

# COVID-19 presentation and outcomes in patients with inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases receiving IL6-receptor antagonists prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Cloé Comarmond, Elodie Drumez, Julien Labreuche, Eric Hachulla, Thierry Thomas, René-Marc Flipo, Raphaëlle Seror, Jérôme Avouac, Nathalie Balandraud, Renaud Desbarbieux, et al.

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Cloé Comarmond, Elodie Drumez, Julien Labreuche, Eric Hachulla, Thierry Thomas, et al.. COVID-19 presentation and outcomes in patients with inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases receiving IL6-receptor antagonists prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Journal of Translational Autoimmunity, 2023, Journal of Translational Autoimmunity, 6, pp.100190. 10.1016/j.jtauto.2023.100190. hal-04533979

## HAL Id: hal-04533979 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04533979

Submitted on 5 Apr 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Journal of Translational Autoimmunity

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-translational-autoimmunity





# COVID-19 presentation and outcomes in patients with inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases receiving IL6-receptor antagonists prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection

Cloé Comarmond <sup>a,\*</sup>, Elodie Drumez <sup>b</sup>, Julien Labreuche <sup>b</sup>, Eric Hachulla <sup>c</sup>, Thierry Thomas <sup>d</sup>, René-Marc Flipo <sup>e</sup>, Raphaëlle Seror <sup>f</sup>, Jérôme Avouac <sup>g</sup>, Nathalie Balandraud <sup>h</sup>, Renaud Desbarbieux <sup>i</sup>, Renaud Felten <sup>j</sup>, Mélanie Gilson <sup>k</sup>, Marie-Hélène Guyot <sup>l</sup>, Ambre Hittinger-Roux <sup>m</sup>, Thao Pham <sup>h</sup>, Myriam Renard <sup>n</sup>, Nicolas Roux <sup>o</sup>, Vincent Sobanski <sup>c</sup>, Anne Tournadre <sup>p</sup>, Christophe Richez <sup>q</sup>, Patrice Cacoub <sup>r,\*\*</sup>, on behalf of the FAI2 R/SFR/SNFMI/SOFREMIP/CRI/IMIDIATE consortium and contributors

- a Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Immunology, Lariboisière Hospital, Université de Paris, 75010, Paris, France
- b ULR 2694 METRICS: Évaluation des Technologies de Santé et des Pratiques Médicales, Université de Lille, CHU Lille, Lille, France
- <sup>c</sup> Université de Lille, INSERM, CHU Lille, Service de Médecine Interne et Immunologie Clinique, Centre de Référence des Maladies Autoimmunes Systémiques Rares Du Nord et Nord-Ouest de France, U1286—INFINITE: Institute for Translational Research in Inflammation, France
- <sup>d</sup> Rheumatology, CHU de Saint-Etienne Hôpital Nord, France
- <sup>e</sup> Rheumatology, CHRU de Lille, France
- f Rheumatology, APHP Hôpital Bicêtre, Kremlin-Bicêtre, France
- g Rheumatology, APHP Hôpital Cochin, Université de, Paris, France
- h Rheumatology, APHM Hôpital Sainte-Marguerite, Marseille, France
- <sup>i</sup> Rheumatology, CH de Boulogne-sur-Mer, France
- j Rheumatology, CHRU de Strasbourg Hôpital de Hautepierre, France
- <sup>k</sup> Rheumatology, CHU de Grenoble Hôpital Sud, France
- <sup>1</sup> Rheumatology, CH de Roubaix, France
- <sup>m</sup> Rheumatology, CHU de Reims, France
- <sup>n</sup> Rheumatology, CH Métropole-Savoie Hôpital Reine Hortense, France
- o Rheumatology, Hôpitaux Privés de Metz Hôpital Belle-Isle, France
- <sup>p</sup> Rheumatology, CHU de Clermont-Ferrand Hôpital Gabriel Montpied, France
- $^{\mathrm{q}}$  Rheumatology, GH Pellegrin CHU Bordeaux, France
- <sup>r</sup> Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Immunology, Pitié-Salpètrière Hospital, Centre de Référence des Maladies Auto-Immunes Systémiques Rares, Sorbonne Université, UMR 959, 75013, Paris, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: M.E. Gershwin

Keywords: COVID-19 Inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases Anti-IL6

#### ABSTRACT

Objective: COVID-19 outcome may be less favourable in patients with inflammatory rheumatic and musculo-skeletal diseases (RMD) receiving immunosuppressive therapy. We aimed to investigate whether RMD patients on anti-IL6 therapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection have less severe disease and better outcomes of COVID-19. *Methods*: We conducted a retrospective national, multicentre cohort study using data from the French RMD COVID-19 cohort. We compared the severity and outcome of highly suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection in RMD patients previously treated with tocilizumab or sarilumab (anti-IL6 group) with patients who did not receive anti-IL6 therapy (no anti-IL6 group).

Results: Data were collected for 1883 patients with mean age of 55.2 years [SD 16.7] and 1256 (66.7%) female. Two hundred ten (11.1%) developed severe COVID-19 and 115 (6.4%) died. After adjusting for potential confounding factors, severe COVID-19 was less frequent in the anti-IL6 group compared with the no anti-IL6 group (aOR for moderate vs. mild severity, 0.23 [95% CI, 0.10 to 0.54],  $p \le 0.01$  and aOR for severe vs. mild, 0.29 [95% CI, 0.10 to 0.81],  $p \le 0.01$ ). No significant differences were found for the evolution of COVID-19 between

E-mail addresses: chloe.comarmondortoli@aphp.fr (C. Comarmond), patrice.cacoub@aphp.fr (P. Cacoub).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtauto.2023.100190

Received 6 January 2023; Accepted 12 January 2023

Available online 14 January 2023

2589-9090/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author.

the anti-IL6 group and the no anti-IL6 group (aOR for recovery with sequelae vs recovery without sequelae, 0.78 [95% CI, 0.41 to 1.48] and aOR for death vs recovery without sequelae, 0.29 [95% CI, 0.07 to 1.30]). *Conclusion:* RMD patients receiving anti-IL6 therapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection have less severe forms of COVID-19. No difference was observed in COVID-19 evolution, i.e., sequelae or death, between the groups.

#### Introduction

The clinical spectrum of SARS-CoV-2 infection is quite broad, ranging from asymptomatic to life-threatening or fatal disease. Older age, male sex, obesity, hypertension, diabetes, and immunocompromised status linked to malignancy or transplantation are considered risk factors for developing severe forms of COVID-19. Immunosuppression due to immunosuppressors increases the incidence and severity of many infectious diseases [1–3].

The course of COVID-19 may be less favourable in patients with inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases (RMD) who are receiving immunosuppressive therapy such as biologics or DMARDs prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection [4]. Information on the clinical outcomes of COVID-19 in RMD patients receiving anti-IL6 therapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection remains limited, but there does n-ot seem to be an association with COVID-19-related death, as opposed to rituximab and sulfasalazine [5]. Rituximab used in patients with RMD is associated severe COVID-19 outcomes and impaired anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccine response [6,7]. For these reasons, during the COVID-19 pandemic some physicians and RMD patients may have decided to discontinue immunosuppressive therapy, although the need for their continued use remains a challenging question. A growing body of evidence shows that patients on long-term immunosuppressive therapy do not fare worse than their counterparts in terms of COVID-19 outcomes [8]. Direct correlation between the cytokine storm resulting from a sudden acute increase in circulating levels of various pro-inflammatory cytokines and disease severity with lung injury and poor prognosis is reported in patients with COVID-19 [9]. Due to their anti-inflammatory effects, immunosuppressive drugs have been broadly used as a treatment for COVID-19 to reduce the cytokine storm, a major cause of COVID-19 mortality [10,11]. For example, dexamethasone reduced progression to respiratory failure and death in hospitalised COVID-19 patients receiving respiratory support [12]. Tocilizumab improved outcomes and survival in critically ill patients with COVID-19 receiving organ support in the intensive care unit [13–15]. Inversely, tocilizumab was not effective for preventing intubation or death in moderately ill hospitalised patients with Covid-19 [16]. The possibility of a "protective" effect of anti-IL6 drugs prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection remains an unanswered question. We retrospectively compared COVID-19 severity and outcomes in the French RMD COVID-19 cohort according to whether RMD patients had received or not anti-IL6 therapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection.

#### Methods

#### Study design and patients

This multicentre, national cohort study analysed data from the French RMD COVID-19 cohort, which has been previously described [6, 17]. Briefly, the French RMD COVID-19 cohort included patients aged 18 years or older with confirmed inflammatory RMD and a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 with nasal swabs/serology or highly suspected disease with compatible symptoms (any three or more of the following signs or symptoms: fever, general weakness or fatigue, headache, anosmia, ageusia, cough, chills, diarrhoea, myalgia, shortness of breath, high-risk exposure and close contact, chest CT findings suggestive of COVID-19 pneumonia). The study was done in compliance with the research methodology MR-004 (research not involving humans connected to studies and evaluations in the field of health); it was approved

by Lille University Hospital (Lille, France) and was declared to the Data Protection Authority for France (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés) (reference DEC20-107).

#### Data collection

All cases of patients with inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases and highly suspected or confirmed COVID-19 were reported retrospectively. Individual data regarding diagnosis of or specific ongoing treatments for inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases were captured from physicians via a single national data entry portal. Data collected from patients medical records have been previously described in detail [6,17]. The data cut-off date was June 15, 2021. Before dataset lock, the final database was monitored to collect missing data, validate the evolution of COVID-19, remove duplicate or erroneous reports, and check data consistency.

#### Severity and outcomes of COVID-19

The primary objective of the study was to compare the severity of COVID-19 disease between RMD patients treated with tocilizumab or sarilumab (anti-IL6 group) prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection and RMD patients who did not receive anti-IL6 therapy but might have received various biologics or immunosuppressors at the time of SARS-CoV-2 infection (no anti-IL6 group). The severity of COVID-19 illness was defined according to the care required by each patient: mild COVID-19 required ambulatory care; moderate COVID-19 required non-intensive hospital treatment; and severe COVID-19 required admission to an intensive care unit (ICU) or led to death.

The secondary objective of the study was the outcome of COVID-19 in RMD patients treated with tocilizumab or sarilumab prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection (anti-IL6 group) compared with RMD patients who did not receive anti-IL6 therapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection (no anti-IL6 group). The outcome of COVID-19 was defined as recovery without sequelae, recovery with sequelae, or death. The assessment of sequelae was left to the discretion of the clinician. Various sequelae were reported in the completed form of the French RMD cohort: asthenia, weakness, anosmia or hypoonosmia, dysgeusia, persistent dyspnoea.

#### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as numbers (percentage), and quantitative variables as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Comparisons of COVID-19 outcomes were made using a multinomial logistic regression model between RMD patients receiving anti-IL6 therapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection and those receiving non-anti-IL6 therapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection (control groups). To consider the potential confounding factors, comparisons were made with and without adjustment for pre-specified confounding factors (i.e., age, sex, BMI, hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease). We used RMD patients not treated with anti-IL6 therapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection as a control group (no anti-IL6 group). We also used RMD patients treated with any nonanti-IL6 biotherapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection (i.e., anti-TNF, anti-IL17A, anti-IL1, abatacept, JAK inhibitor and rituximab) as a control group (non-anti-IL6 biotherapy group), and there was a sub-group excluding RMD patients treated with rituximab prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection (any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy except rituximab) due to the potential deleterious effect of rituximab on the severity and outcomes of COVID-19 [6]. We used RMD patients treated with anti-TNFα therapy

prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection as a control group (anti-TNF group) due to the potential "protective" effect on COVID-19 severity, similar to that of anti-IL6 therapy.

Unadjusted and adjusted multinomial odds-ratios (OR/aOR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated as effect size using non-anti-IL6 treated patients as reference groups. To avoid case deletion in analyses, missing data for outcomes and pre-specified confounding factors were imputed by simple imputation using the regression-switching approach. The imputation procedure was performed under the missing-at-random assumption, with the predictive mean-matching method for continuous variables and logistic regression (binary, ordinal, or multinomial) models for categorical variables. All statistical tests were performed at the two-tailed  $\alpha$  level of 0.05 using SAS software, 9.4 release (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

#### Results

Data were collected between April 15, 2020, and June 15, 2021 from 1883 patients with RMD (mean age 55.2 years [SD 16.7]); 1256 (66.7%) of them were female. Seventy-three (3.9%) of the 1883 patients were treated with anti-IL6 therapy, mainly for chronic inflammatory arthritis (52 [71.2%] of 73), and large-vessel vasculitis (16 [21.9%]; Table 1). Of the 73 RMD patients treated with anti-IL6 therapy, 6 were treated with sarilumab and 67 with tocilizumab. Of the anti-IL6 patients, 30 were treated with intravenous anti-IL6 therapy, 41 were treated with the subcutaneous form, and 2 were unknown. Patients who received anti-IL6 therapy were more likely to be female, and showed higher prevalence rates of cardiovascular diseases, BMI (kg/m²)  $\geq$ 40, hypertension and corticosteroid use than those who did not receive anti-IL6 therapy. The details of the diagnoses are given in Supplemental Table 1.

#### Anti-IL6 treatment and COVID-19 severity

In the overall population, 1263 (67.1%) of the 1883 patients with RMD developed mild COVID-19, 410 (21.8%) moderate COVID-19 and 210 (11.1%) severe COVID-19 (Table 2). The distribution of COVID-19 severity was significantly different according to the use or non-use of anti-IL6 treatment (p < 0.001), with less frequent severe disease in the anti-IL6 group compared with the no anti-IL6 group (aOR for moderate vs. mild, 0.23 [95% CI, 0.10 to 0.54], p=0.01 and aOR for severe vs. mild, 0.29 [95% CI, 0.10 to 0.81],  $p \le 0.05$ ; Fig. 1A). Using patients treated with any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy as the control group, we also found a significant difference in COVID-19 severity in favour of anti-IL6 treated patients (aOR for moderate vs mild, 0.35 [95% CI, 0.15 to 0.83],  $p \le 0.05$ ), although the difference did not reach statistical significance for severe versus mild COVID-19 disease (aOR, 0.40 [95% CI, 0.14 to 1.15]). The use of glucocorticoids (GC) in anti-IL6 and non-anti-IL6 treated patients seemed worse COVID-19 infection severity and outcome (data not shown). When patients treated only with rituximab were used as a control group, the distribution of COVID-19 severity was also significantly different (p < 0.001), with a greater effect size in favour of anti-IL6 treated patients (aOR of moderate vs mild, 0.12 [95% CI, 0.04 to 0.32] and aOR for severe vs mild, 0.08 [95% CI, 0.03 to 0.26]). When using anti-TNF-treated patients as controls, no significant difference in the distribution of COVID-19 severity was found. Finally, when patients treated with any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy except rituximab were used as a control group, the difference in COVID-19 severity grade was not significant, although a lower risk of moderate infection relative or mild infection was found (aOR, 0.40 [95% CI, 0.16 to 0.97],  $p \leq 0.05$ ). COVID-19 severity according to the underlying disease showed that patients with vasculitis seemed to have more severe SARS-CoV-2 infection compared to patients with chronic inflammatory arthritis. No difference of mortality was observed according to the underlying disease, except in non-anti-IL6 group with higher mortality in patients with vasculitis (data not shown).

**Table 1**Clinical characteristics of RMD patients receiving and not receiving anti-IL6 therapy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection.

therapy prior to britio Gov 2 infection.							
	Overall (n	Anti-IL6	Non-Anti-IL6				
	= 1883)	group (n =	group (n =				
		73)	1810)				
Age (years)							
18–54	904 (48.0)	33 (45.2)	871 (48.1)				
55–64	395 (21.0)	15 (20.5)	380 (21.0)				
65–74	326 (17.3)	16 (21.9)	310 (17.1)				
≥75	258 (13.7)	9 (12.3)	249 (13.8)				
Mean ± SD	$55.2 \pm 16.7$	$56.8 \pm 15.6$	$55.2 \pm 16.7$				
Female sex	1256 (66.7)	57 (78.1)	1199 (66.2)				
Comorbidities <sup>a</sup>	1230 (00.7)	37 (70.1)	1177 (00.2)				
Respiratory disease	256 (13.6)	11 (15.1)	245 (13.6)				
Interstitial lung disease	90 (4.8)	3 (4.1)	87 (4.8)				
COPD	75 (4.0)	4 (5.5)	71 (3.9)				
Asthma	110 (5.8)	5 (6.8)	105 (5.8)				
Cardiovascular disease	215 (11.4)	13 (17.8)	202 (11.2)				
Coronary heart disease	177 (9.4)	10 (13.7)	167 (9.2)				
Stroke	55 (2.9)	4 (5.5)	51 (2.8)				
Diabetes	188 (10.0)	7 (9.6)	181 (10.0)				
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	100 (10.0)	7 (9.0)	161 (10.0)				
<30	1282 (75.6)	49 (75.4)	1233 (75.6)				
30–39.9							
30–39.9 ≥40	368 (21.7)	13 (20.0) 3 (4.6)	355 (21.8)				
_	46 (2.7)		43 (2.6)				
Hypertension	447 (23.8)	25 (34.2)	422 (23.3)				
Cancer	67 (3.6)	1 (1.4)	66 (3.7)				
Smoking	174 (9.3)	5 (6.8)	169 (9.3)				
Chronic renal failure	92 (4.9)	3 (4.1)	89 (4.9)				
No. of patients with at least	1272 (67.6)	46 (63.0)	1226 (67.8)				
1 comorbidity							
Disease History	1050 ((( 4)	E0 (71 0)	1100 ((( 0)				
Chronic inflammatory	1250 (66.4)	52 (71.2)	1198 (66.2)				
arthritis	07 (1.4)	4 (5 5)	00 (1.0)				
Auto-inflammatory diseases	27 (1.4)	4 (5.5)	23 (1.3)				
Vasculitis	180 (9.6) 367 (19.5)	16 (21.9)	164 (9.1)				
Systemic auto-immune	307 (19.5)	1 (1.4)	366 (20.2)				
diseases Rheumatic Diseases or AI <sup>2</sup> D tr							
		00 (00 4)	EDE (00 0)				
Corticosteroid	553 (29.4)	28 (38.4)	525 (29.0)				
Systemic corticosteroid doses	207 (37.7)	10 (35.7)	197 (37.8)				
≥10 mg	150 (0.4)	0 (0 5)	155 (0.5)				
NSAIDs	159 (8.4)	2 (2.7)	157 (8.7)				
Colchicine	73 (3.9)	0	73 (4.0)				
Hydroxychloroquine	172 (9.1)	0	172 (9.5)				
Methotrexate	645 (34.3)	22 (30.1)	623 (34.4)				
Leflunomide	72 (3.8)	6 (8.2)	66 (3.6)				
Salazopyrine	22 (1.2)	0	22 (1.2)				
Mycophenolate Mofetil/	47 (2.5)	1 (1.4)	46 (2.5)				
mycophenolic acid			0.664.10				
Azathioprine	26 (1.4)	0	26 (1.4)				
IgIV	9 (0.5)	0	9 (0.5)				
Targeted biologic or synthetic	-	_					
anti-TNF	535 (28.4)	0	535 (29.6)				
rituximab	100 (5.3)	0	100 (5.5)				
anti-IL6	73 (3.9)	73 (100.0)	0				
anti-IL17A	58 (3.1)	0	58 (3.2)				
anti-IL1	14 (0.7)	0	14 (0.8)				
abatacept	41 (2.2)	0	41 (2.3)				
JAK inhibitor	70 (3.7)	0	70 (3.9)				
Other biologics	36 (1.9)	0	36 (2.0)				

Values are presented as frequency (percentage) unless otherwise indicated. Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index.

<sup>a</sup> 2 missing values for comorbidities except for BMI where 187 values are missing (anti-il6, n = 8; non-anti-il6, n = 179; all biotherapy, n = 91).

#### Anti-IL6 treatment and COVID-19 outcomes

In the overall population, 1353 (75.8%) patients recovered without sequelae from COVID-19, 317 (17.8%) recovered with sequelae, and 115 (6.4%) died (Table 2). No significant differences were found between the anti-IL6 group and each control group for COVID-19 evolution, except with the rituximab group (p  $\leq$  0.05). However, anti-IL6 without glucocorticoids (GC) treated patients seemed to have better

**Table 2**Description of COVID-19 outcomes according to different study groups.

Severity/Outcome	Overall (n = 1883)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Anti-IL6 group} \\ \text{(}n=73\text{)} \end{array}$	Non-Anti-IL6 group $(n = 1810)$	Any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy ( $n = 854$ )	Any non-anti-IL6 except rituximab ( $n = 754$ )	rituximab (n = 100)	Anti-TNF (n = 535)
Severity							
Mild	1263 (67.1)	61 (83.6)	1202 (66.4)	644 (75.4)	606 (80.4)	38 (38.0)	454 (84.9)
Moderate	410 (21.8)	7 (9.6)	403 (22.3)	140 (16.4)	108 (14.3)	32 (32.0)	65 (12.1)
Severe	210 (11.1)	5 (6.8)	205 (11.3)	70 (8.2)	40 (5.3)	30 (30.0)	16 (3.0)
Outcome a							
Recovery without sequelae	1353 (75.8)	56 (80.0)	1297 (75.6)	637 (77.9)	582 (80.5)	55 (57.9)	422 (81.6)
Recovery with sequelae	317 (17.8)	12 (17.1)	305 (17.8)	149 (18.2)	127 (17.6)	22 (23.2)	90 (17.4)
Death	115 (6.4)	2 (2.9)	113 (6.6)	32 (3.9)	14 (1.9)	18 (18.9)	5 (1.0)

Values are presented as frequency (percentage).

#### Α

Outcome	Comparisons	Unadjusted			Adjusted	
Moderate vs Mild		OR (95% CI)	р		OR (95% CI)	р
	Anti-IL6 vs. non-anti-IL6	0.34 (0.16 to 0.75)	<0.01		0.23 (0.10 to 0.54)	<0.01
	Anti-IL6 vs. any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy	0.53 (0.24 to 1.18)	NS		0.35 (0.15 to 0.83)	< 0.05
	Anti-IL6 vs. Rituximab	0.14 (0.06 to 0.34)	<0.001		0.12 (0.04 to 0.32)	<0.001
	Anti-IL6 vs. anti-TNF	0.80 (0.35 to 1.83)	NS		0.50 (0.20 to 1.24)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy excepted Rituximab	0.64 (0.29 to 1.45)	NS		0.40 (0.16 to 0.97)	<0.05
Severe vs Mild						
	Anti-IL6 vs. non-anti-IL6	0.48 (0.19 to 1.21)	NS		0.29 (0.10 to 0.81)	<0.05
	Anti-IL6 vs. any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy	0.76 (0.29 to 1.94)	NS		0.40 (0.14 to 1.15)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. Rituximab	0.10 (0.04 to 0.29)	<0.001		0.08 (0.03 to 0.26)	<0.001
	Anti-IL6 vs. anti-TNF	2.33 (0.82 to 6.58)	NS		1.20 (0.34 to 4.29)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy excepted Rituximab	1.24 (0.47 to 3.26)	NS	<del></del>	0.62 (0.20 to 1.91)	NS
				0.02 0.25 1.00 5.0	00	
				Adjusted OR		

В

Outcome	Comparisons	Unadjusted			Adjusted	
Healing with vs without sequelae		OR (95% CI)	P		OR (95% CI)	р
	Anti-IL6 vs. non-anti-IL6	0.91 (0.48 to 1.72)	NS		0.78 (0.41 to 1.48)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy	0.92 (0.48 to 1.75)	NS		0.74 (0.38 to 1.43)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. Rituximab	0.54 (0.24 to 1.19)	NS		0.53 (0.23 to 1.23)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. anti-TNF	1.00 (0.52 to 1.95)	NS		0.80 (0.40 to 1.58)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy excepted Rituximab	0.98 (0.51 to 1.89)	NS		0.78 (0.40 to 1.51)	NS
Death vs Healing without sequelae						
	Anti-IL6 vs. non-anti-IL6	0.41 (0.10 to 1.70)	NS		0.29 (0.07 to 1.30)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy	0.71 (0.17 to 3.05)	NS		0.30 (0.06 to 1.46)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. Rituximab	0.11 (0.02 to 0.49)	<0.01		0.10 (0.02 to 0.50)	<0.01
	Anti-IL6 vs. anti-TNF	3.01 (0.57 to 15.91)	NS		0.78 (0.12 to 5.06)	NS
	Anti-IL6 vs. any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy excepted Rituximab	1.49 (0.33 to 6.70)	NS		0.47 (0.09 to 2.48)	NS
				0.02 0.25 1.00 5.00		
				Adjusted OR		

Fig. 1. Effect sizes on COVID-19 severity according to anti-IL6 therapy received prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection and each control group.

outcome than anti-IL6 associated with GC treated patients (data not shown). Relative to recovery without sequelae, recovery with sequelae was not significantly different between these two groups (aOR, 0.53).

[95% CI, 0.23 to 1.23], but deaths were more frequent in patients treated with rituximab than in those receiving anti-IL6 therapy (aOR, 0.10 [95% CI, 0.02 to 0.50],  $p \leq 0.01$ , Fig. 1B). Except for the RMD

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> 98 missing values for outcome.

patients receiving rituximab before SARS-Cov-2 infection, no difference was observed in COVID-19-related death between the different groups compared to anti-IL6 group: non-anti-IL6 control group, any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy, anti-TNF group, any non-anti-IL6 biotherapy excepted Rituximab.

#### Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to cause widespread morbidity and mortality. We examined an important subpopulation of patients with RMD and long-term use of immunosuppressive medications. After adjustment for potentially confounding covariates, the risk of hospitalisation and respiratory support was less frequent among RMD patients receiving anti-IL6 therapy prior to COVID-19 diagnosis than their counterparts. However, no difference was observed for recovery with or without sequelae, except for the rituximab subgroup. In patients with RMD, COVID-19 severity and outcomes were similar between the anti-IL6 group and anti-TNF group. The use of glucocorticoids in anti-IL6 and non-anti-IL6 treated RMD patients prior SARS-Cov-2 infection seemed to worse COVID-19 severity and outcome. Our findings suggest that, unlike with rituximab, anti-IL6 drugs in RMD patients were not associated with more severe presentation or more frequent adverse outcomes of COVID-19 and could be continued during the COVID-19 pandemic. Such results suggest that all immunosuppressive drugs are not equal in the face of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Patients with COVID-19 pneumonia have a deleterious excessive production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL6 [18]. One possible mechanism linking anti-IL6 therapy in RMD patients to less severe COVID-19 disease is blockage of the interleukin 6 pathway, which is involved in slowing down the cytokine storm, systemic inflammatory response syndrome and lung damage. Many survivors of severe COVID-19 disease have long-term residual pulmonary abnormalities on their thoracic CT scans, sometimes referred to as "post--COVID interstitial lung disease" with "fibrotic-like" appearances. Han et al. reported the existence of residual CT abnormalities at 6 months in a large proportion (62%) of patients with severe COVID-19, including 35% of the total cohort (n = 114) with "fibrotic-like" features (the presence of parenchymal bands, irregular interfaces [bronchovascular, pleural, or mediastinal], traction bronchiectasis, and/or honeycombing) [19]. The remaining participants with residual abnormalities had ground-glass opacification and interstitial thickening; 26% of patients had reduced gas transfer levels. These "fibrotic-like" features and residual ground-glass opacities observed in post-COVID interstitial lung disease share radiological similarities with systemic sclerosis-induced interstitial lung disease. Interestingly, subcutaneous injection of tocilizumab has been shown to improve the rate of lung function in patients with systemic sclerosis-induced interstitial lung disease when compared with a placebo. Tocilizumab preserved lung function, slowing decline in the forced vital capacity of patients with systemic sclerosis-induced interstitial lung disease [20,21].

In relation to the benefits of continuing immunosuppressive drugs during the COVID-19 pandemic, it is important to keep in mind that viruses can trigger autoimmune disease, including systemic vasculitis [22]. In severe COVID-19, auto-inflammatory pathways contribute to vascular lesions and organising pneumonia-like changes. A small COVID-19 autopsy study recently documented the existence of inflammatory perivascular lesions distant from sites of epithelial injury, suggesting that direct viral effects as well as perivascular inflammation may contribute to endothelial injury [23]. While the cytokines induced in COVID-19 and released during cytokine storms have been well characterised, the downstream inflammatory pathways spreading the subsequent inflammation are less understood. The efficacy of anti-cytokine therapy such as anti-IL6 on this pathogenic process is largely unknown. Conflicting data persist concerning the efficacy of sarilumab or tocilizumab in the treatment of COVID-19, while the mechanism of action of these two IL6-receptor antagonists is similar regarding inhibition of the IL-6 pathway [15,24,25].

Our study has several limitations inherent to observational research, including the potential for unmeasured confounders. It is unclear whether the pre-existing duration of chronic immunosuppressive use may have affected the COVID-19 outcomes. We were unable to consider certain variables, including the COVID-19 vaccination status, disease activity, duration of immunosuppressive treatment, and level of immunosuppression. The specific management of immunosuppressive drug therapy (duration of withholding, or continuation, or dose reduction) during COVID-19 illness and potential therapy added to the standard of care in the treatment of COVID-19 were unknown.

Our analysis also has many strengths. We reported the real-life experience of COVID-19 disease among a large cohort of patients with RMD receiving immunosuppressive therapy such as biologics or DMARDs

In summary, RMD patients treated with anti-IL6 therapy prior to COVID-19 diagnosis had less severe COVID-19 disease compared with those without anti-IL6 therapy. Except for the rituximab subgroup, no difference was observed for recovery with or without sequelae. The outcomes of COVID-19 did not differ between patients on anti-IL6 and anti-TNF therapy. Our results provide reassurance to clinicians using anti-IL6 therapy in RMD patients, thereby supporting the recommendation to not discontinue these chronic treatments during the COVID-19 pandemic. We suggest that practitioners not withhold anti-IL6 therapy in RMD patients receiving tocilizumab or sarilumab prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection.

#### Data sharing

All relevant anonymised patient-level data are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

#### **Funding**

None.

#### Contributors

CC, EH, and PC were responsible for conceptualisation of the study. ED, JL, CC, EH, and PC were responsible for formal data analysis. CC, ED, EH, and PC were responsible for methodology and project administration. EH was responsible for obtaining ethics approval. CC, EH, and PC were responsible for writing the first draft of the report. CC, ED, EH, and PC were responsible for verification of all the underlying data. The corresponding authors had the final responsibility of submission for publication.

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was not supported by research funding, but FAI2R is funded by the French Ministry of Social Affairs and Health.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jtauto.2023.100190.

#### References

- [1] B.R. England, P. Roul, Y. Yang, A.C. Kalil, K. Michaud, G.M. Thiele, et al., Risk of COVID-19 in rheumatoid arthritis: a national veterans Affairs matched cohort study in at-risk individuals, Arthritis Rheumatol Hoboken NJ 73 (12) (2021 Dec) 2170 2189
- [2] J.R. Curtis, X. Zhou, D.T. Rubin, W. Reinisch, J. Yazdany, P.C. Robinson, et al., Characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with autoimmune conditions treated with systemic therapies: a population-based study, J. Rheumatol. 49 (3) (2022 Mar) 320–329.
- [3] K.M. D'Silva, A. Jorge, A. Cohen, N. McCormick, Y. Zhang, Z.S. Wallace, et al., COVID-19 outcomes in patients with systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases compared to the general population: a us multicenter, comparative cohort study, Arthritis Rheumatol Hoboken NJ 73 (6) (2021 Jun) 914–920.
- [4] J.L. Pablos, M. Galindo, L. Carmona, A. Lledó, M. Retuerto, R. Blanco, et al., Clinical outcomes of hospitalised patients with COVID-19 and chronic inflammatory and autoimmune rheumatic diseases: a multicentric matched cohort study, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 79 (12) (2020 Dec) 1544–1549.
- [5] A. Strangfeld, M. Schäfer, M.A. Gianfrancesco, S. Lawson-Tovey, J.W. Liew, L. Ljung, et al., Factors associated with COVID-19-related death in people with rheumatic diseases: results from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance physician-reported registry, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 80 (7) (2021 Jul) 930–942.
- [6] J. Avouac, E. Drumez, E. Hachulla, R. Seror, S. Georgin-Lavialle, S. El Mahou, et al., COVID-19 outcomes in patients with inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases treated with rituximab: a cohort study, Lancet Rheumatol 3 (6) (2021 Jun) e419-e426.
- [7] A.L. Stefanski, H. Rincon-Arevalo, E. Schrezenmeier, K. Karberg, F. Szelinski, J. Ritter, et al., B Cell Numbers Predict Humoral and Cellular Response upon SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination Among Patients Treated with Rituximab, Arthritis Rheumatol Hoboken NJ, 2021 Dec 28
- [8] K.M. Andersen, B.A. Bates, E.S. Rashidi, A.L. Olex, R.B. Mannon, R.C. Patel, et al., Long-term use of immunosuppressive medicines and in-hospital COVID-19 outcomes: a retrospective cohort study using data from the National COVID Cohort Collaborative, Lancet Rheumatol 4 (1) (2022 Jan) e33–e41.
- [9] R. Karki, B.R. Sharma, S. Tuladhar, E.P. Williams, L. Zalduondo, P. Samir, et al., Synergism of TNF-α and IFN-γ triggers inflammatory cell death, tissue damage, and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 infection and cytokine shock syndromes, Cell 184 (1) (2021 Jan 7) 149–168, e17.
- [10] F. Atzeni, E. Gerratana, M. Giallanza, L. La Corte, V. Nucera, G. Miceli, et al., The effect of drugs used in rheumatology for treating SARS-CoV2 infection, Expet Opin. Biol. Ther. 21 (2) (2021 Feb) 219–228.
- [11] M. Kawazoe, M. Kihara, T. Nanki, Antirheumatic drugs against COVID-19 from the perspective of rheumatologists, Pharm Basel Switz 14 (12) (2021 Dec 2) 1256.

- [12] RECOVERY Collaborative Group, P. Horby, W.S. Lim, J.R. Emberson, M. Mafham, J.L. Bell, et al., Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with covid-19, N. Engl. J. Med. 384 (8) (2021 Feb 25) 693–704.
- [13] T. Karampitsakos, E. Malakounidou, O. Papaioannou, V. Dimakopoulou, E. Zarkadi, M. Katsaras, et al., Tocilizumab improves 28-day survival in hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19: an open label, prospective study, Respir. Res. 22 (1) (2021 Dec 22) 317.
- [14] L. Ghosn, A. Chaimani, T. Evrenoglou, M. Davidson, C. Graña, C. Schmucker, et al., Interleukin-6 blocking agents for treating COVID-19: a living systematic review, Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 3 (2021 Mar 18) CD013881.
- [15] RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial, Lancet Lond Engl 397 (2021 May 1) 1637–1645, 10285.
- [16] J.H. Stone, M.J. Frigault, N.J. Serling-Boyd, A.D. Fernandes, L. Harvey, A. S. Foulkes, et al., Efficacy of tocilizumab in patients hospitalized with covid-19, N. Engl. J. Med. 21 (2020).
- [17] FAI2R/SFR/SNFMI/SOFREMIP/CRI/IMIDIATE consortium and contributors, Severity of COVID-19 and survival in patients with rheumatic and inflammatory diseases: data from the French RMD COVID-19 cohort of 694 patients, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 80 (4) (2021 Apr) 527–538 (2020 Dec 2) annrheumdis-2020-218310.
- [18] D.M. Del Valle, S. Kim-Schulze, H.H. Huang, N.D. Beckmann, S. Nirenberg, B. Wang, et al., An inflammatory cytokine signature predicts COVID-19 severity and survival, Nat. Med. 26 (10) (2020 Oct) 1636–1643.
- [19] X. Han, Y. Fan, O. Alwalid, X. Zhang, X. Jia, Y. Zheng, et al., Fibrotic interstitial lung abnormalities at 1-year follow-up CT after severe COVID-19, Radiology 301 (3) (2021 Dec) E438–E440.
- [20] D. Khanna, C.J.F. Lin, D.E. Furst, J. Goldin, G. Kim, M. Kuwana, et al., Tocilizumab in systemic sclerosis: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial, Lancet Respir. Med. 8 (10) (2020 Oct) 963–974.
- [21] D. Khanna, C.J.F. Lin, D.E. Furst, B. Wagner, M. Zucchetto, G. Raghu, et al., Long-term safety and efficacy of tocilizumab in early systemic sclerosis-interstitial lung disease: open label extension of a phase 3 randomized controlled trial, Am. J. Respir, Crit. Care Med. 205 (6) (2022 Mar 15) 674–684 (2021 Dec 1).
- [22] H.M. Hussein, E.A. Rahal, The role of viral infections in the development of autoimmune diseases, Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 45 (4) (2019 Aug) 394–412.
- [23] M. Ackermann, S.E. Verleden, M. Kuehnel, A. Haverich, T. Welte, F. Laenger, et al., Pulmonary vascular endothelialitis, thrombosis, and angiogenesis in covid-19, N. Engl. J. Med. 383 (2) (2020 Jul 9) 120–128.
- [24] O. Hermine, X. Mariette, P.L. Tharaux, M. Resche-Rigon, R. Porcher, P. Ravaud, et al., Effect of tocilizumab vs usual care in adults hospitalized with COVID-19 and moderate or severe pneumonia: a randomized clinical trial, JAMA Intern. Med. 181 (1) (2021 Jan 1) 32–40 (2020 Oct 20).
- [25] CORIMUNO-19 Collaborative group, Sarilumab in adults hospitalised with moderate-to-severe COVID-19 pneumonia (CORIMUNO-SARI-1): an open-label randomised controlled trial, Lancet Rheumatol 4 (1) (2022 Jan) e24–e32.