

Manufacture and Characterization of Cola Lepidota Reinforcements for Composite Applications

Rémi Legrand Ndoumou, Damien Soulat, Ahmad Rashed Labanieh, Manuela Ferreira, L. Meva'A, J. A. Ateba

► To cite this version:

Rémi Legrand Ndoumou, Damien Soulat, Ahmad Rashed Labanieh, Manuela Ferreira, L. Meva'A, et al.. Manufacture and Characterization of Cola Lepidota Reinforcements for Composite Applications. Journal of Composites Science, 2023, Journal of Composites Science, 7, 10.3390/jcs7020065. hal-04467650

HAL Id: hal-04467650 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04467650v1

Submitted on 20 Feb 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





Article

Manufacture and Characterization of Cola Lépidota Reinforcements for Composite Applications

Rémy Legrand Ndoumou, Damien Soulat, Ahmad Rashed Labanieh, Manuela Ferreira, Lucien Meva'a and Jean Atangana Ateba

Special Issue Multiscale Composite Materials Characterization – Manufacturing, Testing and Structural Integrity Analysis

Edited by Dr. Grzegorz Lesiuk, Dr. Ana Pavlovic, Dr. Olha Zvirko and Dr. Michał Barcikowski





https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs7020065





Article Manufacture and Characterization of Cola Lépidota Reinforcements for Composite Applications

Rémy Legrand Ndoumou ^{1,2}, Damien Soulat ^{1,*}, Ahmad Rashed Labanieh ¹, Manuela Ferreira ¹, Lucien Meva'a ³ and Jean Atangana Ateba ²

- ¹ Laboratoire de Génie et Matériaux Textiles, Gemtex, Ensait, University of Lille, 59000 Roubaix, France
- ² Laboratoire de Mécanique (LME), UFD SI, Université de Douala, Douala P.O. Box 24157, Cameroon
- ³ Laboratoire d'Ingénierie Civile et Mécanique, Université de Yaoundé I, Yaoundé P.O. Box 8390, Cameroon
 - Correspondence: damien.soulat@ensait.fr

Abstract: This study represents the first works on the manufacture of reinforcements for composite applications such as yarns and fabrics using a tropical fiber extracted from the bast of the Cola Lepidota (CL) plant. Different types of products were produced, including twisted and untwisted yarns and woven and quasi-unidirectional fabrics to manufacture composite samples. At each scale, experimental characterizations of textile and mechanical properties were carried out; these properties are compared to those given in the literature concerning natural fiber materials. The results show that the tenacity of twisted and untwisted CL yarns is higher than that of similar products based on flax fibers, which is an important result for the weaveability of these rovings. At the fabric scale, the quasi-unidirectional architecture reduces waviness and shows promising tensile properties compared to woven fabrics. On the scale of composites, these developments made it possible to achieve properties in tensile comparable, particularly in stiffness, to those achieved by composites based on natural fibers. The objectives of this paper are to highlight the advantages and drawbacks of different types of reinforcements, and to present the first characterization of the properties of products based on CL fibers.

Keywords: Cola lepidota fiber; woven fabrics; composite reinforcements; mechanical behavior; properties; fabric forming

1. Introduction

Natural fibers, especially bast fibers, have drawn attention in recent years because of their potential to replace traditional synthetic fibers as reinforcements in engineering composites with the advantages of being sustainable and environmentally friendly [1,2]. Natural fiber reinforced composites (NFCs) possess many attractive characteristics such as low cost, good specific mechanical properties, excellent thermal and acoustic insulation, less toxic release, and lower energy requirement during manufacturing [3]. The mechanical properties of NFCs are mainly governed by the mechanical properties of the natural fibers and their affinity to polymers. Thus, to obtain the best properties in the loading direction, the ideal reinforcement should maximize the number of fibers aligned in this direction, inside the yarns [4,5], and also at the scale of fabrics. Bensadoun et al. [6] have showed that NFCs based on aligned fabrics have higher mechanical properties compared to randomly oriented fabrics. Consequently, a large number of works have been dedicated to the optimization of the fabric structures of yarns based on natural fibers. Several kinds of reinforcements using with these yarns can be produced, such as woven fabric, with balanced or unbalanced patterns, and braided and knitted fabrics. For woven fabrics, mechanical strength depends on several factors, such as the yarn densities in the warp/weft direction, crimp level, and weaving patterns. Corbin et al. [7] studied the effect of weave pattern and process parameters on the mechanical properties of woven hemp



Citation: Ndoumou, R.L.; Soulat, D.; Labanieh, A.R.; Ferreira, M.; Meva'a, L.; Ateba, J.A. Manufacture and Characterization of Cola Lépidota Reinforcements for Composite Applications. *J. Compos. Sci.* **2023**, *7*, 65. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jcs7020065

Academic Editors: Grzegorz Lesiuk, Ana Pavlovic, Olha Zvirko and Michał Barcikowski

Received: 8 December 2022 Revised: 8 January 2023 Accepted: 31 January 2023 Published: 6 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). fabric/epoxy composites made from low-twisted rovings. They showed that plain weave fabric composites achieved the highest tensile strength and modulus, whereas the satin and twill weave fabric composites showed lower values. These woven patterns have also been used before impregnation to optimize, tensile, in-plane shear, and forming properties [8]. Antony et al. [9] studied the effect of fiber content and fabric weave pattern on the mechanical properties of hemp fiber woven fabric/polypropylene composites. They used plain weave and 2/1 twill weave with different areal densities. The 2/1 twill fabric composites performed better in terms of tensile strength, tensile modulus, shear strength, and shear modulus. The influence of crimp level of woven patterns on the mechanical properties of hemp composites has been studied by Karaduman [10]. From hemp yarns of 1000 tex, four different weave types (quasi-unidirectional, plain, basket 2/2, and twill 2/2) were produced to be used as reinforcements of epoxy composites. UD composites show the highest tensile strength, tensile modulus, flexural strength, and flexural modulus in the 0° (yarn) direction. Plain weave fabric composites showed the second highest tensile and flexural strength and moduli, followed by basket 2/2 weave composites. Composites reinforced with twill 2/2 woven fabrics showed the lowest mechanical properties due to high yarn crimp and yarn angle, less balanced structure, and the resulting shear forces during various loading conditions. For woven flax/epoxy composites, Asgarinia et al. [11] reported that fabrics with a lower crimp level can enhance the mechanical strength of the composites. Composites based on UD reinforcements show better stiffness in the fiber direction compared to composites based on randomly oriented fiber reinforcements [12,13]. Shah [14] showed that composites based on unidirectional natural fiber reinforcements offer 2 to 20 times better tensile properties than composites based on nonwoven natural fiber reinforcements. All of these studies concern bast fibers, but the availability of natural fibers varies according to geographical location, which is relevant to the environment because each industrial center can locate available resources, thus meeting the demand for green materials while using new, accessible, and low-cost tropical species [15]. Concerning plant fibers from tropical plants in Central, East, and West African countries, some studies have investigated the identification of the physico-chemical, thermal, and mechanical properties of African star apple leaves [16], bast fibers of Triumfetta cordifolia [17], Rhectophyllum camerunense fibers [18–20], and Cola lepidota (CL) fibers [21,22]. All of these works have been dedicated to the fiber scale and not to the development of yarns and fabrics based on these tropical fibers for composite applications. The manufacturing and characterization of the kind of products produced from Cola lepidota fibers, to the authors' knowledge, has not been previously conducted. For this paper, a weaving loom was used to make two types of reinforcements from twisted and untwisted rovings based on CL fibers: a woven and a quasi-unidirectional fabric with a density of fibers lower in one direction than in the other. These reinforcements were then used to make composite samples. A characterization step was also taken to identify textile and mechanical properties at each scale (yarns/fabrics and composites). This paper is also dedicated to the forming behavior of both these fabrics because few studies have compared deformability between woven (two orthogonal orientations of fibers) and unidirectional or quasi-unidirectional fabrics. Finally, the properties identified experimentally were compared with those of products based on natural fibers, from the literature.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Rovings and Twisted Yarns

Cola lepidota (CL) rovings and yarns were manufactured from CL ribbons extracted from the bast of CL stems, as described in detail in previous studies [21,22]. Due to CL ribbon morphology and structure [22], a process in three steps (ribbons selection, preparation, and twisting) was used to manufacture CL rovings and yarns instead of the conventional spinning process used in the textile industry [23]. The selection step allowed ribbons of lengths of 2 to 6 m, thickness between 0.75 mm and 1.1 mm, and a width range

of 7 to 15 mm to be obtained. They were then joined together with a solution of adhesion with a PVA-based binder, provided by MEDIAN Company (Italy). A twist level of 70 tpm (turns per meter) was finally applied to the roving. Before twisting, the flat rovings were humidified in order to maintain the applied pressure. At this scale, two main products were manufactured:

- *Cola lepidota* rovings, denoted as R1_CL, which are flat, untwisted rovings obtained after the selection step. They have an average width of 10 mm and an approximate thickness of $92.96 \pm 12.74 \mu m$.
- *Cola lepidota* yarns, denoted as Y_CL, obtained from R1_CL previously separated in the mid-plane and twisted on the TWISTEC twister of GEMTEX laboratory.

2.1.2. Woven Fabrics

From the previous rovings and yarns, fabrics were woven in the GEMTEX laboratory on a PATRONIC B60 (Handloom Holdings Ltd., Halstead, UK) sampling loom, as shown in Figure 1. Before the weaving step, the preforms were designed using WiseTex[®] software (Version 2.5) [24]. The design step was followed by the warp preparation (drawing-in, sewing, and tightening). After this stage, two woven fabrics with plain weaving diagrams were produced, as illustrated in Figure 2:

- A plain weave fabric, denoted as Plain_CL, slightly balanced, based on R1_CL with a yarn density of 3 picks/cm in weft and 2.5 ends/cm in warp.
- A quasi-unidirectional fabric, denoted as Quasi-UD_CL, an unbalanced fabric based on Y_CL in the warp direction with a density of 0.5 ends/cm and on R1_CL in the weft direction, with a density of 3.5 picks/cm. In this fabric, the twisted rovings were used to hold the weft rovings in place in order to obtain the maximum quantity.

The choice of plain weave is linked to the fact that although it is the most widely used [6,24]. A quasi-unidirectional structure is highly unbalanced, with a high fiber percentage in one direction relative to the other, therefore the crimp is minimized [12].





Drawing in



Warp preparation

Weaving

Sewing-in

Figure 1. Weaving process of fabrics.



Figure 2. Woven and quasi-unidirectional fabrics.

2.1.3. Composite Manufacturing

Plain_CL and Quasi-UD_CL fabrics were used with GreenPoxy 56[®] epoxy resin and SD Surf Clear hardener from Sicomin[®] (Chateau neuf les Martigues, France) with a weight ratio of 100/37 to manufacture composite plates using hot pressing. Two biocomposites were produced from two plies of fabrics:

- A quasi-unidirectional composite, denoted as CQUD, manufactured from Quasi-UD_CL fabrics stacked in the weft direction.
- A plain weave ply composite, denoted as CPP, manufactured from Plain_CL fabrics stacked in the warp direction.

Composite plates were manufactured by hot pressing. The fabrics were conditioned at a temperature of 23 °C and a relative humidity of 50% for at least 24 h prior to the composite manufacturing. After this pre-conditioning, the plies were layered-up by hand, impregnated manually with the epoxy resin, and then cured at 60 °C for 6 h under a pressure of 5 bars. The mold was open at the ends, which allowed the excess resin to be removed. Following the manufacturing, the composite plates were conditioned at 23 °C and 50% RH for at least four weeks to reach the moisture content equilibrium and were then cut to dimension of $25 \times 230 \text{ mm}^2$.

2.2. Characterization Methods

2.2.1. Rovings and Twisted Yarns Properties

Before identification of the textile and mechanical properties, the bobbins of CL roving and yarn were previously stored at a temperature of 20 ± 2 °C and HR% of $65 \pm 2\%$ for at least 48 h. The linear density was evaluated according to the NF G07-316 standard [25] on twenty samples, 500 mm in length. The twist was measured according to the NF G07-079 standard [26] on ten samples for each type of product. In this paper, the tenacity of CL rovings and yarns was identified using tensile tests conducted before and after the weaving process. For each yarn, 10 samples were tested on an MTS Criterion 45 tensile machine, with a load cell of 1 kN, a gauge length of 200 mm, and a crosshead displacement rate of 100 mm/min, according to the NF EN ISO 2062 standard [27]. After weaving, CL rovings and yarns were manually extracted from each fabric, in both directions for Plain_CL and in the weft direction for Quasi-UD_CL.

2.2.2. Woven Fabrics Properties

Textile properties

As for the rovings and yarns, before characterization tests, fabrics were stored at 20 ± 2 °C and HR% of $65 \pm 2\%$ for one week. For the identification of textile properties, of thickness, areal density, shrinkage, and air permeability, the NF EN ISO 5084 [28], NF EN 12 127 [29], NF ISO 7211-3 [30], and NF EN ISO 9237 [31] standards were used, respectively. Five samples were tested for each fabric in each direction.

The tensile tests were carried out on fabrics, according to the NF EN ISO 13934-1 standard [32], on an MTS Criterion 45 tensile machine with a load cell of 10 KN and a speed of 100 mm·min⁻¹. Five samples of 300×50 mm² were tested in each direction (weft and warp) for the Plain_CL samples, and only in the weft direction for the Quasi UD-CL fabrics. The tensile parameters studied were maximal load and strain at maximal load. Tensile loads are presented in N/yarn to avoid the effects of yarn densities.

The bias-extension test (BET) is used to characterize the in-plane shear behavior of biaxial fabrics, as detailed in [8,33–36]. In this paper, this test was only performed on Plain_CL fabric. The BET is a tensile test applied on rectangular woven samples cut at 45° relative to the warp and weft directions, with a criterion size (length/width ratio of sample must be greater than two). In this condition, the distinguishably deformed zones with different in-plane shearing strains can be seen in the specimen, as shown in Figure 3, where the C zone shows total in-plane shearing due to both ends of the yarns being free, the B zones show the half-value of in-plane shearing due to one end of the yarns being fixed, and the A zones are constantly undeformed due to both ends of the yarns being fixed. L and l, the initial length and width of the specimen between the jaws, are 210 mm and 70 mm, respectively.



Figure 3. Geometry of sample used for the BET and shear zone description.

The bias extension test was conducted on an MTS Criterion 45 tensile machine with a crosshead displacement rate of 20 mm/min and no preload. The evolution of the angle between yarns was obtained via a camera, which was in the stand of the test machine during the BET. To precisely calculate the in-plane shearing angle, γ , the angles between yarns at five different locations in zone C are averaged. From these pictures, the angle is measured by ImageJ software (Version: 1.53m) after the BET [37]. To avoid potential specimen slippage from the claws in the test machine during the BET, the two ends of the specimens should be reinforced by resin and pressured for 24 h. The measured in-plane shear angle was also compared to those analytically (denoted γ_{th}) computed with Equation (1), as defined in [33–36], where D represents the length of the pure in-plane shear zone (zone C) and d represents the displacement of the tensile machine.

$$\gamma_{\rm th} = \frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \arccos\left(\frac{D+d}{\sqrt{2}D}\right) \tag{1}$$

Deformability of woven fabrics by forming tests

Forming tests were performed using the specific preforming device developed at the GEMTEX laboratory [8,34,38,39], as seen in Figure 4a. The tested fabric is placed between the upper plate and die because the upper plate is moveable. The blank holder driven by a pneumatic jack applies pressure on the fabric during the preforming. Two punches were used in this study—a hemispherical punch with a diameter of 150 mm (Figure 4b) and a square punch with a dimension of 100 mm (Figure 4c). Only the deformability of the Quasi-UD_CL fabric was tested with the hemispherical punch, while the square punch was used to compare the deformability of both fabrics. A constant pressure of 0.2 MPa on the blank holder and a drawing speed of approximately 45 mm/s were applied. The forming tests were performed using one ply of the woven fabric cut in a square shape with 280 mm sides. The formability of CL fabrics was analyzed after preforming tests with the following parameters: preforming load and fabric draw-in values, shear angles, and defects. Preforming load was obtained using a load sensor located under the punch. The fabric draw-in in each direction (warp and weft) was measured after analysis of the pictures, recorded by the CDD camera placed on the top side of the device, using ImageJ software [36]. The shear angles were computed from the angles between the warp and weft directions and measured in each area on the preform. The defects were identified visually.



Figure 4. Forming test: (a) preforming device, (b,c) unpunched shape dimensions.

2.2.3. Composites Properties

The average fiber and porosity volume fractions in each of the composites was determined by the weighing method described in [40]. The CL fiber density was the same as that used in the previous study [22]. Microscopic observations were carried out using a Nikon Eclipse LV-150 optic microscope on $25 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2$ of CPP and CQUD samples. Tensile tests were conducted on CPP and CQUD samples according to the ASTM D3039-00 standard [41] until failure on an MTS Criterion 45, equipped with a 10 kN cell load. The crosshead speed was fixed at $1 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. For each composite, six specimens were tested. Digital image correlation (DIC) was used for the recording of the displacement during the test. During a monotonic tensile test in the fiber direction, composites based on natural fiber material were characterized by biphasic behavior [7,12,42]; therefore, two apparent moduli were classically identified. In this study, the tensile modulus was estimated in the strain range of 0.01% to 0.1%.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Roving Properties

Textiles properties of CL rovings and yarns are given in Table 1. Y_CL linear density is around half that of R_CL due to the manufacturing of this yarn, as described in Section 2.1.1. A difference of about 6.66% was observed between the twist level applied to produce the CL yarns and the value measured. This result was attributed to the intrinsic properties of the CL fibers [21,22] and the humidification carried out on the CL ribbons before twisting.

Table 1. Textiles properties of manufactured CL rovings and yarns.

Products	Туре	Twist Direction	Linear Density (Tex)	Twist Level (tpm: Turns Per Meter)
R1-CL Y-CL	Flat roving Twisted roving	- Z	$\begin{array}{c} 837.55 \pm 145.44 \\ 329.55 \pm 61.24 \end{array}$	$-$ 70.16 \pm 6.58

3.1.1. Tensile Properties of CL Yarns and Rovings

The tensile behaviors of CL yarns and rovings are illustrated in Figure 5, with averaged load–strain curves. The evolutions of tangent load–strain are superimposed on the tensile curves. As defined in the literature [5,43,44], tangent load is the slope of the load–strain curve computed for each range of strain. As described in the literature [5,43,44], the tensile curves for CL yarns and rovings have high variability, illustrated by the evolution of the tangent load. The variability of the CL_yarns is lower than that of the rovings, especially at the end of the tests. Twist level increases the cohesion between the fiber bundles inside yarns and decreases the variability, especially near failure.



Figure 5. Average load/tangent load-strain curves of CL rovings/yarns: (a) R1_CL, (b) Y_CL.

These tensile curves are characterized by biphasic behavior, with a first phase, at strains below 0.5%, which is characterized by the slight softening. In the second phase, the material behavior is quasi-linear until failure. In a previous study [22], similar behavior was observed in the tensile characterization of CL fibers. Figure 6 shows the comparison of the tensile properties of the tested CL rovings and yarns. To avoid the influence of the linear density, strength properties were compared in tenacity. The twist level applied on CL-Yarns increases tenacity compared to untwisted roving [45]. The values of tenacity reached are sufficient for the weaving process [5,44,46–48]. These results also show that increasing of the twist level increases the strain at break, as shown by Lansiaux et al. on flax roving [48]. The CL-based yarns and rovings developed in this study, without any treatment input, have interesting characteristics compared to the properties of yarns and rovings based on natural fibers available in the literature (Table 2).



Figure 6. Tenacity and maximum strains of CL yarns and rovings.

Table 2. Properties of CL rovings and yarns and other natural fiber-based yarns/rovings.

Name	Raw Material	Twist Level (tpm)	Linear Density (Tex)	Tenacity (cN/Tex)	Strains (%)	Réf
Sisal of Morocco	Sisal	80	3300 ± 700	20.24 ± 3.25	6–7	[49] (yarns)
Lincore R500 2016	Flax	80	500	24	6.5	[48]
Lincore R1000 2016	Flax	70	1000	21.5	11	(rovings)
Roving de Safilin	Flax	41	280	4	-	[43] (rovings)
Canapa ST	Hemp	32 ± 4.4	334 ± 26	7–8	3.5–4	[44]
Lino ST	Flax	31.6 ± 2	370 ± 49	3–4	1.7–2	(rovings)
R1_CL Y_CL	CL CL	-70.16 ± 6.58	$\begin{array}{c} 837.55 \pm 145.44 \\ 329.55 \pm 61.24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.4 \pm 6.17 \\ 35.22 \pm 12.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.69 \pm 0.36 \\ 3.49 \pm 0.47 \end{array}$	This study

3.1.2. Influence of the Weaving Process on the Tensile Properties of CL Rovings

Some studies in the literature [50,51] have shown that the weaving process may reduce the properties of the yarns and rovings in the fabrics and consequently the properties at

the scales of fabrics or composites. The mechanical properties of CL rovings and yarns extracted from Plain_CL and Quasi-UD_CL fabrics (denoted after weaving) were identified and are compared to those before weaving. These results are presented in Figure 7. For the Plain_CL fabric, the roving R1_CL was kept before and after weaving in the warp and weft directions; for the Quasi-UD_CL fabric, rovings were kept only in the weft direction, after weaving. In the warp direction, the loss in tenacity of R1_CL in the Plain_CL fabric was significant (around 60%) after weaving. In the weft direction, the tenacity of the rovings before and after weaving was similar in both Plain_CL and Quasi-UD_CL fabrics. The consistency of the tenacity of the rovings before and after weaving in the weft direction is attributed to the fact that, in this direction, the rovings were not subjected to the same preparatory draw-in and sewing steps (described in Figure 2), which could damage yarns as in the warp direction with the steps. In the Plain_CL fabric, the strain at break of the roving after weaving increased in both directions (Figure 7b). The increase was more significant in the warp direction, at around 15% compared to the value of 4% in the weft direction. This increase is due to the higher crimp (Figure 7b) compared to the shrinkage, in this fabric. The woven parameters used for the Quasi-UD_CL fabric show that the tenacity and the strain at break of R1_CL remained similar to the values before weaving. Corbin et al. [12] explained this result through the low friction between the rovings in both directions during weaving. Additionally, the shrinkage was very low compared to the value obtained with the Plain_CL (Figure 8b); consequently, the crimp is minimized in this type of fabrics.



Figure 7. CL roving properties before and after weaving: (a) tenacity at break, (b) strain at break/crimp and shrinkage.



Figure 8. Textile properties of fabrics: (a) areal density and thickness, (b) air permeability.

3.2. Fabrics Properties

3.2.1. Textile Properties

Figure 8 shows the textile properties measured for the fabrics. Areal densities and thicknesses are given in Figure 8a, and air permeability is given in Figure 8b.

An average value of $450 \pm 25 \text{ g/m}^2$ was identified for Plain_CL fabric, which is 14% higher than the areal density of the Quasi-UD_CL fabric. This difference is due to the linear density of the R1_CL roving used in the warp and weft directions in the Plain_CL fabric and the higher yarn density in the warp direction. The average thickness of the Quasi-UD_CL fabric is 3.04 ± 0.31 mm, which is 29% higher than the thickness of the Plain_CL fabric, also due to the higher density of the R1_CL roving used in the weft direction. The difference in air permeability between these fabrics is about 48%. The high level of porosity of the Quasi-UD_CL compared to the Plain_CL is due to its very low warp density and greater thickness.

3.2.2. Mechanical Properties

Tensile properties

The average load–strain curves (with standard deviation illustrated with vertical lines) from the uniaxial tensile tests are shown in Figure 9. Tensile behavior is given for each direction, for the Plain_CL fabric in Figure 9a, and for the weft direction only for the Quasi-UD_CL fabric in Figure 9b. Loads are given in N/yarn to avoid the influence of yarn densities. As described in the literature [5,8,10,52], the tensile response of fabrics is characterized by two main phases before the break. The first phase is non-linear and associated with a gradual reduction of crimp. The second phase is quasi-linear because the yarns are aligned along the load direction. For the Plain_CL fabric, Figure 9a, the linear part begins at strain values of 0.85% and 0.6%, respectively, in the warp and weft directions, which are linked to the crimp and shrinkage values (Figure 7b). Comparatively, for the Quasi-UD_CL fabric with crimp minimized, the linear part begins at a lower strain value of 0.3% (Figure 8b).



Figure 9. Tensile curves of fabrics: (a) Plain_CL fabric, (b) Quasi-UD_CL fabric.

Concerning the load at break, given in N/yarn, the values reached by the R1_CL rovings inside the fabrics were lower than those identified at the scale of roving (Figure 5a), with a maximum load of 140 N/yarn and 100 N/yarn in the warp and weft, respectively, for the Plain_CL fabric, and around 100 N/yarn for the Quasi-UD_CL fabric. This difference is due to the weave effect. In Figure 10, the values associated with the strain at maximum load of both fabrics, and in the warp/weft directions for Plain_CL fabric, are compared. Due to the stiffness of the fabrics, these values are lower than those at the scale of R1_CL roving. This tendency follows the values identified after weaving, as shown in Figure 8a.



Figure 10. Strain at maximum load of fabrics.

In-plane shear behavior of Plain_CL woven fabric

The resulting load-displacement curve of the BET conducted on the Plain_CL is given in Figure 11a. The Plain_CL fabric has a similar in-plane shear behavior to that of woven flax fabric (with around the same areal density), as characterized by Tephany et al. [53], with an increasing displacement for very small loads due to the rotation of R1_CL rovings. In the second part, the in-plane shear stiffness increased when these tows were in contact. Measured shear angle values are compared with the theorical value computed by Equation (1) in Figure 11b. According to the literature, the in-plane shear locking angle is considered to be reached when the two curves split; beyond this value, the specimen is no longer considered to be in pure shear (slippage or wrinkles) [33-36,54]. For the Plain_CL fabric, this value is around 34°. Corbin et al. [8] performed UBE tests on two plain weave fabrics from flax (FPW) and hemp (HPW) fibers with higher warp/weft densities (6 and 5.8/5 for HPW) than those used to weave the R1_CL rovings. The blocking angles identified were much higher (59° for FPW and 52.7 for HPW) compared to the Plain_CL fabric. On the other hand, the linear densities of the rovings used were much lower (around 300 Tex for flax and 260 for hemp) than that of the CL rovings (837 Tex), which would suggest, despite the lower warp/weft densities used, that there is less space for the roving rotation phenomenon, leading to a smaller blocking angle.



Figure 11. Bias-extension test results: (a) load-displacement curve, (b) theoretical and measured in-plane shear angles vs displacement.

Forming test

Table 3 gives the maximum preforming load recorded by the load sensor as a function of punch type for a constant pressure of 0.2 MPa applied to the blank holder for both fabrics. Preforming load increases with the friction of the punch and the blank holder. For the square punch, it was 2.82 times higher on the Plain_CL preform than on the Quasi-UD_CL. As discussed in the literature [24,55], for the same orientation of the fabric and with the same punch, this difference is attributed to the higher areal density of the Plain_CL fabric. Due to the complex shape of the square punch, the preforming load was 1.94 times higher than that measured with the hemispherical punch for the Quasi-UD_CL fabric.

Preform Pressure on Blank Holder (MPa)		F _{max} with Hemispherical Punch (N)	F _{max} with Square Punch (N)	
Plain_CL	0.2	-	955	
Quasi-UD_CL	0.2	174	338	

Table 3. Maximum preforming force on woven fabric.

The fabric draw-in on the square punch for both fabrics represents the quantity of fabric needed to closely fit the punch shape, as illustrated in Figure 12. The draw-in is measured along the fabric on one side in the warp direction and on another side in the weft direction, and it increases symmetrically from the corner to the middle of the side of the fabric. For the Quasi-UD_CL fabric, draw-in is more significant in the weft direction than in the warp direction, for which the density of twisted rovings (Y_CL) is very low. Table 4 summarizes the values obtained after preforming measured with images of the forming test using ImageJ software. For the Plain_CL fabric, which is balanced, the draw-in is similar in the warp/weft directions. Draw-in with the hemispherical punch on the Quasi-UD_CL fabric was too small to be measured, which explains the values close to zero reported in Table 4. It can be assumed that preforming loads and the shape of this punch were too weak to create tensile strain in these fabrics.





(b)

Figure 12. Shape and draw-in after preforming by square punch: (**a**) Plain_CL, (**b**) Quasi-UD_CL. **Table 4.** Maximum draw-in values after forming.

Preform Punch		Max Draw-In Weft Direction (mm)	Max Draw-In Warp Direction (mm)
Plain_CL	Square	51	52
Quasi-UD_CL	Square	41	~0
Quasi-UD_CL	Hemispherical	~0	~0

Figure 13 shows the values of the shear angles (drawn by colors) in the fabrics at the end of the forming test. With the hemispherical shape, the maximum sheared zones were at the base of the hemisphere (in red in Figure 13b). For the square punch, the maximum values were also reached at the base of the cube, but in the continuity of the four vertical edges (in red in Figure 13a). The zones of maximum value of in-plane shear angles were also discussed by Huang et al. [34] for a woven carbon fabric with a cubic punch, and by Corbin et al. [8] for flax/hemp woven fabrics with a hemispherical punch.



Figure 13. Shear angle during forming test. (**a**) Plain_CL fabric on square punch (**b**) Quasi-UD_CL fabric on hemispherical punch.

The analysis of the Plain_CL fabric after the forming test shows that the hemispherical punch did not lead to any defects on this fabric. This result was also obtained by Corbin et al. [8] and Labanieh et al. [39] on plain woven fabrics. As mentioned, few papers have reported preforming tests performed on quasi-unidirectional fabrics, particularly for type of defect. Figure 14 shows the sliding of woven tows, especially in the warp direction, where the density of Y_CL yarns is too low to maintain the Quasi-UD_CL structure with the square punch as well, to a lesser degree, in the double curvature of the hemispherical punch.



Figure 14. Defects on Quasi-UD_CL fabric after forming.

3.3. Composite Properties

3.3.1. Fibers and Porosity Contents of Composites

The influence of the manufacturing parameters of composites based on CL fibers, in particularly the pressure, on the quality of impregnation and on the ratio of fibers and porosities is illustrated in Figure 15 and Table 5.

Microstructural views of the cross sections of the composites (CPP and CQUD) manufactured with a pressure of 5 bar show, within the samples and around yarns, few porosities or dry areas without resin (Figure 15). On the other hand, these images do not allow perfect impregnation within the yarns to be confirmed. On the external faces of samples, non-homogeneous areas could explain the high porosity level obtained for this pressure value (Table 5). With an increase of pressure to 25 bar, a significant reduction of void content was observed in contrast to fiber content. It has been shown that, for natural fiber composites, increasing the pressure up to a certain threshold decreases porosity content [56]. Changes in the process parameters also affect the mechanical properties of the final composite material [57].



Figure 15. Cross-section views of composite (5 bar pressure): (a) CPP, (b) CQUD.

	Fabrics	Pressure				
Composite		5 I	Bar	25 Bar		
		V _f (%)	V _p (%)	V _f (%)	V _p (%)	
CPP	Plain_CL	30	15.7	30.33	5.11	
CQUD	Quasi-UD_CL	30.4	13.5	33.64	6.53	

Table 5. Fiber and porosity volume fraction in the composites.

3.3.2. Tensile Properties of Composites

The tensile responses of the four samples (in colors) for each of the two composites— CPP and CQUD—are shown in Figure 16. For the CPP composite, the tensile load was applied in the warp direction of the Plain_CL fabric, while for the CQUD composite, the tensile load was applied in the weft direction of the Quasi UD-CL fabric. The stress–strain curves show a similar trend in both of the composites, with clearly biphasic behavior, as described in the literature [9,12,40,42].



Figure 16. Tensile curves of manufactured composites: (a) CPP, (b) CQUD.

In Figure 17, the average properties of the CPP and CQUD composites are compared with those found in the literature for the same family: bio composites with reinforcement based on natural fiber made with epoxy resin. The characteristics and reference of the results shown in Figure 17 are detailed in Table 6. The first lines of Table 6 concern composites manufactured from woven fabrics, while the last lines concern composites from quasi-unidirectional fabrics. The tensile modulus of both composites—CQUD and CPP—was estimated in the strain range of 0.01% to 0.1%.



Tensile modulus (GPa)

Figure 17. Comparison of tensile properties of CPP and CQUD composites: (**a**) tensile modulus; (**b**) strength at failure.

Compared to the properties obtained with woven fabric reinforcement, these results show that CQUD composites have very good stiffness and strength in their reinforcement direction (weft direction). These properties were better than those obtained in the warp direction of the CPP composite based on Plain_CL fabric, with differences of 44% and 60% for strength at break and stiffness, respectively. These results show that, at the composite

scale, the CQUD configuration provides the best properties in one direction. Similar results were obtained by Bensadoun et al. [6] for composites based on woven flax reinforcements, as well as by Corbin et al. [12] for hemp-based reinforcements.

Table 6. Characteristics of the study composites with biocomposites already studied in the literature and commercialized.

Composite and References	Type of Reinforcement and Fibers	Areal Density (g/m ²)	Number of Plies	Fiber Content V _f (%)	Manufacturing Process	Resin
Biotec Jute [46]	Fabric-plain weave jute	500	4	40	Infusion under vacuum (VARTM)	Epoxy SR 8100 of Sicomin
PW [6]	Fabric-plain weave flax	285	4	40	RTM	Epoxy Epikote 828 LVLE
TI4 [45]	Plain weave flax tows	223.6	7	33	Infusion under vacuum	Epoxy SR 8200/SD 820
Flax 1 [7]	Fabric-plain weave flax	308 ± 9	4	27.3	Hot pressing	Green poxy 56 of Sicomin
CPP This study	Fabric-plain weave CL	450 ± 25	2	29.62	Hot pressing	Green poxy 56 of Sicomin
Flax 0° [46]	UD flax	180	3	31	Infusion under vacuum (VARTM)	Epoxy SR 8100 of Sicomin
UD [43]	Quasi-UD Twill 2 \times 2flax	217.8 ± 3.5	7	39	Infusion under vacuum	Epoxy SR 8200/SD 820
QU [6]	Quasi-UD plain weave flax	300	4	40	RTM	Epoxy Epikote 828 LVLE
AC [12]	Quasi-UD plain weave hemp	649 ± 3	2	60	Hot pressing	Green poxy 56 of Sicomin
UD2 [6]	Quasi-UD plain weaveflax	200	4	40	RTM	Epoxy Epikote 828 LVLE
CQUD This study	Quasi-UD plain weave CL	385 ± 53	2	30.4	Hot pressing	Green poxy 56 of Sicomin

The CPP composite also has a higher strain at break than the CQUD composite due to the higher crimp of its woven reinforcement.

CPP composite manufactured from two layers of Plain_CL fabric, with a lower fiber content, has a higher tensile modulus and a higher stress at break than Biotec Jute (difference of 22.3% for tensile modulus and 16% for stress), as shown in Figure 17a. These results can be explained by the difference in tensile properties between jute and CL fiber [21,22]. There are few differences in tensile modulus and ultimate tensile stress values between the CPP sample (8.24 GPa stiffness and 79.14 MPa stress at failure) and the TI4 composite made from plain weave fabrics (warp/weft densities of 11 and 9, respectively) based on flax tows (linear density of 104 tex). However, the mechanical properties of the CPP composite are slightly lower than those of the PW and Flax 1 samples, which is related to their higher fiber content.

A comparison of the tensile properties of the CQUD composites with those of composites using quasi-unidirectional or unidirectional fabrics reported in the literature shows that the tensile modulus of the CQUD composites is, on average, similar. AC composites based on hemp roving [12] with a slightly higher fiber content have a 34% higher tensile modulus. The lower linear density of hemp roving used in AC (~350 tex) compared to that of R1_CL roving (~830 Tex) used for CQUD, as shown in Table 2, may improve impregnation and, consequently, mechanical performances.

Concerning tensile strength, Figure 17b shows that the CQUD sample can be compared with composites based on woven fabrics, but its maximum tensile stress value remains lower than those of composites manufactured from unidirectional or quasi-unidirectional fabrics. The lower fiber content and the residual porosity obtained in this first manufacturing of composites based on CL_fibers can explain the results for tensile stress. The same observations can be made for the CPP composite samples in terms of their tensile strength, which remains smaller compared to other bio-based composites. The optimization of the process manufacturing, and the minimization of the crimp parameter at the scale of fabrics, would reduce the porosity rates and improve mechanical performances.

4. Conclusions

In this work, a multi-scale characterization of textile reinforcements for composite applications was performed. At the mesoscopic scale, rovings and yarns were manufactured from the CL ribbons obtained from wet retting of the bark of the plant. The characterization of textile and mechanical properties showed the potential of this tropical fiber to provide rovings and yarns suitable for weaving. The tenacities of twisted and untwisted CL yarns are higher than those of similar products based on flax or hemp fibers. Two types of fabrics were manufactured by weaving, at the lab scale, with significantly different weaving parameters, such as yarn density. Comparison of the properties of these reinforcements before impregnation showed that the quasi-unidirectional architecture allows crimp to be minimized and increases the orientation of the CL fibers. The deformability properties of both types of reinforcement architectures were also analyzed using a preforming device. Composite samples were made from these fabrics; the first tests showed the necessity of controlling the porosity level during the manufacturing steps, as well as the influence of the reinforcement characteristics on the mechanical properties. Despite the low fiber content of these first composite samples, the tensile properties compared to those of hemp- or flaxbased composites are promising for the use of these tropical fibers in technical applications. In this experimental study, the properties identified at different scales also contribute to the valorization of these tropical fibers, which could also be used as reinforcements for pultruded composites [57–59].

Author Contributions: Investigation, R.L.N.; writing—original draft preparation, R.L.N.; validation and supervision, D.S., M.F., A.R.L., J.A.A. and L.M.; writing—review and editing, D.S., M.F. and A.R.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Pil, F.; Bensadoun, F.; Pariset, J.; Verpoest, I. Why are designers fascinated by flax and hemp fibre composites? *Compos. Part A* 2016, *83*, 193–205. [CrossRef]
- Lu, C.; Wang, C.; Salleh, K.M.; Zakaria, S.; Chen, Y.; Tong, J.; Deng, N.; Zuo, Q.; Yan, Y. Influence of hemp roving twist and fiber apparent parameters on the mechanical properties and water absorption of quasi-unidirectional composites. *Text. Res. J.* 2022, 92, 00405175221095584. [CrossRef]
- Lotfi, A.; Li, H.; Dao, D.V.; Prusty, G. Natural fiber-reinforced composites: A review on material, manufacturing, and machinability. J. Thermoplast. Compos. Mater. 2021, 34, 113750. [CrossRef]
- Swolfs, Y.; Verpoest, I.; Gorbatikh, L. Recent advances in fibre-hybrid composites: Materials selection, opportunities and applications. *Int. Mater. Rev.* 2019, 64, 181–215. [CrossRef]
- Baley, C.; Gomina, M.; Breard, J.; Bourmaud, A.; Drapier, S.; Ferreira, M.; Le Duigou, A.; Liotier, P.J.; Ouagne, P.; Soulat, D.; et al. Specific features of flax fibres used to manufacture composite materials. *Int. J. Mater. Form.* 2019, 12, 1023–1030. [CrossRef]

- 6. Bensadoun, F.; Vallons, K.; Lessard, L.; Verpoest, I.; Van Vuure, A. Fatigue behaviour assessment of flax-epoxy composites. *Compos. Part A* **2016**, *82*, 253–266. [CrossRef]
- 7. Corbin, A.-C.; Soulat, D.; Ferreira, M.; Labanieh, A.-R.; Gabrion, X.; Malécot, P.; Placet, V. Towards hemp fabrics for highperformance composites: Influence of weave pattern and features. *Compos. Part B* **2020**, *181*, 107582. [CrossRef]
- Corbin, A.-C.; Soulat, D.; Ferreira, M.; Labanieh, A.-R. Influence of Process Parameters on Properties of Hemp Woven Reinforcements for Composite Applications: Mechanical Properties, Bias-extension Tests and Fabric Forming. J. Nat. Fibers 2022, 19, 714–726. [CrossRef]
- 9. Antony, S.; Cherouat, A.; Montay, G. Effect of fibre content on the mechanical properties of hemp fibre woven fabrics/polypropylene composite laminates. *Polym. Polym. Compos.* **2021**, *29*, S790–S802. [CrossRef]
- 10. Karaduman, N.S. Experimental investigation of the effect of weave type on the mechanical properties of woven hemp fabric/epoxy composites. *J. Compos. Mater.* **2022**, *56*, 1255–1265. [CrossRef]
- Asgarinia, S.; Viriyasuthee, C.; Phillips, S.; Dubé, M.; Baets, J.; Van Vuure, A.; Verpoest, I.; Lessard, L. Tension fatigue behaviour of woven flax/epoxy composites. J. Reinf. Plast. Compos. 2015, 34, 857–867. [CrossRef]
- 12. Corbin, A.-C.; Sala, B.; Soulat, D.; Ferreira, M.; Labanieh, A.-R.; Placet, V. Development of quasi-unidirectional fabrics with hemp fiber: A competitive reinforcement for composite materials. *J. Compos. Mater.* **2021**, *55*, 551–564. [CrossRef]
- Ahmad, F.; Yuvaraj, N.; Bajpai, P.K. Influence of reinforcement architecture on static and dynamic mechanical properties of flax/epoxy composites for structural applications. *Compos. Struct.* 2021, 255, 112955. [CrossRef]
- 14. Shah, D.U. Natural fibre composites: Comprehensive Ashby-type materials selection charts. *Mater. Des.* **2014**, *62*, 21–31. [CrossRef]
- 15. Sarasini, F.; Fiore, V. A systematic literature review on less common natural fibres and their Biocomposites. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2018, 195, 240–267. [CrossRef]
- Okonkwo, E.G.; Daniel-Mkpume, C.C.; Ude, S.N.; Onah, C.C.; I Ijomah, A.; Omah, A.D. Chicken feather fiber-African star apple leaves bio-composite: Empirical study of mechanical and morphological properties. *Mater. Res. Express* 2019, *6*, 105361. [CrossRef]
- Mewoli, A.E.; Segovia, C.; Ebanda, F.B.; Ateba, A.; Noah, P.M.A.; Ndiwe, B.; Njom, A.E. Physical-Chemical and Mechani-cal Characterization of the Bast Fibers of Triumfetta cordifolia A.Rich. from the Equatorial Region of Cameroon. *J. Miner. Mater. Charact. Eng.* 2020, *8*, 163–176. [CrossRef]
- 18. Béakou, A.; Ntenga, R.; Lepetit, J.; Atéba, J.; Ayina, L. Physico-chemical and microstructural characterization of "Rhecto-phyllum camerunense" plant fiber. *Compos. Part A* 2008, *39*, 67–74. [CrossRef]
- 19. Noutegomo, F.; Ebanda, B.; Ateba, A. Study of the diffusion behavior of water vapor sorption in natural fiber compo-site: Plaster/Rhecktophyllum camerunense. *MOJ Appl. Bionics Biomech.* **2019**, *3*, 12–16. [CrossRef]
- Betene, A.D.O.; Betene, F.E.; Martoïa, F.; Dumont, P.J.J.; Atangana, A.; Noah, P.M.A. Physico-Chemical and Thermal characterization of some lignocellulosic Fibres: Ananas comosus (AC), Neuropeltis acuminates and Rhecktophyllum camerunense. *J. Miner. Mater. Charact. Eng.* 2020, *8*, 205–222. [CrossRef]
- Legrand, N.B.R.; Lucien, M.; Pierre, O.; Fabien, B.E.; Marcel, N.P.; Jean, A.A. Physico-Chemical and Thermal Charac-terization of a Ligno-cellulosic Fiber, Extracted from the Bast of Cola lepidota Stem. J. Miner. Mater. Charact. Eng. 2020, 8, 377–392. [CrossRef]
- 22. Ndoumou, R.L.; Soulat, D.; Labanieh, A.R.; Ferreira, M.; Meva'A, L.; Ateba, J.A. Characterization of Tensile Properties of Cola lepidota Fibers. *Fibers* **2022**, *10*, 6. [CrossRef]
- 23. Zimniewska, M. Hemp Fibre Properties and Processing Target Textile: A Review. Materials 2022, 15, 1901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lomov, S.V. Modeling the Geometry of Textile Composite Reinforcements: WiseTex. In Chapter 7 of Composite Reinforcements for Optimum Performance, 2nd ed.; Boisse, P., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing: Sawston, UK, 2021; pp. 199–236. [CrossRef]
- 25. NF G07-316; Textiles—Tests of Yarns—Determination of Linear Density. AFNOR: Saint Denis, France, 1988.
- 26. *NF G07-079;* Textiles—Testing Threads—Determining the Twisting of Threads by Untwisting/Retwisting with a Double Re-Test. AFNOR: Saint-Denis, France, 2011.
- 27. NF EN ISO 2062; Textiles—Yarns from Packages—Determination of Single-End Breaking Force and Elongation at Break Using Constant Rate of Extension (CRE) Tester. AFNOR: Saint-Denis, France, 2010.
- 28. NF EN ISO 5084; Textiles—Determination of Thickness of Textiles and Textile Products. AFNOR: Saint-Denis, France, 1996.
- 29. NF EN 12127; Textiles—Fabrics—Determination of Mass per Unit Area Using Small Samples. AFNOR: Saint-Denis, France, 1998.
- 30. *NF ISO 7211-3*; Textiles—Woven Fabrics—Construction—Methods of Analysis—Part 3: Determination of Crimp of Yarn in Fabric. AFNOR: Saint-Denis, France, 2017.
- 31. NF EN ISO 9237; Textiles—Determination of Permeability of Fabrics to Air. AFNOR: Saint-Denis, France, 1995.
- 32. *NF EN ISO 13934-1;* Tensile Properties of Fabrics—Part 1: Determination of Maximum Force and Elongation at Maximum Force Using the Strip Method. AFNOR: Saint-Denis, France, 2013.
- Boisse, P.; Hamila, N.; Maldonado, E.G.; Madeo, A.; Hivet, G.; Dell'Isola, F. The bias-extension test for the analysis of in-plane shear properties of textile composite reinforcements and prepregs: A review. *Int. J. Mater. Form.* 2017, 10, 473–492. Available online: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12289-016-1294-7 (accessed on 10 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- 34. Huang, J.; Boisse, P.; Hamila, N.; Gnaba, I.; Soulat, D.; Wang, P. Experimental and numerical analysis of textile composite draping on a square box. Influence of the weave pattern. *Compos. Struct.* **2021**, *267*, 113844. [CrossRef]
- 35. Shen, H.; Wang, P.; Legrand, X. In-plane shear characteristics during the forming of tufted carbon woven fabrics. *Compos. Part A* **2021**, *141*, 106196. [CrossRef]

- 36. Xiao, S.; Gao, H.; Soulat, D.; Wang, P. A revised model of kinematic analysis on in-plane shearing behaviour of biaxial fabrics in bias extension test. *Compos. Part A* 2022, *163*, 107251. [CrossRef]
- 37. Image J: An Open Platform for Scientific Image Analysis. Available online: http://imagej.net/Welcome (accessed on 10 June 2022).
- 38. Xiao, S.; Wang, P.; Soulat, D.; Gao, H. An exploration of the deformability behaviours dominated by braiding angle during the forming of the triaxial carbon fibre braids. *Compos. Part A* **2020**, *133*, 105890. [CrossRef]
- 39. Labanieh, A.R.; Garnier, C.; Ouagne, P.; Dalverny, O.; Soulat, D. Intra-ply yarn sliding defect in hemisphere preforming of a woven preform. *Compos. Part A* 2018, 107, 432–446. [CrossRef]
- Cadu, T.; Berges, M.; Sicot, O.; Person, V.; Piezel, B.; Van Schoors, L.; Placet, V.; Corn, S.; Léger, R.; Divet, L.; et al. What are the key parameters to produce a high-grade bio-based composite? Application to flax/epoxy UD laminates produced by thermocompression. *Compos. Part B* 2018, 150, 36–46. [CrossRef]
- 41. ASTM D3039/D3039M-17; Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials. ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2017.
- Gabrion, X.; Koolen, G.; Grégoire, M.; Musio, S.; Bar, M.; Botturi, D.; Rondi, G.; de Luycker, E.; Amaducci, S.; Ouagne, P.; et al. Influence of industrial processing parameters on the effective properties of long aligned European hemp fibres in composite materials. *Compos. Part A* 2022, 157, 106915. [CrossRef]
- 43. Omrani, F.; Wang, P.; Soulat, D.; Ferreira, M. Mechanical properties of flax-fibres-reinforced preforms and composites: Influence of the type of yarns on multi-scale characterizations. *Compos. Part A* **2017**, *93*, 72–81. [CrossRef]
- 44. Corbin, A.-C.; Soulat, D.; Ferreira, M.; Labanieh, A.; Gabrion, X.; Placet, V. Improvement of the Weavability of Natural-Fiber Reinforcement for Composite Materials Manufacture. *Rev. Compos. Matériaux Av.* **2019**, *29*, 201–208. [CrossRef]
- 45. Shah, D.; Schubel, P.J.; Clifford, M.J. Modelling the effect of yarn twist on the tensile strength of unidirectional plant fibre yarn composites. *J. Compos. Mater.* **2013**, 47, 425–436. [CrossRef]
- Graupner, N.; Weber, D.E.; Bell, E.G.; Lehmann, K.-H.; Hilgers, H.-W.; Randerath, H.; Gries, T.; Walenta, I.; Joulian, M.; Müssig, J. Hemp From Disordered Lines for New Staple Fibre Yarns and High-Performance Composite Applications. *Front. Mater.* 2022, *8*, 807004. [CrossRef]
- 47. Goutianos, S.; Peijs, T. The optimisation of flax fibre yarns for the development of high-performance natural fibre composites. *Adv. Compos. Lett.* **2003**, *12*, 237–241. [CrossRef]
- 48. Lansiaux, H.; Labanieh, A.R.; Soulat, D.; Boussu, F. Flax roving twisting preparation for weaving and effect of the weft layers number on the physical and mechanical properties of 3D interlock flax fabric. *SN Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *2*, 249. [CrossRef]
- 49. Samouh, Z.; Cherkaoui, O.; Soulat, D.; Labanieh, A.; Boussu, F.; Moznine, R. Identification of the Physical and Mechanical Properties of Moroccan Sisal Yarns Used as Reinforcements for Composite Material. *Fibers* **2021**, *9*, 13. [CrossRef]
- 50. Abtew, M.A.; Boussu, F.; Bruniaux, P.; Loghin, C.; Cristian, I.; Chen, Y.; Wang, L. Yarn degradation during weaving process and its effect on the mechanical behaviours of 3D warp interlock p-aramid fabric for industrial applications. *J. Ind. Text.* **2022**, *51*, 9047S–9070S. [CrossRef]
- 51. Lefebvre, M.; Francois, B.; Daniel, C. Influence of high-performance yarns degradation inside three-dimensional warp interlock fabric. *J. Ind. Text.* **2013**, *42*, 475–488. [CrossRef]
- 52. MMisnon, M.; Islam, M.; Epaarachchi, J.; Lau, K. Analyses of woven hemp fabric characteristics for composite reinforcement. *Mater. Des.* **2015**, *66*, 82–92. [CrossRef]
- 53. Tephany, C.; Soulat, D.; Gillibert, J.; Ouagne, P. Influence of the non-linearity of fabric tensile behavior for preforming modeling of a woven flax fabric. *Text. Res. J.* **2016**, *86*, 604–617. [CrossRef]
- Pourtier, J.; Duchamp, B.; Kowalski, M.; Wang, P.; Legrand, X.; Soulat, D. Two-way approach for deformation analysis of non-crimp fabrics in uniaxial bias extension tests based on pure and simple shear assumption. *Int. J. Mater. Form.* 2019, 12, 995–1008. [CrossRef]
- 55. Gnaba, I.; Soulat, D.; Legrand, X.; Wang, P. Investigation of the formability behaviour during stamping of tufted and un-tufted carbon preforms: Towards localized reinforcement technologies. *Int. J. Mater. Form.* **2021**, *14*, 1337–1354. [CrossRef]
- 56. Li, Y.; Li, Q.; Ma, H. The voids formation mechanisms and their effects on the mechanical properties of flax fiber reinforced epoxy composites. *Compos. Part A* 2015, 72, 40–48. [CrossRef]
- Minchenkov, K.; Vedernikov, A.; Kuzminova, Y.; Gusev, S.; Sulimov, A.; Gulyaev, A.; Kreslavskaya, A.; Prosyanoy, I.; Xian, G.; Akhatov, I.; et al. Effects of the quality of pre-consolidated materials on the mechanical properties and morphology of thermoplastic pultruded flat laminates. *Compos. Commun.* 2022, 35, 101281. [CrossRef]
- Vedernikov, A.; Minchenkov, K.; Gusev, S.; Sulimov, A.; Zhou, P.; Li, C.; Xian, G.; Akhatov, I.; Safonov, A. Effects of the Pre-Consolidated Materials Manufacturing Method on the Mechanical Properties of Pultruded Thermoplastic Composites. *Polymers* 2022, 14, 2246. [CrossRef]
- 59. Tucci, F.; Vedernikov, A. Design Criteria for Pultruded Structural Elements. Encycl. Mater. Compos. 2021, 3, 51–68. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.