

Performance of DETECT PAH algorithm according to the hemodynamic definition of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) in the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines: Early detection of pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis patients.

Oliver Distler, Diana Bonderman, J. Gerry Coghlan, Christopher P. Denton, Ekkehard Grünig, Dinesh Khanna, Vallerie V. Mclaughlin, Ulf Müller-Ladner, Janet E. Pope, Madelon C. Vonk, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Oliver Distler, Diana Bonderman, J. Gerry Coghlan, Christopher P. Denton, Ekkehard Grünig, et al.. Performance of DETECT PAH algorithm according to the hemodynamic definition of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) in the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines: Early detection of pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis patients.. Arthritis & rheumatology, 2023, Arthritis & rheumatology, 10.1002/art.42791 . hal-04513257

HAL Id: hal-04513257 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04513257

Submitted on 20 Mar 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Distler Oliver (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-2413-1959) Denton Christopher P (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-3975-8938) Khanna Dinesh (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1412-4453) Pope Janet E (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1479-5302) Vonk Madelon (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-2266-9907)

Title (150-character limit): Performance of DETECT PAH algorithm according to the hemodynamic definition of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) in the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines

Subtitle (150-character limit): Early detection of pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis patients

Authors: Oliver Distler, MD¹, Diana Bonderman, MD², J Gerry Coghlan, MD³, Christopher P Denton, MD, PhD⁴, Ekkehard Grünig, MD⁵, Dinesh Khanna, MD⁶, Vallerie V McLaughlin, MD⁶, Ulf Müller-Ladner, MD⁷, Janet E Pope, MD⁸, Madelon C Vonk MD, PhD⁹, Lilla Di Scala, PhD¹⁰, Jean-Christophe Lemarie, MSc¹¹, Loïc Perchenet, PhD^{12,13}, Éric Hachulla, MD, PhD¹⁴

Affiliations: ¹Oliver Distler: Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ²Diana Bonderman: Department of Internal Medicine II, Division of Cardiology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ³J Gerry Coghlan: Department of Cardiology, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK; ⁴Christopher P Denton: Centre for Rheumatology, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK; ⁵Ekkehard Grünig: Centre for Pulmonary Hypertension, Thoraxklinik at Heidelberg University Hospital, Translational Lung Research Center (TLRC), member of Deutsches Zentrum für Lungenforschung (DZL), Heidelberg, Germany; ⁶Dinesh Khanna, Vallerie V McLaughlin: Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA; ⁷Ulf Müller-Ladner: Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Campus Kerckhoff, Bad Nauheim, Germany; ⁸Janet E Pope: Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology. Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry. Western University of

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/art.42791

Canada, London, Ontario, Canada; ⁹Madelon C Vonk: Department of Rheumatology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ¹⁰Lilla di Scala: Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd, a Janssen Pharmaceutical Company of Johnson and Johnson, Allschwil, Switzerland; ¹¹Jean-Christophe Lemarie: Effi-Stat, Paris, France; ¹²Loïc Perchenet: Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd, a Janssen Pharmaceutical Company of Johnson and Johnson, Global Medical Affairs, Allschwil, Switzerland, ¹³Loïc Perchenet, current affiliation: Merck Sharp & Dohme, Zurich, Switzerland; ¹⁴Éric Hachulla: Department of Internal Medicine, University Lille Nord-de-France, Lille, France.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00706082

Role of the study sponsor

DETECT was funded by Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd, a Janssen Pharmaceutical Company of Johnson & Johnson, Allschwil, Switzerland.

Disclosures

OD has/had consultancy relationship with and/or has received research funding from and/or has served as a speaker for the following companies in the area of potential treatments for systemic sclerosis and its complications in the last three calendar years: 4P-Pharma, Abbvie, Acceleron, Alcimed, Altavant, Amgen, AnaMar, Argenx, Arxx, AstraZeneca, Blade, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Corbus, CSL Behring, Galderma, Galapagos, Glenmark, Gossamer, Horizon, Janssen, Kymera, Lupin, Medscape, Merck, Miltenyi Biotec, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Novartis, Orion, Prometheus, Redxpharma, Roivant, Topadur and UCB. Patent issued "mir-29 for the treatment of systemic sclerosis" (US8247389, EP2331143). Co-founder of CITUS AG. OD is Chair of the Executive Committee for the FOREUM Foundation, Co-chair for the ERS / European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) Guidelines, and a Member of the Board of Trustees for the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM).

DB has served as a data safety monitoring or advisory board member, and received speaker fees and grants from Alnylam, Novartis, Ionis and Pfizer; served as a data safety monitoring or advisory board member and received speaker fees from AstraZeneca and Boehringer Ingelheim; received consultant fees and grants from Sanofi; received speaker fees from Bayer; received grants from Abbott; and served in the ESC Working Group on Pulmonary Circulation and Right Ventricular Function.

JGC received consultant and speaker fees as well as research and travel grants from Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson; consultant fees from MSD and speaker fees from Inari.

cented Articl

CPD received consultant fees and grants from GSK and Inventiva; consultant and speaker fees from Boehringer Ingelheim; consultant fees from Bayer, Corbus, CSL Behring, Roche and Sanofi; received speaker fees from Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson; and grants from ARXX Therapeutics and Servier.

EG served as a steering committee member for, and received support for attending meetings and/or travel from Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson; received research grants and consultant fees from Bayer, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, MSD, Merck and Ferrer; received research grants to the institution from Acceleron, Bayer, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson, MSD, Liquidia, United Therapeutics and OMT;

received speaker fees from Bayer/MSD, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, and GSK; and served on an advisory board for MSD and Ferrer.

DK received consultant fees and grants from BMS and Horizon; received consultant fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Chemomab, Corbus, CSL Behring, Genentech / Roche, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, Prometheus, and Theraly; received research grants from Bayer and Pfizer.

VVM served as a steering committee member for Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson; received research grants from Aerovate, Altavant, Gossamer Bio, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, Merck, and SoniVie; and received consultant fees from Aerami, Aerovate, Altavant, Bayer, Caremark, Corvista, Gossamer Bio, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, L.L.C, Merck and United Therapeutics.

cepted Articl

MCV received research grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, Ferrer, Galapagos and Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson; received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson; received speaker fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, GSK, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, MSD, Novartis, and Roche; served as a data safety monitoring board member at Corbus; and is treasurer of EUSTAR.

LDS is an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson and owns stock / stock options for Idorsia, Novartis and Roche.

JCL is an employee of a company contracted by Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson.

LP was an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson at the time of data analysis and writing the manuscript.

EH received research funding from CSL Behring, GSK, Roche-Chugai, and Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson; received consulting fees, meeting fees and support for attending meetings and/or travel from Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, Roche-Chugai, Sanofi-Genzyme; received speaker fees from GSK, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, Roche-Chugai.

UML has received payment for honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing or educational events from Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson and participated on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board.

JEP has no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Corresponding author: Oliver Distler Address: Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital Zurich, Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich Phone: +41 44 255 29 70 E-mail: <u>Oliver.Distler@usz.ch</u> ORCID number: 0000-0002-0546-8310

Word count: 2405/2500
No. of Tables / Figures: 3 (max 3 figures and/or tables)
References: 22/15
Keywords: Pulmonary arterial hypertension, systemic sclerosis (2 required, max 5)

Tweet: The #DETECT pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) algorithm according to the 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines hemodynamic definition remains applicable to screen for #PAH in patients with #SystemicSclerosis

Objective

The evidence-based DETECT pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) algorithm is frequently used in systemic sclerosis (SSc) patients to help clinicians screen for PAH by using non-invasive data to recommend patient referral to echocardiography, and if applicable, for a diagnostic right heart catheterization (RHC). However, the hemodynamic definition of PAH was recently updated in the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines. The performance of DETECT PAH in identifying patients with a high risk of PAH according to this new definition was assessed.

Methods

In this *post-hoc* analysis of DETECT, which comprised 466 SSc patients the performance of the DETECT PAH algorithm in identifying patients with a high risk of PAH as defined in the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines (mean pulmonary arterial pressure [mPAP] >20 mmHg, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure [PCWP] \leq 15 mmHg, pulmonary vascular resistance [PVR] >2 Wood Units was assessed using summary statistics and was descriptively compared to the known performance of DETECT PAH as defined in 2014 when it was developed (mPAP \geq 25 mmHg and PCWP \leq 15 mmHg).

Results

The sensitivity of DETECT PAH in identifying patients with a high risk of PAH according to the 2022 ESC/ERS definition was lower (88.2%) compared to the 2014 definition (95.8%). Specificity improved from 47.8% to 50.8%.

Conclusion

The performance of the DETECT algorithm to screen for PAH in SSc patients is maintained when PAH is defined according to the 2022 ESC/ERS hemodynamic definition, indicating that DETECT remains applicable to screen for PAH in SSc patients.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00706082

INTRODUCTION

d Articl

ente

ACCE

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) patients have a high risk of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), with an estimated prevalence of 4-12% based on right heart catheterization (RHC) (1–4). Screening programs in SSc patients are recommended and can lead to prompt PAH diagnosis, early treatment and better prognosis (3, 5–7). This has recently been reflected in the 2022 European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines, which recommend the DETECT algorithm in adult patients with SSc of >3 years' disease duration, a forced vital capacity (FVC) ≥40%, and a pulmonary diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO) <60%, to identify asymptomatic patients with PAH (5, 6). The DETECT study (NCT00706082) developed an evidence-based detection algorithm for PAH in SSc patients (7). DETECT uses clinical and laboratory data alongside echocardiography to recommend patient referral for RHC (10), which is required to confirm PAH diagnosis (8, 9). The DETECT algorithm is highly sensitive, minimizes false negatives and can help identify milder PAH (7).

When the DETECT algorithm was developed, pre-capillary pulmonary hypertension (PH) was hemodynamically defined as mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) \geq 25 mmHg and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) \leq 15 mmHg (10). the 6th World Symposium on PH (6WSPH) to update the hemodynamic definition of PH, by lowering the mPAP threshold to >20 mmHg and to include PVR \geq 3 wood units (WU) as part of the hemodynamic definition of pre-capillary PH (11). Alternative PVR thresholds for pre-capillary PH were also discussed, as there is evidence that a PVR >2 WU is abnormal, and associated with reduced long-term survival (12, 13). These proposed updates have recently been confirmed in the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines,

which updated the definition of PH to mPAP >20 mmHg, and included a PVR >2 WU in the definition of pre-capillary PH (5, 6).

The DETECT algorithm, developed based on the PH/PAH definition that prevailed at the time of its development, is established in clinical practice and widely used (14) to recommend echocardiography and diagnostic RHCs to SSc patients when applicable (7). In this analysis, we examined the performance of the DETECT algorithm in screening for PAH when PAH is hemodynamically defined according to the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study design

DETECT (NCT00706082) was a large, international, multicenter, real-world, crosssectional study (7). DETECT was the first study to develop an evidence-based algorithm using non-invasive data for PAH in SSc patients. DETECT was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, its amendments, followed the International Conference on Harmonization Guideline for Good Clinical Practice, and was approved by local institutional review boards / ethics committees (Supplementary Appendix I). All patients provided written informed consent.

The DETECT algorithm is a two-step, internally validated process for screening of PAH in SSc patients (7). In Step 1, the patient is evaluated for non-echocardiographic variables and receives a risk score based on these variables. These include: FVC % predicted / DLCO % predicted, current / past telangiectasias, serum anticentromere antibodies, serum N-terminal probrain natriuretic peptide, serum urate, and right axis deviation (electrocardiogram). The total score of Step 1 determines whether the patient should be referred to echocardiography and thus undergo Step 2. In Step 2, two echocardiographic variables (right atrium area, tricuspid regurgitant jet velocity) are evaluated and combined with the previous risk score from Step 1. Step 1 can also directly be combined with Step 2. This overall risk score then recommends an RHC to confirm suspected PAH.

Patient population

DETECT (7) enrolled patients aged ≥18 years with a diagnosis of SSc (diagnosed according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria (15) with a duration of >3 years from first non-Raynaud's symptom and a predicted DLCO of <60% (to increase

the proportion of patients at higher risk of PAH). The exclusion criteria included confirmation of PH by RHC prior to enrollment, use of PH-specific therapy, an FVC <40% of predicted, renal insufficiency, previous evidence of clinically relevant left heart disease, or pregnancy. During DETECT, data were collected on a broad range of variables within the following four groups; (1) demographic and clinical parameters; (2) serum tests; (3) electrocardiography; (4) echocardiography. The confirmatory diagnosis by RHC was performed subsequent to this data collection to minimize bias. RHC and echocardiography were conducted according to standardized procedures. All patients who had an RHC during the DETECT study (7) were included in this posthoc analysis. Patients were classified as non-PH, World Health Organization (WHO) Group 1 PH (PAH), WHO Group 2 PH, or WHO Group 3 PH. For the main analysis, patients were classified according to the updated hemodynamic definitions for PAH recommended in the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines (5, 6) (Supplementary Table 1): Non-**PH:** mPAP ≤20 mmHg; **PH:** mPAP >20 mmHg; **PAH:** mPAP >20 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHq, PVR >2 WU and (FVC >70% or [FVC 60-70% plus high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) showing mild-moderate or no parenchymal lung disease]); WHO Group 2 PH (PH due to left heart disease): mPAP >20 mmHg, PCWP >15 mmHg; WHO Group 3 PH (PH due to lung disease / hypoxia): mPAP >20 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg, PVR >2 WU and (FVC <60% or [FVC 60-70% plus HRCT not available or showing moderate-severe parenchymal lung disease]). In an additional analysis, patients were classified as above, but the PVR threshold ≥3 WU that was proposed at the 6WSPH was used to define PAH (6WSPH definition; Supplementary Table 1) (11).

Analysis and statistical methodology

In this post-hoc study, the published algorithm, a CE (Conformité Européenne)marked product, was evaluated without further development. The reported performance evaluation is research based only and does not apply to the claimed performance of the CE-marked product. The DETECT algorithm was applied to all patients as in the original publication (7); missing values were not replaced or imputed when calculating the Step 1 and Step 2 scores of the non-PH and PAH patients. If one of the six non-echocardiographic variables was missing, the total score of Step 1 could not be calculated and the patient could not be classified. If the total score of Step 1 could be calculated with a result leading the patient to be referred to echocardiography but one of the two echocardiographic variables was missing, the total score of Step 2 could not be calculated and the patient could not be classified. Performance summary statistics (Supplementary Appendix II) were then calculated from the observed algorithm decisions compared to diagnoses based on RHC. For comparison, results for patients classified using the hemodynamic definition in the prevailing guidelines (10, 16, 17) at the time of the DETECT publication (2014 definition; Supplementary Table 1) are also shown.

RESULTS

d Article

Accepte

The 466 SSc patients with RHC data who enrolled in DETECT were included in this analysis. Patient characteristics of the non-PH and PAH populations according to the 2022 ESC/ERS, 6WSPH and 2014 definitions are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Patient classification using the 2022 ESC/ERS definition is summarized in Figure 1 alongside the distribution using the 2014 definition. The number of non-PH patients decreased from 321 (2014 definition) to 242. Of the 79 patients who were re-classified from non-PH to PH by the 2022 ESC/ERS definition, 32 had an mPAP of 21 – 24 mmHg and a PVR >2 WU and were classified as PAH (Supplementary Table 3). Of the 87 patients originally classified as PAH by the 2014 definition, 82 remained classified as PAH. Using a PVR threshold of >2 WU resulted in five patients classified as PAH using the 2014 definition now being grouped under non-classified PH. The distribution of patients with mPAP 21 – 24 mmHg stratified by PVR is summarized in Supplementary Figure 1. Altogether, classifying patients according to the 2022 ESC/ERS definition led to an increase in the number of patients classified as PAH from 87 to 114 patients.

Performance of DETECT

The sensitivity of the DETECT algorithm decreased from 95.8% to 88.2% (i.e., an increase in the false negative rate from 4.2% to 11.8%), while specificity improved from 47.8% to 50.8%, when PH/PAH was defined according to the 2022 ESC/ERS definition compared to the 2014 definition (Table 1, Figure 2). The positive predictive value (PPV) improved from 34.8% to 46.9% and the negative predictive value (NPV) deteriorated from 97.5% to 89.7%. The referral rate for RHC was 62.1%, consistent with that for the 2014 definition.

An additional analysis was performed using the 6WSPH definition, which used a PVR cut-off of ≥3 WU (6WSPH definition; Supplementary Appendix III). In this analysis, the sensitivity of DETECT slightly decreased from 95.8% to 92.7% (i.e., an increase in the false negative rate from 4.2% to 7.3%) and the specificity slightly improved from 47.8% to 50.8% (Table 1, Supplementary Figure 2). There was a slight improvement in the PPV from 34.8% to 35.4%, and a decrease in the NPV from 97.5% to 96.0%. The referral rate for RHC was 59.0% when PH/PAH was defined according to the 6WSPH definition, versus 62.1% when defined according to the 2014 definition. For the 82 patients screened as true PAH positive using the DETECT algorithm with the 2022 ESC/ERS definition (Figure 2), the mean (SD) mPAP was 31.1 (8.5) mmHg and the mean (SD) PVR was 4.5 (2.6) WU. For the 69 patients screened as true PAH positive using the DETECT algorithm with the 2014 definition (Figure 2), the mean (SD) mPAP was 33.0 (8.0) mmHg and mean (SD) PVR was 4.8 (2.6) WU. For the 51 patients screened as true PAH positive using the DETECT algorithm with the 6WSPH definition (Supplementary Figure 2), the mean (SD) mPAP was 35.0 (8.3) mmHg and mean (SD) PVR was 5.7 (2.6) WU. The hemodynamics for the 11 false negative PAH patients using the DETECT algorithm with the 2022 ESC/ERS definition are presented in Supplementary Table 4.

DISCUSSION

d Articl

Accebte

Screening for PAH in SSc patients improves early detection of PAH and is associated with better outcomes (3, 18). The DETECT algorithm is one of the most commonly used composite screening modalities for PAH in SSc patients (14). The hemodynamic definition of PAH has recently been updated in the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines to include a lower mPAP threshold (mPAP >20 mmHg versus mPAP ≥25 mmHg) and a PVR cut-off (≥2 WU) (5, 6, 11). In support of this new definition, a recent study showed that a mPAP 21 – 24 mmHg and PVR >2 – ≤3 WU was associated with poorer survival in a PH referral population (19). It is therefore important to understand how the DETECT algorithm performs in this new context. In this study, we took advantage of the large DETECT study dataset, which is unique as RHC, the gold standard diagnostic procedure for PAH, was performed in all patients after application of the DETECT algorithm, and therefore allows testing of the false negative rate.

DETECT algorithm performed well at identifying patients with PAH as defined in the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines, which includes a PVR >2 WU. The specificity was comparable to that observed when PAH was defined according to the prevailing guidelines at the time of publication of DETECT (7) (50.8% versus 47.8%), although sensitivity was slightly lower (88.2% versus 95.8%). This slight decrease in sensitivity is likely due to patients with mildly elevated mPAP (21 – 24 mmHg) and with a PVR (between 2 and 3 WU, indicative of less advanced disease, being included in the PAH group when using the 2022 ESC/ERS definition. When applying the hemodynamic definition proposed at the 6WSPH, the DETECT algorithm also performed well with a sensitivity of 92.7% and specificity of 50.8%.

The results reported here are consistent with previous studies investigating SSc patients with mPAP >20 mmHg. One retrospective study evaluated the effect of the

hemodynamic definition proposed at the 6WSPH on the PH classification of SSc patients (20). Similar to our findings, the update did not have a significant impact on the diagnosis of PH (20). Furthermore, it has been shown that SSc patients with mildly elevated mPAP (21 – 24 mmHg) often have a PVR between >2 and <3 WU, have a preserved function at rest (13, 21), and can be distinguished from patients with normal mPAP (<21 mmHg) and those with PAH (mPAP \geq 25 mmHg) based on clinical parameters (22). Altogether, these results support the use of DETECT PAH as a clinical screening tool for PAH in SSc patients.

This analysis provides insights on how the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines and 6WSPH proceedings impact the number of patients in the DETECT study who would be classified as PH, and more importantly here, as PAH. Intuitively, lowering the mPAP threshold should lead to an increase in the number of patients classified as PAH. However, as demonstrated here, this was only true when this criterion was combined with a PVR of >2 WU, (and not when a PVR threshold of ≥3 WU was used to define PAH). This shows that, at least among SSc patients, those with a low mPAP tend to also have a rather low PVR (i.e., <3 WU); as such, lowering the mPAP threshold used to define PAH only significantly impacts the number of PAH patients identified when the threshold for PVR is also lowered to >2 WU. Furthermore, although the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines updated the definition of pre-capillary PH, they did not recommend use of a specific PAH therapy for the management of PAH patients with a PVR of 2 – 3 WU; such patients may therefore be appropriate for close follow-up in the clinic, as well as further investigation via a dedicated randomized clinical trial. Changing the hemodynamic definition of PH/PAH also led to an increase in the number of PH patients not classified, however this number was relatively modest with

the definition recommended by the 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines. Hence, the size of this population can be expected to be small in clinical practice.

It is important to note that the DETECT cohort was recruited from 2008–2011 (7), and may therefore not be fully representative of the contemporary SSc patient population. Patients with DLCO \geq 60% were excluded from the DETECT study to enrich for patients at high risk of PAH, therefore the results of this study may not be representative of the general SSc population. However, patients with DLCO \geq 60% carry little risk of PAH and, this low prevalence combined with the current tools, renders routine screening ineffective.

In conclusion, the DETECT algorithm maintains good performance, with high sensitivity and reduced false negative rate, in identifying patients with a high risk of PAH in SSc. The DETECT algorithm remains applicable in clinical practice as a screening tool for PAH.

Accepted Articl

Data Availability Statement

Although these data are not currently publicly available for sharing, requests for sharing can be sent to the Corresponding Author and will be evaluated on an individual basis.

Acknowledgements

Medical writing assistance was provided by Anna Chapman-Barnes and Jatta Huotari (eluSCIdate ltd, Meggen, Switzerland), funded by Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd, a Janssen Pharmaceutical Company of Johnson & Johnson, Allschwil, Switzerland.

REFERENCES

rtic

7

(eDT)

- Avouac J, Airò P, Meune C et al. Prevalence of pulmonary hypertension in systemic sclerosis in European Caucasians and metaanalysis of 5 studies. J Rheumatol 2010;37:2290–8.
- Hachulla E, Gressin V, Guillevin L et al. Early detection of pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis: a French nationwide prospective multicenter study. Arthritis Rheum 2005;52:3792–800.
- Morrisroe K, Stevens W, Sahhar J et al. Epidemiology and disease characteristics of systemic sclerosis-related pulmonary arterial hypertension: results from a real-life screening programme. Arthritis Res Ther 2017;19:42.
- Mukerjee D, St George D, Coleiro B et al. Prevalence and outcome in systemic sclerosis associated pulmonary arterial hypertension: application of a registry approach. Ann Rheum Dis 2003;62:1088–93.
- Humbert M, Kovacs G, Hoeper MM et al. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J 2023;61:2200879.
- Humbert M, Kovacs G, Hoeper MM et al. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Heart J 2022;43:3618– 731.
- Coghlan JG, Denton CP, Grunig E et al. Evidence-based detection of pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis: the DETECT study. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;73:1340–9.
- Galiè N, Humbert M, Vachiery JL et al. 2015 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension: The Joint Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS): Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC), International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT). Eur Respir J 2015;46:903–75.
- 9. Galiè N, Humbert M, Vachiéry JL et al. 2015 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension: The Joint Task Force for

the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS): Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC), International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT). Eur Heart J 2016;37:67–119.

- 10. Galiè N, Hoeper MM, Humbert M et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS), endorsed by the International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT). Eur Heart J 2009;30:2493–537.
- Simonneau G, Montani D, Celermajer DS et al. Haemodynamic definitions and updated clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J 2019;53:1801913.
- 12. Hoeper MM, Bogaard HJ, Condliffe R et al. Definitions and diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:D42-50.
- Xanthouli P, Jordan S, Milde N et al. Haemodynamic phenotypes and survival in patients with systemic sclerosis: the impact of the new definition of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:370–8.
- Bruni C, Luca G de, Lazzaroni M-G et al. Screening for pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis: A systematic literature review. Eur J Intern Med 2020;78:17–25.
- 15. Masi A, Rodnan GP, Medsger, T.A., Jr. et al. Preliminary criteria for the classification of systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). Subcommittee for scleroderma criteria of the American Rheumatism Association Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee. Arthritis Rheum 1980;23:581–90.
- 16. Badesch DB, Champion HC, Sanchez MA et al. Diagnosis and assessment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:S55-S66.
- 17. Simonneau G, Robbins IM, Beghetti M et al. Updated clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:S43-S54.

- Humbert M, Yaici A, de Groote P et al. Screening for pulmonary arterial hypertension in patients with systemic sclerosis: Clinical characteristics at diagnosis and long-term survival. Arthritis and Rheumatology 2011;63:3522–30.
- Karia N, Howard L, Johnson M et al. Predictors of outcomes in mild pulmonary hypertension according to 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines: the EVIDENCE-PAH UK study. Eur Heart J 2023;44:4678–91.
- 20. Jaafar S, Visovatti S, Young A et al. Impact of the revised haemodynamic definition on the diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension in patients with systemic sclerosis. Eur Respir J 2019;54:1900586.
- 21. Haque A, Kiely DG, Kovacs G et al. Pulmonary hypertension phenotypes in patients with systemic sclerosis. Eur Respir Rev 2021;30:210053.
- 22. Visovatti SH, Distler O, Coghlan JG et al. Borderline pulmonary arterial pressure in systemic sclerosis patients: a post-hoc analysis of the DETECT study. Arthritis Res Ther 2014;16:493.

	Table 1: Performance of		
0			
ť1			
	Sensitivity		
	Specificity		
	PPV (RHC hit rate)		
	NPV		
0	False negative rate		
<u> </u>	Referral rate		
	PAH defined as: mPAP >2		
	mmHg (2014 definition); m		
θ	mmHg (2022 ESC/ERS de		
\mathbf{O}	total of non-PH and PAH p		
\mathbf{C}	to missing data when appl		
	Cardiology/European Res		
\checkmark			

Table 1: Performance of the DETECT algorithm when applying the 2014, 6WSPH and 2022 ESC/ERS definitions

2022 ESC/ERS definition

n = 282*

Sensitivity	88.2%	95.8%	92.7%
Specificity	50.8%	47.8%	50.8%
PPV (RHC hit rate)	46.9%	34.8%	35.4%
NPV	89.7%	97.5%	96.0%
False negative rate	11.8%	4.2%	7.3%
Referral rate	62.1%	62.1%	59.0%

2014 definition

n = 319*

6WSPH definition

n = 244*

PAH defined as: mPAP >20 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg, PVR >2 WU (2022 ESC/ERS definition); mPAP ≥25 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg (2014 definition); mPAP >20 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg, PVR ≥3 WU (6WSPH definition); non-PH defined as: mPAP ≤20 mmHg (2022 ESC/ERS definition); mPAP <25 mmHg (2014 definition); mPAP ≤20 mmHg (6WSPH definition). *n number is the total of non-PH and PAH patients with available data to enable application of DETECT; 74, 89 and 66 patients were removed due to missing data when applying the 2022 ESC/ERS, 2014 and 6WSPH definitions, respectively. ESC/ERS, European Society of Cardiology/European Respiratory Society; mPAP, mean pulmonary arterial pressure; NPV, negative predictive value; PAH,

pulmonary arterial hypertension; PH, pulmonary hypertension; PPV, positive predictive value; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; RHC, right heart catheterization; 6WSPH, 6th PH World Symposium; WU, Wood Units.

FIGURE LEGENDS

d Artic

Accepte

Figure 1: Patient classification for the DETECT study population using the 2014 and 2022 ESC/ERS definition. N = 466, all with RHC performed; amPAP <25 mmHg; ^bmPAP ≥25 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg and (FVC >70% or [FVC 60-70% plus HRCT showing mild-moderate or no parenchymal lung disease]); ^cmPAP ≥25 mmHg, PCWP >15 mmHg; ^dmPAP ≥25 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg and (FVC <60%) or [FVC 60-70% plus HRCT not available or showing moderate-severe parenchymal lung disease]); ^emPAP ≥25 mmHg, PCWP missing; ^fmPAP ≤20 mmHg; ^gmPAP >20 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg, PVR >2 WU and (FVC >70% or [FVC 60-70% plus HRCT showing mild-moderate or no parenchymal lung disease]); ^hmPAP >20 mmHg, PCWP >15 mmHg; ⁱmPAP >20 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg, PVR >2 WU and (FVC <60% or [FVC 60-70% plus HRCT not available or showing moderate-severe parenchymal lung disease]); $imPAP > 20 mmHg and either PCWP missing or PVR \leq 2$ WU; ^k2 patients had missing PCWP values and 34 patients had PCWP ≤15 mmHg and/or PVR ≤2 WU. ESC/ERS, European Society of Cardiology/European Respiratory Society; FVC, forced vital capacity; HRCT, high resolution computed tomography; LHD, left heart disease; mPAP, mean pulmonary arterial pressure; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PCWP, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; PH, pulmonary hypertension; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; RHC, right heart catheterization; WHO, World Health Organization; WU, Wood Units.

Figure 2: Two-step DETECT decision tree for non-PH and PAH patients using the 2014 and 2022 ESC/ERS definition. Data for the 2014 definition have been previously published (7). PAH defined as: mPAP ≥25 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg (2014 definition); mPAP >20 mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg, PVR >2 WU (2022 ESC/ERS definition); non-PH defined as: mPAP <25 mmHg (2014 definition); mPAP ≤20 mmHg (2022 ESC/ERS definition). ACA, anticentromere antibody; DLCO, pulmonary diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; ECG, electrocardiogram; ESC/ERS, European Society of Cardiology/European Respiratory Society; FVC, forced vital capacity; mPAP, mean pulmonary arterial pressure; NTproBNP, Nterminal probrain natriuretic peptide; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PH, pulmonary hypertension; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; TR, tricuspid regurgitant jet; WU, Wood Units.

5							
2014 definition	2022 ESC/ERS definition						
Frequency	Non-PH ^f	WHO Group 1	WHO Group 2	WHO Group 3	Non-classified	Total	
		PH (PAH) ^g	PH (PH due to	PH (PH due to	PH ^j		
			LHD) ^h	lung disease /			
				hypoxia) ⁱ			
Non-PH ^a	242	32	5	14	28	321	
WHO Group 1 PH (PAH) ^b	0	82	0	0	5	87	
WHO Group 2 PH	0	0	30	0	0	30	
(PH und to LHD) ^c	Ŭ			Ŭ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Who Group 3 PH (PH due	0	0	0	25	2	27	
to lung disease / hypoxia) ^d	0		U U	20	2	21	
Non-classified PH ^e	0	0	0	0	1	1	
Tota.	242	114	35	39	36 ^k	466	

Figure 1_600dpi.tiff

Acc

