

The relationship between contributions of authors and author order

R. Ueda, Y. Nishizaki, Y. Homma, Patrick Devos, S. Sanada

▶ To cite this version:

R. Ueda, Y. Nishizaki, Y. Homma, Patrick Devos, S. Sanada. The relationship between contributions of authors and author order. Journal of General and Family Medicine, 2021, Journal of General and Family Medicine, 22 (6), p. 361-362. 10.1002/jgf2.466 . hal-04338075

HAL Id: hal-04338075 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04338075v1

Submitted on 14 Dec 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

DOI: 10.1002/jgf2.466

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Journal of General and Family Medicine

WILEY

The relationship between contributions of authors and author order

To the Editor,

Research achievements are often evaluated on the basis of the impact factor of journals and the level of contribution by each author. In biomedical fields, individual research contribution is usually reflected by the author order in publications. In France, the System for the Identification, Management and Analysis of Scientific Publications (SIGAPS) score is used to evaluate the quality of papers, including the value of the author order.^{1,2} However, the weight of the author order could differ by country. We, therefore, compared the value of the author order in France and Japan in accordance with questionnaire surveys in our previous research.³ Our previous findings revealed that, apart from the first author, in France, the last author is highly esteemed.³ We evaluated the relationship between the author order and their research contributions from a novel perspective in comparison with our earlier research.³

The corresponding author is considered to have made the greatest research contribution and played the most important role in the process from writing the paper to the submission and in responding to peer reviews. Therefore, we extracted 580 clinical research papers from business reports pertaining to core clinical research hospitals that were submitted in 2017 and published online by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.⁴ We then summarized the position in which the corresponding author was most frequently listed in the actual paper: first, second, third, penultimate or last, or in some other position.

We examined a total of 576 papers, excluding four with more than one corresponding author. As shown in Table 1, the majority (54%) of corresponding authors were first authors, fewer were second authors (24%) or last authors (19%), and only 1% each were third authors, penultimate authors, or in some other position.

With regard to the value of author order in papers in Japan, first and second authors are at a higher level than the last author, which differs from the usual way of thinking in France. This may be because, in Japan, regardless of the degree of practical involvement in research, the supervisor of the study, who often plays a key role in securing funding and as the public "face" of the research, tends to be listed as the last author.³

The position of the penultimate author is more highly esteemed in France than in Japan.³ This may reflect differences in joint

| TABLE 1 | Position of corresponding authors in 576 academic |
|---------|---|
| papers | |

| First author | 312 (54%) |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Second author | 138 (24%) |
| Last author | 107 (19%) |
| Third author | 8 (1%) |
| Penultimate author | 8 (1%) |
| Other contributing authors | 3 (1%) |

research activities. In France, much research is multidisciplinary and involves several research units; therefore, the contribution of each researcher and unit is highly valued. This could partly explain the differences in the author order observed between France and Japan.

In this study, we investigated the relationship between the contributions of authors and author order only in France and Japan. We next plan to collect and evaluate similar data from other countries as well.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Enago (www.enago.jp) for the English language review.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have stated explicitly that there are no conflicts of interest in connection with this article.

> Rieko Ueda PhD^{1,2} Yuji Nishizaki MD¹ Yasuhiro Homma MD³ Patrick Devos MS⁴ Shoji Sanada MD⁵

¹Medical Technology Innovation Center, Juntendo University, Tokyo, Japan

²Department of Cardiovascular Biology and Medicine Graduate School of Medicine, Juntendo University, Tokyo, Japan
³Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Juntendo University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

⁴Univ Lille, CHU Lille, Lillometrics, Lille, France

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. Journal of General and Family Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japan Primary Care Association.

Correspondence

Yuji Nishizaki, Medical Technology Innovation Center, Juntendo University, 2-1-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8421, Japan

Email: ynishiza@juntendo.ac.jp

ORCID

Yuji Nishizaki b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6964-6702 Patrick Devos b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7803-9552

REFERENCES

1. Ueda R, Nishizaki Y, Homma Y, Sanada S, Otsuka T, Yasuno S, et al. Importance of quality assessment in clinical research in Japan. Front Pharmacol. 2019;10:1228.

- Devos P, Dufresne E, Renard JM, Beuscart R. SIGAPS: a prototype of bibliographic tool for medical research evaluation. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2003;95:721–6.
- Nishizaki Y, Homma Y, Ueda R, Devos P, Sanada S. Differences in author ranking: Development of SIGAPS scoring system for Japanese version. J Gen Fam Med. 2020;21(5):208–9.
- Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/ stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000165585.html. Accessed April 4, 2021 (in Japanese).

How to cite this article: Ueda R, Nishizaki Y, Homma Y, Devos P, Sanada S. The relationship between contributions of authors and author order. *J Gen Fam Med.* 2021;22:361–362. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jgf2.466</u>