



HAL
open science

Severe oligodontia: towards fully planned pre-prosthetic surgery.

C. Berge, Gwénaél Raoul, D. Antunes, Romain Nicot, Ludovic Lauwers

► To cite this version:

C. Berge, Gwénaél Raoul, D. Antunes, Romain Nicot, Ludovic Lauwers. Severe oligodontia: towards fully planned pre-prosthetic surgery.. *Journal of Stomatology, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2023, *Journal of Stomatology, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, pp.101573. 10.1016/j.jormas.2023.101573 . hal-04264194v1

HAL Id: hal-04264194

<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04264194v1>

Submitted on 29 Apr 2024 (v1), last revised 29 Apr 2024 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Journal of Stomatology oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
Severe oligodontia: towards fully planned pre-prosthetic surgery
--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Article Type:	Editorial
Corresponding Author:	Charlotte Berge, Resident of oral surgery FRANCE
First Author:	Charlotte Berge, Resident of oral surgery
Order of Authors:	Charlotte Berge, Resident of oral surgery Gwenael RAOUL David ANTUNES Romain NICOT Ludovic LAUWERS

Severe oligodontia: towards fully planned pre-prosthetic surgery

Charlotte BERGE, DDS^a, Gwenaël RAOUL, MD PhD^b, David ANTUNES, DDS^a, Romain NICOT, MD

PhD^b, Ludovic LAUWERS, DDS MSc^c

^a Oral surgery resident, Reims University Hospital, Maison Blanche Hospital, 45 Rue Cognacq-Jay, 51092 Reims, France.

^b Univ. Lille, CHU Lille, INSERM, Department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, U1008 – Advanced Drug Delivery Systems, F-59000 Lille, France.

^c Univ. Lille, CHU Lille, Department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, URL 2694 - METRICS, F-59000 Lille, France.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Berge: Reims University Hospital, Maison Blanche Hospital, 45 Rue Cognacq-Jay, 51092 Reims, France; e-mail: charlotte.berge@hotmail.fr.

Declarations of interest: none.

[Click here to view linked References](#)

KEYWORDS

Oligodontia, dental implants, computer aided design

Oligodontia is characterized by the genetic absence of at least six permanent teeth (except the third molars (1). Epidemiologically, it affects 0.08–0.16% of the European population.

Dental agenesis may be isolated or associated with malformation syndromes, such as ectodermal dysplasia, and alters the oral function by resorption of the alveolar bone in absence of local stimulation and by maxillary hypo-development. Currently, there is no consensus on the rehabilitation of these patients, and complex multidisciplinary treatments are often required, combining pedodontic, orthodontic, and surgical therapies, including implant and pre-implant surgery (1–3).

Traditional surgical planning of pre-prosthetic surgery

Severe oligodontia leads to maxillary retrusion with Class III dentofacial deformity (3). In these cases, surgical treatment can included a Le Fort I osteotomy with bilateral calvarial bone grafting in the sinuses to improve intermaxillary relationships and increase the bone volume (3,4). Preoperative planification then required traditionally a dental set-up based on the articulator study, which included a provisional prosthesis to guide the maxillary position during the Le Fort I osteotomy. After achieving the ideal maxillary and mandibular positions, intermaxillary splints or dental prostheses were designed by the prosthetist to ensure correct positioning during the surgical procedure (5). It was important that these prostheses replicate the exact movement of the jaws and predict the position of the future fixed prostheses without the false gingiva. This traditional planning technique remains prosthetist dependent,

1 and the results obtained required experienced surgeon. Indeed, the positioning of these
2 devices during osteosynthesis is difficult and, unlike digitally-designed cutting guides that
3 remain fixed, their endobuccal stability is not enough to optimally maintain the occlusion
4 intraoperatively. In addition, the bone harvesting was not planned and performed by
5 considering the preoperative bone volumes using a presurgical CT-scan. Dental implant
6 placement was planned with tracings after a bone volume assessment 5.5 months after the
7 orthognathic surgery.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 *Toward a digital pre-prosthetic surgical planning*

22
23 The development of digital technology has simplified the management using customized pre-
24 implant and preoperative implant planning, allowing precise prosthetic restoration and
25 optimal aesthetic and functional rehabilitation (1). Indeed, in patients with edentulism,
26 terminal bone resorption, or large jaws discrepancies because of maxillary retrusion, reduced
27 facial height, and resorption of the mandibular and maxillary alveolar ridges, computer-
28 assisted design facilitates complex restorations and reduces the number of surgical steps to
29 provide quicker and safer dental rehabilitation. It combines the three essential elements of
30 global therapy, pre-operative surgical planning, safety of movement, and reduced working
31 time. SimPlant® (Columbia, MD, USA), was the first implant planning software developed in
32 1993. Subsequently, several companies, including Nobel Biocare with the NobelGuide®
33 software, Materialise with SimPlant®, Bluesky plan, Dental Wings and its co-diagnostic
34 software, Sirona and its Cerec® solutions, Align Technology with its iTero® scanner, and 3D
35 system Shape®, have developed digital printing and democratized guided implant surgery (6).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Based on these new technologies, it is now possible to accurately determine the three-
2 dimensional (3D) position of implants and to transfer this information to the surgical guide.
3

4 The first step towards digital planning has firstly concerned the implant simulation. Therefore,
5 digital impressions of the prostheses were made and the .STL files were matched with the 3D
6 DICOM images using the "dual CT scan" technique to obtain a match between the clinical and
7 radiological conditions. This allowed an evaluation of the inter-arch relationships and
8 demonstrated insufficient bone volume in the entire maxilla. Pre-implant surgery, including
9 Le Fort I osteotomy and calvarial bone grafts, was traditionally planned with the help of the
10 dentist. A pre-prosthetic set-up was performed on an articulator using the patient's
11 anatomical impressions to determine the ideal maxillary position and the displacement
12 required during the Le Fort I surgery. This simulation allowed to design the transitional
13 prostheses with the planned occlusion. These prostheses served three purposes: 1) Creation
14 of an implant surgical guide using duplicate of the mandibular prosthesis. 2) Immediate
15 loading of the mandibular implants. 3) Control of the final maxillary position during surgery
16 using the prosthetic occlusion. In the same way as for the full-traditional method, bone
17 grafting using calvarial bone grafts was performed without digital pre-surgical planning. 5,5
18 months after orthognathic surgery, dental implants were placed using surgical guide, create
19 by from a digital simulation of implants placement. Bone-supported guides ensured
20 parallelism between the implants and limited the risk of angulation and deviation at the
21 implant emergence or apex during placement (7) (Figure 1). Finally, this approach was more
22 accurate than the fully traditional method because it allowed to better positioning the dental
23 implant after a traditionally planned pre-prosthetic surgery, but this does not improve the
24 pre-implant part of the pre-prosthetic procedure, which remains dependent on the surgeon's
25 experience.

Fully digital pre-prosthetic surgical planning

1
2 Today, computer-aided design and manufacturing (CAD-CAM) of surgical cutting guides is
3
4 widely used in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Our team has previously reported the use of
5
6 autologous calvarial bone graft with a CAD-CAM support (8,9). This digital pre-planned bone
7
8 graft can therefore be used in addition to digital pre-planned orthognathic surgery,
9
10
11 mandibular osteoplasty with bilateral nerve bypass and digital pre-planned implant surgery in
12
13 a fully digital pre-prosthetic surgery (Figure 2). The advantage of this approach is that the
14
15 surgery is more precise and less invasive, as only the required amount of bone is harvested.
16
17
18 The guide for Le Fort I osteotomy ensured reproducibility of the surgical procedure and
19
20
21 precision of the direction and depth (10). Prefabricated plates were also designed by
22
23
24 computer after osteotomy simulations because of their numerous advantages. They allow
25
26
27 precise alignment of the osteotomy and three-dimensional skeletal repositioning, and have
28
29
30 improved mechanical properties (5). The combination of cutting guide and prefabricated
31
32
33 plates ensured the control of each surgical step, correct maxillary positioning, and facial height
34
35
36 management in accordance with the prosthetic plan. The computer-assisted pre-implant
37
38
39 surgery provided a reliable basis for implant placement and final implant-supported
40
41
42 rehabilitation.

43
44 Unlike traditional surgeries, computer-assisted surgeries follow the key principles of
45
46
47 prosthetic planning and guarantee ideal implant positioning. The use of surgical guides was
48
49
50 essential to achieve optimal implant positioning and ensure aesthetic and functional
51
52
53 rehabilitation. Immediate loading can be performed, with the prostheses placed 24 hours
54
55
56 postoperatively based on the preoperative prosthetic planning. A literature review (11)
57
58
59 published in 2010 examined the complications of guided surgery and found that, the mean
60
61
62 implant deviations at the shoulder and apex were 1.42 mm and 1.77 mm, respectively. The

1 mean difference in angulation was 2.67°, with a maximum of > 7° (11). Accumulated errors at
2 any stage of treatment, ranging from three-dimensional imaging to the endobuccal surgical
3 guide placement, may have caused these differences between the planned and final implant
4 positions (11). Koop *et al.* suggested that these deviations may be due to the freedom of
5 movement of sleeves and drills in the surgical guides (12). In consideration of these positioning
6 deviations, it is advisable to maintain an average safety distance of 2 mm from the adjacent
7 anatomical features. Other studies have also examined the complications of guided surgery,
8 including a study by D'haese *et al.* published in 2012 (11). However, the complications
9 reported in their study, such as postoperative pain, cover screw loosening, and fracture of the
10 supra-implant crown ceramic, are not related to the guide, but are inherent in all implant
11 rehabilitation cases (11). High complication rates (42%) have been reported in cases of flapless
12 surgery because of the lack of guide stability and reduced access to the surgical site because
13 of its volume (11). Nevertheless, flapless surgery could not be always performed because of
14 the need for osteosynthesis removal. Guided surgeries have the advantage of not being
15 operator dependent. The surgery is completely safe and reproducible. There is no “blind
16 intervention” because every step follows a precise protocol. Another advantage of the digital
17 workflow is the reduced consultation time. In fact, because of early prosthetic planning, the
18 fabrication of final prosthesis is simpler, faster, and requires fewer appointments.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 CAD-CAM have significantly improved patient management. The integration of digital
50 technology in the initial diagnostics and its association with 3D imaging has established a link
51 between two fundamental concepts in implantology: anatomy and the prosthetic outcome.
52
53

54 The convergence of biological imperatives, dictated by the patient’s bone and gingivae, and
55 prosthetic project imperatives, dictated by the laboratory, is required to achieve an aesthetic
56
57
58
59
60

1 and functional prosthetic rehabilitation. The use of surgical guides simplifies the operative
2 steps, ensures reproducibility, and decreases morbidity by making the surgery quicker and
3 less invasive. The postoperative period is limited and the time required for prosthetic
4 rehabilitation is shorter.
5
6
7
8
9

10 Guided surgery, based on pre-implant planning, provisional prosthetic planning, and precise
11 osteotomies and graft harvesting, allows improved prosthetic rehabilitation in patients with
12 severe edentulism, in whom it may be difficult to identify anatomical landmarks for aesthetic
13 and functional prosthesis designing. This is particularly important in edentulous patients for
14 whom stackable guides are being increasingly used.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Materialise® for its help in carrying out the pre-operative planning.

REFERENCES

- 1
2
3
4 1. Lauwers L, Raoul G, Lauwers R, Antunes D, Bovis M, Nicot R. Pre-implant and implant
5 management of oligodontia patients: A 10-year retrospective study. Journal of Stomatology,
6 Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. sept 2023;124(4):101425.
7
8
- 9
10
11
12 2. Thuaire A, Nicot R, Raoul G, Lauwers L. Surgical bone augmentation procedures for oral
13 rehabilitation of patients with oligodontia: A review with a systematic approach. J
14 Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg. févr 2023;124(15):101370.
15
16
17
18
19
20
- 21 3. Laventure A, Raoul G, Nicot R, Ferri J, Lauwers L. Staged autogenous calvarial bone grafting
22 and dental implants placement in the management of oligodontia: a retrospective study
23 of 20 patients over a 12-year period. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. nov 2021;50(11):1511-20.
24
25
26
27
28
29
- 30 4. Schlund M, Nicot R, Lauwers L, Raoul G, Ferri J. Le Fort 1 osteotomy and calvarial bone
31 grafting for severely resorbed maxillae. Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery. juill
32 2016;44(7):859-67.
33
34
35
36
37
38
- 39 5. Philippe B. Chirurgie maxillofaciale guidée : simulation et chirurgie assistée par guides
40 stéréolithographiques et miniplaques titane préfabriquées. Revue de Stomatologie, de
41 Chirurgie Maxillo-faciale et de Chirurgie Orale. sept 2013;114(4):228-46.
42
43
44
45
46
47
- 48 6. DE Vico G, Ferraris F, Arcuri L, Guzzo F, Spinelli D. A novel workflow for computer guided
49 implant surgery matching digital dental casts and CBCT scan. Oral Implantol (Rome).
50 2016;9(1):33-48.
51
52
53
54
55
- 56 7. Nickenig HJ, Wichmann M, Hamel J, Schlegel KA, Eitner S. Evaluation of the difference in
57 accuracy between implant placement by virtual planning data and surgical guide
58
59
60

1 templates versus the conventional free-hand method - a combined in vivo - in vitro
2 technique using cone-beam CT (Part II). J Craniomaxillofac Surg. oct 2010;38(7):488-93.
3
4
5

6 8. Nicot R, Schlund M, Touzet-Roumazeille S, Ferri J, Raoul G. Unicortical Calvarial Autologous
7 Bone Graft Harvest. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open. nov
8 2020;8(11):e3241.
9
10

11 9. Nicot R, Schlund M, Sentucq C, Raoul G. A New Orbito-Zygomatic Complex Reconstruction
12 Technique Using Computer-Aided Design and Manufacturing-Assisted Harvest of
13 Autologous Calvarial Bone in Cases of Orbito-Zygomatic Benign Tumor. J Oral Maxillofac
14 Surg. mai 2019;77(5):1082-91.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 10. Wang YY, Lin YY, Qiao T, Duan JH, Yang YQ, Hou M. Extended Maxillary Osteotomy Guide:
25 A Design That Allows Manipulation of the Osteotomy Direction on the Posterior and Inner
26 Walls of the Maxilla. Journal of Craniofacial Surgery. oct 2022;33(7):2146-53.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 11. D'haese J, Van De Velde T, Komiyama A, Hultin M, De Bruyn H. Accuracy and Complications
35 Using Computer-Designed Stereolithographic Surgical Guides for Oral Rehabilitation by
36 Means of Dental Implants: A Review of the Literature: Stereolithographic Guided Surgery:
37 A Review. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research. juin 2012;14(3):321-35.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 12. Koop R, Vercruyssen M, Vermeulen K, Quirynen M. Tolerance within the sleeve inserts of
47 different surgical guides for guided implant surgery. Clin Oral Implants Res. juin
48 2013;24(6):630-4.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Digitally designed surgical guide for maxillary implant rehabilitation of a young patient with severe oligodontia and operative view of its positioning.

Figure 2: Three-dimensional planning of pre-implant surgery for a young patient with severe oligodontia, with simulation of osteotomies, bone osteoplasties and bilateral nerve bypass, design of pre-drilling guides, maxillary and mandibular wax-ups. Digital planning of the calvarial harvesting and Le Fort I osteotomy with bone grafts. These simulations were carried out with the help of Materialise®.

Declaration of Interest Statement

This piece of the submission is being sent via mail.









