



HAL
open science

Health-related quality of life and radiological and functional lung changes of patients with COVID-19 Pneumonia 3 and 10 months after discharge.

C. de Juana, S. Herrera, S. Ponce, S. Calvache, L. Dahmazi, Raffaele Vitale, A. J. Ferrer, V. Valentín, M. Acosta, I. López, et al.

► To cite this version:

C. de Juana, S. Herrera, S. Ponce, S. Calvache, L. Dahmazi, et al.. Health-related quality of life and radiological and functional lung changes of patients with COVID-19 Pneumonia 3 and 10 months after discharge.. BMC Pulmonary Medicine, 2023, BMC Pulmonary Medicine, 23, pp.231. 10.1186/s12890-023-02520-6 . hal-04499972

HAL Id: hal-04499972

<https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04499972>

Submitted on 11 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH

Open Access



Health-related quality of life and radiological and functional lung changes of patients with COVID-19 Pneumonia 3 and 10 months after discharge

Cristina De Juana^{1*}, Susana Herrera¹, Silvia Ponce¹, Sergio Calvache¹, Loubna Dahmazi¹, Raffaele Vitale², Alberto José Ferrer³, Verónica Valentín¹, Marta Acosta¹, Irene López¹, Eva Martínez-Moragón¹ and Respiratory medicine Unit of University Hospital Doctor Peset of Valencia (Spain)

Abstract

Background Few studies have evaluated the long-term impact on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in patients who have been hospitalized for COVID-19 pneumonia. Specific follow-up should be carried out to detect and treat possible pulmonary abnormalities, and the worsening of HRQoL should be estimated to target necessary resources for care of these patients after acute phase. The objective was to know the impact on HRQoL of patients who have been admitted for COVID-19 pneumonia, and to evaluate the clinical-radiological and functional changes of patients who have overcome COVID-19 pneumonia at 3 and 10 months of follow-up.

Methods Prospective observational study of patients who required hospitalization for COVID-19 pneumonia between April and December 2020. All patients filled out the EuroQol five-dimension (EQ-5D) questionnaire with the EuroQol Visual Analogue Scale (E-VAS) for self-assessment of health status. Respiratory function tests and chest X-ray were carried out at 3 and 10 months of follow-up.

Results 61 patients were included in the study. The need for ventilatory support was associated with anxiety/depression on the EQ-5D scale, as well as patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU). The mean EQ-5D and E-VAS index scores decreased with hospitalization time, the number of days spent in intermediate respiratory care unit (IRCU) and the level of dyspnoea at the beginning of the hospitalization period. Pulmonary sequelae were observed in 25 patients (41%) at 3 months and 17 (27.9%) at 10 months. Patients improve their forced vital capacity (FVC) by 196 ml ($p=0.001$) at 10 months as well as 9% in diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) ($p=0.001$) at 10 months. DLCO was found to be correlated to lymphopenia and time spent in IRCU. Low FVC values were detected 10 months after discharge for subjects exhibiting high levels of dyspnoea at 3 months after discharge.

Conclusions Hospitalization for COVID-19 pneumonia affects the HRQoL of patients, with greater anxiety/depression in those who were more seriously affected and are younger. A significant percentage of patients present fibrotic abnormalities and lung function impairment at the first and second follow-up after discharge.

Keywords COVID-19, Health-related quality of life, Follow-up radiological findings and pulmonary function

*Correspondence:

Cristina De Juana
cristinadejuia@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) had led to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and a current pandemic that represents a critical ongoing global healthcare problem [1].

The long-term complications of COVID-19 pneumonia are starting to emerge; in fact, data from previous coronavirus outbreaks such as severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) suggest that some patients will experience long-term complications of the infection [2].

After acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) regardless of its origin, patients frequently show several functional impairments across biopsychosocial domains [3]. In recent years, HRQoL has paid worldwide attention, and several multidimensional health status classifications have been increasingly used to describe and evaluate the quality of life [4].

Regarding SARS-CoV-2, recent research has demonstrated that nearly half of discharged patients show residual abnormalities on radiological images [5]. Furthermore, this study showed that in early convalescence (1 month after discharge), approximately three-quarters of patients with COVID-19 demonstrated pulmonary function impairment, represented most frequently again by declines in DLCO [6]. Another publication on patients with noncritical disease demonstrated that a considerable proportion of COVID-19 survivors showed radiologic (70%) and pulmonary function (25%) abnormalities 3 months after discharge [7].

Therefore, these patients should be followed up to detect and manage pulmonary sequelae and functional impairment [7]. With the anticipation of potential long-term sequelae after COVID-19, follow-up strategies have been proposed by several groups worldwide [8, 9].

Here, we aimed to present the temporal trends in physical and respiratory outcomes over 10 months in a prospective cohort of patients hospitalized with severe COVID-19 pneumonia.

Methods

In this prospective study were approached for study participation patients with COVID-19 pneumonia discharged between April and December 2020 from Doctor Peset University Hospital, a tertiary hospital in Valencia, Spain.

Clinical data for acute phase, which was defined as the period between hospital admission and discharge, were retrieved from electronic medical records, including demographic and clinical characteristics (age, sex, comorbidities, time of admission, need for intensive care, need of ventilatory support, need of oxygen therapy

after discharge, symptoms report), chest images and laboratory test results. Additionally, COVID-19 Severity Index [10] was included. Also known as Sum Score, it is obtained by adding the digits corresponding to the levels of the 5 dimensions in each state of health.

All participants were assessed at 3 and 10 months after discharge in an outpatient clinic consultation by trained medical staff. During the visit at 3 months follow-up, HRQoL, dyspnoea, chest X-ray and standardized pulmonary function tests were evaluated; whereas at 10 months follow-up visit, dyspnoea, chest X-ray and pulmonary function tests were evaluated.

Evaluation of quality of life

Participants were asked to complete the EQ-5D questionnaire and E-VAS index [10] at 3-months follow-up after discharge.

EQ-5D scale was used in order to value quality of health state defined by the EQ-5D index. It measures five dimensions of health: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression, as well as overall health rated on a E-VAS [11, 12].

Imaging analysis and score index in chest RX

All chest radiographs (X-ray) were analyzed by two radiologists specialized, blind to the clinical information, except knowing that all were confirmed cases by positive SARS-COV2-test.

The affection is defined as:

- Interstitial involvement: reticular interstitial pattern and small ill-defined opacities.
- Faint opacities: areas of condensation in ground glass / discrete density, which do not erase vascular structures.
- Alveolar infiltrates: alveolar condensation with imprecise borders.

The modified quantitative radiological scoring system for radiological lung involvement [13] includes two steps in image analysis:

1) The first step consists of dividing the lungs into 6 zones on the chest X-ray:

- Upper areas (A and D): above the lower border of the aortic arch.
- Middle areas (B and E): between the inferior border of the aortic arch and the inferior border of the right inferior pulmonary vein (that is to say, comprising the region of the pulmonary hila).
- Inferior zones (C and F): between the lower border of the right inferior pulmonary vein and the diaphragm (that is, the pulmonary bases).

2) The second step consists of assigning a score (from 0 to 3) to each of these areas, based on the existing pulmonary abnormalities on the chest X-ray, as follows:

- Score 0: no pulmonary abnormalities
- Score 1: interstitial involvement
- Score 2: faint opacities (equivalent to “ground glass” on CT)
- Score 3: alveolar infiltrates

Scores for the 6 lung zones are added together to obtain an overall “CXR SCORE” (ranging from 0 to 18).

This score system was carried out both for the evaluation of the findings of COVID-19 infection in the chest X-ray at acute phase and for the control chest X-ray in the first and second follow-up.

Pulmonary function tests

Pulmonary function tests were done according to American Thoracic Society (ATS)–and European Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines [14, 15]. The following parameters were measured: spirometry; diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (DLCO).

Statistical analyses

The underlying relations linking the clinical data for acute phase to the EQ-5D life quality indices, dyspnoea and standardized pulmonary functions levels and chest X-ray outcomes were assessed by means of Partial Least Squares regression (PLS) [16]. PLS is a statistical predictive approach that aims at modelling such intrinsic relationships in a multivariate fashion by maximizing the covariance between set of regressors and one or multiple *response* variables. In this study, the following two scenarios were envisioned and explored. Firstly, the effect of the demographic characteristics, clinical parameters and laboratory test results (regressors) on the EQ-5D life quality indices, dyspnoea level, standardized pulmonary functions level and chest X-ray outcomes at 3 months after discharge (responses) was evaluated. Thereafter, the influence of the demographic characteristics, clinical parameters, laboratory test results as well as of the EQ-5D life quality indices, dyspnoea level, standardized pulmonary functions level and chest X-ray outcomes at 3 months after discharge (regressors) on the results of the outpatient clinic consultation carried out after 10 months from discharge (responses) was studied. It is important to notice that EQ-5D life quality indices were all recodified as binary variables (absence or presence of problems) and that no EQ-5D questionnaire was answered 10 months after discharge. Three additional aspects are worth to be mentioned here:

- 1) every individual response variable was accounted for separately and independently, *i.e.*, a single PLS model per response variable was fitted.
- 2) PLS model parameters were optimized through a leave-one-patient-out cross-validatory procedure;
- 3) the statistical significance of the effect of the various regressors on the considered response was estimated by jackknifing. Variables for which such an effect was found not to be statistically significant ($p\text{-value} > 0.05$) were iteratively removed until reduced PLS models encompassing a maximum number of 20 predictors were obtained.

The predictive performance of the final models was determined in terms of Root Mean Square Error in Cross-Validation (RMSECV), when numerical responses were analyzed, or cross-validated Area Under the Receiver Operating Curve (AUROC), when categorical responses were analyzed. The validity of the results yielded by the outlined strategy was finally confirmed via distinct statistical univariate assessments, depending on the nature of both the regressor and the response at hand: linear regression for numerical predictors and responses, one-way ANOVA for categorical predictors and numerical responses, Student’s *t*-test and logistic regression for numerical predictors and categorical responses, χ^2 test and logistic regression for categorical predictors and responses.

Furthermore, potential variations between clinical parameters measured 3 months after discharge and 10 months after discharge were assessed by means of paired-sample *t*-testing (for numerical descriptors) and χ^2 test (for categorical descriptors).

Results

A total of 61 patients were included in the study. Patients were followed up for a median of 101 days (92–138; median) over 3 months, and 291 (261–316) over 10 months. The demographic and clinical characteristics of participants are shown in Table 1. Most patients had never smoked (62,3%). Their most common comorbidities were hypertension (50,8%) and dyslipidemia (44,3%) followed by diabetes (21,3%). During hospitalization, 25 of them (40,3%) required ventilatory support (non-invasive ventilation, invasive ventilation and high flow oxygen therapy), 17 participants (27,4%) were admitted to the ICU and 27 (43,5%) to the IRCU. The median duration of hospital stay was 20,9 (10–98) days and time exclusively in the ICU was 14,2 (8–40) days. Radiological findings in the acute phase showed that the lung areas most affected after discharge were the middle lobe and the lingula, followed by the lower lobes.

Table 1 Characteristics of enrolled patients

	Sample size <i>n</i> = 61
Age	65 (36–85)
Sex	
Male	37 (60.7%)
Female	24 (39.3%)
Smoking habits	
Never smoked	38 (62.3%)
Ex- smoker	21 (34.4%)
Current smoker	2 (3.3%)
Comorbidities	
Diabetes mellitus	13 (21.3%)
Hypertension	31 (50.8%)
Dyslipidemia	27 (44.3%)
OSA (obstructive sleep apnoea)	8 (13.1%)
Asthma	5 (8.2%)
COPD	5 (8.2%)
Chronic respiratory failure	2 (3.3%)
Continuous Home Oxygen Therapy	4 (6.6%)
Hospitalization	
Supplemental oxygen (nasal cannula)	17 (27.9%)
Supplemental oxygen (Venturi mask)	7 (11.5%)
Ventilatory support	
(NIMV, MV, High flow oxygen therapy)	25 (41.0%)
ICU admission	17 (27.9%)
IRCU admission	27 (44.3%)
Length of hospital stay (days; median)	20.4 (0–108)
Length of ICU (days; median)	3.5 (0–48)
Length of IRCU (days; median)	6.9 (0–64)
Dyspnea (mMRC scale)	
0	35 (57.4%)
1	15 (24.6%)
2	8 (13.1%)
3	3 (4.9%)
4	0 (0.0%)
Rx acute phase	
Rx A (RUL)	
Without abnormalities	51 (83.6%)
Interstitial thickening or reticulation	2 (3.3%)
Small opacities	5 (8.2%)
Ground glass infiltration	3 (4.9%)
Rx B (ML)	
Without abnormalities	11 (18.1%)
Interstitial thickening or reticulation	1 (1.6%)
Small opacities	26 (42.6%)
Ground glass infiltration	23 (37.7%)
Rx C (RLL)	
Without abnormalities	26 (42.6%)
Interstitial thickening or reticulation	2 (3.3%)
Small opacities	17 (27.9%)
Ground glass infiltration	16 (26.2%)

Table 1 (continued)

	Sample size <i>n</i> = 61
Rx D (LUL)	
Without abnormalities	58 (95.2%)
Interstitial thickening or reticulation	1 (1.6%)
Small opacities	1 (1.6%)
Ground glass infiltration	1 (1.6%)
Rx E (L)	
Without abnormalities	11 (18.1%)
Interstitial thickening or reticulation	2 (3.3%)
Small opacities	30 (49.1%)
Ground glass infiltration	18 (29.5%)
Rx F (LLL)	
Without abnormalities	23 (37.7%)
Interstitial thickening or reticulation	2 (3.3%)
Small opacities	23 (37.7%)
Ground glass infiltration	13 (21.3%)
CRX score (median)	7.11 (2–15)
Biomarkers	
D-Dimer (median)	1474 (57–9366)
LDH (median)	385 (130–840)
Lymphocytes (median)	723 (100–1700)

COPD Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *ICU* Intensive care unit. *IRCU* Intermediate Respiratory Care Unit. *NIMV*: Non-invasive mechanical ventilation. *MV* mechanical ventilation. *CXR score*: Chest X-ray severity score. *RUL* Right upper lobe. *LM* Middle lobe. *RLL* Right lower lobe. *LUL* Left upper lobe. *LLL* Left lower lobe. *LDH* Lactate dehydrogenase

Details of the EQ-5D questionnaire at 3-months follow-up after discharge are presented in Table 2. Participants showed more problems in the domains of mobility, pain or discomfort, and anxiety/ depression.

Clinical, radiological and functional information about the enrolled individuals can be found in Table 3.

Assessment at 3 months after discharge

Four different types of response variables were investigated in the initial patient assessment conducted 3 months after discharge: life quality indices, symptom persistence, radiological outcomes and pulmonary function levels. Based on the individual PLS models built for each one of such response variables, specific relationships linking them to the aforementioned regressors (encompassing demographic characteristics, clinical parameters and laboratory test results) were found. The most relevant of these relationships (identified as statistically significant—*p*-values < 0.05—by both the univariate and the multivariate approaches utilized in this study) are outlined in the following subsections.

Table 2 Health-related quality of life of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia 3 months after discharge

	Follow-up questionnaires (3 months) after discharge n = 61
Civil state	
Unmarried	4 (6.6%)
Married	55 (90.1%)
Widower/widow	2 (3.3%)
Study level	
Without studies	7 (11.6%)
Primary education	29 (47.5%)
Secondary education	14 (22.9%)
University	11 (18.0%)
EQ 5D	
Mobility	
No problem	41 (67.2%)
Some problems	20 (32.8%)
Extreme problems	0 (0.0%)
Self-care	
No problem	54 (88.5%)
Some problems	7 (11.5%)
Extreme problems	0 (0.0%)
Usual activities	
No problem	57 (93.4%)
Some problems	2 (3.3%)
Extreme problems	2 (3.3%)
Pain/discomfort	
No problem	43 (70.5%)
Some problems	15 (24.6%)
Extreme problems	3 (4.9%)
Anxiety/depression	
No problem	36 (59.0%)
Some problems	17 (27.9%)
Extreme problems	8 (13.1%)
E-VAS (median)	81.5 (25–100)
EQ 5D index (median)	0.77 (0.22–1.00)
Severity index (median)	7.88 (0–30)

EQ 5D: EuroQol five-dimension five-level. E-VAS: EuroQol Visual Analogue Scale

Life quality indices

The effect of several predictors on the presence of depression and anxiety symptoms at 3 months after discharge emerged as statistically significant (p -values < 0.05) in the PLS model. More specifically, the obtained results suggest that being a young patient, having been admitted to ICU or IRCU and having needed high-flow oxygen therapy, continuous positive airway pressure, non-invasive mechanical ventilation or mechanical invasive ventilation may increase the risk of occurrence of such symptoms. These conclusions were also corroborated by the

univariate assessments conducted for a better understanding of the interrelations between these regressors and the presence of depression symptoms after 3 months from discharge: p -values lower than 0.05 were returned for all the χ^2 -tests performed (the predictors as well as the response under study are, in fact, codified as categorical variables). Notice that here also age was dichotomized: the optimal threshold value (57 years) was determined by Kernel Density Estimation (KDE). 40,3% of our population has presented anxiety and depression after 3 months (Fig. 1).

Concerning the occurrence of pain after 3 months from discharge, high blood concentrations of D-dimer (DD) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) – both variables were dichotomized as detailed before setting a threshold at approximately 2450 ng/mL and 418 U/L, respectively – were found to constitute risk factors. Univariate χ^2 -tests yielded a p -value lower than 0.05 for the two regressors. Around 29% of our cohort has presented pain after 3 months.

Both the EQ-5D and the VAS global responses were pointed out as negatively correlated to the duration of the patient hospitalization (regression coefficient-associated p -value < 0.05), the number of days spent in IRCU units (regression coefficient-associated p -value < 0.05). Furthermore, high blood concentrations of LDH (regression coefficient-associated p -value < 0.05) was associated with lower VAS response values (Fig. 2 and 3).

Finally, the duration of stay in IRCU, dyspnoea at hospitalization and D-dimer content appeared to significantly increase the severity index (regression coefficient-associated p -value < 0.05) (Fig. 4).

Symptom persistence

The main conclusion one could draw according to the results obtained for this type of response variables regards the fact that patients with evident dyspnoea symptoms at the beginning of their hospitalization show more dyspnoea (mMRC) both after 3 months and 10 months from discharge in comparison to those which had less dyspnoea at admission. Moreover, dyspnoea (mMRC) at the long-term follow-up is associated with a lower EQ-5D score.

Radiological outcomes

The overall radiological outcome at 3 months after discharge was found to be statistically significantly influenced by the severity of pulmonary affection at the beginning of the hospitalization period (more specifically to the level of pulmonary affection at the middle and inferior lung lobes – regression coefficient-associated p -values < 0.05).

Table 3 Clinical, radiological and functional follow-up

	First follow-up after discharge (3 months)	Second follow-up after discharge (10 months)	p-value
Dyspnoea (mMRC scale)			2.6E-5 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
0	30 (49.2%)	48 (78.7%)	
1	9 (14.7%)	8 (13.1%)	
2	17 (27.9%)	4 (6.6%)	
3	5 (8.2%)	1 (1.6%)	
4	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Rx A (RUL)			0.16 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
Without abnormalities	58 (95.1%)	60 (98.4)	
Interstitial reticulation	3 (4.9%)	1 (1.6%)	
Small opacities	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Ground glass infiltration	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Rx B (ML)			1.2E-4 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
Without abnormalities	40 (65.6%)	52 (85.3%)	
Interstitial reticulation	13 (21.3%)	8 (13.1%)	
Small opacities	8 (13.1%)	1 (1.6%)	
Ground glass infiltration	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Rx C (RLL)			0.011 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
Without abnormalities	48 (78.7%)	51 (83.7%)	
Interstitial reticulation	7 (11.5%)	9 (14.7%)	
Small opacities	5 (8.2%)	1 (1.6%)	
Ground glass infiltration	1 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	
Rx D (LUL)			0.16 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
Without abnormalities	59 (96.7%)	61 (100.0%)	
Interstitial reticulation	2 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)	
Small opacities	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Ground glass infiltration	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Rx E (L)			0.011 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
Without abnormalities	47 (77.0%)	53 (86.9%)	
Interstitial reticulation	10 (16.4%)	7 (11.5%)	
Small opacities	4 (6.6%)	1 (1.6%)	
Ground glass infiltration	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Rx F (LLL)			0.034 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
Without abnormalities	46 (75.4%)	50 (82.0%)	
Interstitial reticulation	12 (19.7%)	11 (18.0%)	
Small opacities	3 (4.9%)	0 (0.0%)	
Ground glass infiltration	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
CXR score	3.65 (1–8)	1.83 (0–6)	3.8E-6 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
Radiological sequelae	25 (41.0%)	17 (27.9%)	5.7E-9 (χ^2 test)
Pulmonary function tests			
FVC (ml)	3072 (1830–5100)	3266 (1930–5470)	0.039 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
FVC (%)	99 (64–145)	109 (69–149)	0.018 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
FVC < 80%	6 (9.8%)	2 (3.3%)	1.3E-5 (χ^2 test)
FEV1 (ml)	2248 (1090–4030)	2334 (1290–4040)	0.16 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
FEV1 (%)	94 (54–134)	99 (65–130)	0.088 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
DLCO (%)	61 (29–96)	70 (36–101)	8.4E-4 (paired-sample <i>t</i> -test)
DLCO < 70%	26 (42.6%)	9 (14.7%)	2.4E-3 (χ^2 test)

RUL Right upper lobe. *LM* Middle lobe. *RLL* Right lower lobe. *LUL*: Left upper lobe. *L* Lingula. *LLL* Left lower lobe. *DLCO* Diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide. *FVC* Forced vital capacity. *FEV1* Forced expiratory volume in the first second




Fig. 1 Anxiety/depression symptoms after 3 months from discharge (response variable, *rv*): contingency tables for a) IRCU-ICU admission and b) age (explanatory variables, *ev*. Age was here dichotomized with an optimal threshold set at 57 years; c) violin plot of anxiety/depression symptoms vs ventilatory support. The higher the y-axis values in c), the more invasive the ventilatory support resorted to




Fig. 2 Scatter plots of a) hospitalization days and b) days in IRCU vs EQ-5D (response variable). The dashed black lines denote the estimated linear regression functions




Fig. 3 Scatter plots of a) hospitalization days, b) days in IRCU and c) LDH content vs VAS (response variable). The dashed black lines denote the estimated linear regression functions

Pulmonary function levels

Lower FVC at 3 months is significantly associated with male sex (ANOVA *p*-value < 0.05), older age, lymphocyte

content and longer IRCU stay (regression coefficient-associated *p*-values < 0.05). Conversely, DLCO (dichotomized based a threshold set at 70%) was found to be




Fig. 4 Scatter plots of a) days in IRCU and b) D-dimer content vs severity index (response variable). The dashed black lines denote the estimated linear regression functions; c) violin plot of dyspnea at hospitalization vs severity index

negatively correlated to lymphopenia (lymphocytes content was here dichotomized according to a threshold set at approximately $816 \times 10^6/L$ – χ^2 -test p -value < 0.05).

Assessment at 10 months after discharge

Symptom persistence

After 10 months from discharge, dyspnoea symptoms tend to persist in patients that exhibited lower EQ-5D and VAS life quality indices at 3 months after discharge (regression coefficient-associated p -values < 0.05).

Radiological outcomes

Long-term pulmonary affection was mostly observed in men (χ^2 -test p -value < 0.05) and for individuals whose radiological assessment carried out 3 months after discharge had already highlighted specific issues. More radiological abnormalities were detected in lower lobes than in upper lobes (see Table 3) and the score was lower in lung upper lobes (t -test p -value < 0.05).

Pulmonary function levels

Low FVC values were detected 10 months after discharge for subjects exhibiting high levels of dyspnoea (regression coefficient-associated p -value < 0.05), and low FVC (regression coefficient-associated p -value < 0.05) at 3 months after discharge. Moreover, FVC at long term (dichotomized based a threshold set at 80%) was related with high blood concentrations of ferritin (dichotomized according to a threshold set at approximately 4016 ng/mL – χ^2 -test p -value < 0.05) and lymphopenia (lymphocytes content was here dichotomized according to a threshold set at approximately $317 \times 10^6/L$ – χ^2 -test p -value < 0.05).

DLCO $< 70\%$ at 10 months after discharge was pointed out as positively correlated to DLCO $< 70\%$ at 3 months after discharge and higher levels of D-dimer (dichotomized according to a threshold set at approximately 3212 ng/mL – χ^2 -test p -value < 0.05) (Fig. 5).

Discussion

Since SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in March 2020, several studies have been carried out to analyse physical, mental and pulmonary consequences in patients with COVID-19 infection after discharge.

The present study aimed to assess the quality of life in our population after an average observation time of 3 months. Our cohort reveals that the mean EQ-5D and E-VAS index scores decreased with hospitalization time, the number of days spent in IRCU units and the level of dyspnoea at the beginning of the hospitalization period. A lower average in E-VAS is also associated to higher LDH serum levels.

The previous outbreaks of SARS and MERS used SF-36 to measure QoL, and showed a significantly low quality of life at 1 year in affected patients, lower than the quality of life of those with chronic pathologies (using normative data) [2].

Current literature suggests similar postdischarge symptoms. Halpin et al. found that patients admitted to ICU had a greater prevalence of symptoms in almost all reported symptom domains, despite being a younger and less comorbid group [17]. This is in keeping with the well-characterized post-intensive care syndrome [18].

Anxiety/depression was the most frequently reported symptom (40,3% of our population). Those who are younger than 57 dropped to a level of below average, and it is correlated with ICU/IRCU admission, the need of ventilatory support and the need of oxygen therapy after discharge.

Two Chinese studies found that anxiety or depression were common, even at 6 months follow-up after symptom onset, being the severity of illness a risk factor for persistent psychological symptoms [19, 20]. The underlying mechanism of the psychiatric consequences of COVID-19 is likely to be multifactorial and might include the direct effects of viral infection, the immunological




Fig. 5 DLCO < 70% after 10 months from discharge (response variable, *rv*): contingency table for D-dimer (explanatory variable, *ev*). D-dimer content was here dichotomized with an optimal threshold set at 3212 ng/mL

response, corticosteroid therapy, ICU stay and social isolation [21].

Mobility and pain were other reported problems in a proportion close to anxiety/depression. We found that pain was associated with higher levels of LDH and D-dimer, which is consistent with other studies which found a correlation between pain/discomfort and clinical severity [22, 23].

Breathlessness was a significant problem both after 3 months and 10 months after discharge. Those which presented more dyspnoea at admission have greater dyspnoea at 3 months (measured by mMRC scale). After 10 months, those who had more dyspnoea at admission continued to have greater dyspnoea, as well as those who had a poorer quality of life (poorer result in EQ5D index). Regarding dyspnoea on exertion (by Borg) after 10 months, it is associated with those who spent more days in ICU, higher severity index and worse quality of life measured 3 months after hospital admission.

Our results are comparable to those demonstrated in a multicentre cross-sectional cohort study in Spain, which reports that dyspnoea as persistent symptom was significantly associated with number of days at hospital, number of medical comorbidities and number of symptoms at hospitalization [24]. Another study described that those needing ICU admission and respiratory support, pre-morbid lung problems, higher age, higher BMI, and BAME ethnicity are more likely to experience breathlessness postdischarge [15], being comparable to those reported

in the meta-analysis study of 11% to 45% of survivors having breathlessness even up to one year [2].

In this sense, the implementation of IRCUs is a useful alternative to increase the availability of ICU beds while maintaining high-quality care, contributing to a faster recovery process, since it provides specific physiotherapy and improves patient care by having a higher doctor-patient/nurse-patient ratio than is available in a conventional ward. Thus, a IRCU provides multidisciplinary care that shortens ICU time and potentially the overall length of hospital stay [25].

We also analysed the radiological features after 3 and 10 months. Radiological abnormalities in patients 10 months after discharge were more frequent in those which had previous higher CXR scores (at admission and at 3 months follow-up) and a higher value in mMRC dyspnoea scale. Therefore, a poorer result in CXR score at 3 months precedes long-term pulmonary sequelae.

In the follow-up of severe COVID-19, imaging techniques play a fundamental role. It became necessary to develop radiological tools that can predict which patients are at higher risk of developing rapid and fatal disease progression during the acute phase. Severity scores based on chest radiography have been proposed to stratify patients by quantifying the extent of disease and to predict both the need for ICU referral and death on hospital admission [26].

An Italian study including 119 patients showed that the radiological abnormalities were present in about

half patients 3 months after discharge, which had higher age, previous higher CXR scores and longer hospitalization. Days of hospitalization and previous CXR scores were independent factors for predicting the CXR at three months [27]. Based on a scoring system the authors used, they suggested this finding could help prioritize patients with more severe clinical and radiological findings.

Recent studies suggest different techniques that could provide a powerful monitoring aid in patients with suspected COVID-19 pneumonia, such as chest ultrasound or compliance measurement with forced oscillometry, which could anticipate radiological findings and consequently patients may benefit from an early initiation of non-invasive ventilation [26].

Several factors have been correlated with the risk of developing fibrosis and persistent functional deficits in COVID-19: severity of infection, need for ICU admission and mechanical ventilation, older age, higher body mass index, and smoking history [26]. Therefore, patients who recover from SARS-CoV-2-related ARDS but experience persistent respiratory symptoms should undergo radiological follow-up to determine whether there are abnormalities that may anticipate the establishment of fibrosis.

It has been proposed that after 3 months is a good time to perform follow-up and assess the resolution of this condition [28].

The results of lung function assessment in our study showed that a considerable part of the participants had abnormalities regarding lung capacity and diffusion. We found that higher average at mMRC scale at 3 months is associated with a lower FVC in a long-term follow-up (10 months) and predisposing factors for a FVC < 80% after 10 months are the high levels of ferritin and lymphopenia at admission. Regarding DLCO, high D-dimer levels on admission predict poorer long-term diffusion capacity (DLCO < 70%).

Zhao et al. reported that at 3-months after discharge, residual abnormalities of pulmonary function were observed in around 25% of their cohort, mostly demonstrated diffusion reductions in DLCO [7]. In the following-up studies for the patients rehabilitating from SARS, impaired lung function could last for months or even years [29]. At 6, 9 and 12 months after discharge, the same phenomenon can be noted [30].

D-dimer elevation has been determined as an important laboratory finding in COVID-19 patients. The level of D-dimer was an important prognostic factor for abnormal DLCO. Thus, for those patients who have high D-dimer content, pulmonary rehabilitation should be needed subsequently [7], whereas Méndez et al. and Sibila et al. observed that patients with impaired DLCO also showed 3 months after discharged higher values of C-reactive protein (CRP), D-dimer and lactate

dehydrogenase (LDH) [31, 32], which is consistent with the data of our study. In addition, prolonged ICU and hospital stay and breathlessness were also associated with reduced DLCO [30].

A recent study states that patients with invasive mechanical ventilation had higher inflammation levels than those with non-invasive ventilation, which may indicate that cytokine patterns could be useful as a prognostic tool in terms of anti-inflammatory therapy (i.e. corticosteroids) tailoring and timely initiation of invasive mechanical ventilation [33].

In the Swiss national cohort, lower lung volumes (FVC and FEV1) 4 months after severe/critical COVID-19 were demonstrated in several patients; the higher FEV1/FVC ratio in the severe/critical subgroup suggests a tendency toward a restrictive physiology, and there was a negative correlation between the duration of mechanical ventilation during the acute disease and pulmonary function at 4-month follow-up [34].

However, there are several limitations in this study. It involves a limited number of participants who do not constitute a representative example of the population. Furthermore, it does not include COVID-19 survivors who were not hospitalized and it is likely that non-hospitalized COVID-19 survivors will have different rehabilitation needs to those who were hospitalized, so this needs further investigation. Moreover, the presence of different comorbidities in some of our patients may have impacted on symptoms reported in quality of life questionnaires. We carried out a single center study with a limited number of cases, being difficult to assess risk factors for disease severity and mortality with multivariable adjusted methods.

Conclusions

To conclude, after 3 months follow-up, the clinically significant drop seen in our cohort reflects the impact of the illness on quality of life. COVID-19 related breathlessness and psychological distress were commonly reported with greater prevalence in those needing ICU care. Rehabilitation care for COVID-19 survivors must, therefore, be considered to meet the needs of these patients. Rehabilitation starts with a diagnostic process aiming to discover what the patient's concerns are and to understand how they arise and may be afforded. In this context, the attachment of a Rehabilitation Unit to a respiratory medicine department may be a priority in COVID-19 patients not only at hospital stay but also after discharge, in order to improve patients disabilities with effective rehabilitation interventions and plans.

Both chest x-ray and pulmonary function test may be helpful as an aiding tool in the diagnosis and follow up in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia, as they could

play a central role in mid to long-term follow-up of COVID-19, assessing the radiological and functional sequelae of patients and identifying those who might require a closer follow-up.

Longer follow-up studies in a larger population are necessary to be carried out to obtain further data about consequences related to COVID-19.

Abbreviations

HRQoL	Health-related quality of life
EQ-5D	EuroQol five-dimension
E-VAS	EuroQol Visual Analogue Scale
QoL	Quality of life
ICU	Intensive care unit
IRCU	Intermediate respiratory care unit
FVC	Forced vital capacity
DLCO	Diffusing lung capacity of carbon monoxide
SARS-CoV-2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019
SARS	Severe acute respiratory syndrome
MERS	Middle East respiratory syndrome
ARDS	Acute respiratory distress syndrome
CXRs	Chest X-ray
ATS	American Thoracic Society
ERS	European Respiratory Society
PLS	Partial Least Squares regression
RMSECV	Root Mean Square Error in Cross-Validation
AUROC	Area Under the Receiver Operating Curve
KDE	Kernel Density Estimation
DD	D-dimer
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase.
mMRC	Modified MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL
BMI	Body mass index
CRP	C-reactive protein

Acknowledgements

NA

Authors' contributions

Dr. De Juana, Herrera and Martínez-Moragon designed the study, coordinated the authors team, collected and analysed data and wrote the main manuscript text. Mr Vitale and Mr Ferrer carried out the statistical analyses and reviewed the manuscript. Dr Ponce, Dr Calvache and Dr Dahmazi helped in the design of our database and collected data as well. Dr Valentin, López and Acosta helped by data collection.

Funding

NA.

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to the non-translation of the dataset into English language, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethical committee of University hospital Dr Peset, Valencia, Spain. Informed consent has been carried out for our patients and has been obtained from all the participants. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

NA.

Competing interests

I declare that the authors have no competing interests or other interests that might be perceived to influence the results and/or discussion reported in this paper.

Author details

¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, University Hospital Doctor Peset of Valencia, Valencia, Spain. ²University of Lille, CNRS, LASIRE, Lille, France. ³Polytechnic University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain.

Received: 28 April 2023 Accepted: 15 June 2023

Published online: 27 June 2023

References

- World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. covid19.who.int/
- Ahmed H, Patel K, Greenwood DC, et al. Long-term clinical outcomes in survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus outbreaks after hospitalisation or ICU admission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Rehabil Med*. 2020;52:jrm00063.
- Herridge MS, Moss M, Hough CL, et al. Recovery and outcomes after the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in patients and their family caregivers. *Intensive Care Med*. 2016;42(5):725–38.
- World Health Organization. (2004). The World Health Organization quality of life (WHOQOL) - BREF, 2012 revision. World Health Organization.
- So M, Kabata H, Fukunaga K, et al. Radiological and functional lung sequelae of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2021;21:97. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-021-01463-0>.
- Huang Y, Tan C, Wu J, et al. Impact of coronavirus disease 2019 on pulmonary function in early convalescence phase. *Respir Res*. 2020;21(1):163.
- Zhao YM, Shang YM, Song WB, et al. Follow-up study of the pulmonary function and related physiological characteristics of COVID-19 survivors three months after recovery. *EclinicalMedicine*. 2020;25: 100463.
- Raghu G, Wilson KC. COVID-19 interstitial pneumonia: monitoring the clinical course in survivors. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8:839–42.
- George PM, Barratt SL, Condliffe R, et al. Respiratory follow-up of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Thorax*. 2020;75:1009–101.
- Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. Encuesta Nacional de Salud. España. 2011/12. Calidad de vida relacionada con la salud en adultos: EQ-5D-5L. Serie Informes monográficos nº 3. Madrid: Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad, 2014.
- Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: A measure of healthstatus from the EuroQol Group. *Ann Med*. 2001;2001(33):337–43.
- Herdman M, Badia X, Berra S. The EuroQol-5D: a simple alternative for measuring health-related quality of life in primary care. *Aten Primaria*. 2001;28:425–9.
- Monaco CG, Zaottini F, Schiaffino S, et al. Chest x-ray severity score in COVID-19 patients on emergency department admission: a two-centre study. *Eur Radiol Exp*. 2020;4:68.
- Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller M, et al. Standardization of spirometry 2019 Update. An official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society technical statement. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2019;200:70–88.
- Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. *Eur Respir J*. 2005;26:948–68.
- Alciaturi C, Escobar M, De La Cruz C, et al. Partial least squares (PLS) regression and its application to coal analysis. *Revista Técnica de la Facultad de Ingeniería. Universidad del Zulia*; 26(3):197–204.
- Stam H, Stucki G, Bickenbach J. Covid-19 and post intensive care syndrome: a call for action. *J Rehabil Med*. 2020;52:jrm00044.
- González J, Benítez ID, Carmona P, et al. Pulmonary Function and Radiologic Features in Survivors of Critical COVID-19: A 3-Month Prospective Cohort. *Chest*. 2021 Jul;160(1):187–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2021.02.062>. Epub 2021 Mar 4. PMID: 33676998; PMCID: PMC7930807.
- Ping W, Zheng J, Niu X, et al. Evaluation of health-related quality of life using EQ-5D in China during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One*. 2020 Jun 18;15(6): e0234850. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234850>. PMID: 32555642; PMCID: PMC7302485.

20. Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, et al. 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2021 Jan 16;397(10270):220–232. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32656-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32656-8). Epub 2021 Jan 8. PMID: 33428867; PMCID: PMC7833295.
21. Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, et al. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric presentations associated with severe coronavirus infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7:611–27.
22. Kang L, Ma S, Chen M, et al. Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: A cross-sectional study. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.028> PMID: 32240764 37.
23. Cheung T, Fong T, Bressington D. COVID-19 under the SARS Cloud: Mental Health Nursing during the Pandemic in Hong Kong. <https://doi.org/10.1111/JPM.12639>
24. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Palacios-Ceña D, Gómez-Mayordomo V, et al. Fatigue and Dyspnoea as Main Persistent Post-COVID-19 Symptoms in Previously Hospitalized Patients: Related Functional Limitations and Disability. *Respiration*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000518854>.
25. Matute-Villaciés M, Moisés J, Embid C, et al. Role of respiratory intermediate care units during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2021;21:228. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-021-01593-5>.
26. Pelosi P, Tonelli R, Torregiani C, et al. Different Methods to Improve the Monitoring of Noninvasive Respiratory Support of Patients with Severe Pneumonia/ARDS Due to COVID-19: An Update. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11(6):1704. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11061704>.
27. Fogante M, Cavagna E, Rinaldi G. COVID-19 follow-up: Chest X-ray findings with clinical and radiological relationship three months after recovery. *Radiography*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2021.10.012>.
28. Sibila O, Molina-Molina M, Valenzuela C, et al. Documento de consenso de la Sociedad Española de Neumología y Cirugía Torácica (SEPAR) para el seguimiento clínico post-COVID-19. *Open Respiratory Archives*. 2020;2:278–83.
29. Ngai JC, Ko FW, Ng SS, et al. The long-term impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on pulmonary function, exercise capacity and health status. *Respirology*. 2021;15:543–50.
30. Wu X, Liu X, Zhou Y, et al. 3-month, 6-month, 9-month, and 12-month respiratory outcomes in patients following COVID-19-related hospitalisation: a prospective study. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. 2021;9:747–54.
31. Sibila O, et al. Lung function sequelae in COVID-19 patients 3 months after hospital discharge. *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2021;57(Suppl 2):59–61.
32. Méndez R, Latorre A, González-Jiménez P, et al. Reduced Diffusion Capacity in COVID-19 Survivors. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2021;18(7):1253–5.
33. Salton F, Confalonieri P, Campisciano G, et al. Cytokine Profiles as Potential Prognostic and Therapeutic Markers in SARS-CoV-2-Induced ARDS. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11(11):2951. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11112951>.
34. Guler SA, Ebner L, Aubry-Beigelman C, et al. Pulmonary function and radiological features 4 months after COVID-19: first results from the national prospective observational Swiss COVID-19 lung study. *Eur Respir J*. 2021;57(4):2003690. <https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.03690-2020>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

