

Congenital myasthenic syndromes in adults: clinical features, diagnosis and long-term prognosis

J. Theuriet, M. Masingue, A. Behin, A. Ferreiro, G. Bassez, P. Jaubert, O.

Tarabay, F. Fer, A. Pegat, F. Bouhour, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

J. Theuriet, M. Masingue, A. Behin, A. Ferreiro, G. Bassez, et al.. Congenital myasthenic syndromes in adults: clinical features, diagnosis and long-term prognosis. Brain - A Journal of Neurology , 2024, Brain - A Journal of Neurology, Online ahead of print. 10.1093/brain/awae124 . hal-04688820

HAL Id: hal-04688820 https://hal.univ-lille.fr/hal-04688820

Submitted on 5 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Congenital myasthenic syndromes in adults: clinical features, 1 diagnosis and long-term prognosis 2

Julian Theuriet,^{1,2,3} Marion Masingue,^{1,4} Anthony Behin,^{1,4} Ana Ferreiro,^{1,4,5} Guillaume Bassez,^{1,4} 3 Pauline Jaubert,¹ Oriana Tarabay,¹ Frédéric Fer,⁴ Antoine Pegat,^{2,3} Françoise Bouhour,^{2,3} Juliette 4 Svahn,^{3,6} Philippe Petiot,² Laurentiu Jomir,² Guy Chauplannaz,² Catherine Cornut-Chauvinc,⁷ 5 Véronique Manel,⁸ Emmanuelle Salort-Campana,⁹ Shahram Attarian,⁹ Etienne Fortanier,⁹ Annie 6 Verschueren,⁹ Ludivine Kouton,⁹ Jean-Philippe Camdessanché,¹⁰ Céline Tard,¹¹ Armelle Magot,¹² 7 Yann Péréon,¹² Jean-Baptiste Noury,¹³ Marie-Christine Minot-Myhie,¹⁴ Maud Perie,¹⁵ Frederic 8 Taithe,¹⁵ Yacine Farhat,¹ Anne-Laure Millet,¹⁶ Pascal Cintas,¹⁷ Guilhem Solé,¹⁸ Marco Spinazzi,¹⁹ 9 Florence Esselin,²⁰ Dimitri Renard,²¹ Sabrina Sacconi,²² Andra Ezaru,²² Edoardo Malfatti,²³ 10 Martial Mallaret,²⁴ Laurent Magy,²⁵ Eva Diab,^{26,27} Philippe Merle,²⁶ Maud Michaud,²⁸ Maxime 11 Fournier,²⁹ Aleksandra Nadaj Pakleza,^{30,31} Jean-Baptiste Chanson,^{30,31} Claire Lefeuvre,³² Pascal 12 Laforet,^{32,33} Pascale Richard,^{34,35} Damien Sternberg,³⁴ Rocio-Nur Villar-Quiles,^{1,3,†} Tanya 13 Stojkovic^{1,3,†} and Bruno Eymard^{1,3,†} 14

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work. 15

Abstract 16

Congenital myasthenic syndromes (CMS) are clinically and genetically heterogeneous diseases 17 caused by mutations affecting neuromuscular transmission. Even if the first symptoms mainly 18 occur during childhood, adult neurologists must confront this challenging diagnosis and manage 19 these patients throughout their adulthood. However, long-term follow-up data from large cohorts 20 of CMS patients are lacking and the long-term prognosis of these patients is largely unknown. 21

We report the clinical features, diagnostic difficulties, and long-term prognosis of a French 22 nationwide cohort of 235 adult patients with genetically confirmed CMS followed in 23 23 24 specialized neuromuscular centres. Data were retrospectively analysed.

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com. 1

1 Of the 235 patients, 123 were female (52.3%). The diagnosis was made in adulthood in 139 2 patients, 110 of whom presented their first symptoms before the age of 18. Mean follow-up time 3 between first symptoms and last visit was 34 years (SD=15.1). Pathogenic variants were found in 19 disease-related genes. CHRNE-low expressor variants were the most common (23.8%), 4 followed by variants in DOK7 (18.7%) and RAPSN (14%). Genotypes were clustered into four 5 groups according to the initial presentation: ocular group (CHRNE-LE, CHRND, FCCMS), distal 6 group (SCCMS), limb-girdle group (RAPSN, COLQ, DOK7, GMPPB, GFPT1), and a variable-7 phenotype group (MUSK, AGRN). The phenotypical features of CMS did not change throughout 8 life. Only four genotypes had a proportion of patients requiring intensive care unit (ICU) 9 admission that exceeded 20%: RAPSN (54.8%), MUSK (50%), DOK7 (38.6%) and AGRN 10 (25.0%). In RAPSN and MUSK patients most ICU admissions occurred before age 18 years and 11 in DOK7 and AGRN patients at or after 18 years of age. Different patterns of disease course 12 (stability, improvement and progressive worsening) may succeed one another in the same patient 13 throughout life, particularly in AGRN, DOK7 and COLQ. At the last visit, 55% of SCCMS and 14 36.3% of DOK7 patients required ventilation; 36.3% of DOK7 patients, 25% of GMPPB patients 15 and 20% of GFPT1 patients were wheelchair-bound; most of the patients who were both 16 wheelchair-bound and ventilated were DOK7 patients. Six patients died in this cohort. The 17 positive impact of therapy was striking, even in severely affected patients. 18

In conclusion, even if motor and/or respiratory deterioration could occur in patients with initially moderate disease, particularly in *DOK7*, SCCMS and *GFPT1* patients, the long-term prognosis for most CMS patients was favourable, with neither ventilation nor wheelchair needed at last visit. *CHRNE* patients did not worsen during adulthood and *RAPSN* patients, often severely affected in early childhood, subsequently improved.

24

25 Author affiliations:

26 1 Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires Nord/Est/Ile de France, Institut de
27 Myologie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, 75013 Paris,
28 France

2 Service d'ENMG et de pathologies neuromusculaires, centre de référence des maladies
 neuromusculaires PACA-Réunion-Rhône-Alpes, Hôpital Neurologique Pierre Wertheimer,
 Hospices Civils de Lyon, Groupement Est, 69500 Bron, France

- 4 3 Pathophysiology and Genetics of Neuron and Muscle, CNRS UMR 5261, INSERM U1315,
- 5 Université Lyon 1, Faculté de Médecine Lyon Est, 69008 Lyon, France
- 6 4 Centre de Recherche en Myologie, GH Pitié-Salpêtrière, Sorbonne Université-Inserm
 7 UMRS974, 75013 Paris, France
- 8 5 Basic and Translational Myology laboratory, Université Paris Cité, BFA, UMR 8251, CNRS,
- 9 75013 Paris, France

6 Service de Neurologie, troubles du mouvement et pathologies neuromusculaires, Hôpital
Neurologique Pierre-Wertheimer, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Groupement Est, 69500 Bron, France

12 7 Service de Neurologie clinique et fonctionnelle, Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Hospices Civils

- 13 de Lyon, 69310 Pierre-Bénite, France
- 14 8 Service de Médecine Physique et Réadaptation Pédiatrique, L'Escale, Hôpital Femme-Mère-

15 Enfant, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Groupement Est, 69500 Bron, France

9 Service de pathologies neuromusculaires, Hôpital de la Timone, Assistance Publique des
Hôpitaux de Marseille, 13005 Marseille, France

- 18 10 Service de neurologie, centre référent pour les maladies neuromusculaires, Hôpital Nord,
- 19 CHU de Saint Etienne, 42270 Saint-Etienne, France
- 20 11 Service de Neurologie, U1172, Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires
- 21 Nord/Est/Ile de France, CHU de Lille, 59000 Lille, France

12 Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires AOC, Euro-NMD, Filnemus, Hôtel-Dieu,

- 23 CHU de Nantes, 44000 Nantes, France
- 13 Inserm, LBAI, UMR1227, Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires AOC, CHRU
- 25 de Brest, 29200 Brest, France
- 26 14 Service de Neurologie, CHU de Rennes, 35000 Rennes, France
- 27 15 Service de Neurologie, CHU Gabriel Montpied, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

- 1 16 Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires Nord/Est/Ile de France, CHU Charles
- 2 Nicolle, 76000 Rouen, France
- 3 17 Service de Neurologie, Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires, CHU de
 4 Toulouse Purpan, 31300 Toulouse, France
- 5 18 Service de Neurologie et des Maladies Neuromusculaires, Centre de référence des Maladies
- 6 Neuromusculaires AOC, FILNEMUS, EURO-NMD, Hôpital Pellegrin, CHU de Bordeaux,
- 7 33000 Bordeaux, France
- 8 19 Service de Neurologie, Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires, CHU d'Angers,
- 9 49100 Angers, France
- 10 20 Service de Neurologie, CHU Gui de Chauliac, 34295 Montpellier, France
- 11 21 Service de Neurologie, Hôpital Caremeau, CHU de Nîmes, 30900 Nîmes, France
- 12 22 Service de Neurologie: Système nerveux périphérique, Muscle et SLA, Hôpital Pasteur 2,
- 13 CHU de Nice, 06000 Nice, France
- 14 23 Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires Nord/Est/Ile de France, Hôpital Henry
- 15 Mondor, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Université Paris Est Créteil, INSERM,
- 16 U955, IMRB, 94000 Créteil, France
- 17 24 Service de Neurologie, CHU de Grenoble, 38700 La Tronche, France
- 18 25 Service de Neurologie, Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires, Hôpital
- 19 Dupuytren, CHU de Limoges, 87000 Limoges, France
- 20 26 Service de Neurophysiologie Clinique, CHU Amiens Picardie, 80000, Amiens, France
- 21 27 Unité de Recherche Chimère UR 7516, Université Picardie Jules Verne, 80000 Amiens,
- 22 France
- 23 28 Service de Neurologie, Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires Nord/Est/Ile-de-
- 24 France, CHU de Nancy, 54000 Nancy, France
- 25 29 Service de Neurologie, CHU de Caen, 14000 Caen, France
- 26 30 Service de Neurologie, Centre de référence des maladies neuromusculaires Nord/Est/Ile-de-
- 27 France, CHU de Strasbourg, 67000 Strasbourg, France

1	31 European Reference Network – Neuromuscular Diseases (ERN EURO-NMD)
2	32 Service de Neurologie, Centre de référence des maladies neuromusculaires Nord/Est/Ile-de-
3	France, Hôpital Raymond-Poincaré, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, 92380 Garches,
4	France
5	33 FHU PHENIX, Université Versailles, Université Paris-Saclay, 78000 Saint-Quentin-en-
6	Yvelines, France
7	34 Service de Biochimie Métabolique et Centre de Génétique, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière,
8	Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, 75013 Paris, France
9	35 Unité Fonctionnelle de Cardiogénétique et Myogénétique Moléculaire et cellulaire, Hôpital
10	Pitié-Salpêtrière, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, 75013 Paris, France
11	
12	Correspondence to: Tanya Stojkovic
13	Centre de référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires Nord/Est/Ile de France, Institut de Myologie,
14	Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, 47-93 boulevard de l'hôpital, 75651, Paris Cedex 13, France
15	E-mail: <u>tanya.stojkovic@aphp.fr</u>
16	
17	Running title: Follow-up and long-term prognosis in CMS
18	Keywords: genetic; neuromuscular junction; myasthenia; CMS
19	
20	Introduction
21	Congenital myasthenic syndromes (CMS) are clinically and genetically heterogeneous diseases
22	characterized by a neuromuscular transmission defect caused by mutations affecting the synaptic

structure or function.¹ In the past decades, the molecular bases of CMS have expanded, and more

than 35 genes have been associated with the disease.^{2–4} CMS usually present at birth or during

early childhood.⁵⁻⁸ However, the first symptoms can occur in adulthood.⁹ The reported

prevalence of CMS, around 2.8-14.8/1,000,000, is likely underestimated, given the complexity of

the diagnostic process, especially for mild or late-onset forms and those presenting with atypical

or complex phenotypes.^{10–14} Indeed, the diagnosis can be particularly challenging in adult 1 patients, in whom autoimmune myasthenia gravis (MG) is frequently considered in the first place 2 3 due to its higher prevalence.⁹ Moreover, some CMS patients presenting with marked proximal weakness can easily be misdiagnosed with limb-girdle dystrophy or congenital myopathy.⁹ 4 Clinical symptoms and severity range from mild ocular or bulbar symptoms, such as ptosis, 5 diplopia or swallowing disturbances, to severe limb weakness leading to loss of ambulation.⁵ 6 7 Decrement or increment evidenced on repetitive nerve stimulation (RNS) supports the neuromuscular transmission defect in these diseases. Moreover, electrophysiological features can 8 9 help to orient the genetic diagnosis and validate the pathogenicity of variants.¹⁵ Like the phenotype, the response to treatment is also heterogeneous and depends on the underlying 10 molecular mechanism and thus the precise genetic defect.¹ For example, acetylcholinesterase 11 (AChE) inhibitors can worsen the symptoms of patients with *COLQ* or slow-channel variants.^{16,17} 12 Although the clinical spectrum of the different CMS subtypes keeps expanding,^{5–8} large cohorts 13 of adult CMS patients with long-term follow-up and detailed clinical characteristics are lacking. 14 In previous studies, the median follow-up time was frequently short (maximum 12.8 years) 15 and/or the cohort size was small, without precise evaluation criteria.^{9,16,18,19} Yet these data are of 16 the utmost importance to better define the long-term prognosis of CMS according to the genetic 17 background and help neurologists to improve their management of adult patients. 18

By retrospectively analysing the clinical data of 235 adult patients with genetically confirmed CMS followed in 23 French specialized neuromuscular centres, we aimed to better define the long-term prognosis of these patients. We also aimed to determine the most common misdiagnoses to help clinicians better recognize this condition and to give straightforward treatment recommendations.

24

25 Materials and methods

26 Study design and population

This retrospective, observational, multicentre study included all adult patients followed for
genetically confirmed CMS until July 2023 in the specialized neuromuscular centres of 23
University Hospitals in France (Amiens, Angers, Bordeaux, Brest, Caen, Clermont, Créteil,

Grenoble, Limoges, Lille, Lyon, Marseille, Montpellier, Nancy, Nantes, Nice, Nîmes, Paris, Rennes, Rouen, Saint-Etienne, Strasbourg and Toulouse) within the French neuromuscular network FILNEMUS. All clinical data were collected anonymously from the study units' medical files. All patients provided written informed consent for genetic tests and the use of their data for research purposes. All procedures involving patients performed in this study were carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris (APHP ethics approval #20230524134437) and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration.

8

9 Clinical, laboratory and electrophysiological data

The demographic data collected included sex, ethnic origin, family history of CMS, mode of 10 inheritance, consanguinity, age at first symptoms, age at clinical and molecular diagnoses and age 11 at last follow-up visit. The patients were further classified according to age at onset of their 12 symptoms in six subgroups: neonatal period, infancy (1-3 years), childhood (4-9 years), teenage 13 (10-17 years), adulthood (18-40 years) and late onset (more than 40 years). Clinical data of 14 interest included the presence of limb weakness, either proximal or distal, axial muscle deficit, 15 facial weakness, fatigability, bulbar symptoms (including dysphonia and swallowing 16 17 disturbances), ptosis, oculomotor disturbances, arthrogryposis, intellectual disability, delayed motor milestones, scoliosis, dyspnoea, need for ventilation, need for tube feeding, need for a 18 19 wheelchair and need for admission to an intensive care unit (ICU). The Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA) score was collected when available. All these data were 20 21 collected at disease onset and at the last follow-up visit. Electroneuromyography (ENMG) 22 examinations were performed in each specialized neuromuscular centre by trained neurologists. 23 We collected the presence of an RNS decrement or increment, post-effort increment and repetitive compound muscle action potential (R-CMAP). Creatine kinase (CK) values were also 24 recorded and were considered elevated if above 200 UI/L. Lastly, we collected the type of 25 26 treatment (AChE inhibitors, 3,4-diaminopyridine [3,4-DAP] quinidine, fluoxetine, ephedrine and 27 salbutamol) and its efficacy according to the clinician in charge of the patients, based on patients' 28 feedback and clinical examination.

1 Genetic analyses

Until 2016, PCR and Sanger sequencing of CMS genes was used in a gene-after-gene approach. 2 All exons and flanking intronic sequences of genes were PCR-amplified using patients' genomic 3 4 DNA and sequenced using the BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems®, Life TechnologiesTM). From 2016, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of CMS 5 gene panels was used. Three panels (v2 2016-2017, v3 2017-2021 and v4 since 2021) were 6 7 designed and successively used. NGS panel v2 targeted 25 CMS genes (AGRN, ALG14, ALG2, CHAT, CHRNA1, CHRNB1, CHRND, CHRNE, CHRNG, COLO, DOK7, DPAGT1, GFPT1, 8 9 LAMB2, LRP4, MUSK, PLEC, PREPL, RAPSN, SCN4A, SLC18A3, SLC25A1, SLC5A7, SNAP25, SYT2). NGS panel v3 targeted five additional genes (COLI3A1, GMPPB, LAMA5, MYO9A, 10 UNC13A, VAMP1) and v4 one additional gene (TOR1AIP1). NGS-based screening of CMS panel 11 genes was performed using a SeqCapEZ capture design (Nimblegen) and a MiSeq sequencer 12 (Illumina). Variants were identified through a bioinformatics pipeline (Genodiag, Paris, France). 13 Copy number variations (CNVs) in targeted regions were searched for by a dedicated algorithm 14 based on comparison of normalized number of reads of each region among the 12 samples of the 15 sequence run. 16

17

18 Statistical analyses

All data were analysed with R.4.0. To visualize the relationship between neuromuscular 19 20 symptoms and implicated genes, a heatmap was generated using the library ComplexHeatmap.⁴¹ Only the genotypes with at least four patients were included in the heatmap. The remaining 21 22 genotypes, with fewer than four patients, were described separately. The hierarchical clustering of rows was conducted using the Ward.D2 method and the distance between rows was computed 23 using the maximum method on the percentage-based data matrix. The heatmap was colour-coded 24 25 to represent the range of proportions of symptoms, and an accompanying metadata panel was 26 incorporated to display the mean age at onset of the first symptom. To identify symptoms that 27 exhibited significant patterns in the heatmap, Z-scores were calculated to facilitate the interpretation of symptoms in relation to the involved gene, normalizing the data around a mean 28 of zero and a standard deviation of one. Associations between prognostic outcomes and 29

1 implicated genes were evaluated using Chi-squared tests. Bonferroni correction was applied to

2 adjust p-values for multiple comparisons, employing a significance threshold of 0.05.

3

4 **Results**

5 Demographic, genetic and diagnostic characteristics

A total of 235 patients belonging to 195 unrelated families were included in the study; 123 were 6 female (52.3%). A positive family history was reported in 107 patients (45.6%), and 7 consanguinity was found in 55 cases (23.4%). In terms of ethnicity, 177 patients were Caucasian 8 9 (75.3%), 32 originated from North Africa (13.6%), 10 from the Middle East (4.2%), seven from Sub-Saharan Africa (3.0%) and five came from Romani families (2.1%); the remaining four 10 (1.7%) had diverse other origins (Asia and South America). Causative variants (Supplementary 11 Table 1) were found in 19 disease-related genes (AGRN, CHAT, CHRNA1, CHRNB1, CHRND, 12 CHRNE, COL13A1, COLO, DOK7, DPAGT1, GFPT1, GMPPB, LRP4, MUSK, RAPSN, SCNA4, 13 SLC5A7, SLC18A3, TOR1AIP1). These 180 variants were either described as likely pathogenic or 14 pathogenic in the literature or were novel and retained as probably or certainly disease-causing. 15 All patients had pathogenic mutations linked to CMS: 56 in CHRNE (23.8%), characterized as 16 low-expressor (CHRNE-LE) variants responsible for a low expression of the encoded protein, 44 17 18 in DOK7 (18.7%), 33 in RAPSN (14.0%), 20 slow-channel congenital myasthenic syndromes (SCCMS, 8.5%) due to variants in CHRNA1 for 14 and in CHRNE for six, 19 in COLQ (8.1%), 19 15 in GFPT1 (6.4%), 12 in AGRN (5.1%), eight in MUSK (3.4%), four in CHRND and four in 20 GMPPB (1.7% for each), four fast-channel congenital myasthenic syndromes (FCCMS) due to 21 22 already described CHRNE variants (1.7%),^{20,21} and 16 (6.8%) in other genes (CHAT, CHRNA1 low-expressor, CHRNB1, COL13A1, LRP4, SCNA4, SLC5A7, SLC18A3, TOR1AIP1) (Fig. 1). 23 24 Inheritance was recessive in 215 patients (91.5%), dominant in 16 patients (6.8%) and *de novo* in four patients (1.7%). Only patients with SCCMS had dominant or *de novo* inheritance. Symptom 25 26 onset occurred in the neonatal period in 81 patients (34.4%), in infancy in 55 patients (23.4%), in 27 childhood in 44 patients (18.7%) and in teenage in 18 patients (7.7%). Twenty-five patients had their first symptoms between age 18 and 40 (10.6%). These patients belonged to the DOK7 28 29 (6/25), AGRN (4/25), SCCMS (4/25), RAPSN (3/25), COLO (2/25), GMPPB (2/25), CHRND

1 (1/25), GFPT1 (1/25), LRP4 (1/25) and TOR1AIP1 (1/25) groups. Only five patients had their 2 first symptoms after age 40 (2.1%). In these five patients, the genetic analysis disclosed SCCMS, 3 DOK7, MUSK genes for one patient each and RAPSN gene for two. The age at first symptoms 4 could not be clearly determined in seven patients (3.0%). A total of 138 patients were previously 5 misdiagnosed (58.7%). Among them, the main misdiagnoses were congenital myopathy (50%), 6 autoimmune MG (29.0%), muscular dystrophy (15.9%) and mitochondrial myopathy (8.7%) 7 (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 1). Some patients were misdiagnosed with several different pathologies during their disease course. The mean delay between first symptoms and clinical 8 diagnosis was 17.2 years (SD=15.3), while the mean delay until molecular diagnosis was 22.0 9 years (SD=15.2). The clinical diagnosis was made before 18 years in 82 patients (35.0%). Among 10 11 the 139 patients in whom the diagnosis was made in adulthood (59.1%), 110 presented symptoms before the age of 18 (46.8%) and 29 had their first symptoms at or after the age of 18 (12.3%, 12 Table 1). This categorization was not possible in 14 patients (5.9%) as age at first symptoms was 13 not available in seven patients and age at clinical diagnosis could not be determined in seven 14 others. The mean follow-up time between first symptoms and last visit was 34 years (SD=15.1). 15 The mean age at last visit was 40.5 years (SD=15.1). There was no significant difference in age at 16 last visit according to the genotype (*P*=0.11). 17

18 Genotype-phenotype correlations

19 Initial and final phenotype

20 The proportion of symptoms per genotype at initial presentation is shown in Fig. 2A. Genes were 21 clustered using the Ward.D2 method according to the initial clinical presentation in different groups. The first one included CHRNE-LE, CHRND and FCCMS. In this group, ptosis was found 22 23 in 53/54 CHRNE patients (98.1%), 4/4 CHRND patients (100%) and 4/4 FCCMS patients (100%). Moreover, ophthalmoparesis was reported in 46/54 CHRNE patients (85.2%), in 4/4 24 FCCMS patients (100%) and in 2/4 CHRND patients (50%). The second group was composed of 25 26 SCCMS patients, with a high proportion having upper distal weakness at initial presentation 27 (16/20 patients, 80%). The third group was composed of AGRN- and MUSK-mutated patients. In 28 this group, the phenotype was variable. Some AGRN patients could also have a distal weakness (4/12, 33%), while others had a proximal weakness (6/12, 50%). These patients could also have 29 30 facial weakness (4/12, 33.3%), respiratory symptoms (5/12, 41.7%) or bulbar symptoms (25%).

1 MUSK patients also presented with variable symptoms, such as proximal weakness (4/8, 50%), 2 respiratory symptoms (5/8, 62.5%), bulbar symptoms (5/8, 62.5%) and ophthalmoparesis (4/8, 3 50%). The last group included RAPSN, COLO, DOK7, GMPPB and GFPT1. Proximal weakness was the hallmark of this last group, as observed in the following mutated patients: GMPPB (4/4, 4 100%), GFPT1 (15/15, 100%), COLQ (18/19, 94.7%) and DOK7 (40/44, 90.9%). Interestingly, 5 6 axial muscle weakness was always found in all GFPT1 patients (10/10, 100%) and GMPPB 7 patients could have intellectual disability (1/4, 25.0%). Then, 23/44 DOK7 patients (52.2%) had scoliosis, while 7/32 (21.9%) and 9/32 (28.2%) RAPSN patients had arthrogryposis and 8 9 contractures, respectively. Only four genotypes had a mean age at first symptoms of over 10 years: AGRN (14.75, SD = 12.8), SCCMS (14.4, SD = 14.7), GMPPB (11, SD = 10.5) and 10 CHRND (10.25, SD = 11.8). The proportion of symptoms per genotype at last follow-up is shown 11 12 in Fig. 2B. There were no significant differences in any proportion of symptoms per genotype between the initial presentation and the last follow-up visit. The genotypes that were associated 13 with symptoms at initial presentation with a Z-score > 0.85 are shown in Fig. 3. 14

15 Adult onset

Regarding the 30 patients with onset of symptoms in adulthood, 5/7 DOK7 (71%) patients 16 presented with upper limb proximal weakness as the first symptom. The remaining two patients 17 18 developed acute respiratory insufficiency as the initial manifestation of the disease. Interestingly, two adult-onset AGRN patients initially manifested respiratory symptoms and the remaining two 19 had fatigability and proximal muscle weakness. All five SCCMS patients with an onset of 20 symptoms in adulthood presented finger extension weakness as the first manifestation of the 21 disease. The two COLO patients, two GMPPB patients and one GFPT1 patient who had their first 22 symptoms in adulthood had proximal muscle weakness as the initial manifestation of the disease. 23 24 The first symptoms of the five RAPSN patients with disease onset at or after 18 years were not specific and included ptosis, proximal muscle weakness and bulbar symptoms. The only CHRND 25 26 patient with disease onset after 18 years presented with ptosis, ophthalmoparesis and bulbar 27 symptoms. Finally, the *MUSK* patient who developed her first symptoms in adulthood presented 28 with fatigability, bulbar symptoms, proximal muscle weakness and respiratory symptoms. The TOR1AIP1 and LRP4 adult-onset patients have previously been reported.^{22,23} 29

1 Paraclinical investigations

Regarding electrophysiological features, 213/220 patients (96.8%) with available ENMG data
had a decrement superior to 10% on RNS at 3 Hz in at least one nerve-muscle pair. Four patients
had an increment on post-exercise CMAP: three *AGRN* patients and one *TOR1AIP1* patient. An
R-CMAP was found in 15/19 *COLQ* patients (78.9%) and in 15/20 SCCMS patients (75.0%) and
was significantly more frequent in these genotypes compared to the others (*P*<0.01).

7 CK levels were available in 134 patients and were elevated (>200 UI/L) in 34 of them (25.4%). The proportion of patients with raised CK was significantly increased in the GMPPB group (4/4 8 patients, P < 0.01) compared to the others. In this group, the mean CK level was 2035.3 UI/L 9 (SD=1291.8). The second genotype associated with elevated CK was the GFPT1 genotype, with 10 11 7/12 patients with available CK having raised levels (mean of 311.5 UI/L, SD=238.0). All three 12 MUSK patients with available CK data had elevated values (339, 57 and 4558 UI/L, respectively). Regarding the two most frequently represented genes, 3/30 CHRNE patients (10%) 13 14 and 7/28 DOK7 patients (25%) had elevated CK values.

15 Muscle biopsy was performed in 117 patients (49.8%). The results were available in 104 patients. The biopsy was considered normal in 16 patients (15.4%). The main abnormality was type 1 fibre 16 predominance (n=44, 42.3%), type 2 fibre atrophy (n=30, 28.9%) and fibre size disproportion 17 (n=21, 20.2%). Other features included: lipid surcharge (n=14, 13.4%), nuclear internalizations 18 19 (n=10, 9.6%), mitochondrial abnormalities (n=5, 4.8%) and core-like lesions (n=4, 3.8%). 20 Tubular aggregates were seen in eight patients (7.7%), including seven GFPT1 patients and one 21 DGAPGT1 patient. Necrotic/regenerating fibres were observed in six patients (5.8%), including the four GMPPB patients. Abnormal neuromuscular junctions on electron microscopy were 22 reported in 11 patients (10.6%). All clinical and paraclinical findings are detailed according to 23 genotype in Supplementary Tables 2 to 12. 24

25 **Long-term prognosis**

26 **Disease course**

The type of disease course according to the genotype is shown in Fig. 4A. Most *CHRNE*-LE (40/56, 71.4%), *CHRND* (4/4, 100%) and FCCMS (3/4, 75.0%) patients had a stable disease course. A progressive improvement was reported in 16/33 *RAPSN* (48.5%) and in 3/8 *MUSK*

patients (37.5%). Conversely, 6/20 SCCMS (30%), 12/44 DOK7 (27%), 2/4 GMPPB (50.0%)
and 6/15 GFPT1 (40.0%) patients had a progressively worsening course. A proportion of DOK7
(11/44, 25.0%), COLQ (5/19, 26.3%) and AGRN (3/12, 25.0%) patients had a multiphasic disease
course, combining successive periods of improvement, stability and progressive worsening. An
example of multiphasic disease, with late-onset deterioration, is given in Supplementary Fig. 2.
Intrafamilial variability was also a hallmark in some CHRNE-LE and SCCMS families.

7

8 Exacerbations and ICU admissions

9 The proportion of patients having acute disease exacerbations reached 20% in most of the genotypes (Fig. 4B). The duration of exacerbations could be very long in some cases, as noted in 10 11 a COLQ patient who lost her ambulation within a week for three years before regaining it completely in one month. RAPSN patients required significantly more ICU admissions compared 12 13 to the others (17/31, 54.8%, P < 0.01); three of them required non-invasive ventilation, 11 were intubated and three required tracheostomies. Moreover, four RAPSN patients required two ICU 14 15 admissions in their disease course. Three other genotypes had a proportion of patients requiring ICU admission that exceeded 20% (Fig. 4C): MUSK (4/8, 50%), DOK7 (17/44, 38.6%) and 16 AGRN (3/12, 25.0%). Four DOK7 patients and one AGRN patient required two ICU admissions 17 in their disease course. In RAPSN and MUSK patients, 19/21 (90.4%) and 4/4 (100%) ICU 18 19 admissions, respectively, occurred before age 18; in DOK7 and AGRN patients, 11/21 (52.3%) 20 and 4/4 (100%) ICU admissions, respectively, occurred at or after age 18.

21

22 **Pregnancy and other triggers**

Of the 74 female patients who had a pregnancy, 24 (32.4%) reported a worsening of symptoms during pregnancy; 20/123 female patients (16.2%) reported a worsening of symptoms during menstruation. In the cohort of 235 patients, other triggers for symptom worsening were infection in 35 patients (14.9%), warm temperatures in 19 patients (8%), cold temperatures in 15 patients (6.3%), anaesthesia in nine patients (3.8%) and psychological stress in five patients (2.1%).

1 **Disability and ventilation**

2 Among the different genotypes, the proportion of patients requiring ventilation at the last followup was significantly elevated in SCCMS (11/20, 55%, P<0.01) and DOK7 patients (16/44, 3 36.3%, P=0.04) (Fig. 4D). This proportion did not exceed 25% in the other genotypes (Fig. 4D). 4 Six patients were tracheotomized, with invasive ventilation, at last follow-up: one SCCMS 5 6 patient, one CHRNE patient, three DOK7 patients and one SLC5A7 patient. Only two patients (one *CHRND* and one SCCMS) required a feeding tube at last visit. Regarding the motor long-7 term prognosis, the proportion of *DOK7* patients who were wheelchair-bound was significantly 8 9 higher compared to the other genotypes (16/44, 36.3%, P < 0.001). One GMPPB patient (25.0%) and 3/15 GFPT1 patients (20%) were wheelchair-bound at last visit (Fig. 4E). The proportion of 10 patients per MGFA category according to the genotype is shown in Fig. 4F. The highest 11 proportion of MGFA category 4 patients was found in the DOK7 patients (12/44, 27.2%) (Fig. 12 4F). The highest proportion of patients both wheelchair-bound and ventilated was in the DOK7 13 patients (9/44, 20.5%). This proportion did not exceed 10% in the other genotypes (SCCMS: 14 2/20, 10%, AGRN: 1/12, 8.3%, GFPT1: 1/15, 6.7%, RAPSN: 2/33, 6.1%, COLQ: 1/19, 5.2%, 15 16 CHRNE: 1/56, 1.8%).

17

18 Death

Only six patients died in our cohort (2.6%). An AGRN patient died at 50 years of age from 19 respiratory insufficiency. She was tetraplegic with severe bulbar involvement after three decades 20 of progressive worsening (Patient 1 in Jacquier et al.²⁴). One COLO patient died at 52 years of 21 age from cancer. Two patients with DOK7 variants died. The first one was misdiagnosed with 22 23 seronegative autoimmune MG and died from acute vocal cord palsy at 41 years of age, possibly favoured by AChE inhibitors. The second died at 56 years of age after an accidental fall in the 24 25 stairs (Supplementary Fig. 2). A DPAGT1 patient died at 36 years from aspiration pneumonia 26 secondary to swallowing disorders. Finally, a patient with RAPSN variants died at 86 years, but 27 the cause of death was not available. Moreover, a family history of early death during infancy was reported in 15 patients (three RAPSN, three COLO, two DOK7 and one patient each for 28 29 CHRNE-LE, MUSK, GMPPB, GFPT1, COL13A1, SCNA4, SCL5A7).

1 **Treatment**

Twenty-five patients (10.6% of the cohort), misdiagnosed as seronegative autoimmune MG,
received immunomodulatory treatments before the diagnosis of CMS. These treatments included
corticosteroids, intravenous immunoglobulin, plasma exchange and immunosuppressive
treatments (azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil). Moreover, eight of them had a thymectomy.
None of these 25 patients reported a long-term improvement with these therapies.

A total of 224 patients received non-immunomodulatory CMS treatments (95.3%). These
treatments included AChE inhibitors, 3,4-DAP, salbutamol, ephedrine, fluoxetine and quinidine;
138 patients received more than one of these treatments (58.8%). Responses to nonimmunomodulatory therapies are summarized in Fig. 5.

All SCCMS (5/5), COLQ (7/7) and DOK7 (23/23) patients reported either no effect or worsening 11 with AChE inhibitors. Only 1/5 MUSK patients (20.0%) and 1/10 AGRN patients (10.0%) 12 claimed symptom improvement with these treatments, the remaining patients reporting no effect 13 14 or symptom worsening. AChE inhibitors were effective in 75% or more of the patients in the other genotypes: CHRNE-LE (46/53), FCCMS (4/4), CHRND (3/4), RAPSN (28/30), GFPT1 15 (13/14) and GMPPB (3/4). 3.4-DAP was reported as effective in more than half of the CHRNE-16 17 LE (23/33), CHRND (3/3), RAPSN (11/15), DOK7 (11/16) and GFPT1 (10/11) patients. Half of FCCMS (1/2), SCCMS (1/2) and COLQ (3/6) patients had their symptoms improved with 3,4-18 19 DAP, while this treatment was ineffective in 3/4 MUSK patients (75.0%) and 6/7 AGRN patients (85.7%) and even led to symptom worsening in 1/7 AGRN patients (14.3%). Salbutamol 20 improved the symptoms of 75% or more of CHRNE-LE (12/16), CHRND (1/1), MUSK (4/5), 21 AGRN (5/6), RAPSN (4/5), COLQ (8/10), DOK7 (20/22), GFPT1 (6/7) and GMPPB (1/1) 22 patients. One of the two FCCMS patients (50.0%) treated with salbutamol reported symptom 23 improvement, while the other reported no effect. Two of the five SCCMS patients (40.0%) treated 24 25 with salbutamol reported treatment efficacy, while the three others reported no effect. Ephedrine 26 was reported to improve symptoms in the only FCCMS patient treated, and in 5/7 AGRN patients 27 (71.4%), 7/8 COLQ patients (87.5%), 22/23 DOK7 patients (95.7%) and 3/3 GFPT1 patients 28 (100%). No effect was reported with this therapy in the one CHRND patient and one RAPSN 29 patient treated, and in 2/7 AGRN (28.6%), 1/8 COLO (12.5%) and 1/23 DOK7 (4.3%) patients. 30 Four previously wheelchair-bound *DOK7* patients were able to walk unaided, three after being treated with both salbutamol and ephedrine and one after ephedrine alone. One previously wheelchair-bound *COLQ* patient became ambulant after being treated with salbutamol, as did another *COLQ* patient thanks to ephedrine. Fluoxetine was found to be effective in 7/13 SCCMS patients (53.8%), the remaining 6/13 patients (46.1%) reporting no benefit. In the other genotypes, one FCCMS patient, one *AGRN* patient and three *RAPSN* patients were treated with fluoxetine but reported no effect. Finally, all five SCCMS patients treated with quinidine reported an improvement of motor weakness.

8

9 Clinical summary for genes with a small number of patients $(n \le 3)$

10 TOR1AIP1 gene

11 Three patients with TOR1AIP1 variants were included in our cohort (Table 2). One of them 12 (Patient 1) had previously been published (main proband of Malfatti et al.²²). We report herein 13 two other patients, who are brothers, harbouring the same c.63dupC (p.Arg22Glnfs*88) found in Patient 1, and the c.72dupC (p.Ile25Hisfs*85) heterozygous variants (Patients 2 and 3). They 14 were born to Algerian healthy parents. The first variant was inherited from the mother and the 15 16 second variant from the father. Their motor milestones were normal, but they had difficulties in 17 sports activities during their teenage years. Patient 2 developed progressive walking and respiratory difficulties at age 35 years, leading to his needing a banister to climb stairs at age 40. 18 At age 47, the patient underwent a coronary angiography for an acute coronary syndrome. 19 20 Because he did not tolerate the supine position during the examination, pulmonary investigations 21 including blood-gas analysis and pulmonary functional tests were performed and showed an alveolar hypoventilation requiring non-invasive ventilation. Neurological examination found 22 proximal muscle and finger extensor muscle weakness in the upper limbs, associated with distal 23 muscle weakness in the lower limbs, and cervical spine, finger, wrist and Achilles tendon 24 25 contractures. Repetitive nerve stimulation at 3 Hz performed at age 48 showed a 48% decrement 26 in tibialis anterior and anconeus muscles and a 39% decrement in trapezius muscle. Serum CK 27 was mildly elevated at 227 UI/L (N<200 U/L). He was mildly improved by AChE inhibitors with 28 an increased walking distance. At last follow-up (age 51), he was still ambulant without walking 29 aid but required nocturnal non-invasive ventilation. Patient 3 was admitted to the ICU at age 48 30 for an acute respiratory insufficiency revealing an alveolar hypotentilation and requiring

intubation. At discharge, he walked unaided and had non-invasive ventilation. His neurological
 examination showed elbow and finger contractures and mild deltoid muscle weakness. His CK
 levels were normal. RNS at 3 Hz showed a 19% decrement on tibialis anterior and 15% on
 trapezius muscle.

5 DPGAT1 gene

Three patients in our cohort had DPAGT1 variants (Table 2, Patients 4, 5 and 6). They all 6 7 presented at birth with hypotonia and contractures. Two (Patients 5 and 6) had associated central nervous system (CNS) signs characterized by delayed motor milestones, intellectual disability, 8 optic disk atrophy and epilepsy, associated with deafness and cerebellar ataxia in one of them. 9 AChE inhibitors were reported to improve their symptoms in two of the three patients and were 10 considered ineffective in the other. At last follow-up, Patient 4 (age 49) was still ambulant but 11 12 required a banister to climb stairs, while Patients 5 and 6 were wheelchair-bound (age 34 and 21, respectively). None required respiratory assistance. Patient 5 died at age 36 from pneumonia 13 14 secondary to swallowing disorders.

15 *SLC5A7* gene

Two patients had biallelic variants in the *SLC5A7* gene (Table 2, Patients 7 and 8). They both presented with hypotonia and respiratory insufficiency at birth, requiring ICU admission. One of them (Patient 8) had arthrogryposis (equinovarus) and developed epileptic seizures. Mild efficacy was reported for both with AChE inhibitors. However, their final prognosis was different. While Patient 7 improved and was asymptomatic at age 20, Patient 8 progressively became wheelchairbound and required nocturnal non-invasive ventilation at last visit (age 22).

The remaining patients are described in Supplementary Table 13. The paraclinical findings associated with genes with a small number of mutated patients ($n \le 3$) are available in Supplementary Table 14. Of note, the *LRP4* and *SCN4A* patients have previously been reported.^{23,25}

26 **Discussion**

This study of a French nationwide multicentre cohort of 235 adult patients has enabled us to better describe CMS patients' phenotype and long-term prognosis, according to their genotype. *CHRNE*-LE variants were the most common and are considered as the main cause of CMS
worldwide.^{6,26} As described in an Austrian cohort, *DOK7* was herein the second most commonly
involved gene.²⁷ *RAPSN* variants were also frequent, as in previously published cohorts.^{6,8,28} *COLQ* was only the fifth most frequently involved gene in our cohort, whereas it was one of the
three main genes in several previous studies in different populations.^{7,8,16}

6 Adult neurologists can encounter CMS patients in three different situations. In the first and most 7 straightforward scenario, the diagnosis has already been made by a paediatric neurologist, and the 8 adult neurologist assumes responsibility for the patient's follow-up (35% of patients in our 9 cohort). In the second situation, symptoms have already been present in childhood or infancy, but the diagnosis has not been reached due to mild and/or short-duration symptoms insufficient to 10 initiate a diagnostic investigation or due to misdiagnosis (mainly congenital myopathy). This was 11 12 the most common situation in our study (46.8% of patients), as previously observed.⁹ In the third, 13 more rarely encountered situation, symptom onset occurs in adulthood (12.3% of patients in our cohort). These last two situations are particularly challenging, especially for adult neurologists 14 15 non-specialized in the neuromuscular field. In these cases, finger extension weakness or proximal muscle weakness, even if non-specific, are suggestive of the diagnosis. Clinicians should also 16 17 keep in mind that acute respiratory insufficiency can be the first manifestation of the disease in adult patients. 18

Misdiagnoses were frequent in our cohort (58.7%) and the diagnostic delay was long, in line with 19 20 previously published cohorts.^{9,26} Congenital myopathy was the most common misdiagnosis as 21 there are overlapping clinical and histological features. Autoimmune MG can easily be suspected 22 in late-onset cases, and this was the second most frequent misdiagnosis in our cohort, leading to 23 an immunosuppressive treatment in 25 patients. With the development of new 24 immunosuppressive treatments in the past decade, we recommend considering the diagnosis of CMS in patients with seronegative MG before starting such treatments which could cause serious 25 adverse events.29 26

We clustered patients' genotypes according to their initial phenotypes. The clustering method we applied led to the formation of four groups of phenotypes. The first group was composed of *CHRNE*, *CHRND* and FCCMS patients and was characterized by predominantly ocular symptoms, such as ptosis and ophthalmoparesis. Acetylcholine receptor endplate deficiencies are

1 known to cause predominantly ocular symptoms, and FCCMS patients have essentially the same phenotype.^{4,8,28} The second group was represented solely by SCCMS patients, who presented a 2 3 particular phenotype with predominantly upper limb distal weakness, especially affecting finger extensors, frequently associated with neck extensor muscle weakness, as previously found.³⁰ The 4 third group was composed of AGRN and MUSK patients. They developed variable symptoms 5 6 such as ocular symptoms, bulbar symptoms, respiratory involvement and muscle weakness, 7 which led us to consider this group as a variable-phenotype group. These genes have already been associated with such diverse symptoms.^{31,32} Interestingly, AGRN patients frequently had distal 8 9 weakness but, contrary to SCCMS patients, they rarely had axial muscle weakness. The fourth group was composed of GMPPB, GFPT1, DOK7, COLO and RAPSN patients, and could be 10 11 categorized as having a limb-girdle muscle dystrophy (LGMD)-like phenotype, associated with some additional characteristic features for some of these genes. GMPPB and GFPT1 are essential 12 for N- and O-glycosylation and N- and O-mannosylation.⁴ As previously described, GMPPB and 13 GFPT1 patients presented with relatively pure proximal weakness.^{33,34} However, GMPPB 14 patients could also have CNS involvement with delayed motor milestones and intellectual 15 disability. DOK7 and COLQ patients also presented with a proximal weakness but were more 16 prone to have associated symptoms, such as bulbar or ocular symptoms.^{35,36} DOK7 was 17 associated with a high rate of scoliosis, as previously observed.³⁵ RAPSN was included by the 18 clustering method in this group due to frequent proximal and axial muscle weakness. However, 19 20 *RAPSN* patients developed more ocular, bulbar and respiratory symptoms than the other patients of this group. RAPSN has already been associated with such clinically diverse symptoms.⁸ In our 21 22 cohort, RAPSN patients had more arthrogryposis, hypotonia at birth and sudden respiratory insufficiency during childhood than other patients. Taken together, these hallmarks are evocative 23 of RAPSN-related CMS.37 Regarding the electrophysiological data, we confirmed that an R-24 25 CMAP is a hallmark of COLO and SCCMS patients, present in around three-quarters of these cases, resulting from a neuromuscular junction gain-of-function.¹⁵ Highly elevated CK levels are 26 suggestive of *GMPPB* gene mutation, as this gene has also been reported in LGMD or 27 overlapping LGMD-CMS phenotype.³⁸ We confirmed that tubular aggregates point towards the 28 29 diagnosis of GFPT1- and DPAGT1-associated CMS, and that features of muscular dystrophies can be observed in GMPPB patients.^{34,39,40} 30

1 Our main objective was to describe the long-term prognosis of adult CMS patients. Firstly, we 2 noticed that CMS patients did not switch from one phenotype group to another along their disease 3 course. We acknowledge that this finding may have been influenced by the different treatments 4 that patients received throughout their lives. CHRNE, CHRND and FCCMS patients were prone to have a stable disease course. Moreover, they remained mainly ambulant at the end of the 5 6 follow-up and did not require ventilation. Even if they could experience symptom exacerbations, 7 these later exacerbations were relatively moderate since patients rarely required ICU admission. This relatively good prognosis is supported by the findings reported in previous cohorts.^{16,18} 8 9 SCCMS patients were frequently stable regarding their disease course but could worsen in approximately one-third of cases. Although they remained ambulant, more than half of them 10 11 required respiratory support at the end of follow-up. This proportion was higher than in previous 12 cohorts, leading us to recommend monitoring the respiratory functions of these patients through regular pulmonary functional tests. RAPSN, DOK7, MUSK, COLQ and AGRN patients had 13 various disease courses, represented either by stability, worsening or improvement. Moreover, 14 DOK7, COLQ and AGRN patients frequently presented several types of disease course during 15 their lives. Regarding the latter finding, clinicians should be aware that phases of worsening and 16 improvement can succeed one other, and caution is needed when informing a particular patient 17 about the long-term prognosis. Patients with RAPSN, DOK7, MUSK and AGRN mutations were 18 more prone to have severe exacerbations requiring ICU admissions. While most ICU admissions 19 20 in RAPSN and MUSK patients occurred in childhood, most of them in DOK7 and AGRN patients 21 occurred in adulthood. Thus, adult neurologists should be aware that severe exacerbations can be 22 expected in their DOK7 and AGRN patients. DOK7 had the more severe motor prognosis among these genes. Indeed, while most RAPSN, MUSK, COLO and AGRN patients were ambulant at last 23 24 follow-up, DOK7 patients were wheelchair-bound in approximately one-third of cases. This proportion was higher than in a previously published cohort of adult CMS patients.⁹ Regarding 25 26 respiratory functions, ventilation was also more frequent in *DOK7* patients.¹⁹ Our previous study on COLO patients, which included some of the patients reported here, had already shown that 27 most of these patients remained ambulant without respiratory assistance at last follow-up.⁴¹ It is 28 29 interesting to note that, despite severe initial phenotypes characterized by hypotonia and 30 respiratory distress during childhood requiring ICU, the overall final phenotype of RAPSN 31 patients was quite favourable. Finally, GMPPB and GFPT1 patients were prone to have

1 worsening disease courses and around 20% of them were wheelchair-bound at last follow-up. 2 However, they remained ventilation free. In these patients with glycosylation defects, myopathic 3 changes can be observed in muscle biopsies and MRI, which could partly explain the worsening course.³⁴ Pregnancy seems to be a risk period for symptom exacerbations. Indeed, 32.4% of our 4 female patients with at least one pregnancy experienced a symptom exacerbation during 5 pregnancy. This frequency was lower than that previously reported.^{9,42} This apparent discrepancy 6 7 could be explained by the retrospective nature of our study, which was not specifically designed to address this question. Only six patients died in our adult cohort. Thus, the overall vital 8 9 prognosis of adult CMS patients appears quite favourable. However, we found a family history of early death in infancy in 15 patients, with most of them bearing RAPSN and COLO gene 10 11 mutations, suggesting a possible life-threatening condition for these genes, in some cases during 12 childhood. Importantly, future clinical trials aiming to evaluate the efficacy of treatments already available or in development will need to consider the different clinical courses. Outcomes and 13 effect sizes need to be conceived and chosen according to the disease course of each genotype. 14 For example, investigators will have to consider the improving course of RAPSN patients, the 15 progressive worsening of GMPPB and GFPT1 patients and the multiphasic course of DOK7, 16 COLQ and AGRN patients. Moreover, further large-scale prospective studies will help to better 17 define the natural history of CMS according to the genotype. 18

The small number of patients per gene prevented us from drawing conclusions regarding the 19 long-term prognosis of patients with rare CMS genes. However, patients with mutations in pre-20 synaptic genes implicated in acetylcholine production and transport (CHAT, SLC5A7, SLC18A3) 21 seem to have a favourable long-term motor and respiratory prognosis despite severe symptoms in 22 infancy, such as hypotonia, feeding difficulties and episodic apnoea, even if one SLC5A7 patient 23 was wheelchair-bound and ventilated at last visit. This favourable prognosis contrasting with a 24 severe onset was suggested in a previous study in SLC5A7 patients.⁴³ DPAGT1 patients were 25 prone to develop CNS signs such as intellectual disability, as previously reported;⁴⁰ they seem to 26 27 have a poor motor prognosis with the need for a wheelchair.

This cohort also provides important and valuable information regarding CMS treatment. We confirm that AChE inhibitors should be avoided in SCCMS, *COLQ* and *DOK7* patients, in whom their use could lead to symptoms worsening.^{16,17,44} This treatment was often ineffective in *AGRN* patients.⁴⁵ Furthermore, 3,4-DAP was frequently ineffective in *AGRN* and *MUSK* patients, raising the question of early treatment with salbutamol. SCCMS patients' symptoms were difficult to improve because 3,4-DAP and salbutamol were not effective in about half of treated patients. Fluoxetine, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor that acts as a channel blocker therapy, can be useful in some patients, and a previous study suggests that treatment is more effective the sooner it is started after the onset of symptoms.⁴⁶ Finally, quinidine could be an interesting option in these patients.

7 Apart from these cases, most patients responded favourably to AChE inhibitors and other 8 treatments regularly administrated as second-line therapies. Salbutamol and ephedrine were 9 particularly effective in *DOK7* and *COLQ* patients, with a high proportion of patients reporting a 10 durable and significant improvement. Interestingly, the few patients in whom the treatment was 11 considered ineffective had particularly severe disease with marked muscle weakness.

12 We also report two new cases of TOR1AIP1-related CMS. To our knowledge, this is only the third published family for this phenotype, with one of the variants (c.63dupC; p.Arg22Glnfs*88) 13 having already been published.^{22,47} This frameshift variant is localized between the first two 14 alternative start codons for LAP1B and LAPC isoforms and was associated with a selectively 15 16 decreased level of LAP1B isoform in patients' fibroblasts, when present in a homozygous state. The second frameshift variant (c.72dupC; p.Ile25Hisfs*85), not previously reported, is also 17 present between the two first start codons and, like the first variant, is predicted to selectively 18 impact LAP1B. It was absent from the gnomAD database. Each variant was heterozygous in the 19 20 parents, confirming the familial segregation. These patients shared common features with the 21 previously published patients: normal developmental milestones, a late-onset disease, 22 contractures and a predominant proximal muscle weakness associated with mild distal weakness, such as finger extensors.^{22,48} However, contrary to previous cases, our patients developed severe 23 acute respiratory insufficiency requiring admission to ICU, and they required non-invasive 24 ventilation at discharge. Thus, our data indicate that respiratory involvement can be a major 25 26 feature of TOR1AIP1-related CMS. Nevertheless, the motor prognosis seems favourable because all published patients were still ambulant at last visit.^{22,48} 27

Our study has several limitations. Due to its retrospective design some clinical data on the initial phenotype could have been missed. The number of patients per genotype was not equal between genes, due to the variable prevalence of the different CMS genotypes, and this could lead to difficulties in comparing them. Spirometry data were not available, but a recent study reported a progressive worsening of forced vital capacity in *DOK7* and *COLQ* patients.¹⁹ Finally, treatment efficacy was determined retrospectively, based on clinicians' reports in the medical file and not on objective and repeated validated scales. However, the large size of this cohort and the mean follow-up of 34 years allowed us to obtain reliable data regarding prognosis and follow-up.

6 In conclusion, even if the phenotypical features of CMS do not change during the patient's life, 7 the long-term prognosis is more complex and difficult to foresee due to various patterns of 8 evolution from worsening to improvement, which can be multiphasic in some patients. However, knowing which gene is involved is informative: no long-term worsening was observed in CHRNE 9 patients. RAPSN patients, even if severely affected in infancy, improved later. The situation is 10 more critical for SCCMS, *DOK7* and *GFPT1* genotypes, with a significant proportion of patients 11 requiring, at last visit, ventilation (SCCMS and DOK7), a wheelchair (DOK7 and GFPT1), or 12 both (DOK7). The positive impact of therapy was striking even in severely affected patients, 13 some of them regaining walking capacity. Most patients of this cohort did not require ventilation 14 and/or a wheelchair at last follow-up. Our results inform clinical practice, hopefully improving 15 the diagnosis and management of these rare conditions. 16

17

18 Data availability

The anonymized data that support the findings of this study are available from the correspondingauthor, upon reasonable request.

21

22 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Fatou Diop, Floriane Llorens, Marina Dupre, Karine Ferraud, Mathilde Ferey, Maud Pirotte and Emilie Laheranne-Martinez for their help in data acquisition. They thank Prof. Michel Fardeau, Prof. Jeanine Koenig, Dr. Daniel Hantaï and Dr. Arnaud Isapof for their key role in the initiation and development of the CMS project in France. The thumbnail was generated using BioRender.com and an illustration (<u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:CPAP.png</u>) licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 unported license.

1 Funding

2 No financial assistance was received in support of the study.

3

4 Competing interests

5 The authors report no competing interests.

6

7 Supplementary material

8 Supplementary material is available at *Brain* online.

9

10 **References**

Engel AG, Shen XM, Selcen D, Sine SM. Congenital myasthenic syndromes:
 pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2015;14(4):420-434.
 doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70201-7

Vanhaesebrouck AE, Beeson D. The congenital myasthenic syndromes: expanding
 genetic and phenotypic spectrums and refining treatment strategies. *Current Opinion in Neurology*. 2019;32(5):696-703. doi:10.1097/WCO.00000000000736

17 3. Ramdas S, Beeson D. Congenital myasthenic syndromes: where do we go from here?
18 *Neuromuscular Disorders*. 2021;31(10):943-954. doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2021.07.400

Ohno K, Ohkawara B, Shen XM, Selcen D, Engel AG. Clinical and Pathologic Features
 of Congenital Myasthenic Syndromes Caused by 35 Genes—A Comprehensive Review. *IJMS*.
 2023;24(4):3730. doi:10.3390/ijms24043730

Engel AG. Congenital Myasthenic Syndromes in 2018. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep.* 2018;18(8):46. doi:10.1007/s11910-018-0852-4

Abicht A, Dusl M, Gallenmüller C, et al. Congenital myasthenic syndromes:
Achievements and limitations of phenotype-guided gene-after-gene sequencing in diagnostic

1 practice: A study of 680 patients. *Hum Mutat.* 2012;33(10):1474-1484. doi:10.1002/humu.22130

Aharoni S, Sadeh M, Shapira Y, et al. Congenital myasthenic syndrome in Israel: Genetic
and clinical characterization. *Neuromuscular Disorders*. 2017;27(2):136-140.
doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2016.11.014

8. Natera-de Benito D, Töpf A, Vilchez JJ, et al. Molecular characterization of congenital
myasthenic syndromes in Spain. *Neuromuscular Disorders*. 2017;27(12):1087-1098.
doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2017.08.003

8 9. Kao JC, Milone M, Selcen D, Shen XM, Engel AG, Liewluck T. Congenital myasthenic
9 syndromes in adult neurology clinic: A long road to diagnosis and therapy. *Neurology*.
10 2018;91(19):e1770-e1777. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000006478

10. Parr JR, Andrew MJ, Finnis M, Beeson D, Vincent A, Jayawant S. How common is
childhood myasthenia? The UK incidence and prevalence of autoimmune and congenital
myasthenia. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 2014;99(6):539-542. doi:10.1136/archdischild2013-304788

15 11. Troha Gergeli A, Neubauer D, Golli T, et al. Prevalence and genetic subtypes of
congenital myasthenic syndromes in the pediatric population of Slovenia. *European Journal of Paediatric Neurology*. 2020;26:34-38. doi:10.1016/j.ejpn.2020.02.002

18 12. Finsterer J. Congenital myasthenic syndromes. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* 2019;14(1):57.
19 doi:10.1186/s13023-019-1025-5

Iyadurai SJP. Congenital Myasthenic Syndromes. *Neurologic Clinics*. 2020;38(3):541552. doi:10.1016/j.ncl.2020.03.004

Garg N, Yiannikas C, Hardy TA, et al. Late presentations of congenital myasthenic
syndromes: How many do we miss?: Late Presentations of CMS. *Muscle Nerve*. 2016;54(4):721727. doi:10.1002/mus.25085

15. Stojkovic T, Masingue M, Turmel H, et al. Diagnostic yield of a practical
electrodiagnostic protocol discriminating between different congenital myasthenic syndromes. *Neuromuscular Disorders*. 2022;32(11-12):870-878. doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2022.10.001

28 16. Durmus H, Shen XM, Serdaroglu-Oflazer P, et al. Congenital myasthenic syndromes in

Turkey: Clinical clues and prognosis with long term follow-up. *Neuromuscular Disorders*.
 2018;28(4):315-322. doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2017.11.013

3 17. Colomer J, Müller JS, Vernet A, et al. Long-term improvement of slow-channel
4 congenital myasthenic syndrome with fluoxetine. *Neuromuscular Disorders*. 2006;16(5):3295 333. doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2006.02.009

B. Della Marina A, Wibbeler E, Abicht A, et al. Long Term Follow-Up on Pediatric Cases
With Congenital Myasthenic Syndromes—A Retrospective Single Centre Cohort Study. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2020;14:560860. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2020.560860

9 19. Poulos J, Samuels M, Palace J, et al. Congenital Myasthenic Syndromes: A Retrospective
10 Natural History Study of Respiratory Outcomes in A Single Centre. *Brain Communications*.
11 Published online November 9, 2023:fcad299. doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcad299

Sine SM, Shen XM, Wang HL, et al. Naturally Occurring Mutations at the Acetylcholine
Receptor Binding Site Independently Alter ACh Binding and Channel Gating. *The Journal of General Physiology*. 2002;120(4):483-496. doi:10.1085/jgp.20028568

15 21. Wang HL, Ohno K, Milone M, et al. Fundamental Gating Mechanism of Nicotinic
16 Receptor Channel Revealed by Mutation Causing a Congenital Myasthenic Syndrome. *The*17 *Journal of General Physiology*. 2000;116(3):449-462. doi:10.1085/jgp.116.3.449

Malfatti E, Catchpool T, Nouioua S, et al. A *TOR1AIP1* variant segregating with an early
onset limb girdle myasthenia—Support for the role of LAP1 in NMJ function and disease. *Neuropathology Appl Neurobio*. 2022;48(1):e12743. doi:10.1111/nan.12743

21 23. Masingue M, Cattaneo O, Wolff N, et al. New mutation in the β1 propeller domain of
22 LRP4 responsible for congenital myasthenic syndrome associated with Cenani–Lenz syndrome.
23 Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):14054. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-41008-5

24. Jacquier A, Risson V, Simonet T, et al. Severe congenital myasthenic syndromes caused
by agrin mutations affecting secretion by motoneurons. *Acta Neuropathol*. Published online
August 10, 2022. doi:10.1007/s00401-022-02475-8

27 25. Echaniz-Laguna A, Biancalana V, Nadaj-Pakleza A, et al. Homozygous C-terminal loss28 of-function Na v 1.4 variant in a patient with congenital myasthenic syndrome. *J Neurol*29 *Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2020;91(8):898-900. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2020-323173

Polavarapu K, Sunitha B, Töpf A, et al. Clinical and genetic characterisation of a large
 Indian congenital myasthenic syndrome cohort. *Brain*. Published online September 18,
 2023:awad315. doi:10.1093/brain/awad315

4 27. Krenn M, Sener M, Rath J, et al. The clinical and molecular landscape of congenital
5 myasthenic syndromes in Austria: a nationwide study. *J Neurol.* 2023;270(2):909-916.
6 doi:10.1007/s00415-022-11440-0

7 28. Estephan EP, Zambon AA, Thompson R, et al. Congenital myasthenic syndrome:
8 Correlation between clinical features and molecular diagnosis. *Euro J of Neurology*.
9 2022;29(3):833-842. doi:10.1111/ene.15173

Verschuuren JJ, Palace J, Murai H, Tannemaat MR, Kaminski HJ, Bril V. Advances and
ongoing research in the treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular junction disorders. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2022;21(2):189-202. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00463-4

30. Chaouch A, Müller JS, Guergueltcheva V, et al. A retrospective clinical study of the
treatment of slow-channel congenital myasthenic syndrome. *J Neurol.* 2012;259(3):474-481.
doi:10.1007/s00415-011-6204-9

16 31. Luan X, Tian W, Cao L. Limb-girdle congenital myasthenic syndrome in a Chinese
17 family with novel mutations in MUSK gene and literature review. *Clinical Neurology and*18 *Neurosurgery*. 2016;150:41-45. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2016.08.021

19 32. Nicole S, Chaouch A, Torbergsen T, et al. Agrin mutations lead to a congenital
20 myasthenic syndrome with distal muscle weakness and atrophy. *Brain*. 2014;137(9):2429-2443.
21 doi:10.1093/brain/awu160

33. Senderek J, Müller JS, Dusl M, et al. Hexosamine Biosynthetic Pathway Mutations Cause
Neuromuscular Transmission Defect. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*.
2011;88(2):162-172. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.01.008

34. Belaya K, Rodríguez Cruz PM, Liu WW, et al. Mutations in *GMPPB* cause congenital
myasthenic syndrome and bridge myasthenic disorders with dystroglycanopathies. *Brain*.
2015;138(9):2493-2504. doi:10.1093/brain/awv185

35. Ben Ammar A, Petit F, Alexandri N, et al. Phenotype genotype analysis in 15 patients
presenting a congenital myasthenic syndrome due to mutations in DOK7. *J Neurol.*

1 2010;257(5):754-766. doi:10.1007/s00415-009-5405-y

2 36. Mihaylova V, Müller JS, Vilchez JJ, et al. Clinical and molecular genetic findings in
3 COLQ-mutant congenital myasthenic syndromes. *Brain*. 2008;131(3):747-759.
4 doi:10.1093/brain/awm325

5 37. Burke G, Cossins J, Maxwell S, et al. Rapsyn mutations in hereditary myasthenia:
6 Distinct early- and late-onset phenotypes. *Neurology*. 2003;61(6):826-828.
7 doi:10.1212/01.WNL.0000085865.55513.AE

8 38. Rodríguez Cruz PM, Belaya K, Basiri K, et al. Clinical features of the myasthenic
9 syndrome arising from mutations in GMPPB. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2016;87(8):80210 809. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2016-313163

39. Bauché S, Vellieux G, Sternberg D, et al. Mutations in GFPT1-related congenital
myasthenic syndromes are associated with synaptic morphological defects and underlie a tubular
aggregate myopathy with synaptopathy. *J Neurol.* 2017;264(8):1791-1803. doi:10.1007/s00415017-8569-x

40. Selcen D, Shen XM, Brengman J, et al. DPAGT1 myasthenia and myopathy: Genetic,
phenotypic, and expression studies. *Neurology*. 2014;82(20):1822-1830.
doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000000435

41. Wargon I, Richard P, Kuntzer T, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with congenital
myasthenic syndrome caused by COLQ mutations. *Neuromuscular Disorders*. 2012;22(4):318324. doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2011.09.002

42. O'Connell K, Rooney T, Alabaf S, Ramdas S, Beeson D, Palace J. Pregnancy outcomes in
patients with congenital myasthenic syndromes. *Muscle and Nerve*. 2022;66(3):345-348.
doi:10.1002/mus.27653

43. Bauché S, O'Regan S, Azuma Y, et al. Impaired Presynaptic High-Affinity Choline
Transporter Causes a Congenital Myasthenic Syndrome with Episodic Apnea. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*. 2016;99(3):753-761. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.06.033

44. Lozowska D, Ringel SP, Winder TL, Liu J, Liewluck T. Anticholinesterase Therapy
Worsening Head Drop and Limb Weakness Due to a Novel DOK7 Mutation. *Journal of Clinical Neuromuscular Disease*. 2015;17(2):72-77. doi:10.1097/CND.00000000000095

Huang K, Duan H, Li Q, Luo Y, Bi F, Yang H. Clinicopathological-genetic features of
 congenital myasthenic syndrome from a Chinese neuromuscular centre. *J Cellular Molecular Medi.* 2022;26(14):3828-3836. doi:10.1111/jcmm.17417

4 46. Di L, Chen H, Lu Y, et al. Determinants of the repetitive-CMAP occurrence and therapy
5 efficacy in slow-channel myasthenia. *Neurology*. 2020;95(20):e2781-e2793.
6 doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000010734

47. Lornage X, Mallaret M, Silva-Rojas R, et al. Selective loss of a LAP1 isoform causes a
muscle-specific nuclear envelopathy. *Neurogenetics*. 2021;22(1):33-41. doi:10.1007/s10048-02000632-3

48. Cossins J, Webster R, Maxwell S, et al. Congenital myasthenic syndrome due to a
TOR1AIP1 mutation: a new disease pathway for impaired synaptic transmission. *Brain Communications*. 2020;2(2):fcaa174. doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcaa174

13 Figure Legends

14

Figure 1 Genetic and diagnostic characteristics of the cohort. (A) Proportion of genotypes present in the cohort. (B) Diagnostic categories according to age at first symptoms and age at diagnosis. CMS = congenital myasthenic syndromes; FCCMS = fast-channel congenital myasthenic syndrome; SCCMS = slow-channel congenital myasthenic syndrome; y = years; LE = low-expressor.

20

Figure 2 Genotype-phenotype correlations Heatmap and clustering of genotypes according to symptoms at initial presentation (A) and at last follow-up (B), and age at first symptoms. LE = low-expressor.

24

Figure 3 Characteristic symptoms at diagnosis, by genotype. Illustration of the human body
showing genotypes with high prevalence of symptoms (Z-score > 0.85) in specific categories of
symptoms. Created with BioRender.com. LE = low-expressor.

Figure 4 Long-term data of CMS patients, by genotype. (A) Disease course category. (B)
Proportion of patients with exacerbations. Proportion of patients requiring (C) ICU admission
during their disease course, (D) ventilation at last follow-up, (E) wheelchair at last follow-up. (F)
MGFA category at last follow-up. CMS = congenital myasthenic syndrome; ICU = intensive care
unit; LE = low-expressor; MGFA = Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America. *: *P*<0.05, **: *P*<0.01, ***: *P*<0.001.

Figure 5 Treatment efficacy according to the genotype. Blue = improvement; Grey = no effect;
Magenta = worsening; AChE = acetylcholinesterase; LE = low-expressor.











3

Misdiagnosis	Number of par
Congenital myopathy	69 (50 / 29.4) (

Misdiagnosis	Number of patients (% of patients with misdiagnosis / % of all patients) ^a
Congenital myopathy	69 (50 / 29.4) (DOK7/RAPSN/CHRNE)
Myasthenia gravis	40 (29.0./ 17.0) (DOK7/RAPSN/CHRNE)
Muscular dystrophy	22 (15.9 / 9.4) (DOK7/RAPSN/GMPPB)
Mitochondrial myopathy	12 (8.7 / 5.1) (CHRNE/MUSK/COLQ)
Distal myopathy	4 (2.9 / 1.7)
Spinal muscular atrophy	4 (2.9 / 1.7)
Metabolic myopathy	3 (2.2 / 1.3)
Channelopathies and Periodic paralysis	3 (2.2 / 1.3)
Myositis	2 (1.5 / 0.9)
Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis	I (0.7 / 0.4)
Moebius syndrome	I (0.7 / 0.4)
Lambert-Eaton syndrome	I (0.7 / 0.4)
Fibromyalgia	I (0.7 / 0.4)
Lyme disease	l (0.7 / 0.4)

CMS = congenital myasthenic syndrome *For the four main misdiagnoses, the three genes that are mostly involved in terms of the number of patients are shown in parentheses.

8 9

1 Table 2 Clinical characteristics and long-term prognosis of patients with	rare genotypes ($n \le 3$)
---	------------------------------

Table 2	Cillical C	nai act	Leristics and	iong-cerin	prognosis or	patients wi	chi rare genot	$ypes(n \ge 3)$		
Patien t	Gene	Se x	Age at first sympto ms	First sympto ms	Disease course	ICU admissio n (age in years)	Wheelcha ir at last visit	Respirator y assistance at last visit (type)	Treatme nt response	Other features
1	TOR I AIP I	F	25	Gowers' sign, axial muscle weakness, fatigability	Stable	No	No	No	AChE inhibitors (+)	Small stature
2	TOR I AIP I	М	10	Fatigability, difficulties in sports activities	Worsening	Yes (47)	No	Yes (NIV)	AChE inhibitors (+)	Contractures
3	TOR I AIP I	М	10	Fatigability, difficulties in sports activities	Stable	Yes (48)	No	Yes (NIV)	NA	Contractures
4	DPAGTI	F	0	Neonatal hypotonia and respirator y insufficien cy	Stable	No	No	No	AChE inhibitors (-)	Contractures
5	DPAGTI	F	0	Neonatal hypotonia	Worsening	No	Yes	No	AChE inhibitors (+)	Contractures , delayed motor milestones, intellectual disability, optic disk atrophy, epilepsy, deafness, cerebellar ataxia
6	DPAGTI	F	0	Neonatal hypotonia	Worsening	Yes (18)	Yes	No	AChE inhibitors (+)	Contractures , delayed motor milestones, intellectual disability, optic disk atrophy, epilepsy
7	SLC5A7	M	0	Neonatal hypotonia respirator y insufficien cy, sudden apnoea	Improveme nt	Yes (0)	No	No	AChE inhibitors (+)	/
8	SLC5A7	М	0	Neonatal hypotonia and respirator y insufficien	Worsening	Yes (0)	Yes	Yes (tracheotom y)	AChE inhibitors (+)	Arthrogrypo sis (equinovarus), epilepsy

 Treatment response: (+) = improvement; (/) = no effect; (-) = worsening. F = female; M = male; ICU = intensive care unit; AChE = acetylcholinesterase; 3,4-DAP = 3,4-diaminopyridine; NIV = non-invasive ventilation; NA = not available.