert, O. Verkinderen, B. Goderis, C.M. Courtin, B.F. Sels,
- Influence of bio-based solvents on the catalytic reductive fractionation of birch
- 972 wood, Green Chem. 17 (2015) 5035–5045. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc01442e.
- 973 [7] L. Shuai, J. Luterbacher, Organic Solvent Effects in Biomass Conversion
- 974 Reactions, ChemSusChem. 9 (2016) 133–155.
- 975 https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201501148.
- 976 [8] Z. Chen, J. Long, Organosolv liquefaction of sugarcane bagasse catalyzed by
- 977 acidic ionic liquids, Bioresour. Technol. 214 (2016) 16–23.
- 978 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.04.089.
- 979 [9] S.X. Li, M. F. Li, P. Yu, Y. M. Fan, J. N. Shou, R. C. Sun, Valorization of
- bamboo by γ-valerolactone/acid/water to produce digestible cellulose, degraded

- 981 sugars and lignin, Bioresour. Technol. 230 (2017) 90-96.
- 982 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.01.041.
- 983 [10] P. Ferrini, R. Rinaldi, Catalytic biorefining of plant biomass to non-pyrolytic
- lignin bio-oil and carbohydrates through hydrogen transfer reactions, Angew.
- 985 Chemie Int. Ed. 53 (2014) 8634–8639. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201403747.
- 986 [11] Y. Wang, X. Pan, Y. Ye, S. Li, D. Wang, Y.Q. Liu, Process optimization of
- 987 biomass liquefaction in isopropanol/water with Raney nickel and sodium
- hydroxide as combined catalysts, Biomass Bioenerg. 122 (2019) 305-312.
- 989 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2019.01.020.
- 990 [12] L. Mesa, N. Lopez, C. Cara, E. Castro, E. Gonzalez, S.I. Mussatto, Techno-
- economic evaluation of strategies based on two steps organosoly pretreatment
- and enzymatic hydrolysis of sugarcane bagasse for ethanol production, Renew.
- 993 Energy 86 (2016) 270–279.
- 994 [13] H. Zhang, S. Wu, Efficient sugar release by acetic acid ethanol-based
- organosolv pretreatment and enzymatic saccharification, J. Agric. Food Chem.
- 996 62 (2014) 11681–11687. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf503386b.
- 997 [14] M. Grilc, B. Likozar, J. Levec, Hydrotreatment of solvolytically liquefied
- 998 lignocellulosic biomass over NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst: Reaction mechanism,
- hydrodeoxygenation kinetics and mass transfer model based on FTIR, Biomass
- 1000 Bioenergy 63 (2014) 300-312.
- 1001 [15] M. Grilc, B. Likozar, J. Levec, Hydrodeoxygenation and hydrocracking of
- solvolysed lignocellulosic biomass by oxide, reduced and sulphide form of
- 1003 NiMo, Ni, Mo and Pd catalysts, App. Catal. B 150 (2014) 275-287.
- 1004 [16] M. Grilc, B. Likozar, J. Levec, Simultaneous Liquefaction and
- Hydrodeoxygenation of Lignocellulosic Biomass over NiMo/Al₂O₃, Pd/Al₂O₃,

- and Zeolite Y Catalysts in Hydrogen Donor Solvents, ChemCatChem. 8 (2016)
- 1007 180–191. https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201500840.
- 1008 [17] M. V. Galkin, S. Sawadjoon, V. Rohde, M. Dawange, J.S.M. Samec, Mild
- heterogeneous palladium-catalyzed cleavage of β-O-4'-ether linkages of lignin
- model compounds and native lignin in air, ChemCatChem. 6 (2014) 179–184.
- 1011 https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201300540.
- 1012 [18] L. Shuai, Y.M. Questell-Santiago, J.S. Luterbacher, A mild biomass
- pretreatment using γ -valerolactone for concentrated sugar production, Green
- 1014 Chem. 18 (2016) 937–943. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5GC02489G.
- 1015 [19] S. Van den Bosch, T. Renders, S. Kennis, S.-F. Koelewijn, G. Van den
- Bossche, T. Vangeel, A. Deneyer, D. Depuydt, C.M. Courtin, J. Thevelein, W.
- Schutyser, B.F. Sels, Integrating lignin valorization and bio-ethanol production:
- on the role of Ni-Al₂O₃ catalyst pellets during lignin-first fractionation, Green
- 1019 Chem. 19 (2017) 3313-3326. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7GC01324H.
- 1020 [20] Q. Song, F. Wang, J. Cai, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, W. Yu, J. Xu, Lignin
- depolymerization (LDP) in alcohol over nickel-based catalysts via a
- fragmentation-hydrogenolysis process, Energy Environ. Sci. 6 (2013) 994–
- 1023 1007. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee23741e.
- 1024 [21] N. Yan, C. Zhao, P.J. Dyson, C. Wang, L.T. Liu, Y. Kou, Selective
- degradation of wood lignin over noble-metal catalysts in a two-step process,
- 1026 ChemSusChem. 1 (2008) 626–629. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.200800080.
- 1027 [22] C.R. Lee, J.S. Yoon, Y.W. Suh, J.W. Choi, J.M. Ha, D.J. Suh, Y.K. Park,
- 1028 Catalytic roles of metals and supports on hydrodeoxygenation of lignin
- monomer guaiacol, Catal. Commun. 17 (2012) 54–58.
- 1030 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2011.10.011.

- 1031 [23] X. Wang, R. Rinaldi, Exploiting H-transfer reactions with RANEY® Ni for
- upgrade of phenolic and aromatic biorefinery feeds under unusual, low-severity
- 1033 conditions, Energy Environ. Sci. 5 (2012) 8244–8260.
- 1034 https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee21855k.
- 1035 [24] E.F. Mai, M.A. Machado, T.E. Davies, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, V. Teixeira Da
- Silva, Molybdenum carbide nanoparticles within carbon nanotubes as superior
- 1037 catalysts for γ-valerolactone production via levulinic acid hydrogenation, Green
- 1038 Chem. 16 (2014) 4092–4097. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4gc00920g.
- 1039 [25] J. Pang, J. Sun, M. Zheng, H. Li, Y. Wang, T. Zhang, Transition metal carbide
- catalysts for biomass conversion: A review, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 254 (2019)
- 1041 510–522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.05.034.
- 1042 [26] M.A. Machado, S. He, T.E. Davies, K. Seshan, V. Teixeira, Renewable fuel
- production from hydropyrolysis of residual biomass using molybdenum
- carbide-based catalysts : An analytical Py-GC / MS investigation, Catal. Today.
- 302 (2018) 161–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2017.06.024.
- 1046 [27] X. Yang, M. Feng, J.S. Choi, H.M. Meyer, B. Yang, Depolymerization of corn
- stover lignin with bulk molybdenum carbide catalysts, Fuel. 244 (2019) 528–
- 1048 535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.02.023.
- 1049 [28] M. Grilc, G. Veryasov, B. Likozar, A. Jesih, J. Levec, Hydrodeoxygenation of
- solvolysed lignocellulosic biomass by unsupported MoS₂, MoO₂, Mo₂C and
- 1051 WS₂ catalysts, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 163 (2015) 467–477.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2014.08.032.
- 1053 [29] C. Li, M. Zheng, A. Wang, T. Zhang, One-pot catalytic hydrocracking of raw
- woody biomass into chemicals over supported carbide catalysts: simultaneous

- conversion of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, Energy Environ. Sci. 5
- 1056 (2012) 6383–6390. https://doi.org/10.1039/C1EE02684D.
- 1057 [30] Z. Sun, B. Fridrich, A. De Santi, S. Elangovan, K. Barta, Bright Side of
- Lignin Depolymerization: Toward New Platform Chemicals, Chem. Rev. 118
- 1059 (2018) 614–678. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00588.
- 1060 [31] H. Luo, I.M. Klein, Y. Jiang, H. Zhu, B. Liu, H.I. Kenttämaa, M.M. Abu-
- Omar, Total Utilization of Miscanthus Biomass, Lignin and Carbohydrates,
- Using Earth Abundant Nickel Catalyst, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 4 (2016)
- 2316–2322. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b01776.
- 1064 [32] A. Sluiter, B. Hames, R. Ruiz, C. Scarlata, J. Sluiter, D. Templeton, D.
- 1065 Crocker, 2012. Determination of structural carbohydrates and lignin in
- biomass: Laboratory Analytical Procedure (LAP), NREL/TP-510-42618.
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Golden, Colorado, USA.
- 1068 [33] B. Adney, J. Baker, 2008, Measurement of cellulose activities laboratory
- analytical procedure. Technical Report NREL/TP-510-42628, National
- 1070 Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- 1071 [34] J. Han, J. Duan, P. Chen, H. Lou, H. Hong, Nanostructured molybdenum
- carbides supported on carbon nanotubes as efficient catalysts for one-step
- hydrodeoxygenation and isomerization of vegetable oils, Green Chem.13
- 1074 (2011) 2561–2568. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1gc15421d.
- 1075 [35] A.S. Rocha, L.A. Souza, R.R. Oliveira, A.B. Rocha, V. Teixeira,
- Hydrodeoxygenation of acrylic acid using Mo₂C/Al₂O₃, App. Catal. A Gen.
- 531 (2017) 69–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2016.12.009.
- 1078 [36] L.P. Novo, L.V.A Gurgel, K. Marabezi, A.A.S. Curvelo, Delignification of
- sugarcane bagasse using glycerol-water mixtures to produce pulps for

- saccharification. Biores. Technol. 102 (2011) 10040-10046. https://
- 10.1016/j.biortech.2011.08.050.
- 1082 [37] L.C.A. Barbosa, C.R. Maltha, V.L. Silva, J.L. Colodette, Determinação da
- relação siringila/guaiacila da lignina em madeiras de eucalipto por pirólise
- acoplada à cromatografia gasosa e espectrometria de massas (PI-CG/EM),
- 1085 Quim. Nova. 31 (2008) 2035–2041. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-
- 1086 40422008000800023.
- 1087 [38] J.S. Lupoi, S. Singh, R. Parthasarathi, B.A. Simmons, R.J. Henry, Recent
- innovations in analytical methods for the qualitative and quantitative
- assessment of lignin, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 49 (2015) 871–906.
- 1090 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.091.
- 1091 [39] S. Van den Bosch, W. Schutyser, R. Vanholme, T. Driessen, S.-F. Koelewijn,
- T. Renders, B. De Meester, W.J.J. Huijgen, W. Dehaen, C.M. Courtin, B.
- Lagrain, W. Boerjan, B.F. Sels, Reductive lignocellulose fractionation into
- soluble lignin-derived phenolic monomers and dimers and processable
- carbohydrate pulps, Energy Environ. Sci. 8 (2015) 1748–1763.
- 1096 https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EE00204D.
- 1097 [40] F. Melligan, M.H.B. Hayes, W. Kwapinski, J.J. Leahy, Hydro-Pyrolysis of
- Biomass and Online Catalytic Vapor Upgrading with Ni-ZSM -5 and Ni-MCM-
- 41, 26 (2012) 6080-6090. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef301244h.
- 1100 [41] X. Hu, S. Jiang, S. Kadarwati, D. Dong, C.Z. Li, Effects of water and alcohols
- on the polymerization of furan during its acid-catalyzed conversion into
- 1102 benzofuran, RSC Adv. 6 (2016) 40489–40501.
- 1103 https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra04745a.

- 1104 [42] M.M. Abu-Omar, K. Barta, G.T. Beckham, J.S. Luterbacher, J. Ralph, R.
- Rinaldi, Y. Román-Leshkov, J.S.M. Samec, B.F. Sels, F. Wang, Guidelines for
- performing lignin-first biorefining, Energy Environ. Sci. 14 (2021) 262–292.
- 1107 https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ee02870c.
- 1108 [43] E. Ilya, L. Kulikova, E. V. Van der Eycken, L. Voskressensky, Recent
- Advances in Phthalan and Coumaran Chemistry, ChemistryOpen. 7 (2018)
- 914–929. https://doi.org/10.1002/open.201800184.
- 1111 [44] J.A.S. Barros, M.C. Krause, E. Lazzari, T.R. Bjerk, A.L. do Amaral, E.B.
- 1112 Caramão, L.C. Krause, Chromatographic characterization of bio-oils from fast
- pyrolysis of sugar cane residues (straw and bagasse) from four genotypes of the
- 1114 Saccharum Complex, Microchem. J. 137 (2018) 30–36.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2017.09.015.
- 1116 [45] K. Srinivas, F. de Carvalho Oliveira, P.J. Teller, A.R. Gonçalves, G.L. Helms,
- B.K. Ahring, Oxidative degradation of biorefinery lignin obtained after
- pretreatment of forest residues of Douglas Fir, Bioresour. Technol. 221 (2016)
- 394–404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.09.040.
- 1120 [46] A.L. Flourat, A. Haudrechy, F. Allais, J.-H. Renault, (S)-γ-Hydroxymethyl-
- 1121 α,β -butenolide, a valuable chiral synthon: syntheses, reactivity, and
- 1122 applications, Org. Process Res. Dev. 24 (2020) 615–636.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.oprd.9b00468.
- 1124 [47] G.J. de M. Rocha, V.M. Nascimento, A.R. Gonçalves, V.F.N. Silva, C. Martín,
- Influence of mixed sugarcane bagasse samples evaluated by elemental and
- physical-chemical composition, Ind. Crops Prod. 64 (2015) 52–58.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.11.003.

- 1128 [48] C.I. Santos, C.C. Silva, S.I. Mussatto, P. Osseweijer, L.A.M. van der Wielen,
- J.A. Posada, Integrated 1st and 2nd generation sugarcane bio-refinery for jet
- fuel production in Brazil: Techno-economic and greenhouse gas emissions
- 1131 assessment, Renew. Energy. 129 (2018) 733–747.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.05.011.
- 1133 [49] S. Chen, R. Wojcieszak, F. Dumeignil, E. Marceau, S. Royer, How Catalysts
- and Experimental Conditions Determine the Selective Hydroconversion of
- Furfural and 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural, Chem. Rev. 118 (2018) 11023–11117.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00134.
- 1137 [50] Y. Nakagawa, T. Kasumi, J. Ogihara, M. Tamura, T. Arai, K. Tomishige,
- Erythritol: Another C4 Platform Chemical in Biomass Refinery, ACS Omega. 5
- 1139 (2020) 2520-2530.
- 1140 [51] W. Lv, Y. Liao, Y. Zhu, J. Liu, C. Zhu, C. Wang, Y. Xu, Q. Zhang, G. Chen,
- L. Ma, The effect of Ru/C and MgCl₂ on the cleavage of inter- and intra-
- molecular linkages during cornstalk hydrolysis residue valorization, Cellulose
- 27 (2020) 799-823. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-019-02799-x.
- 1144 [52] D.J. Hayes, An examination of biorefining processes, catalysts and challenges,
- 1145 Catal. Today. 145 (2009) 138–151.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2008.04.017.
- 1147 [53] S. Van Den Bosch, W. Schutyser, S.F. Koelewijn, T. Renders, C.M. Courtin,
- B.F. Sels, Tuning the lignin oil OH-content with Ru and Pd catalysts during
- lignin hydrogenolysis on birch wood, Chem. Commun. 51 (2015) 13158–
- 1150 13161. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5cc04025f.
- 1151 [54] L. M.C. L. K. Curran, L. T. M. Pham, K.L. Sale, B. A. Simmons. Review of
- advances in the development of laccases for the valorization of lignin to enable

- the production of lignocellulosic biofuels and bioproducts, Biotechnol. Adv.
- 1154 (2021) 107809. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107809
- 1155 [55] A. Susmozas, R. Mantín-Sampedro, D. Ibarra, M. E. Eugenio, R. Iglesias, P.
- Manzanares, A. D. Moreno. Processes 8 (2020) 1310.
- 1157 https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8101310
- 1158 [56] M.V. Galkin, A.T. Smit, E. Subbotina, K.A. Artemenko, J. Bergquist, W.J.J.
- Huijgen, J.S.M. Samec, Hydrogen-free catalytic fractionation of woody
- 1160 biomass, ChemSusChem. 9 (2016) 3280-3287.
- 1161 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201600648.
- 1162 [57] O.M. Perrone, F.M. Colombari, J.S. Rossi, M.M.S. Moretti, S.E. Bordignon, C.
- da C.C. Nunes, E. Gomes, M. Boscolo, R. Da-Silva, Ozonolysis combined with
- ultrasound as a pretreatment of sugarcane bagasse: Effect on the enzymatic
- saccharification and the physical and chemical characteristics of the substrate,
- 1166 Bioresour. Technol. 218 (2016) 69–76.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.06.072.
- 1168 [58] M. Brebu, C. Vasile, Thermal degradation of lignin A review. Cell. Chem.
- 1169 Technol. 44 (2010) 353-363.
- 1170 [59] E. Jasiukaitytè-Grojzdek, M. Huš, M. Grilc, B. Likozar, Acid-catalysed α-O-4
- aryl-ether bond cleavage in methanol/ (aqueous) ethanol: understanding
- depolymerisation of a lignin model compound during organosoly pretreatment,
- Nature. 10 (2020) 11037. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67787-92
- 1174 [60] M. Espirito Santo, C.A. Rezende, O.D. Bernardinelli, N. Pereira, A.A.S.
- 1175 Curvelo, E.R. deAzevedo, F.E.G. Guimarães, I. Polikarpov, Structural and
- compositional changes in sugarcane bagasse subjected to hydrothermal and

- organosolv pretreatments and their impacts on enzymatic hydrolysis, Ind. Crops
- Prod. 113 (2018) 64–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.01.014.

Graphical Abstract