



HAL
open science

Vocal analysis of emotions during the recall of Self-Defining Memories (SDM) among antisocial population

Luca Tiberi, Xavier Saloppé, Audrey Lavallée, Fanny Degouis, Antoine Sens,
Jean-Louis Nandrino, Thierry H. Pham, Véronique Delvaux

► To cite this version:

Luca Tiberi, Xavier Saloppé, Audrey Lavallée, Fanny Degouis, Antoine Sens, et al.. Vocal analysis of emotions during the recall of Self-Defining Memories (SDM) among antisocial population. 20th Annual Conference of the International Association of Forensic Mental Health Services (IAFMHS), Jun 2021, Virtual Conference, France. hal-04302632

HAL Id: hal-04302632

<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04302632v1>

Submitted on 22 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Vocal analysis of emotions during the recall of Self-Defining Memories (SDM) among antisocial population

Tiberi L.A.¹, Saloppé, X.^{2,3,4}, Lavallée, A.³, Degouis, F.^{1,3}, Sens, A.⁵, Nandrino, J-L.³, Pham, T.H.^{1,2,6}, & Delvaux, V.⁷

¹Forensic Psychology Department, University of Mons (UMONS), Belgium

²Center of Research in Social Defense (CRDS), Belgium

³Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 9193 - SCALab - Sciences Cognitives et Sciences Affectives, F-59000 Lille, France

⁴Service de Psychiatrie, Hôpital de Saint-Amand-les-Eaux, France

⁵Unité Baudelaire, Centre Hospitalier Psychiatrique (CHP) Le Chêne aux Haies, Belgium

⁶Philippe Pinel Institute, Canada

⁷Language Sciences and Metrology Unit, University of Mons (UMONS), Belgium

Contact : luca.tiberi@umons.ac.be

INTRODUCTION

SDM are autobiographical memories with the particularity of establishing a sense of identity ("Self") and to persist over time due to their emotional charge. They refer to specific moments in the individual's life which have generated a "life lesson" that will change its perception of Self and life. SDM can be classified based on four features: a) specificity (specific or generic), b) valence (positive, negative, mixed, or neutral), c) integration (integrated or non-integrated) and d) theme (threatening life events, pleasant life events, interpersonal relationships, goal achieving or substance abuse). Antisocial Personality Disorder (ASPD) implies a deficit in the emotional sphere such as high impulsivity and aggressivity and a disregard for others' psychological/physical integrity. While most studies on ASPD forensic inpatients have addressed impulsivity, empathy or emotion decoding domains, few have addressed emergence of emotions despite the key role of Self and negative affect regulation on antisocial behavior. To our knowledge, no study has analysed acoustic parameters of SDM. In contrast to less ecological experimental design, these memories allow the emergence of emotions in a natural context.

Objective: Analyse acoustic parameters of vocal cues from naturalistic expressed emotions during the recall of SDM among antisocial forensic inpatients.

METHOD

Participants


17 Belgian male inpatients from the High-Security Forensic Hospital (Tournai) with ASPD diagnosis (SCID-II) participated on a voluntary basis. The mean age was 46.18 (SD = 13.77) and the mean length of hospitalization was 10.55 years (SD = 7.93). The mean I.Q. score (WAIS-IV) was 75.87 (SD = 14.47) and the mean Social Desirability score (MC-SDS) was 16.94 (SD = 5.39).

Instruments and Procedure

IRI
Empathy

CERQ
Emotion Regulation

SDM Task
Recall 5 SDM
(recorded speech and video)



EMOTAIX Text Analysis

3 valences + 2 unspecified emotions
6 meta-categories
Emotional & Nonemotional utterances

Praat
Acoustic parameters
(MeanF0, MedianF0, SDF0, MinF0, MaxF0, SpeechRate)

Data analysis

First, we presented the descriptive statistics about SDM (N = 83) recalled by ASPD inpatients. In absence of normality of distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test), we performed non-parametric comparison group analyzes (Wilcoxon *W*) on vocal cues between emotional and unemotional utterances. Finally, we performed non-parametric correlation (Spearman ρ) between acoustic parameters and IRI/CERQ scores.

RESULTS

Table 1 – SDM descriptive statistics (%)

		Prevalence (%)
SDM Classification features		
Valence		
Positive		19.28
Negative		25.30
Mixed		22.89
Neutral		32.53
Specificity		
Generic		44.58
Specific		55.42
Integration		
Integrated		10.84
Non-integrated		89.16
Theme		
Moral choice		1.20
Substance abuse		2.41
Pleasant life event		4.82
Goal reaching		7.23
Other		25.30
Interpersonal relationships		28.92
Threatening life event		28.92

Table 2 – Acoustic parameters descriptive statistics (Hz) of SDM

Acoustic parameters	EMO (n = 78)		nonEMO (n = 83)		W (r)
	M	SD	M	SD	
MeanF0	108.71	13.87	108.50	12.83	
SDF0	13.81	4.53	12.41	3.87	3.09 (.34)*
MedianF0	106.66	14.47	106.38	12.96	
MinF0	84.08	4.83	86.60	5.55	-5.70 (.64)**
MaxF0	149.21	25.24	141.63	22.34	4.12 (.46)**
SpeechRate	3.64	0.61	3.61	0.78	

Note: EMO = Emotional utterances; nonEMO = Nonemotional utterances; W = Wilcoxon signed-rank; r = effect size; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .001$

Table 3 – Correlation (ρ) between acoustic parameters and Self-Questionnaires

Acoustic parameters	n	CERQ			IRI		
		AS	NAS	PT	EC	PD	F
MeanF0	EMO	.416**	-.398**	-.554**	.106	.042	.072
	nonEMO	.343**	-.393**	-.502**	-.026	.023	.109
SDF0	EMO	.352**	-.116	-.438**	.057	.008	.073
	nonEMO	.339**	-.075	-.409**	.077	-.044	.630
MedianF0	EMO	.374**	-.386**	-.506**	-.150	.069	.085
	nonEMO	.322*	-.432**	-.487**	-.036	.027	.143
MinF0	EMO	.320**	-.215	-.119	-.150	.084	.032
	nonEMO	.238**	-.415**	-.298**	-.069	.058	.174
MaxF0	EMO	.387**	-.263**	-.505**	.090	.114	-.025
	nonEMO	.310**	-.213	-.464**	.069	.065	-.037
SpeechRate	EMO	.072	.058	-.174	.195	-.125	-.092
	nonEMO	.157	.188	-.240*	-.022	-.272*	-.160

Note: EMO = Emotional utterances; nonEMO = Nonemotional utterances; AS = Adaptive strategies; NAS = Non adaptive strategies; PT = Perspective-taking; EC = Empathic concern; PD = Personal distress; F = Fantasy; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .001$

DISCUSSION

- Prevalence results (Table 1) concerning the highest recalled SDM valence (neutral) suggest an emotional detachment as previously found in literature. However, prevalence and acoustic parameters results (Table 2) support the hypothesis that ASPD are less emotionally impaired as expected. Results about non-integrated SDM support Baumeister's theory that impairment creates and maintains a coherence of Self in individuals with ASPD. Unexpectedly, they recalled specific SDM, exhibiting the ability to plan and remain focus on the recalling of a memory. However, ASPD forensic inpatients showed difficulty in retrieving very specific single events and rather recalled memories comprising multiples events. Finally, the two main SDM themes recalled were partially expected considering the antisocial population. Indeed, literature supports that antisocial offenders experienced more and greater childhood adversity experiences than general population.
- Literature supports the assumption that emotional utterances are more activating at the prosodic level. Results highlight a significant variability of the speech, but not of the amplitude, between EMO and nonEMO utterances. Previous research suggested that ASPD forensic inpatients mainly expressed anger at the level of facial expression during the SDM recall task, whatever the valence SDM. This overexpression of anger may be associated to the high prevalence of threatening life-events recalled, and potentially to childhood adversity experiences.
- Correlational results (Table 3) suggest that the use of adaptive strategies contributes to the emotional vocal expression and this whether the lexical content is emotional or nonemotional. This result highlights the interest of the multi-level analysis of emotions. With regard to empathy, there was a negative correlation between perspective-taking (PT) abilities and level of activation of emotion. This result may be explained by the nature of the task (cognitive empathy) asking to shift from one's own point of view to other's point of view. This result is congruent with the definition of the cognitive/affective dimension of the mentalization process. Perspective taking does not imply connection and sharing with emotions. However, the integration of emotional and cognitive aspects is necessary to understand mental states. This result may illustrate the callous empathy dimension of individuals with psychopathic traits.

Future perspectives

- Undertake an in-depth analysis of the SDMs themes (ex: life-threatening event-others, life-threatening event-accident/illness, life-threatening event-physical, life-threatening event-unclassifiable)
- Assess the Psychopathic Personality Disorder (PPD) in order to describe and compare both the classification features of recalled SDM and the acoustic parameters between PPD and ASPD
- Conduct a correlational analysis between emotional regulation strategies (adapted and non adapted) and acoustic parameters both among ASPD and PPD populations
- Implementing a bottom-up approach, starting from the acoustic parameters distribution in order to identify emotionally charged utterances among each SDM
- Implementing a multi-level analysis (facial and vocal expressions of emotions, physiological activity, empathic abilities, self-regulatory strategies, mentalization) of SDM among antisocial populations

References

- Allen, J. S. (2006). Mentalizing. In J. G. Allen & P. Fonagy (Eds.), *Practice in Handbook of Mentalization-Based Treatment* (pp. 3-30). West Sussex, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Book, A. S., Quinsey, V. L., & Langford, D. (2007). Psychopathy and the perception of affect and vulnerability. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 34(6), 531-544. DOI: 10.1177/030662490730554
- Brook, M., & Kossin, D. S. (2013). Impaired cognitive empathy in criminal psychopathy: Evidence from a laboratory measure of empathic accuracy. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 122(1), 156-166. DOI: 10.1037/a0028261
- Davis, A., O'Leary, R., Meltzer, E., & Palermo, R. (2013). Not just fear and sadness: Meta-analysis of evidence of pervasive emotion recognition deficits for facial and vocal expressions in psychopathy. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36(10), 2288-2304. DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.08.006
- DeLise, M., Drury, A., Ebert, M. (2019). The etiology of antisocial personality disorder: The differential roles of adverse childhood experiences and childhood psychopathology. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 52, 1-6. DOI: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2019.04.001
- Fox, S., & Hemond, S. (2017). Investigating the bidirectional relationship between impulsivity and psychopathy using structural equation modeling. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 111(1), 187-192. DOI: 10.1016/j.paid.2017.02.025
- Lavallée, A., Nandrino, J.-L., Gardouche, M.-C., Saloppé, X., Ori, L., Pham, T., & Nandrino, J.-L. (2020). Characterisation of self-defining memories in criminals with antisocial personality disorder. *Memory*, 28(9), 1123-1135. DOI: 10.1080/09658211.2020.1818785
- Pham, T.H., Golec, J., Slobin, A.S., Rousseau, D., Douven, I., Lavallée, A., & Saloppé, X. (2021). Emotional Content Analysis Among People With Psychopathy During Emotional Induction by the International Affective Picture System. *International Journal of Risk and Recovery*. DOI: 10.1515/ijrr-2021-0024
- Scheerer, M. S. (2003). Vocal communication of emotion: A review of research paradigms. *Speech Communication*, 49(1-2), 227-256. DOI: 10.1016/S0167-6369(02)00084-5
- Singer, J. A., & Brieger, P. S. (2000). Classification system and scoring manual for self-defining autobiographical memories. Unpublished manuscript, Connecticut College.