



HAL
open science

Effects of Social Control on Instruction Following under Schedules of Negative Reinforcement

Jerome Alessandri, Carlos Cançado

► **To cite this version:**

Jerome Alessandri, Carlos Cançado. Effects of Social Control on Instruction Following under Schedules of Negative Reinforcement. ABAI, 44th Annual Convention, May 2018, San Diego, CA, France. hal-04316061

HAL Id: hal-04316061

<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04316061>

Submitted on 30 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effects of Social Control on Instruction Following under Schedules of Negative Reinforcement



Jérôme Alessandri^a, & Carlos Cançado^b

^a Université de Lille ^b Universidade de Brasília

Abstract: The effects of social control on instruction following under a negative-reinforcement (escape) schedule were studied. A previous study (Alessandri, Cançado, & Abreu-Rodrigues, 2017) has shown that instruction following occurred consistently and was modulated by reinforcement value. The goal of the present experiment was to further assess, using a similar arrangement to the previous study, the control of instruction following by social (i.e. plying) contingencies. Initially, responding produced timeouts from pressing a force cell under a high force requirement on a FR1 schedule during a 5-min session. Next, participants were reexposed to the same procedure but they were instructed that the experimenter expected them to stop taking timeouts. Most participants did not comply to this instruction so a training history was provided requiring participants to not take timeouts during intervals that increased progressively. For half of the participants, a history of social reinforcement (i.e., praise) was provided for instruction following. Finally, participants were reexposed to the initial procedure without instruction and then with the initial instruction.

Group	Phase 1	Phase 2*	Phase 3
Experimental	Session 1: FR1 without instruction	Praise	Same as in Phase 1
Control	Session 2: FR1 with instruction to stop taking timeouts	No praise	

*Phase 2: The instruction to stop taking timeouts was kept but the session duration was increased progressively until a breakpoint was reached (responding to take a timeout) or 5-min duration was attained (duration of session in Phase 1 and 3). In the experimental group, praise was provided at the end of each session without timeout. In the control group, no praise or feedback was provided.

Introduction

- One source of controlling source of instruction following is plying which refers to instruction following maintained by socially mediated reinforcers for a correspondence between the instruction and the relevant behavior.
- Negative reinforcement (escape) contingencies were arranged by using a procedure described by Alessandri and Rivière (2013).

Method

- Target response: Pressing the keyboard's down-arrow key.
- Participants also were required to press a force cell (with the maximum force possible) continuously, which served as an establishing operation for escape responses.
- Reinforcers: 5-s timeouts from pressing the force cell.
- Social reinforcer: Praise for not taking timeout

Discussion

- These results replicate, with humans, previous findings on resurgence, renewal and reinstatement of positively reinforced – mainly nonhuman – behavior (e.g., Podlesnik & Shahan, 2009).
- They extend these findings by demonstrating, with humans, each of these three phenomena when responding was maintained in the Baseline phase by contingencies of negative reinforcement involving brief timeouts from an effortful response (cf. Alessandri & Rivière, 2013).
- This negative-reinforcement procedure arranges consequences that might be functionally equivalent to those commonly used with nonhumans (e.g., brief access to food for food-deprived organisms; escape and avoidance of electric shocks).

References

- Alessandri, J., & Rivière, V. (2013). Timeout from a high-force requirement as a reinforcer: An effective procedure for human operant research. *Behavioural Processes*, 99, 1-6.
- Alessandri, J., Abreu-Rodrigues, J., & Cançado, C. (2017). Effects of reinforcement value on instruction following under schedules of negative reinforcement. *Behavioural Processes*, 145, 27-30.