

Four cancer cases after esophageal atresia repair: Time to start screening the upper gastrointestinal tract

F. W. T. Vergouwe, Madeleine Gottrand, B. P. L. Wijnhoven, H. Ijsselstijn, Guillaume Piessen, M. J. Bruno, R. M. H. Wijnen, M. C. W. Spaander

▶ To cite this version:

F. W. T. Vergouwe, Madeleine Gottrand, B. P. L. Wijnhoven, H. Ijsselstijn, Guillaume Piessen, et al.. Four cancer cases after esophageal atresia repair: Time to start screening the upper gastrointestinal tract. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2018, World Journal of Gastroenterology, 24, pp.1056-1062. 10.3748/wjg.v24.i9.1056 . hal-04483189

HAL Id: hal-04483189 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04483189

Submitted on 29 Feb 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License



Submit a Manuscript: http://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2018 March 7; 24(9): 1056-1062

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i9.1056

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

CASE REPORT

Four cancer cases after esophageal atresia repair: Time to start screening the upper gastrointestinal tract

Floor WT Vergouwe, Madeleine Gottrand, Bas PL Wijnhoven, Hanneke IJsselstijn, Guillaume Piessen, Marco J Bruno, René MH Wijnen, Manon CW Spaander

Floor WT Vergouwe, Marco J Bruno, Manon CW Spaander, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam 3000 CA, Netherlands

Floor WT Vergouwe, Hanneke IJsselstijn, René MH Wijnen, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Erasmus MC University Medical Center - Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam 3000 CB, Netherlands

Madeleine Gottrand, Department of Pediatrics, Jeanne de Flandre Children's Hospital - Univ. Lille, CHU Lille, Lille 59000, France

Bas PL Wijnhoven, Department of Surgery, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam 3000 CA, Netherlands

Guillaume Piessen, Department of Digestive and Oncological Surgery, Claude Huriez Hospital - Univ. Lille, CHU Lille, Lille 59000, France

ORCID number: Floor WT Vergouwe (0000-0002-8599-4264); Madeleine Gottrand (0000-0002-2908-6931); Bas PL Wijnhoven (0000-0003-4738-3697); Hanneke IJsselstijn (0000-0001-5824 -3492); Guillaume Piessen (0000-0001-8243-8310); Marco J Bruno (0000-0001-9181-5499); René MH Wijnen (0000-0001 -7266-9713); Manon CW Spaander (0000-0002-9103-9757).

Author contributions: Vergouwe FWT, Gottrand M, Wijnhoven BPL and Piessen G collected clinical data; Vergouwe FWT, IJsselstijn H and Spaander MCW designed the manuscript; Vergouwe FWT, IJsselstijn H and Spaander MCW interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript; Gottrand M, Wijnhoven BPL, Piessen G, Bruno MJ and Wijnen RMH interpreted the data and critically revised the manuscript; all authors gave their final approval of the version of the article to be published.

Informed consent statement: Informed consent was waived since all handling to the subjects was part of standard clinical care.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Correspondence to: Manon CW Spaander, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, P.O. Box 2040, Rotterdam 3000 CA, Netherlands. v.spaander@erasmusmc.nl Telephone: +31-10-7035643 Fax: +31-10-7035172

Received: October 26, 2017 Peer-review started: October 28, 2017 First decision: November 22, 2017 Revised: December 4, 2017 Accepted: January 24, 2018 Article in press: January 24, 2018 Published online: March 7, 2018

Abstract

Esophageal atresia (EA) is one of the most common congenital digestive malformations and requires surgical correction early in life. Dedicated centers have reported survival rates up to 95%. The most frequent comorbidities after EA repair are dysphagia (72%) and gastroesophageal reflux (GER) (67%). Chronic GER after EA repair might lead to mucosal damage, esophageal stricturing, Barrett's esophagus and eventually esophageal adenocarcinoma. Several long-term follow-up studies found an increased risk of



Barrett's esophagus and esophageal carcinoma in EA patients, both at a relatively young age. Given these findings, the recent ESPGHAN-NASPGHAN guideline recommends routine endoscopy in adults born with EA. We report a series of four EA patients who developed a carcinoma of the gastrointestinal tract: three esophageal carcinoma and one colorectal carcinoma in a colonic interposition. These cases emphasize the importance of lifelong screening of the upper gastrointestinal tract in EA patients.

Key words: Adenocarcinoma; Esophageal atresia; Esophageal cancer; Screening; Barrett's esophagus; Squamous cell carcinoma

© **The Author(s) 2018.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Esophageal atresia (EA) is a common congenital malformation that requires surgical correction early in life. Improved perioperative care and surgical techniques have increased the survival rate. Gastroesophageal reflux and stasis are common after surgical repair and may be associated with an increased esophageal cancer risk. However, data on incidence and risk factors for esophageal carcinogenesis after EA repair are scarce. The recent ESPGHAN-NASPGHAN guideline recommends routine endoscopy in adults born with EA. Here we report four cancer cases at a relatively young age after EA repair: three esophageal carcinoma and one colorectal carcinoma in a colonic interposition.

Vergouwe FW, Gottrand M, Wijnhoven BP, IJsselstijn H, Piessen G, Bruno MJ, Wijnen RM, Spaander MC. Four cancer cases after esophageal atresia repair: Time to start screening the upper gastrointestinal tract. *World J Gastroenterol* 2018; 24(9): 1056-1062 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet. com/1007-9327/full/v24/i9/1056.htm DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.3748/wjg.v24.i9.1056

INTRODUCTION

With a prevalence of 2.43 per 10000 births, esophageal atresia (EA) with or without a tracheoesophageal fistula (TEF) is one of the most common congenital digestive malformations^[1]. Surgical correction needs to be performed shortly after birth. Due to advanced surgical techniques and improved perioperative care, survival rate has increased up to 95% in dedicated centers^[2,3]. Follow-up studies have shown that most EA patients have a favourable long-term outcome despite persistant digestive and respiratory problems. Common gastrointestinal symptoms after EA repair are dysphagia and gastroesophageal reflux (GER) in up to 72% and 67% of the patients, respectively^[4,5]. Chronic GER after EA repair might lead to mucosal

damage, esophageal stricturing, Barrett's esophagus and eventually esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC)^[5-8]. Data on incidence and risk factors for esophageal carcinogenesis after EA repair are scarce^[8-10]. The recent ESPGHAN-NASPGHAN guideline recommends routine endoscopy in adults born with EA^[11]. Until now, eight cases of esophageal cancer in young EA patients have been described: five esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) and three EAC^[10,12-15]. Here we report four EA patients who developed a carcinoma of the gastrointestinal tract: three esophageal carcinoma and one colorectal carcinoma in a colonic interposition. These cases emphasize the importance of lifelong screening and surveillance of the upper gastrointestinal tract in EA patients.

CASE REPORT

Case 1

Patient A presented for the first time with esophageal carcinoma at age 45 years. He was born with EA Gross type C (with a distal TEF) which was surgically repaired with closing of the fistula and end-to-end anastomosis of the esophagus. In childhood he had undergone a number of esophageal dilations to treat an anastomotic stricture.

At the age of 37 years he developed progressive dysphagia. Upper endoscopy showed proximal esophagitis and a stenotic anastomosis, which then was dilated. No biopsies were taken. Eight years later, dysphagia for solid foods reoccurred with complaints of heartburn and weight loss of 6 kg in six months (BMI 21.6 kg/m²). He was a tobacco smoker (at least 27 pack years) and used 3-4 alcoholic beverages per day. Upper endoscopy showed a non-stenotic anastomosis at 30 cm from the incisors with a 34 circular growing easily bleeding lesion from 33-42 cm from the incisors. Biopsies showed chronic inflammation. A chest CT scan revealed a stenotic esophagus extending from the aortic arch to the cardia with a malignant appearance and mediastinal lymph nodes (pre- and subcarinal). Due to the strong suspicion of esophageal cancer an esophageal resection with gastric tube reconstruction was performed. Pathology results confirmed the diagnosis of a squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of the distal esophagus (pT2N0M0) which did not need further treatment.

Fifteen years later, at the age of 60 years, he again developed dysphagia and odynophagia with 7 kg weight loss (BMI 23.2 kg/m²). Endoscopy revealed a circular tumor (17-21 cm from incisors) in the remaining cervical native esophagus eroding the constructed gastric tube and trachea. Biopsies showed a well-differentiated SCC. One suspicious supraclavicular and two mediastinal FDG-positive lymph nodes were seen on PET-CT scan images and tumor invasion in the left thyroid gland was suspected (Figure 1). Given the long interval between the two malignancies, this new





Figure 1 Chest computed tomography scan (CT scan) (case 1, tumor 2) demonstrating a tumor mass in the cervical native esophagus with suspected tumor invasion in the left thyroid gland.

tumor (T4bN2M0) was most likely a second primary tumor in the remaining cervical esophagus. In a multidisciplinary team discussion it was decided to treat with induction chemotherapy (carboplatin/paclitaxel). Initially the tumor responded well, but four months later he suffered from progressive disease with fistula formation to the trachea which was a contraindication for additional radiotherapy. An esophageal stent was placed to manage progressive dysphagia and palliative radiotherapy (13 × 3 Gy) was started to manage neuropathic pain caused by tumor invasion with imminent spinal cord compression. He died two days later.

Case 2

Patient B was a 42-year old man born with VACTERL association (acronym: vertebral anomalies, anal atresia, cardiac anomalies, TEF, renal anomalies, and limb defects)^[16] including EA Gross type A (long gap without TEF), anorectal malformation, coccyx agenesis and vertebral anomalies. Continuity of the esophagus was restored with a delayed end-to-end anastomosis.

At 37 years of age he presented with dysphagia. Upper endoscopy revealed a stenotic anastomosis at 30 cm from the incisors, which could be easily dilated. In the next two years he underwent another three esophageal dilation procedures because of recurrent dysphagia. Biopsies revealed chronic and active inflammation with presence of hyphae. At the age of 42 years he presented with progressive dysphagia, without weight loss (BMI 17.6 kg/m²). He smoked tobacco and drank alcoholic beverages only in the weekend. This time upper endoscopy revealed a circular stenotic ulcerative ESCC in the proximal

esophagus (22-29 cm, anastomosis not visible) (Figure 2A). Endoscopic ultrasound findings were suspicious for tumor invasion in the trachea and several potentially malignant regional lymph nodes (T4N2M0). The tumor was considered unresectable due to invasion of surrounding vital structures (cT4b) (Figure 2B), lymph node metastases, previous thoracotomies (both sides) and intra-mediastinal surgery. Induction chemotherapy (paclitaxel/carboplatin) was started to which the tumor evidently had responded after 2 mo. Concomitant chemoradiotherapy was given (28×1.8 Gy) with curative intent. Six years after treatment he shows no signs of recurrent or metastatic disease.

Case 3

Patient C presented at the age of 36 years. She was born with an EA Gross type A which was surgically repaired with an end-to-end anastomosis using Livaditis elongation procedure at one month of age. At one year of age she underwent a Nissen fundoplication for severe GER. At the age of 3 years, an anastomotic stricture developed which was treated with repeated esophageal dilations. At the age of 22 years she presented with chronic respiratory symptoms, severe pneumonia, persistent GER, and dysphagia complaints. Upper endoscopy with esophageal biopsies showed no abnormality. In view of the respiratory and gastrointestinal symptoms a duodenal diversion procedure (partial antrectomy with Roux-en-Y gastrojejunal anastomosis) was performed at the age of 23 years.

At 36 years of age she presented with food impaction and weight loss of 4 kg (BMI 14.9 kg/m²). She did not smoke tobacco and did not drink alcoholic beverages. Upper endoscopy revealed a stenotic ulcerative tumor in the distal esophagus with proximal dilation of the esophagus (25-32 cm from the incisors, gastroesophageal junction at 34 cm, anastomosis not visible). Biopsies revealed a well differentiated SCC. PET-CT scan (Figure 3) and bronchoscopy did not reveal any metastasis. She underwent a subtotal esophagectomy with total gastrectomy and a colonic interposition (pT1bN0M0). Within the following month she required reoperation for a cervical fistula and mediastinitis and underwent two endoscopic dilations of an anastomotic stricture without any evidence of tumor recurrence. Twelve months after surgery she was diagnosed with pleural and bone metastases for which she recently has started palliative chemotherapy.

Case 4

Patient D presented at the age of 47 years. He was born with VACTERL association^[16](EA Gross type C, anorectal malformation, congenital urethral valves with bilateral vesicoureteral reflux and hydronephrosis left kidney). At day 5 after birth a thoracotomy was performed with TEF closure, gastrostomy and cervical esophagostomy placement. In addition the

Baishideng®

WJG www.wjgnet.com



Figure 2 Findings at upper endoscopy and chest computed tomography scan (CT scan) (case 2). A: Upper endoscopy revealing a stenotic ulcerative tumor in the proximal esophagus, 22-29 cm from incisors. Histological examination of esophageal biopsies confirmed the diagnosis esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. B: Chest CT scan showing a tumor mass in the proximal esophagus with suspected tumor invasion in the trachea.



Figure 3 Initial findings at positron emission tomography-computed tomography scan (PET-CT scan) (case 3), showing PET-positive lesion in the distal esophagus without metastasis.

anorectal malformation was corrected. Nine days later a recurrent TEF was ligated. At day 29 the distal esophagus was ligated directly above the stomach and after 7 mo a colonic interposition was constructed. The spleen was congested and therefore resected during this surgery. Revision was needed because of leakage of the proximal anastomosis 19 days later. At 2.5 year of age the gastrostomy was closed. Other medical history included asthmatic bronchitis, bilateral orchidopexy, transurethral resection of urethral valves and nephrectomy of an afunctional infected left kidney.

At presentation the patient suffered from pneumonia with a density in the lower lobe of the right lung. Subsequent PET-scan revealed a PET-positive thickening in the colonic interposition for which he had been referred to our center. He complained about progressive dysphagia without any weight loss (BMI 18.6 kg/m²). He was a cannabis smoker (2 joints/wk), had quit tobacco smoking just before presentation (a few cigarettes per day) and only sporadically drank alcoholic beverages. Upper endoscopy revealed the proximal and distal anastomosis of the colonic interposition at, respectively, 21 and 47 cm from incisors. From 26-30 cm from incisors a tumor was visible in the colon interposition which could be easily passed with the scope. Histology revealed a moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma. No abnormalities were found at colonoscopy. PET-CT scan showed circumferential thickening of the colonic interposition over a length of 10 cm, not clearly separated from the thyroid and left brachiocephalic vein, a small lesion in the lower right lobe of the lung (PETnegative) and a few locoregional lymph nodes ($\leq 1 \text{ cm}$, PET-negative) (Figure 4 A and B).

Patient D was treated with induction chemotherapy (capecitabine/oxaliplatin) to enable maximum tumor regression. After six treatments, the colonic interposition was resected and an esophagostomy and jejunal fistula for feeding were created. Pathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of colonic adenocarcinoma with a maximum diameter of 4.1 cm, tumor free resection margins (\geq 1 cm) and one of 19 lymph nodes positive for metastasis (ypT2N1). Family history was negative for Lynch Syndrome. Both pentaplex microsatellite instability testing and mismatch repair gene expression analysis for MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 and PMS2 were normal.

After 4 mo continuity was restored by a subcutaneous gastric tube pull-up. At oncological followup one year after resection of the colonic interposition patient D did not experience any dysphagia, weight was stable (BMI 19.7 kg/m²) and ultrasound of the liver and

ishideng® WJ

WJG | www.wjgnet.com

Vergouwe FWT et al. Gastrointestinal cancer after EA repair



Figure 4 Initial findings at positron emission tomography-computed tomography scan (PET-CT scan) (case 4). A: Chest CT scan image with a circumferential wall thickening of the thoracic colonic interposition over a length of 10 cm, not clearly separated from the thyroid and left brachiocephalic vein. Locoregional suspected lymph nodes (< 1 cm). B: PET-CT scan showing a PET-positive lesion in the thoracic colonic interposition. No PET-positive lesions or lymph nodes.

CEA were normal (2.72 μ g/L).

DISCUSSION

We presented four cases of gastrointestinal cancer that have developed more than 30 years after surgical treatment of EA: three esophageal carcinoma and one unusual presentation of colorectal carcinoma in a colonic interposition. These patients' relatively young age, the fact that only few carcinogenic factors were identified and the high incidence of cancer development in a low prevalence disease suggest that EA carries an increased risk for esophageal cancer development and therefore screening and surveillance may be warranted, as recommended in the ESPGHAN-NASPGHAN guideline^[11].

Esophageal cancer is the 8th most common cancer worldwide, with an incidence rate of 6.4 and 1.2 per 100000 males and females respectively in developed countries and 10.1 and 4.1 per 100000 males and females, respectively, in less developed countries^[17]. ESCC and EAC have different etiologies. ESCC arrises from dysplastic squamous epithelium and is associated with a low socioeconomic status, use of tobacco or alcohol, several dietary factors, and human papilloma virus^[18,19]. The main risk factors for EAC are GER, use of tobacco, obesity, and hiatal hernia^[18]. Chronic GER might lead to gastric and intestinal metaplasia of the squamous epithelium in the esophagus, known as Barrett's esophagus, which predisposes to dysplasia and EAC. GER is present in up to 67% of the adult EA patients and is likely to contribute to EAC development^[5]. However, in literature - and also in our case series - ESCC is more common than EAC in EA patients^[10,12-15]. The reason for this high risk of ESCC development has not yet been established. The pathogenesis might be the same as in achalasia, where ESCC is thought to result from stasis, causing bacterial overgrowth with nitrosamine production and subsequent esophageal inflammation, dysplasia and cancer^[20,21]. Most of the ESCC in EA patients were found near or at the anastomosis (middistal esophagus). It has been suggested, therefore, that frequent dilation procedures with associated mucosal tears, scarring and inflammation may lead to development of ESCC in this patient group^[10,12]. Mitomycin-C, an antifibrotic applicant used to prevent recurrence of strictures, may be an additional risk factor for ESCC, but this was not used in any of the patients in present case series^[22]. Moreover, genetic predisposition may contribute to esophageal cancer in EA patients and is subject to future studies.

Endoscopic surveillance of EA patients is advocated to detect lesions at an early stage^[11]. Those treated with a colonic interposition should not be excluded from surveillance, as carcinoma could arise in the cervical native esophagus or thoracic colon. More data on the actual incidence of esophageal cancer development in adulthood will hopefully become available soon when surveillance programs have been implemented. Together with the identification of risk factors this will help to optimize surveillance strategies in EA patients. Until then, pediatric surgeons and gastroenterologists who are involved in treatment of EA patients should be made aware of the cancer risk and be encouraged to reach consensus on optimal surveillance. When EA patients reach adulthood, they should be transferred to a gastroenterologist for endoscopic surveillance.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Case characteristics

At presentation (age 36-47 years) all four patients born with esophageal atresia (EA) complained of progressive dysphagia, and two of them had lost weight.

Clinical diagnosis

Clinical diagnosis was made by upper endoscopy, revealing a for potentially malignant circular stenotic lesion in the esophagus in three cases and a tumor in the colonic interposition in one case.

Baishideng®

WJG www.wjgnet.com

Differential diagnosis

The differential diagnosis included severe ulcerative esophagitis, benign stenotic anastomosis, and motility disorder.

Laboratory diagnosis

Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) was measured (normal) in the patient with a colonic adenocarcinoma in the colonic interposition.

Imaging diagnosis

In addition to upper endoscopy a positron emission tomography-computed tomography scan (PET-CT scan) - in combination with endoscopic ultrasound in one case - was performed, which revealed a stenotic esophagus in three cases; a circumferential thickening of the colonic interposition in one case; potentially malignant lymph nodes in three cases; and suspected tumor invasion in two cases.

Pathological diagnosis

Histology and immunohistochemistry results confirmed the diagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus in three cases and adenocarcinoma of the colonic interposition in one case, in the latter case pentaplex microsatellite instability testing and mismatch repair gene expression analysis for MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 and PMS2 were normal.

Treatment

The esophageal cancer patients underwent (sub)total esophagectomy with reconstruction (curative intent); received induction chemotherapy (paclitaxel/ carboplatin) followed by chemoradiotherapy (curative intent); or received palliative radiotherapy or chemotherapy. The patient with colon cancer was treated with induction chemotherapy (capecitabine/oxaliplatin) followed by resection of the colonic interposition with construction of an esophagostoma and jejunal fistula for feeding.

Related reports

Up to two-thirds of EA patients suffer from gastroesophageal reflux, which in the long-term might lead to mucosal damage including Barrett's esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma. As dysphagia is common (up to 72%) after EA repair, this symptom may be neglected as an early warning symptom of esophageal cancer in these patients. Up till now, eight esophageal cancer cases have been described in young EA patients.

Term explanation

EA with or without tracheoesophageal fistula (TEF) is a common congenital malformation and requires surgical correction early in life. The Gross classification divides five types of EA: type A (isolated EA), type B (EA with proximal TEF), type C (EA with distal TEF), type D (EA with dual TEF's) or type E (isolated TEF).

VACTERL is an acronym that describes a nonrandom association of birth defects: Vertebral anomalies, Anal atresia, Cardiac anomalies, TEF, Renal anomalies, and Limb defects.

Experiences and lessons

These patients' relatively young age, the fact that only few carcinogenic factors were identified and the high incidence of cancer development in a low prevalence disease suggest that EA carries an increased risk for esophageal cancer development. This emphasizes the importance of lifelong screening and surveillance of the upper gastrointestinal tract in EA patients.

REFERENCES

- Pedersen RN, Calzolari E, Husby S, Garne E; EUROCAT Working group. Oesophageal atresia: prevalence, prenatal diagnosis and associated anomalies in 23 European regions. *Arch Dis Child* 2012; 97: 227-232 [PMID: 22247246 DOI: 10.1136/ archdischild-2011-300597]
- 2 Wang B, Tashiro J, Allan BJ, Sola JE, Parikh PP, Hogan AR,

Neville HL, Perez EA. A nationwide analysis of clinical outcomes among newborns with esophageal atresia and tracheoesophageal fistulas in the United States. *J Surg Res* 2014; **190**: 604-612 [PMID: 24881472 DOI: 10.1016/j.jss.2014.04.033]

- 3 Sulkowski JP, Cooper JN, Lopez JJ, Jadcherla Y, Cuenot A, Mattei P, Deans KJ, Minneci PC. Morbidity and mortality in patients with esophageal atresia. *Surgery* 2014; 156: 483-491 [PMID: 24947650 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2014.03.016]
- 4 Rintala RJ, Pakarinen MP. Long-term outcome of esophageal anastomosis. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* 2013; 23: 219-225 [PMID: 23737132 DOI: 10.1055/s-0033-1347912]
- 5 Vergouwe FW, IJsselstijn H, Wijnen RM, Bruno MJ, Spaander MC. Screening and Surveillance in Esophageal Atresia Patients: Current Knowledge and Future Perspectives. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* 2015; 25: 345-352 [PMID: 26302062 DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1559817]
- 6 Huynh Trudeau V, Maynard S, Terzic T, Soucy G, Bouin M. Dysphagia among adult patients who underwent surgery for esophageal atresia at birth. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015; 29: 91-94 [PMID: 25803019]
- 7 Taylor AC, Breen KJ, Auldist A, Catto-Smith A, Clarnette T, Crameri J, Taylor R, Nagarajah S, Brady J, Stokes K. Gastroesophageal reflux and related pathology in adults who were born with esophageal atresia: a long-term follow-up study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; 5: 702-706 [PMID: 17544997 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2007.03.012]
- 8 Sistonen SJ, Koivusalo A, Nieminen U, Lindahl H, Lohi J, Kero M, Kärkkäinen PA, Färkkilä MA, Sarna S, Rintala RJ, Pakarinen MP. Esophageal morbidity and function in adults with repaired esophageal atresia with tracheoesophageal fistula: a population-based long-term follow-up. *Ann Surg* 2010; 251: 1167-1173 [PMID: 20485152 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181c9b613]
- 9 Sistonen SJ, Koivusalo A, Lindahl H, Pukkala E, Rintala RJ, Pakarinen MP. Cancer after repair of esophageal atresia: population-based long-term follow-up. *J Pediatr Surg* 2008; 43: 602-605 [PMID: 18405703 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2007.12.002]
- 10 Jayasekera CS, Desmond PV, Holmes JA, Kitson M, Taylor AC. Cluster of 4 cases of esophageal squamous cell cancer developing in adults with surgically corrected esophageal atresia--time for screening to start. *J Pediatr Surg* 2012; 47: 646-651 [PMID: 22498376 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2011.09.065]
- 11 Krishnan U, Mousa H, Dall'Oglio L, Homaira N, Rosen R, Faure C, Gottrand F. ESPGHAN-NASPGHAN Guidelines for the Evaluation and Treatment of Gastrointestinal and Nutritional Complications in Children With Esophageal Atresia-Tracheoesophageal Fistula. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2016; 63: 550-570 [PMID: 27579697 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.00000000001401]
- 12 Deurloo JA, van Lanschot JJ, Drillenburg P, Aronson DC. Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma 38 years after primary repair of esophageal atresia. *J Pediatr Surg* 2001; 36: 629-630 [PMID: 11283893 DOI: 10.1053/jpsu.2001.22305]
- 13 Adzick NS, Fisher JH, Winter HS, Sandler RH, Hendren WH. Esophageal adenocarcinoma 20 years after esophageal atresia repair. J Pediatr Surg 1989; 24: 741-744 [PMID: 2769539]
- 14 Alfaro L, Bermas H, Fenoglio M, Parker R, Janik JS. Are patients who have had a tracheoesophageal fistula repair during infancy at risk for esophageal adenocarcinoma during adulthood? J Pediatr Surg 2005; 40: 719-720 [PMID: 15852288 DOI: 10.1016/ j.jpedsurg.2005.01.001]
- Pultrum BB, Bijleveld CM, de Langen ZJ, Plukker JT. Development of an adenocarcinoma of the esophagus 22 years after primary repair of a congenital atresia. *J Pediatr Surg* 2005; 40: e1-e4 [PMID: 16338286 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2005.08.042]
- 16 Solomon BD, Baker LA, Bear KA, Cunningham BK, Giampietro PF, Hadigan C, Hadley DW, Harrison S, Levitt MA, Niforatos N, Paul SM, Raggio C, Reutter H, Warren-Mora N. An approach to the identification of anomalies and etiologies in neonates with identified or suspected VACTERL (vertebral defects, anal atresia, tracheo-esophageal fistula with esophageal atresia, cardiac anomalies, renal anomalies, and limb anomalies) association. *J Pediatr* 2014; 164:

Vergouwe FWT et al. Gastrointestinal cancer after EA repair

451-457.e1 [PMID: 24332453 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2013.10.086]

- Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2015; 65: 87-108 [PMID: 25651787 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21262]
- 18 Kamangar F, Chow WH, Abnet CC, Dawsey SM. Environmental causes of esophageal cancer. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2009; 38: 27-57, vii [PMID: 19327566 DOI: 10.1016/j.gtc.2009.01.004]
- 19 Zhang SK, Guo LW, Chen Q, Zhang M, Liu SZ, Quan PL, Lu JB, Sun XB. The association between human papillomavirus 16 and esophageal cancer in Chinese population: a meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 2015; 15: 1096 [PMID: 25777422 DOI: 10.1186/ s12885-015-1096-1]
- 20 Sandler RS, Nyrén O, Ekbom A, Eisen GM, Yuen J, Josefsson S. The risk of esophageal cancer in patients with achalasia. A populationbased study. *JAMA* 1995; 274: 1359-1362 [PMID: 7563560]
- 21 Pajecki D, Zilberstein B, Cecconello I, Dos Santos MA, Yagi OK, Gama-Rodrigues JJ. Larger amounts of nitrite and nitrate-reducing bacteria in megaesophagus of Chagas' disease than in controls. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2007; 11: 199-203 [PMID: 17390173 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-006-0066-y]
- 22 Chapuy L, Pomerleau M, Faure C. Topical mitomycin-C application in recurrent esophageal strictures after surgical repair of esophageal atresia. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2014; **59**: 608-611 [PMID: 24590215 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.00000000000352]

P- Reviewer: De Lusong MAA, Thota PN, Wani HU S- Editor: Gong ZM L- Editor: A E- Editor: Huang Y



