



**HAL**  
open science

## Dialogic reading and language skills of children with intellectual disabilities: New results

Amelie Remy Neris, Lucie Macchi, Bruno Facon

### ► To cite this version:

Amelie Remy Neris, Lucie Macchi, Bruno Facon. Dialogic reading and language skills of children with intellectual disabilities: New results. 22nd conference of the European Society for Cognitive Psychology, Aug 2022, Lille, France. hal-04389839

**HAL Id: hal-04389839**

**<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04389839v1>**

Submitted on 12 Jan 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Dialogic reading and language skills of children with intellectual deficiency : New results**

Amélie Rémy-Néris<sup>1</sup>, Lucie Macchi<sup>2</sup>, Bruno Facon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SCALab – UMR9193, Université de Lille, France

<sup>2</sup>STL – UMR8163, Université de Lille, France



- **Intellectual disability (ID)** has major consequences on language development which has an impact on the daily life of children with ID and on their future autonomy.
- Language interventions are needed to support the acquisition of their lexical and morphosyntactic skills [4].
- However, professionals working with these children lack of evidence-based methods that are simple to implement in daily activities.
- **Dialogic Reading (DR)** is an educational intervention that has been shown to be effective in improving the language skills of typically developing children [7,8].
- This practice of shared reading encourages the adult to ask the child many questions so that he participates verbally as much as possible.




58 children with ID, aged 6 to 12, were randomly assigned to a SYNTAX or a VOCABULARY training group.



The aim of the present study was to examine whether this method is also effective for children with ID.



Comparison of groups and their evolution using non-parametric tests



Individual pretest (All groups)

- Cognitive assessment :
  - Nonverbal abilities [10]
  - Short-term memory [1]
- Language assessment :
  - Receptive [6] and productive [2] morphosyntactic skills
  - Receptive [3] and productive [5] lexical skills
- Experimental tests :
  - Morphosyntactic tests in reception SpéMeR and production SpéMsP
  - Lexical tests in reception SpéLexR and production SpéLexP



Individual training



- SYNTAX Group (N=28)**
  - DR sessions focused on the acquisition of 3 syntactic constructions
- VOCABULARY Group (N=30)**
  - DR sessions focused on the acquisition of 30 unfamiliar words



Individual posttest (All groups)

- Language assessment :
  - Receptive [6] and productive [2] morphosyntactic skills
  - Receptive [3] and productive [5] lexical skills
- Experimental tests :
  - Morphosyntactic tests in reception SpéMeR and production SpéMsP
  - Lexical tests in reception SpéLexR and production SpéLexP

- Questionnaires completed by professionals working with the children :
- Problem behavior [9]
  - Pragmatic abilities [11]



- Effect of LD sessions, evidenced by the greater progress of each group in the trained language domain.
- Effects probably independent from DR sessions (test practice effect, placebo effect or maturation effect), evidenced by the progress of the groups in the untrained language domain on some tests.
- The effectiveness of DR sessions seems to be greater for lexicon than for morphosyntax.
- Interest in continuing the analyses by including new children in the sample.
- **Results suggesting that Dialogic Reading could be a useful approach for the language education of children with ID.**

**Bibliography** : (1) Cognet, G. (2006). NEMI-2. *Nouvelle échelle métrique de l'intelligence*. Paris: Les Éditions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée. (2) Deltour, J.-J. (1998). *Test de closure grammaticale révisé (TCG-R)*. Paris: Les Éditions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée. (3) Dunn, L. M., Thériault-Whalen, C. M., & Dunn, L. M. (1993). Échelle de Vocabulaire en Images Peabody. Adaptation française du Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test-Revised. Richmond Hill, ON: PsyCan. (4) Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (2016). *Les déficiences intellectuelles*. Collection Expertise Collective. Paris: Les Éditions de l'INSERM, 1176 p. (5) Khoms, A. (2001). *Évaluation du langage oral*. Paris : Les Éditions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée. (6) Lecoq, P. (1996). *L'ECOSSE : une épreuve de compréhension syntaxico-sémantique*. Villeneuve d'Ascq, FR: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion. (7) Mol, S. E., Bus, A. G., de Jong, M. T., & Smeets, D. H. (2008). Added value of dialogic parent-child book readings: A meta-analysis. *Early Education and Development*, 19, 7-26. (8) Noble, C., Sala, G., Peter, M., Lingwood, J., Rowland, C., Gobet, F., & Pine, J. (2019). The impact of shared book reading on children's language skills : A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 28, 100290. (9) Sparrow, S. S., Domenic, V., D. A., & Cicchetti & Balla, D. A. (2015). *Vineland-II : Échelles de comportement adaptatif Vineland [adaptation française]*. Paris: Les Éditions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée. (10) Wechsler, D. & Nagliari, J. A. (2009). *Échelle non verbale d'intelligence*. Paris: Les Éditions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée. (11) Wiig, E., Semel, E., & Secord, W. A. (2019). *CELF 5 : Batterie d'évaluation des fonctions langagières et de communication [adaptation française]*. Paris : Les Éditions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée.